


BASIC REACTIONS
IN ORGANIC SYNTHESIS

SERIES EDITOR
GABRIEL TOJO

Gabriel Tojo
Marcos Fernández

Oxidation of Alcohols to Aldehydes and Ketones

A Guide to Current Common Practice



 Springer

Oxidation of Alcohols to Aldehydes and Ketones

BASIC REACTIONS IN ORGANIC SYNTHESIS

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF: GABRIEL TOJO

**DEPARTMENT OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY,
FACULTY OF CHEMISTRY,
UNIVERSITY OF SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA
15872-SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA SPAIN.
gogatojo@usc.es**

Oxidation of Alcohols to Aldehydes and Ketones:

A Guide to Current Common Practice, by Gabriel Tojo and Marcos Fernández

Oxidation of Alcohols to Aldehydes and Ketones

A Guide to Current Common Practice

GABRIEL TOJO and MARCOS FERNÁNDEZ

 Springer

Authors:

Gabriel Tojo
Department of Organic Chemistry
Faculty of Chemistry
University of Santiago de Compostela
15872-Santiago De Compostela
Spain

Marcos Fernández
Department of Organic Chemistry
Faculty of Chemistry
University of Santiago de Compostela
15872-Santiago De Compostela
Spain

Editor-on-Chief

Gabriel Tojo
Department of Organic Chemistry
Faculty of Chemistry
University of Santiago de Compostela
15872-Santiago De Compostela
Spain

Library of Congress Control Number: 2005927383

ISBN-10: 0-387-23607-4

ISBN-13: 978-0387-23607-0

Printed on acid-free paper.

©2006 Springer Science+Business Media, Inc.

All rights reserved. This work may not be translated or copied in whole or in part without the written permission of the publisher (Springer Science+Business Media, Inc. 233 Spring Street, New York, NY 10013, USA), except for brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis. Use in connection with any form of information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed is forbidden. The use in this publication of trade names, trademarks, service marks and similar terms, even if they are not identified as such, is not to be taken as an expression of opinion as to whether or not they are subject to proprietary rights.

Printed in the United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

springer.com

This book is dedicated to the thousands of scientists cited in the references that constructed our present knowledge on the oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes and ketones. Thanks to their collective effort, the preparation of medicines, pesticides, colorants and plenty of chemicals that make life more enjoyable, is greatly facilitated.

Acknowledgements

We thank the staff of the library of the Faculty of Chemistry of the University of Santiago de Compostela (SPAIN) for their most serviceable help in collecting literature for the preparation of this book.

Preface

There is natural selection in the synthetic organic laboratory. Successful reagents find their way into specialized journals and tend to populate the researcher's benches. Sometimes, old species like active manganese dioxide in the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols are so well adapted to a certain reaction niche that they remain unchallenged for a long time. On other occasions, a successful new species like Dess Martin's periodinane enjoys a population explosion and very quickly inhabits a great number of laboratories. On the other hand, the literature is filled with promising new reagents that fell into oblivion because nobody was able to replicate the initial results on more challenging substrates.

Very few synthetic operations in Organic Chemistry match the importance of the oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes and ketones. The present book, which is a monograph on this operation, is not primarily aimed at specialized researchers interested in the development of new oxidants. Rather, it was written with the objective of being a practical guide for any kind of scientist, be it a chemist of whatever sort, a pharmacologist, a biochemist, or whoever is in the practical need to perform a certain alcohol oxidation in the most quick and reliable way. Therefore, a great emphasis is given to those oxidants that are employed most often in laboratories, because their ubiquity proves that they possess a greater reliability. Reagents appearing in only a few publications, regardless of promising potential, are only briefly mentioned. We prefer to err on the side of ignoring some good reagents, rather than including bad reagents that would lead researchers to lose their precious time.

This book is meant to be placed near working benches in laboratories, rather than on the shelves of libraries. That is why full experimental parts for important oxidations are provided. Although plenty of references from the literature are facilitated, this book was written with the aim of avoiding as much as possible the need to consult original research articles. Many researchers do not have scientific libraries possessing numerous chemical journals ready available, and, many times, although such library might be

available, it is just inconvenient to leave the laboratory in order to consult some reference.

Our aim is to facilitate a little practical help for anybody preparing new organic chemicals.

Abbreviations

Ac	acetyl	DDQ	2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone
acac	acetylacetonate	de	diastereomeric excess
Bn	benzyl	DIBAL-H	diisobutylaluminum hydride
Boc	<i>t</i> -butoxycarbonyl	DIPEA	diisopropylethylamine, Hünig's base
BOM	benzyloxymethyl	DMAP	4-(dimethylamino)pyridine
b.p.	boiling point	DMB	2,5-dimethoxybenzyl
Bs	benzenesulfonyl	DME	1,2-dimethoxyethane
BSA	bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide	DMF	<i>N,N</i> -dimethylformamide
Bu	<i>n</i> -butyl	DMP	Dess-Martin periodinane
<i>t</i> -Bu	<i>tert</i> -butyl	DMSO	dimethyl sulfoxide
Bz	benzoyl	EDC	16,14e-2,1-(3-dimethylamino propyl)-3-ethyl carbodiimide hydrochloride
ca.	circa	EE	1-ethoxyethyl
CA	Chemical Abstracts	eq.	equivalent
CAN	cerium (IV) ammonium nitrate	Et	ethyl
cat.	catalytic	F1	9-phenylfluoren-9-yl
Cbz or Z	benzyloxycarbonyl	Fmoc	9-fluorenyl methoxycarbonyl
cHex	cyclohexyl	g	gram
CI	chemical ionization	glac.	glacial
18-Crown-6	1,4,7,10,13,16-hexaoxacyclooctadecane	Glc	glucose
Cp	cyclopentadienyl		
CSA	camphorsulfonic acid		
d	density		
DBU	1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene		
DCAA	dichloroacetic acid		
DCC	<i>N,N</i> -dicyclohexyl carbodiimide		

h	hour	PMP	<i>p</i> -methoxyphenyl
IBA	<i>o</i> -iodosobenzoic acid	POM	[(<i>p</i> -phenylphenyl)oxy]methyl
IBX	<i>o</i> -iodoxybenzoic acid	ppm	parts per million
imid.	imidazole	PPTS	pyridinium
<i>i</i> -Pr	isopropyl		<i>p</i> -toluenesulfonate
L	litre	Pr	propyl
LDA	lithium diisopropylamide	PTFA	pyridinium trifluoroacetate
m	multiplet	Py	pyridine
M	mol/L	ref.	reflux
MCPBA	<i>m</i> -chloroperoxybenzoic acid	Ref.	reference
Me	methyl	r.t.	room temperature
MEM	(2-methoxyethoxy)methyl	SEM	2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxymethyl
min.	minute	SET	single electron transfer
MOM	methoxymethyl	TBDPS	<i>t</i> -butyldiphenylsilyl
m.p.	melting point	TBS	<i>t</i> -butyldimethylsilyl
MP	<i>p</i> -methoxyphenyl	TEMPO	2,2,6,6,-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy
Ms	mesyl, methanesulfonyl		free radical
MS	molecular sieves	TEA	triethylamine
MTBE	methyl <i>t</i> -butyl ether	TES	triethylsilyl
MW	molecular weight	TFA	trifluoroacetic acid
NBS	<i>N</i> -bromosuccinimide	TFAA	trifluoroacetic anhydride
NCS	<i>N</i> -chlorosuccinimide	THF	tetrahydrofuran
NMO	<i>N</i> -methylmorpholine <i>N</i> -oxide	THP	tetrahydropyran-2-yl
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance	T _i	internal temperature
p.	page	TIPS	triisopropylsilyl
PCC	pyridinium chlorochromate	TLC	thin layer chromatography
PDC	pyridinium dichromate	TMS	trimethylsilyl
Ph	phenyl	TMSEt	2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl
PMB or MPM	<i>p</i> -methoxybenzyl	TPAP	tetrapropylammonium perruthenate
PMBOM	<i>p</i> -methoxybenzyloxymethyl	Tr	triphenylmethyl, trityl
		Ts	<i>p</i> -toluenesulfonyl

Contents

1. Chromium-Based Reagents	1
1.1. Introduction.....	1
1.1.1. Jones Reagent.....	1
1.1.2. Sarett and Collins Reagents	2
1.1.3. Pyridinium Dichromate (PDC).....	3
1.1.4. Pyridinium Chlorochromate (PCC).....	4
1.1.5. Election of Oxidant	4
Section 1.1. References.....	5
1.2. Jones Oxidation	5
1.2.1. General Procedure for Transformation of Alcohols to Ketones by Jones Oxidation.....	6
1.2.2. Protecting Group Sensitivity to Jones Oxidation	8
1.2.3. Functional Group Sensitivity to Jones Oxidation	9
1.2.4. <i>In situ</i> Deprotection and Oxidation of Alcohols to Ketones	11
1.2.5. Obtention of Aldehydes by Jones Oxidation	12
1.2.6. Side Reactions	12
Section 1.2. References	17
1.3. Collins Oxidation.....	20
1.3.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols to Aldehydes and Ketones by Collins Oxidation.....	21
1.3.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Collins Oxidation	24
1.3.3. Side Reactions	25
Section 1.3. References	27
1.4. Pyridinium Dichromate (PDC).....	28
1.4.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols to Aldehydes and Ketones with Pyridinium Dichromate (PDC)	30
1.4.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oxidation with PDC.....	33

1.4.3. Side Reactions	38
Section 1.4. References	43
1.5. Pyridinium Chlorochromate (PCC)	46
1.5.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols to Aldehydes and Ketones with Pyridinium Chlorochromate (PCC).....	50
1.5.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oxidation with PCC	52
1.5.2.1. Protecting Groups.....	52
1.5.2.2. Alkenes	53
1.5.2.3. Furan Rings.....	55
1.5.2.4. Tertiary Allylic Alcohols.....	55
1.5.2.5. Secondary Allylic Alcohols	57
1.5.2.6. Homoallylic Alcohols	58
1.5.2.7. 5,6-Dihydroxyalkenes	59
1.5.2.8. 5-Hydroxyalkenes	61
1.5.2.9. Epoxides.....	62
1.5.2.10. Lactols.....	64
1.5.2.11. Acetals.....	64
1.5.2.12. 1,2-Diols.....	65
1.5.2.13. 1,4-Diols.....	65
1.5.2.14. 1,5-Diols.....	66
1.5.2.15. Nitrogen-Containing Compounds.....	67
1.5.2.16. Sulfides	68
1.5.3. Side Reactions	68
1.5.3.1. Oxidative Breakage of a Carbon-Carbon Bond from an Intermediate Chromate Ester.....	68
1.5.3.2. Formation of Conjugated Enones (or Enals) by Eliminations Subsequent to Alcohol Oxidation	70
1.5.3.3. Chromate as Leaving-Group and Reactions Induced by the Acidic Nature of PCC.....	72
1.5.3.4. Oxidative Dimerization of Primary Alcohols	74
1.5.3.5. Oxidation Products Suffering Subsequent Reactions in Which PCC Plays no Role	75
1.5.3.6. Side Reactions in Which Several of the Above Principles Operate	76
Section 1.5. References	77
1.6. Other Chromium-Based Oxidants.....	83
1.6.1. Chromic Acid	83
1.6.2. Chromium Trioxide and Pyridine.....	86

1.6.3. Dichromate Salts	86
1.6.4. Halochromate Salts	87
1.6.5. Oxidations Using Catalytic Chromium Compounds	89
1.6.6. Miscellanea	91
Section 1.6. References	92
2. Activated Dimethyl Sulfoxide.....	97
2.1. Introduction	97
2.1.1. A Proposal for Nomenclature of Reactions Involving Activated DMSO	99
Section 2.1. References	100
2.2. Pfitzner–Moffatt Oxidation (Carbodiimide-Mediated Moffatt Oxidation)	100
2.2.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols by Pfitzner–Moffatt Method	103
2.2.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Pfitzner–Moffatt Oxidation	106
2.2.3. Side Reactions.....	110
Section 2.2. References	111
2.3. Albright–Goldman Oxidation (Acetic Anhydride-Mediated Moffatt Oxidation).....	113
2.3.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols by Albright–Goldman Method	115
2.3.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Albright–Goldman Oxidation	117
2.3.3. Side Reactions.....	117
Section 2.3. References	118
2.4. Albright–Onodera Oxidation (Phosphorous Pentoxide-Mediated Moffatt Oxidation).....	118
2.4.1. General Procedure Albright–Onodera Oxidation using the Taber Modification.....	119
2.4.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Albright–Onodera Oxidation.....	120
Section 2.4. References	120
2.5. Parikh–Doering Oxidation (Sulfur Trioxide-Mediated Moffatt Oxidation)	120
2.5.1. General Procedure for Parikh–Doering Oxidation	122
2.5.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Parikh–Doering Oxidation.....	125
2.5.3. Side Reactions.....	125
Section 2.5. References	126
2.6. Omura–Sharma–Swern Oxidation (TFAA-Mediated Moffatt Oxidation)	128

2.6.1. General Procedure (Procedure A) for Oxidation of Alcohols with Omura–Sharma–Swern Method	133
2.6.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Omura–Sharma–Swern Oxidation.....	135
2.6.3. Side Reactions.....	136
Section 2.6. References	139
2.7. Swern Oxidation (Oxalyl Chloride-Mediated Moffatt Oxidation).....	141
2.7.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols using Swern Oxidation.....	149
2.7.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Swern Oxidation	152
2.7.3. Reactions Performed <i>in situ</i> after a Swern Oxidation.....	157
2.7.4. Side Reactions.....	161
2.7.4.1. Activated DMSO as a Source of Electrophilic Chlorine	161
2.7.4.2. Activated DMSO as a Source of Electrophilic Sulfur	162
2.7.4.3. Transformation of Alcohols into Chlorides.....	162
2.7.4.4. Methylthiomethylation	164
2.7.4.5. Base-induced Reactions	165
2.7.4.6. Acid-induced Reactions	166
2.7.4.7. Formation of Lactones from Diols.....	167
Section 2.7. References	168
2.8. Corey–Kim Oxidation.....	172
2.8.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols using the Corey–Kim Method.....	174
2.8.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Corey–Kim Oxidations.....	176
2.8.3. Side Reactions.....	176
Section 2.8. References	176
2.9. Other Alcohol Oxidations Using Activated DMSO	177
Section 2.9. References	179
3. Hypervalent Iodine Compounds.....	181
3.1. Introduction	181
Section 3.1. References	181
3.2. Dess–Martin Periodinane.....	182
3.2.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols using Dess–Martin Periodinane	187
3.2.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Dess–Martin Oxidation	190

3.2.3. Reactions Performed <i>in situ</i> During a Dess–Martin Oxidation	194
3.2.4. Side Reactions.....	196
Section 3.2. References	198
3.3. <i>o</i> -Iodoxybenzoic Acid (IBX).....	202
3.3.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols with IBX	205
3.3.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oxidations with IBX.....	207
3.3.3. Reactions Performed <i>in situ</i> During Oxidation with IBX	209
Section 3.3. References	211
3.3.4. Side Reactions.....	211
3.4. Other Hypervalent Iodine Compounds Used for Oxidation of Alcohols.....	212
Section 3.4. References	214
4. Ruthenium-Based Oxidations	215
4.1. Introduction	215
4.1.1. Perruthenate and Ruthenate Ions	216
4.1.2. Ruthenium Compounds in a Lower Oxidant State	217
Section 4.1. References	219
4.2. Ruthenium Tetroxide.....	220
4.2.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Secondary Alcohols with Stoichiometric RuO ₄	222
4.2.2. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols with Catalytic RuO ₄	224
4.2.3. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Ruthenium Tetroxide.....	225
Section 4.2. References	227
4.3. Tetra- <i>n</i> -Propylammonium Perruthenate (TPAP) (Ley Oxidation).....	228
4.3.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols with TPAP	231
4.3.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oxidation with TPAP	232
4.3.3. Reactions Performed <i>in situ</i> During an Oxidation with TPAP	235
4.3.4. Side Reactions.....	236
Section 4.3. References	238
5. Oxidations Mediated by TEMPO and Related Stable Nitroxide Radicals (Anelli Oxidation).....	241
5.1. Introduction	241

Section 5.1. References	242
5.2. TEMPO-Mediated Oxidations	243
5.2.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols with TEMPO–NaOCl (Anelli’s Protocol)	246
5.2.2. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols with TEMPO–PhI(OAc) ₂ (Protocol of Piancatelli and Margarita)	247
5.2.3. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oxidations Mediated by TEMPO	248
5.2.4. Side Reactions	251
Section 5.2. References	251
6. Oxidations by Hydride Transfer from a Metallic Alkoxide	255
6.1. Introduction	255
Section 6.1. References	255
6.2. Oppenauer Oxidation	256
6.2.1. Experimental Conditions	256
6.2.2. Mechanism	260
6.2.3. Oxidations Using Sodium or Potassium Alkoxides	260
6.2.4. Recent Developments	262
6.2.5. General Procedure for Oppenauer Oxidation under Standard Conditions	265
6.2.6. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oppenauer Oxidation	267
6.2.7. Reactions Performed <i>in situ</i> During an Oppenauer Oxidation	269
6.2.8. Side Reactions	271
Section 6.2. References	272
6.3. Mukaiyama Oxidation	274
6.3.1. General Procedure for Mukaiyama Oxidation	276
6.3.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Mukaiyama Oxidation	278
6.3.3. Side Reactions	278
Section 6.3. References	279
7. Fétizon’s Reagent: Silver Carbonate on Celite[®]	281
7.1. Introduction	281
Section 7.1. References	281
7.2. Fétizon’s Oxidation	282
7.2.1. Preparation of Fétizon’s Reagent ⁹	284
7.2.2. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols with Fétizon’s Reagent	285
7.2.3. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Fétizon’s Oxidation	286


7.2.4. Side Reactions.....	287
Section 7.2. References	287
8. Selective Oxidations of Allylic and Benzylic Alcohols in the Presence of Saturated Alcohols	289
8.1. Introduction	289
Section 8.1. References	290
8.2. Manganese Dioxide (MnO ₂).....	290
8.2.1. General Procedure for Selective Oxidation of Allylic, Benzylic and Propargylic Alcohols with MnO ₂	296
8.2.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oxidation with MnO ₂	297
8.2.3. Reactions Performed <i>in situ</i> During Oxidations with MnO ₂	301
8.2.4. Side Reactions.....	306
8.2.5. Barium Manganate: More Reactive and Reproducible Alternative to Active MnO ₂	309
8.2.6. General Procedure for Selective Oxidation of Allylic, Benzylic and Propargylic Alcohols in Presence of Saturated Alcohols, using Barium Manganate (BaMnO ₄)	311
Section 8.2. References	311
8.3. 2,3-Dichloro- 5,6-dicyano- <i>p</i> -quinone (DDQ).....	315
8.3.1. General Procedure for Selective Oxidation of Unsaturated Alcohols in Presence of Saturated Ones using DDQ.....	321
8.3.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oxidation with DDQ	323
8.3.3. Side Reactions.....	325
Section 8.3. References	326
8.4. Other Oxidants.....	328
Section 8.4. References	330
9. Selective Oxidations of Primary Alcohols in the Presence of Secondary Alcohols	331
9.1. Introduction	331
Section 9.1. References	332
9.2. TEMPO-Mediated Oxidations.....	332
Section 9.2. References	334
9.3. RuCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₃	335
9.3.1. General Procedure for Selective Oxidation of Primary Alcohols in Presence of Secondary Ones Employing RuCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₃	335

Section 9.3. References	336
9.4. Other Oxidants	336
Section 9.4. References	337
9.5. Selective Oxidation of Primary Alcohols via Silyl Ethers	337
Section 9.5. References	337
10. Selective Oxidations of Secondary Alcohols in Presence of Primary Alcohols	339
10.1. Introduction	339
Section 10.1. References.....	340
10.2. Reaction with Electrophilic Halogen Sources	340
10.2.1. General Procedure for Selective Oxidation of Secondary Alcohols in Presence of Primary Ones, using Steven's Protocol (Sodium Hypochlorite in Acetic Acid).....	341
Section 10.2. References.....	342
10.3. Oxidation of Intermediate Alkyltin Alkoxides	343
10.3.1. General Procedure for Selective Oxidation of Secondary Alcohols in Presence of Primary Ones by Treatment of Intermediate Tin Alkoxides with Bromine or <i>N</i> -Bromosuccinimide	344
Section 10.3. References.....	345
10.4. Other Oxidants	346
Section 10.4. References.....	347
10.5. Selective Oxidations of Secondary Alcohols via Protection of Primary Alcohols	348
Section 10.5. References.....	349
Index	351

Chromium-based Reagents

1.1. Introduction


Chromium trioxide (CrO_3) is a strong oxidizing agent that appears in the form of deep-red hygroscopic crystals. Upon solution in water, it forms chromic acid that equilibrates with polymeric anhydrides.¹




1.1.1. Jones Reagent

Although CrO_3 is soluble in some organic solvents, like *tert*-butyl alcohol, pyridine or acetic anhydride, its use in such solvents is limited, because of the tendency of the resulting solutions to explode.^{2,3} Nevertheless, acetone can safely be mixed with a solution of chromium trioxide in diluted aqueous sulfuric acid. This useful property prompted the development of the so-called *Jones oxidation*, in which a solution of chromium trioxide in diluted sulfuric acid is dropped on a solution of an organic compound in acetone. This reaction, first described by Jones,¹³ has become one of the most employed procedures for the oxidation of alcohols, and represents a seminal contribution that prompted the development of other chromium (VI) oxidants in organic synthesis.

The mechanism of the oxidation of alcohols with Jones reagent is often depicted as given below.⁴



The alcohol (**1**) is transformed into a chromic acid ester (**2**), which evolves to an aldehyde or a ketone (**3**). When an aldehyde is generated, it can react with water to form the hydrate (**4**) that can evolve as in Equation below,⁵ resulting in the formation of an acid (**5**).



Other chromium-based reagents are also found to oxidize alcohols, following a mechanism like the one depicted above for oxidation with chromic acid.⁴

An interesting consequence of the fast formation of the chromic ester is that, sometimes, chromium-based oxidants counter-intuitively are able to oxidize quicker alcohols possessing a greater steric hindrance, as the initially formed chromic ester releases greater tension on evolving to a carbonyl. Thus, axial alcohols are oxidized quicker than equatorial ones with chromic acid.⁶ The reverse—a somehow expected behavior—is observed, for example in oxidations with activated DMSO.⁷

Although Jones oxidation is very useful for the transformation of secondary alcohols into ketones, it can be difficult to stop the oxidation of primary alcohols at the intermediate aldehyde stage.

Useful yields of aldehydes can be obtained when the proportion of hydrate in equilibrium with the aldehyde is low (see page 12).

1.1.2. Sarett and Collins Reagents

Chromium trioxide reacts with pyridine in a highly exothermic reaction, resulting in the formation of the complex $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$, which is soluble in organic solvents. A solution of this complex in pyridine is called *Sarett*


reagent.² This reagent is very efficient, not only in the oxidation of secondary alcohols to ketones, but—for its lack of water—also in the oxidation of primary alcohols to aldehydes. A useful modification of the Sarett reagent involves the use of $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ dissolved in methylene chloride, forming the so-called *Collins reagent*.⁸ This reagent has a number of advantages over Sarett reagent, including the use of a solvent—methylene chloride—that is not as basic as pyridine.

Both, the preparation of Sarett reagent and Collins reagent can be quite dangerous. For instance, during the generation of the $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ complex, chromium trioxide must be added over pyridine, as doing an inverse addition leads to an explosion.⁹ The $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ complex is highly hygroscopic, and can explode in the presence of organic matter. This prompted the development of the Ratcliffe variant¹⁰ of the Collins reaction, in which the $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ complex is formed *in situ* in methylene chloride solution, by adding chromium trioxide to a stirred solution of pyridine in methylene chloride. As this variant of the Collins reaction is much safer and convenient than both Sarett reaction and the classic Collins reaction, nowadays it is almost the only one employed in organic synthesis when $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ is used.

Chromium trioxide derivatives are very strong oxidizing agents that have the potential to explode in the presence of organic matter. Therefore, we suggest that no substantial changes over the standard oxidation procedures are tested during research. It is particularly dangerous to test non-standard solvents or higher temperatures than recommended. Chromium-based oxidations are mainly done in methylene chloride, which is a solvent very refractory to ignition.

1.1.3. Pyridinium Dichromate (PDC)


When pyridine is added to a solution of chromium trioxide in water, it is possible to obtain a precipitate of the pyridinium salt of dichromic acid, that is pyridinium dichromate (PDC).¹¹



This oxidant is a bright-orange solid that is soluble in organic solvents, and very convenient to store and manipulate, because of its lack of hydrophilicity. Pyridinium dichromate (PDC), which is normally used in dichloromethane at room temperature, is a very efficient oxidant able to transform alcohols in aldehydes and ketones in high yield. The absence of water in the reaction media prevents the over-oxidation of aldehydes into carboxylic acids.

1.1.4. Pyridinium Chlorochromate (PCC)

The interaction of CrO_3 with hydrochloric acid, in the presence of water, results in an equilibrium, in which chlorochromic acid is present. Addition of pyridine results in the formation of a precipitate of the pyridinium salt of chlorochromic acid, the so-called pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC).¹²



This reagent is a yellow-orange solid, which shares many properties with PDC. Thus, non-hygroscopic PCC is very convenient to store, and is able to transform alcohols into aldehydes and ketones in high yield when it is used in dichloromethane solution at room temperature.

1.1.5. Election of Oxidant


The following guidelines can help in the election of a certain chromium-based oxidant in the laboratory:

- Jones oxidation is very easy to carry out, because of the absence of need to keep anhydrous conditions. Furthermore, it is very cheap. It is the oxidation of choice for robust substrates on a big scale. It is neither suitable for very acid sensitive substrates, nor for the preparation of many aldehydes.
- Collins oxidation is very cheap, but has the added experimental difficulty of having to work under anhydrous conditions. Although sometimes it lacks the selectivity of PDC or PCC, it can produce very good yields of aldehydes and ketones in uncomplicated substrates.
- PDC and PCC are more expensive reagents that normally guarantee the best results in difficult cases.

Section 1.1. References

- 1 Bosche, H. G. in Houben-Weyl, *Methoden der organischen Chemie*. 4th ed.; E. Müller, Ed., Vol. 4/1b, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 1975, p. 429.
- 2 Poos, G. I.; Arth, G. E.; Beyler, R. E.; Sarett, L. H.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, *75*, 422.
- 3 Zibuck, R.; Streiber, J.; *Org. Synt. Coll. Vol. IX* **1998**, 432.
- 4 a) Lanes, R. M.; Lee, D. G.; *J. Chem. Ed.* **1968**, *45*, 269. b) Westheimer, F. H.; Nicolaidis, N.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1949**, *71*, 25.
- 5 Roček, J.; Ng, C.-S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1973**, *38*, 3348.
- 6 Schreiber, J.; Eschenmoser, A.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1955**, *38*, 1529.
- 7 Albright, J. D.; Goldman, L., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 2416.
- 8 Collins, J. C.; Hess, W. W.; Frank, F. J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1968**, 3363.
- 9 Collins, J. C.; Hess, W. W.; *Org. Synt. Coll. Vol. VI* **1988**, 644.
- 10 Ratcliffe, R.; Rodehorst, R.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1970**, *35*, 4000.
- 11 Hudlický, M. *Oxidations in Organic Chemistry*; ACS: Washington, DC, **1990**, p. 25.
- 12 a) Corey, E. J.; Suggs, J. W.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, 2647. b) Piancatelli, G.; Scettri, A.; D'Auria, M.; *Synthesis* **1982**, 245.
- 13 Bowden, K.; Heilbron, I. M.; Jones, E. R. H.; Weedon, B. C. L.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1946**, 39.

1.2. Jones Oxidation



Chromium trioxide is a strong oxidizing agent, and its use in organic synthesis had to overcome two problems:

- Its lack of solubility in most organic solvents,
- Its tendency to explode in the presence of organic matter.

In 1946, Jones discovered that secondary alcohols could be efficiently oxidized to ketones by pouring a solution of chromium trioxide in diluted sulfuric acid over a solution of the alcohol in acetone.¹³ This procedure, which has proved to be quite safe, allows a sufficient contact of the alcohol with chromium oxide derivatives for a reaction to take place. Jones oxidation marked the beginning of the highly successful saga of chromium-based oxidants.

The action of sulfuric acid on chromium trioxide results in a number of equilibria, in which the major specie is chromic acid (see page 1). Thus, Jones conditions are often referred as “chromic acid” in acetone.

It is also possible to prepare a “chromic acid” solution by treating sodium dichromate ($\text{Na}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$) or potassium dichromate ($\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$) with sulfuric acid. Consequently, sodium¹⁴ and potassium¹⁵ dichromate can be used, instead of chromium trioxide, in Jones oxidations.

Jones oxidation is carried out under very convenient experimental conditions with no need to employ a dry environment or an inert atmosphere. It is very useful for the oxidation of secondary alcohols, while it rarely succeeds in the transformation of primary alcohols into aldehydes due to its tendency to cause over-oxidation to carboxylic acids (see page 2).

One obvious limitation of Jones oxidation is the use of acidic conditions that may cause interference with acid-sensitive functional groups. It must be mentioned that, due to the presence of separated organic and aqueous phases, containing respectively the organic substrate and sulphuric acid, such interferences are much less common than expected, and many protecting groups that can be deprotected using acid survive Jones oxidation. The concentration of sulfuric acid can be decreased in order to minimize interferences with acid-sensitive functionalities, although this causes a decrease on the oxidizing power of Jones reagent.¹⁶

1.2.1. General Procedure for Transformation of Alcohols to Ketones by Jones Oxidation

A 0.15–0.40 volume^a of concentrated sulfuric acid is added over one volume of a 1.5–4.5 M (150–450 g/L) solution of CrO₃ (MW= 100.0) in water. A fraction of the resulting red solution is dropped over a 0.01–0.5 M stirred solution of the alcohol in acetone.^b The alcohol causes the reduction of the red Cr (VI) cations to chromium species with a greenish look. A complete oxidation of the alcohol in a short time requires normally between 1.2 and 5.0 equivalents of chromium trioxide. When a TLC analysis shows that most alcohol is consumed,^{c, d} the oxidant is quenched by the addition of 0.1–0.4 volumes of 2-propanol.^c If so desired, the reaction mixture can be neutralized by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ or diluted NaOH. The resulting mixture is extracted with an organic solvent, such as EtOAc, CH₂Cl₂ or Et₂O. The collected organic solutions are washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄ or MgSO₄) and concentrated, giving a crude ketone that may need some purification.

^a The use of a more limited quantity of sulfuric acid helps to avoid interferences with acid-sensitive functional groups. On the other hand, this causes a decrease in the oxidizing power of Jones reagent.¹⁶





^b The solution of the alcohol in acetone can be kept either over an ice-water bath or at room temperature during all the reaction. It is also possible to keep the reaction mixture over an ice-water bath during the addition of the chromic acid solution when the major exotherm is expected, and let it reach room temperature afterwards. For reactions on a multigram scale, cooling on an ice-water bath is particularly recommended. During the oxidation of very sensitive substrates, it may be advisable to perform the entire oxidation at a temperature as low as –20°C.



^c The consumption of the alcohol can be signaled by the persistence of the red color of the chromium acid solution, which is being dropped into the reaction flask. As the red color of the solution being added is mixed with the green color of the reduced chromium species already present in the reaction flask, it may take some practice to appreciate the color changes. A sheet of white paper, placed below a reaction flask made of glass, substantially helps to distinguish these color changes.

^d It normally takes between 10 min and 12 h.

^e Other alcohols, such as MeOH, can also be used. A conspicuous change to deep green color indicates the complete quenching of the chromium (VI) species.

Some successful oxidations of secondary alcohols to ketones, using Jones reagent, are listed below:





1.2.2. Protecting Group Sensitivity to Jones Oxidation

Although Jones oxidation is carried out in the presence of aqueous sulfuric acid, functionalities with a high sensitivity to acidic conditions can remain unchanged due to the segregation between the organic and aqueous phases.

Only very acid-sensitive protecting groups are hydrolyzed under the conditions of the Jones oxidation. When free alcohols result from the hydrolysis of very acid-sensitive protecting groups, they are *in situ* oxidized to ketones or carboxylic acids.

It must be mentioned that diverse acid strengths, temperatures and reaction times are used in Jones oxidation, which leads to uneven responses of the same protecting groups.

Most silyl ethers, including the ubiquitous TBS ethers,²² resist Jones oxidation, with the exception of the very acid-sensitive TMS ethers.²³

Anomalous cases are known in which the normally robust TBS ethers are hydrolyzed.²⁴ Contrastingly, rare instances have been published in which the sensitive TMS ethers remain unchanged²⁵ under Jones oxidation.

Alkoxyalkyl protected alcohols remain unchanged under Jones oxidation, except those protected with the very acid-sensitive THP group.^{26,27b}

Nevertheless, THP ethers can remain untouched in some cases,²⁷ while MOM ethers normally resist Jones oxidation²⁸, and they can be deprotected in some uncommon instances.²⁹

Table 1.1. Sensitivity of Alcohol Protecting Groups to Jones Oxidation

Protecting group		Reactivity
Silyl ethers	Remain unchanged: TMS, ^{25,35} TBS, ²² TIPS, ³⁶ TBDPS ^{37,23b}	Hydrolysis followed by oxidation to acid or ketone: TMS, ²³ TBS ²⁴
ROCH– R'	Remain unchanged: MOM, ²⁸ MEM, ³⁸ BOM, ³⁹ PMBOM, ^{36b} THP ²⁷	Hydrolysis followed by oxidation to acid or ketone: THP ^{26,27b}
Alkyl ethers	Remain unchanged: PMB, ³⁰ ^t Bu ³¹	Hydrolysis followed by oxidation to acid or ketone: Ph ₃ C-, ³² <i>p</i> -MeOPh(Ph) ₂ C- ³³
Esters	Remain unchanged	—
Alkylidene protecting diols	Remain unchanged: isopropylidene, ⁴⁰ benzylidene, ⁴¹ cyclohexylidene ⁴²	—

Benzyl, PMB³⁰ and *t*-butyl ethers are not affected,³¹ while the very acid sensitive trityl and *p*-MeOPh(Ph)₂C-ethers are hydrolyzed, and the resulting primary alcohols are oxidized to carboxylic acids.^{32,33}

In fact, it has been reported³⁴ that benzyl ethers can react with Jones reagent, resulting in the formation of ketones and benzoates. This happens under relatively harsh conditions, and normally no interference from benzyl ethers is observed during the oxidation of alcohols with Jones reagent.

Alcohols protected as esters, and diols protected as cyclic acetals resist Jones oxidation.

It is important to stress that, although MOM, TMS and THP ethers can be hydrolyzed under Jones oxidation, many cases are known in which this does not happen (Table 1.1).

Depending on substrate and exact reaction conditions, acetals protecting both aldehydes and ketones can resist or be hydrolyzed under Jones oxidation. When the hydrolysis leads to the formation of an aldehyde, an ensuing oxidation to carboxylic acid occurs (Table 1.2.).

Regarding amine protecting groups, both amides and uretanes⁴⁹ resist the action of Jones oxidation, including the very acid-sensitive Boc protecting group.^{18,47,49}

1.2.3. Functional Group Sensitivity to Jones Oxidation

Aldehydes are oxidized to carboxylic acids by Jones oxidation; although, in certain cases, the oxidation of primary alcohols can be stopped at the aldehyde stage (see page 12).

Table 1.2. Sensitivity of Carbonyl Protecting Groups to Jones Oxidation

Protecting group		Reactivity
Aliphatic acetals	Remain unchanged: dimethyl acetal ⁴³	Hydrolysis: dimethyl acetal ⁴⁴
Cyclic acetals	Remain unchanged: ethylidene acetal ⁴⁵ 2,2-dimethylpropylidene acetal ^{45c,46}	Hydrolysis followed by oxidation to acid, or deprotection to ketone: ethylidene acetal ⁴⁷ propylidene acetal ⁴⁸


Lactols are oxidized to lactones. Depending on substrate and the precise reaction conditions, sulfides can remain unchanged⁵¹ or be transformed into sulfoxides⁵² or sulfones.⁵³ *O*-Alkyl cyclic hemiacetals including glycosides, both can remain unchanged⁵⁴ or suffer oxidation to lactones.³⁵

Most epoxides resist Jones oxidation with the exception of the very acid-labile ones,⁵⁵ that is the ones able to generate a very stable carbocation on opening.

Amines, pyridines and esters resist Jones oxidation, including the very acid-sensitive *t*-butyl esters.⁵⁶ Amines and pyridines withstand Jones oxidation, probably because they are protected by protonation under the reaction conditions.

Normally, nitrocompounds resist⁵⁷ the action of Jones reagent. Very rarely, a nitrogroup can suffer activation on contact with Jones reagent, resulting, on being attacked by a nucleophile. This reaction can compete with the normal



Table 1.3. Sensitivity of Functional Groups to Jones Oxidation

Functional group		Reactivity
Aldehydes	—	Oxidation to acids; ⁵⁹ nevertheless, sometimes the oxidation of primary alcohols can be stopped at the aldehyde stage ^{60,61}
Lactols	—	Oxidation to lactones ^{19,62}
Sulfides	Remain unchanged ⁵⁶	Oxidation to sulfoxides ⁵² or sulfones ⁵³
	Remain unchanged ⁵⁴	Hydrolysis followed by oxidation to acid ⁶³ or lactone ³⁵
Epoxides	Remain unchanged with the exception of the most acid-sensitive ones ⁵⁵	—
Amines and pyridines	Remain unchanged ⁶⁴	—
Esters	Remain unchanged, including the very acid sensitive <i>t</i> -butyl esters ⁵⁶	—


oxidation of the alcohol, only when the alcohol is hindered and the attack on the nitrogroup is favoured by some intramolecular process.⁵⁸

1.2.4. *In situ* Deprotection and Oxidation of Alcohols to Ketones

The sensitivity of some alcohol protecting groups to the acidic conditions of Jones oxidation allow the operation of one-pot reactions, in which deprotection of alcohols is followed by *in situ* oxidation to ketones. Some interesting synthetic applications of this principle are listed below:



The deprotection of the TBS ethers—with the corresponding oxidation to ketones or carboxylic acids—can be purposefully facilitated by the addition of some hydrofluoric acid⁶⁵ or KF⁶⁶ to the Jones reaction mixture.



1.2.5. Obtention of Aldehydes by Jones Oxidation

Jones oxidation is generally not useful for the transformation of primary alcohols into aldehydes. This is due to the equilibrium of the aldehydes with the corresponding hydrates in the aqueous media, leading to the subsequent oxidation of the aldehyde hydrates into carboxylic acids. In fact, kinetic studies support the assumption that chromic acid oxidizes aldehydes into carboxylic acids via the corresponding aldehyde hydrates.⁵


Nevertheless, in those cases in which the proportion of hydrate in equilibrium with the aldehyde is low, it is possible to obtain a useful yield of aldehyde.^{60,61} Electron donating groups,^{68,69} conjugation with alkenes and aromatic rings⁵ and steric hindrance⁶⁹ decrease the proportion of hydrates in equilibrium with aldehydes. This explains the fact that alcohols successfully transformed into aldehydes by Jones oxidation, normally belong to the allyl,⁷⁰ benzyl⁷¹ or neopentyl kind.⁷²

In simple molecules, it is possible to obtain a good yield of aldehyde—including examples possessing an important proportion of hydrate in equilibrium—by continuous distillation of the aldehyde from the reaction mixture.⁷³ This procedure only succeeds in the preparation of simple volatile aldehydes.


The obtention of aldehydes can be facilitated by the use of ethyl methyl ketone,⁷⁴ instead of acetone, due to the lower polarity of the former, leading to a decreased concentration of aldehyde hydrate.

1.2.6. Side Reactions

Alcohols, possessing substituents able to stabilize carbocations at the β position, may suffer a carbon-carbon bond breakage as in Equation below (route **b**), competing with the normal transformation to ketones on Jones oxidation (route **a**).⁷⁵




This explains the following side products from oxidation of alcohols with Jones reagent:




Ref. 76

A carbon-carbon bond breakage leads to a stabilized tertiary carbocation that reacts with water giving a 7% yield of an alcohol.




Ref. 77

A carbocation stabilized on α to a hydroxy group—that is a protonated ketone—is generated by cleavage of a carbon-carbon bond. This also leads to the formation of an aldehyde, which is oxidized *in situ* to a carboxylic acid.



Ref. 78

A carbocation, stabilized by an ether-oxygen, is generated. It loses a proton, leading to an alkene. An aldehyde is also formed that evolves to a carboxylic acid.







Ref. 79

A naïve look at the product suggests an oxidation to a ketone followed by a Baeyer-Villiger like reaction. The product is best explained by a fragmentation from an intermediate chromate ester, resulting on an aldehyde and a stabilized tertiary carbocation that is transformed into a tertiary alcohol by reaction with water. The hydroxyaldehyde so obtained may evolve to the final lactone either via a lactol or a hydroxyacid.



As the oxidative carbon-carbon bond breakage of alcohols, leading to a stable carbocation, depends not only on the stability of the resulting carbocation but also on very exacting stereoelectronic factors, many cases are known in which alcohols are successfully oxidized to ketones, regardless of apparently easy oxidative carbon-carbon bond breakages. In fact, in synthetic experimental practice, it is recommended not to fail in trying a Jones oxidation because of fear of such side reactions.

A listing of examples of successful Jones oxidation to ketones on substrates that could be suspected to be prone to oxidative carbon-carbon bond breakage is given below:







Sometimes, an alcohol via the corresponding chromate ester may direct a chromium-promoted epoxidation of an alkene. This side reaction, which can happen with other chromium-based oxidants,⁸³ depends on very exacting stereoelectronic factors to occur.




At times, the carbonyl compound, obtained from the oxidation of an alcohol, suffers a further oxidation, causing the introduction of an olefin conjugated with the carbonyl.




Tertiary allylic alcohols form a chromate ester that, as it lacks a hydrogen on α to the alcohol, instead of suffering a normal oxidation to ketone rearranges to an enone. This transformation, which can be brought about by other chromium-based reagents, is normally carried out with PCC when it is purposefully sought at (see page 55).



As the Jones-mediated transformation of tertiary allylic alcohols into enones is normally slower than the oxidation of secondary alcohols into ketones; it is possible to selectively oxidize a secondary alcohol to ketone, without affecting a tertiary allylic alcohol present in the same molecule.



Sometimes, chromate esters from secondary allylic alcohols suffer transposition rather than direct oxidation, and the resulting transposed chromate ester can either produce epoxidation of the alkene, or suffer oxidation yielding a transposed enone.⁸⁴



Section 1.2. References


- 14 a) Takahashi, H.; Iguchi, M.; Onda, M.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1985**, *33*, 4775. b) Magnus, P.; Matthews, I. R.; Schultz, J.; Waditschatka, R.; Huffman, J. C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 5772. c) Paquette, L. A.; Weber, J. C.; Kobayashi, T.; Miyahara, Y.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 8591. d) Emerson, W. S.; Patrick Jr., T. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1949**, *14*, 790.
- 15 a) Alberts, A. H.; Wynberg, H.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1988**, *11*, 748. b) Birkofer, L.; Birkofer, A.; *Chem. Ber.* **1952**, *85*, 286. c) Stoermer, R.; Friderici, E.; *Chem. Ber.* **1908**, *41*, 324. d) Cracknell, M. E.; Kabli, R. A.; McOmie, J. F. W.; Perry, D. H.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1985**, *1*, 115.
- 16 Djerassi, C.; Engle, R. R.; Bowers, A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1956**, *21*, 1547.
- 17 Chida, N.; Takeoka, J.; Tsutsumi, N.; Ogawa, S.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1995**, *7*, 793.
- 18 Fukuyama, T.; Xu, L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 8449.
- 19 Nickisch, K.; Bittler, D.; Laurent, H.; Losert, W.; Nishino, Y.; Schillinger, E.; Wiechert, R.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1990**, *33*, 509.
- 20 Bienz, von S.; Guggisberg, A.; Wälchli, R.; Hesse, M.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1988**, *71*, 1708.
- 21 Provent, C.; Chautemps, P.; Pierre, J.-L.; *Synth. Commun.* **1995**, *25*, 1907.
- 22 a) F. Barrero, A.; Álvarez-Manzaneda, E. J.; Chahboun, R.; Rodríguez Rivas, A.; Linares Palomino, P.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 6099. b) Akita, H.; Chen, C. Y.; Kato, K.; *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 11011. c) Bhatnagar, S. C.; Caruso, A. J.; Polonsky, J.; *Tetrahedron* **1987**, *43*, 3471. d) Paterson, I.; Laffan, D. D. P.; Rawson, D. J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 1461. e) Ref. 39b.

- 23 a) Baker, R.; Bhaskar Rao, V.; Ravenscroft, P. D.; Swain, C. J.; *Synthesis* **1983**, 7, 572; b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Hwang, C.-K.; Duggan, M. E.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, 111, 6682. c) Friedrich, D.; Bohlmann, F.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, 44, 1369. d) Paquette, L. A.; Ho-Shen Lin, B. P. G.; Coghlan, M. J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, 110, 5818. e) Zhao, S.; Mehta, G.; Helquist, P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, 32, 5753.
- 24 a) Young, R. N.; Champion, E.; Gauthier, J. Y.; Jones, T. R.; Leger, S.; Zamboni, R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, 27, 539. b) Nakazato, A.; Kumagai, T.; Sakagami, K.; Yoshikawa, R.; Suzuki, Y.; Chaki, S.; Ito, H.; Taguchi, T.; Nakanishi, S.; Okuyama, S.; *J. Med. Chem.* **2000**, 43, 4893. c) Zhao, S.; Mehta, G.; Helquist, P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, 32, 5753. d) Nicolaou, K. C.; Hwang, C.-K.; Marron, B. E.; DeFrees, S. A.; Couladouros, E. A.; Abe, Y.; Carroll, P. J.; Snyder, J. P.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, 112, 3040.
- 25 Bhatnagar, S. C.; Caruso, A. J.; Polonsky, J.; *Tetrahedron* **1987**, 43, 3471.
- 26 a) Banerjee, A. K.; Sulbarán de Carrasco, M. C.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1986**, 1, 25. b) Banerjee, A. K.; Hurtado, S. H.; Laya, M. M.; Acevedo, J. C.; Álvarez G., J.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1988**, 4, 931. c) Lohr, S.; De Meijere, A.; *Synlett* **2001**, 4, 489. d) Nakazato, A.; Kumagai, T.; Sakagami, K.; Yoshikawa, R.; Suzuki, Y.; Chaki, S.; Ito, H.; Taguchi, T.; Nakanishi, S.; Okuyama, S.; *J. Med. Chem.* **2000**, 43, 4893. e) Ref. 27b.
- 27 a) East, S. P.; Joullié, M. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, 39, 7211. b) Suzuki, M.; Kawagishi, T.; Yanagisawa, A.; Suzuki, T.; Okamura, N.; Noyori, R.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1988**, 61, 1299. c) Suzuki, M.; Yanagisawa, A.; Noyori, R.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, 110, 4718. d) Djuric', S. W.; Miyano, M.; Clare, M.; Rydzewski, R. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, 28, 299. e) Bartmann, W.; Beck, G.; Jähne, G.; Lerch, V.; Ness, G.; *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1987**, 4, 32.
- 28 a) Ueki, T.; Doe, M.; Tanaka, R.; Morimoto, Y.; Yoshihara, K.; Kinoshita, T.; *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **2001**, 38, 165. b) Tone, H.; Nishi, T.; Oikawa, Y.; Hikota, M.; Yonemitsu, O.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, 28, 4569. c) Marshall, J. A.; Audia, J. E.; Grote, J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1984**, 49, 5277. d) Fukuyama, T.; Nunes, J. J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, 110, 5196. e) Friedrich, D.; Bohlmann, F.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, 44, 1369.
- 29 Grzywacz, P.; Marczak, S.; Wicha, J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, 62, 5293.
- 30 Tone, H.; Nishi, T.; Oikawa, Y.; Hikota, M.; Yonemitsu, O.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, 28, 4569.
- 31 a) Inghardt, T.; Frejd, T.; *Tetrahedron* **1991**, 47, 6483. b) Ihara, M.; Sudow, I.; Fukumoto, K.; Kametani, T.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, 50, 144.
- 32 Ichikawa, Y.; Monden, R.; Kuzuhara, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, 27, 611.
- 33 Rej, R. N.; Glushka, J. N.; Chew, W.; Perlin, A. S.; *Carbohydr. Res.* **1989**, 189, 135.
- 34 Bal, B. S.; Kochhar, K. S.; Pinnick, H. W.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1981**, 46, 1492.
- 35 a) Torii, S.; Inokuchi, T.; Yukawa, T.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, 50, 5875. b) Malanga, C.; Menicagli, R.; Dell'Innocenti, M.; Lardicci, L.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, 28, 239. c) Morikawa, T.; Nishiwaki, T.; Iitaka, Y.; Kobayashi, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, 28, 671. d) Valverde, S.; Herradon, B.; Rabanal, R. M.; Martin-Lomas, M.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1987**, 65, 339. e) Pirrung, M. C.; Thomson, S. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, 53, 227.
- 36 a) Lee, C.-S.; Q. Audelo, M.; Reibenpies, J.; Sulikowski, G. A.; *Tetrahedron* **2002**, 58, 4403. b) Banfi, L.; Guanti, G.; Zannetti, M. T.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, 60, 7870.
- 37 a) White, J. D.; Jayasinghe, L. R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, 29, 2139. b) Berlage, V.; Schmidt, J.; Peters, V.; Welzel, P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, 28, 3091.
- 38 a) Smith, A. L.; Pitsinos, E. N.; Hwang, C.-K.; Mizuno, Y.; Saimoto, H.; Scarlato, G. R.; Suzuki, T.; Nicolaou, K. C.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, 115, 7612. b) Tamura, N.; Natsugari, H.; Kawano, Y.; Matsushita, Y.; Yoshioka, K.; Ochiai, M.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1987**, 35, 996. c) Suemune, H.; Maruoka, H.; Saeki, S.; Sakai, K.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1986**, 34, 4629.
- 39 a) Danishefsky, S. J.; Selnick, H. G.; Zelle, R. E.; DeNinno, M. P.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, 110, 4368. b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Hwang, C.-K.; Duggan, M. E.; Nugiel, D. A.; Abe, Y.; Bal Reddy, K.; DeFrees, S. A.; Reddy, D. R.; Awartani, R. A.; Conley, S. R.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T.; Theodorakis, E. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, 117, 10227. c) Danishefsky, S. J.; Selnick, H. G.; DeNinno, M. P.; Zelle, R. E.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, 109, 1572.

- 40 a) Chida, N.; Takeoka, J.; Tsutsumi, N.; Ogawa, S.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1995**, 7, 793. b) Ref. 39a. c) Ghosh, A. K.; Bilcer, G.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, 41, 1003.
- 41 a) Crimmins, M. T.; Hollis Jr., W. G.; Lever, J. G.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, 28, 3647. b) Hikota, M.; Tone, H.; Horita, K.; Yonemitsu, O.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, 55, 7.
- 42 Cai, D.; Still, W. C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, 53, 4643.
- 43 a) Gössinger, E.; Schwartz, A.; Sereinig, N.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, 56, 2007. b) Rej, R. N.; Glushka, J. N.; Chew, W.; Perlin, A. S.; *Carbohydr. Res.* **1989**, 189, 135.
- 44 a) Herlem, D.; Kervagoret, J.; Yu, D.; Khuong-Huu, F.; Kende, A. S.; *Tetrahedron* **1993**, 49, 607. b) Campbell, A. D.; Raynham, T. M.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **2000**, 19, 3194. c) Carter, R.; Hodgetts, K.; McKenna, J.; Magnus, P.; Wren, S.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, 56, 4367.
- 45 a) Almquist, R. G.; Jennings-White, C.; Chao, W.-R.; Steeger, T.; Wheeler, K.; Rogers, J.; Mitoma, C.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1985**, 28, 1062. b) Danishefsky, S. J.; Selnick, H. G.; DeNinno, M. P.; Zelle, R. E.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, 109, 1572. c) Deslongchamps, P.; Bélanger, A.; Berney, D. J. F.; Borschberg, H.-J.; Brousseau, R.; Doutheau, A.; Durand, R.; Katayama, H.; Lapalme, R.; Leturc, D. M.; Liao, C.-C.; MacLachlan, F. N.; Maffrand, J.-P.; Marazza, F.; Martino, R.; Moreau, C.; Ruest, L.; Saint-Laurent, L.; Saintonge, R.; Soucy, P.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1990**, 68, 127.
- 46 a) Ref. 45c. b) Trost, B. M.; Balkovec, J. M.; K.-T. Mao, M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, 108, 4974.
- 47 a) Almquist, R. G.; Jennings-White, C.; Chao, W.-R.; Steeger, T.; Wheeler, K.; Rogers, J.; Mitoma, C.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1985**, 28, 1062. b) Poupart, M.-A.; Paquette, L. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, 29, 269. c) Bohnstedt, A. C.; Vara Prasad, J. V. N.; Rich, D. H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, 34, 5217.
- 48 a) Alcaraz, C.; Bernabé, M.; *Tetrahedron: Asymm.* **1994**, 5, 1221. b) Hollinshead, S. P.; Nichols, J. B.; Wilson, J. W.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, 59, 6703.
- 49 East, S. P.; Joullié, M. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, 39, 7211.
- 50 Fukuyama, T.; Xu, L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, 115, 8449.
- 51 a) Sheehan, J. C.; Brandt, K. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, 87, 5468. b) Sayo, H.; Michida, T.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1985**, 33, 2541.
- 52 Fringuelli, F.; Pellegrino, R.; Piermatti, O.; Pizzo, F.; *Synth. Commun.* **1994**, 24, 2665.
- 53 Mandai, T.; Mori, K.; Hasegawa, K.; Kawada, M.; Otera, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, 25, 5225.
- 54 a) Yunker, M. B.; Yik-Kai Tam, S.; Hicks, D. R.; Fraser-Reid, B.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1976**, 54, 2411. b) Jarosz, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, 29, 1193. c) Rej, R. N.; Glushka, J. N.; Chew, W.; Perlin, A. S.; *Carbohydr. Res.* **1989**, 189, 135.
- 55 Iriarte, J.; Shoolery, J. N.; Djerassi, C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1962**, 27, 1139.
- 56 a) Martin, H.; Hoffmann, R.; Gassner, A.; Eggert, U.; *Chem. Ber.* **1991**, 124, 2475. b) Zibuck, R.; Streiber, J. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, 54, 4717.
- 57 a) Bienz, S.; Hesse, M.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1987**, 70, 2146. b) Boelle, J.; Schneider, R.; Gerardin, P.; Loubinoux, B.; *Synth. Commun.* **1993**, 23, 2563. c) Kanojia, R. M.; Ohemeng, K. A.; Schwender, C. F.; Barrett, J. F.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, 36, 8553. d) Chenault, H. K.; Yang, J.; Taber, D. F.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, 56, 3673.
- 58 Degnan, A. P.; Meyers, A. I.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, 65, 3503.
- 59 a) Alwarsamy, J. H.; Stewart, K. R.; Watt, D. S.; *Synth. Commun.* **1989**, 19, 1091. b) Nickisch, K.; Bittler, D.; Laurent, H.; Losert, W.; Nishino, Y.; Schillinger, E.; Wiechert, R.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1990**, 33, 509.
- 60 For some examples of successful oxidations of alcohols to aldehydes with Jones oxidation see: a) F. Barrero, A.; Altarejos, J.; Álvarez-Manzaneda, E. J.; Ramos, J. M.; Salido, S.; *Tetrahedron* **1993**, 49, 6251. b) Fringuelli, F.; Pellegrino, R.; Piermatti, O.; Pizzo, F.; *Synth. Commun.* **1994**, 24, 2665.
- 61 Sun, M.; Deng, Y.; Batyрева, E.; Sha, W.; Salomon, R. G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, 67, 3575.
- 62 Ihara, M.; Taniguchi, N.; Fukumoto, K.; Kametani, T.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1987**, 19, 1438.
- 63 Marei, A. A.; Raphael, R. A.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, II, 2624.

- 64 a) Guarna, A.; Occhiato, E. G.; Machetti, F.; Scarpi, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 4111.
 b) Bienz, von S.; Guggisberg, A.; Wälchli, R.; Hesse, M.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1988**, *71*, 1708.
 c) Haffner, C.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 1349.
- 65 Tanaka, M.; Kiyoshi, T.; Koga, K.; *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 12829.
- 66 Tufariello, J. J.; Pinto, D. J. P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28(45)*, 5485.
- 67 Roček, J.; Ng, C.-S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1973**, *38*, 3348.
- 68 a) Kern, J. M.; Federlin, P.; *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1972**, *11*, 4379. b) Greenzaid, P.; Luz, Z.; Samuel, D.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 749.
- 69 Le Hénaff, P.; *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1968**, *11*, 4689.
- 70 Lindsay Smith, J. R.; Norman, R. O. C.; Stillings, M. R.; *Tetrahedron* **1978**, *34*, 1381.
- 71 Durst, T.; Kozma, E. C.; Charlton, J. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 4829.
- 72 Watanabe, M.; Yoshikoshi, A.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1987**, *8*, 1793.
- 73 Vogel, A. I. *Practical Organic Chemistry*, 3rd ed.; Longman: London, p. 318.
- 74 Veliev, M. G.; Guseinov, M. M.; *Synthesis* **1980**, 461.
- 75 Holloway, F.; Cohen, M.; Westheimer, F. H.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1951**, *73*, 65.
- 76 Mosher, W.; Whitmore, F.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1948**, *70*, 2544.
- 77 Pinto, A. C.; Rosângela de A., E.; Camargo, W.; *Tetrahedron* **1993**, *49*, 5039.
- 78 Starratt, A. N.; Ward, E. W. B.; Stothers, J. B.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1989**, *67*, 417.
- 79 Suginome, H.; Ohue, Y.; Orito, K.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1987**, *6*, 1247.
- 80 Shimazaki, M.; Okazaki, F.; Nakajima, F.; Ishikawa, T.; Ohta, A.; *Heterocycles* **1993**, *36*, 1823.
- 81 Böhrer, G.; Knorr, R.; Böhrer, P.; Schubert, B.; *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1997**, *1*, 193.
- 82 Chida, N.; Takeoka, J.; Tsutsumi, N.; Ogawa, S.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1995**, *7*, 793.
- 83 Warrenner, R. N.; Lee, T. S.; Russell, R. A.; Paddon-Row, M. N.; *Aust. J. Chem.* **1978**, *31*, 1113.
- 84 Glotter, E.; Greenfield, S.; Lavie, D.; *J. Chem. Soc. (C)* **1968**, 1646.
- 85 Wright, J.; Drtina, G. J.; Roberts, R. A.; Paquette, L. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 5806.
- 86 Dauben, W. G.; Michno, D. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 682.
- 87 Nagaoka, H.; Iguchi, K.; Miyakoshi, T.; Yamada, N.; Yamada, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 223.

1.3. Collins Oxidation



When chromium trioxide is added over pyridine, the complex $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ is formed.⁸⁸ This complex, which is soluble in organic solvents, is very efficient in the oxidation of alcohols to ketones and aldehydes. On the other hand, as the complex $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ is highly hygroscopic and can explode during its preparation or in contact with organic matter, a number of modifications were made in order to use it in the oxidation of alcohols with the greater safety and experimental simplicity. Thus, in 1953 Sarett *et al.*⁸⁹ published that adding chromium trioxide to excess of pyridine results in the formation of a solution of $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ in pyridine—the so-called *Sarett reagent*—which is efficient for the transformation of alcohols into aldehydes and ketones. In variance with Jones oxidation, the use of the $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ complex allows the

easy oxidation of primary alcohols to aldehydes with little risk of over-oxidation to carboxylic acids. In 1968, Collins⁹⁰ first used pre-formed $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 for the oxidation of alcohols, which became known as *Collins oxidation*. This method—although suffering from the inconvenience of handling highly hygroscopic $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ —possesses the advantage over Sarett reagent of avoiding the use of pyridine as solvent, which may interfere with base-sensitive substrates. In 1970, Ratcliffe and Rodehorst⁹¹ described the *in situ* preparation of the complex $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ by adding one equivalent of CrO_3 over a solution of two equivalents of pyridine in CH_2Cl_2 . This variant of the Collins protocol, as it avoids the dangerous isolation and handling of the very hygroscopic complex $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$, is nowadays greatly preferred.

Very often, Celite[®] is added to the Collins solution during the oxidation of alcohols in order to prevent loss of product in chromium precipitates.⁹² The addition of acetic anhydride to the Collins solution, first reported by Garegg and Samuelsson,⁹³ allows a very mild oxidation of alcohols that is particularly suited for sugars and nucleosides. Acetic anhydride helps preventing a β -elimination that may occur during the oxidation of alcohols containing heteroatoms at the β -position.⁹⁴

1.3.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols to Aldehydes and Ketones by Collins Oxidation

One equivalent of CrO_3 ^a (MW = 100.0) is slowly added over a 0.2–2.0 M solution of 2–2.03 equivalents of dry pyridine (MW = 79.1) in dry CH_2Cl_2 .^b

Very often, ca. 2–7 g of dry Celite[®] per g of CrO_3 are added—normally before the preparation of the $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ complex—in order to avoid loss of product on the chromium precipitates during the work-up. Very frequently, ca. 2–5 equivalents of acetic anhydride (MW = 102.1) are added—normally after the preparation of the $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ complex—in order to facilitate a milder reaction, particularly in sugars and nucleosides. It is not common to add both Celite[®] and acetic anhydride in the same reaction.

After ca. 15–20 min, a 0.02–0.70 M solution of the alcohol in dry CH_2Cl_2 is slowly added. Normally, between 4 and 10 equivalents of the $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ complex are used per equivalent of alcohol. When most of the starting alcohol is consumed,^c two alternative work-ups can be carried out:^d

Work-up A:


The reaction mixture is filtered through a pad of silica, Florisil[®] or Celite[®]. The filtrate is washed with an organic solvent, like Et_2O ,

EtOAc or CH_2Cl_2 . The collected organic phases may be optionally washed with diluted HCl, diluted aqueous base, brine or saturated CuSO_4 solution. The resulting organic solution is dried (Na_2SO_4 or MgSO_4) and concentrated.

Work-up B:


The reaction mixture is sequentially washed with NaOH (5%), HCl (5%), NaHCO_3 (5%) and brine. Adding some ether can help the fractioning. Optionally, the organic phase can be subsequently filtered through Florisil[®]. The organic phase is dried (Na_2SO_4 or MgSO_4) and concentrated.

- ^a As CrO_3 is hygroscopic, care must be taken to avoid contamination with atmospheric moisture. Water must be avoided from the reaction mixture, for instance, with a CaCl_2 tube or with a blanket of an inert gas.
- ^b The complete synthetic operations till the work-up can be made at room temperature or at 0°C . Low temperature is particularly advisable on multigram reactions, at least during the initial mixing operations, in which greater exotherms are expected.
- ^c It takes normally between 2 min and overnight.
- ^d A quick quenching of the oxidation can be done by addition of aqueous Na_2SO_3 .






Ref. 95

A detailed description of a Collins oxidation on a multigram scale is provided.




Ref. 94

Failure to add acetic anhydride causes the elimination of thymine, resulting in the formation of an enone.




Collins reagent is used for the introduction of carbonyl groups at allylic positions.⁹⁹ This transformation of alkenes into enones is much slower than the oxidation of alcohols, requiring a great excess of $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ and prolonged reaction times. Consequently, alcohols can be oxidized to aldehydes and ketones by Collins reagent without interference from alkenes.



Collins reagent can transform tertiary allylic alcohols into rearranged enones,¹⁰¹ similar to PCC, which is routinely used for this purpose (see page 55). As this reaction is normally slower than the oxidation of primary and secondary alcohols, these can be oxidized with Collins reagent with no interference from tertiary allylic alcohols present in the same molecule.¹⁰²

1.3.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Collins Oxidation

Protecting groups, including very labile ones, withstand the action of Collins reagent. The very labile primary TMS ethers are transformed into the corresponding aldehydes.¹⁰³ As secondary and tertiary TMS ethers resist the action of Collins reagent, a protocol involving per-silylation followed by Collins oxidation allows the selective oxidation of primary alcohols in the presence of secondary ones.¹⁰⁴




Although there are many published examples of silyl ethers resisting the action of Collins reagent, there is one report in which a diphenylmethylsilyl (DPMS) ether is transformed into the corresponding aldehyde by $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ in CH_2Cl_2 .¹⁰⁵

Most functional groups resist Collins oxidation, including the oxidation-sensitive sulfides¹⁰⁶ and thioacetals.¹⁰³ Although Collins reagent can transform alkenes into enones⁹⁹ and alkynes into inones,¹⁰⁷ these reactions are slower than the oxidation of alcohols into aldehydes or ketones. Therefore, alcohols can be usually oxidized with no interference from alkenes¹⁰⁸ or alkynes.¹⁰⁹


Collins reagent is able to transform benzyl ethers into ketones and benzoates.¹¹⁰ Normally, this causes no interference with the oxidation of alcohols, because the oxidation of benzyl ethers demands more drastic conditions.

Selenides are oxidized to selenoxides that normally suffer an *in situ* elimination.¹¹¹ Amines are destroyed,¹¹² although its protection as amides or carbamates prevents the reaction with Collins reagent. Lactols are very quickly oxidized to lactones,¹¹³ unless a very great steric hindrance is present.¹¹⁴ Tertiary lactols suffer oxidation via its opened hydroxyketone form.¹¹⁵ The oxidation of tertiary lactols may be slow, so that an alcohol can be selectively oxidized.



1.3.3. Side Reactions


Similar to Jones reagent, Collins reagent can produce a hydroxy directed epoxidation of allylic alcohols. This side-reaction only occurs in a limited number of allylic alcohols, most of them being oxidized uneventfully to the corresponding enones.¹¹⁷



Ref. 118

The expected enone is obtained in 40% yield. A 15% yield of the product, resulting from hydroxy-directed epoxidation followed by oxidation to ketone, is obtained. A third product, obtained in 30% yield, can be explained by the equilibration of the initially formed allylic chromate ester with an isomeric chromate ester that directs the epoxidation of an alkene, giving an epoxy alcohol that is further oxidized to an epoxy ketone.

Sometimes, alcohols can direct the oxidation of alkenes, resulting in highly stereoselective formation of tetrahydrofurans by the action of Collins reagent. Thus, 1,2-diols can form cyclic chromate esters that can intramolecularly oxidize alkenes, positioned so as to allow the operation of five-membered cyclic transition states.¹¹⁹




After oxidations with $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}/\text{Ac}_2\text{O}$, sometimes compounds possessing strongly coordinating sites, for example nitrogen atoms containing free electron pairs, form complexes with residual chromium salts that can hinder efficient chromatographic purification. Such complexation causes broadening of NMR signals and prevents the corresponding compounds from having sharp melting points and right combustion analyses. A straightforward correlation between complexation tendency and nitrogen basicity may not be present.¹²⁰

Section 1.3. References

- 88 Sisler, H. H.; Bush, J. D.; Accountius, O. E.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1948**, *70*, 3827.
- 89 Poos, G. I.; Arth, G. E.; Beyler, R. E.; Sarett, L. H.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, *75*, 422.
- 90 Collins, J. C.; Hess, W. W.; Frank, F. J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1968**, 3363.
- 91 Ratcliffe, R.; Rodehorst, R.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1970**, *35*, 4000.
- 92 Evans, D. A.; Dow, R. L.; Shih, T. L.; Takacs, J. M.; Zahler, R.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 5290.
- 93 Garegg, P. J.; Samuelsson, B.; *Carbohydr. Res.* **1978**, *67*, 267.
- 94 Hansske, F.; Robins, M. J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 1589.
- 95 Ratcliffe, R. W.; *Org. Synt.* **1976**, *55*, 84.
- 96 Delpéch, B.; Calvo, D.; Lett, R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 1019.
- 97 Paquette, L. A.; Wiedeman, P. E.; Bulman-Page, P. C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 1441.
- 98 Grieco, P. A.; Inanaga, J.; Lueng Sham, H.; Sasaki, S.; Kim, H.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1987**, *13*, 1044.
- 99 a) Colvin, E. W.; Cameron, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 493. b) Hua, D. H.; Venkataraman, S.; Ostrander, R. A.; Sinai, G.-Z.; McCann, P. J.; Coulter, M. J.; Xu, M. R.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 507. c) Kerwin, S. M.; Paul, A. G.; Heathcock, C. H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 1686.
- 100 Sasaki, M.; Murae, T.; Matsuo, H.; Konosu, T.; Tanaka, N.; Yagi, K.; Usuki, Y.; Takahashi, T.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1988**, *61*, 3587.
- 101 a) Denmark, S. E.; Habermas, K. L.; Hite, G. A.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1988**, *71*, 168. b) Ref. 86.
- 102 Cuomo, J.; *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1985**, *33*, 717.
- 103 Brackhagen, M.; Boye, H.; Vogel, C.; *J. Carbohydr. Chem.* **2001**, *20*, 31.
- 104 a) Mahrwald, R.; Theil, F.; Schick, H.; Schwarz, S.; Palme, H.-J.; Weber, G.; *J. Prakt. Chem.* **1986**, *328*, 777. b) Mahrwald, R.; Theil, F.; Schick, H.; Palme, H.-J.; Nowak, H.; Weber, G.; Schwarz, S.; *Synthesis* **1987**, 1012.
- 105 Denmark, S. E.; Hammer, R. P.; Weber, E. J.; Habermas, K. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *51*, 165.
- 106 a) Nishimura, S.; Yasuda, N.; Sasaki, H.; Matsumoto, Y.; Kamimura, T.; Sakane, K.; Takaya, T.; *J. Antibiot.* **1989**, *42*, 159. b) Lümann, J.; Hoppe, D.; Jones, P. G.; Fittschen, C.; Sheldrick, G. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 3595. c) Guest, A. W.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 3049.
- 107 Dreyfus, C. and H.; Smith III, A. B.; Levenberg, P. A.; Suits, J. Z.; *Synthesis* **1986**, *3*, 184.
- 108 a) Siddiqui, A. U.; Siddiqui, A. H.; Ramaiah, T. S.; *J. Ind. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *70*, 255. b) Hirukawa, T.; Shudo, T.; Kato, T.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1993**, *2*, 217. c) Ref. 100.
- 109 a) Ref. 96. b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Chung, Y. S.; Hernández, P. E.; Taffer, I. M.; Zipkin, R. E.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 1881. c) Hiemstra, H.; Fortgens, H. P.; Stegenga, S.; Speckamp, W. N.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 3151.
- 110 Bal, B. S.; Kochhar, K. S.; Pinnick, H. W.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1981**, *46*, 1492.
- 111 Paquette, L. A.; Ladouceur, G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 4278.
- 112 Funk, R. L.; Bolton, G. L.; Umstead Daggett, J.; Hansen, M. M.; Horcher, L. H. M.; *Tetrahedron* **1985**, *41*, 3479.
- 113 a) Ref. 46b. b) Anderson, R. C.; Fraser-Reid, B.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 4781. c) Kawasaki, M.; Matsuda, F.; Terashima, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 2693.
- 114 Miki, S.; Yoshida, M.; Yoshida, Z.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 103.
- 115 Evans, D. A.; Bender, S. L.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 799.
- 116 Boeckman Jr., R. K.; Tagat, J. R.; Johnston, B. H.; *Heterocycles* **1987**, *25*, 33.
- 117 a) Ref. 97. b) Shing, T. K. M.; Jiang, Q.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 7059. c) Holum, J. R.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1961**, *26*, 4814.
- 118 Sundararaman, P.; Herz, W.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 813.
- 119 Walba, D. M.; Stoudt, G. S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, *23*, 727.
- 120 Hansske, F.; Madej, D.; Robins, M. J.; *Tetrahedron* **1984**, *40*, 125.

1.4. Pyridinium Dichromate (PDC)



The slow addition of one equivalent of pyridine on a concentrated aqueous solution of CrO_3 leads to the formation of pyridinium dichromate (PDC), which can be precipitated by the addition of 4 volumes of acetone per volume of water and cooling at -20°C . Filtration of the precipitate, washing with acetone and drying under vacuum leads to PDC as orange crystals.¹²¹ An explosion can occur during the preparation of PDC. This can be avoided following these guidelines:¹²² i) chromium trioxide must be completely dissolved in the concentrated aqueous solution; ii) the temperature must be kept below 25°C during mixing of the reagents.

The use of PDC for the oxidation of alcohols was first described in a brief communication by Coates and Corrigan in 1969.¹²³ Nevertheless, full attention of the synthetic community for this useful reagent was achieved by the publication of Corey and Schmidt in 1979, in which they described the potential of this reagent.¹²¹

PDC exists in the form of stable bright-orange crystals that remain unaltered by manipulation in the open air. Its lack of hydrophylicity and almost neutral properties facilitate its handling and allows the selective oxidation of alcohols in the presence of very sensitive functional groups.

Although the presence of pyridinium cations makes PDC slightly acidic, very acid sensitive functionalities are able to withstand the action of PDC. Some sodium acetate can be added as a buffer for a completely acid-free oxidation.¹²⁴

Normally, the oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes or ketones is carried out using a suspension of PDC in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature. Other organic solvents, such as EtOAc, MeCN, benzene or CHCl_3 , are occasionally used.

DMF, which is very efficient in dissolving PDC, or a mixture of DMF and CH_2Cl_2 , can also be used as solvent, regardless of the fact that PDF may promote the over-oxidation of certain alcohols into acids, something that may happen even in the absence of added water. In fact, PDC in DMF is very effective for the oxidation of certain primary alcohols into carboxylic acids.¹²¹ This oxidation into carboxylic acids succeeds when the intermediate aldehyde equilibrates with a liberal proportion of hydrate; that is, when the intermediate aldehyde belongs to the aliphatic kind and is not highly hindered. The water necessary for the formation of the intermediate aldehyde hydrate may proceed from the decomposition of PDC. Regardless of the problem of over-oxidation, the use of DMF as solvent, contrary to the more common CH_2Cl_2 , can offer some advantages in the obtention of ketones or uncomplicated aldehydes because of its superior solubilizing power.

Mechanistic evidences show that PDC, similar to other chromium-based oxidants, operates via an intermediate chromate ester that evolves to a carbonyl compound in the rate-determining step.¹²⁵

Sometimes, oxidations with PDC can be rather slow. However, the following chemicals can be added in order to achieve a synthetically useful acceleration of this oxidation.

- Molecular sieves (MS)
- An organic acid
- Acetic anhydride

The addition of molecular sieves may produce a substantial acceleration of the oxidation with PDC. Apparently, this acceleration is unrelated with its water-scavenging nature, although best results are obtained when thoroughly activated material is used. Best results are obtained when 3 Å molecular sieves are used.¹²⁶

Acetic acid,¹²⁷ pyridinium trifluoroacetate (PTFA)¹²¹ or pyridinium tosylate (PPTS)¹²⁸ are often added in order to speed up PDC oxidations. Acetic acid, which is described as superior^{127a} and very easy to remove, is used most often. Although this precludes the advantages of using an almost neutral PDC medium, it provides a very useful substantial acceleration of the oxidations. The combined employment of molecular sieves and an acid can provide a synergistic accelerating effect.^{127a}

Acetic anhydride also provides a substantial acceleration of PDC oxidations, which is particularly useful in sugar and nucleoside chemistry.¹²⁹

Occasionally, the addition of accelerants may be counterproductive because they may lead to quick unselective oxidations.^{127b} In some difficult substrates, good yields are achieved when a balance is reached by the moderate use of accelerants, and some exploratory chemistry is made employing less common solvents, like EtOAc.^{127b}

The following experimental tips help to achieve best yields in oxidations of alcohols to aldehydes and ketones with PDC.^{127a}

- Finely ground PDC gives best results.
- Although commercial PDC operates in a satisfactory way in most reactions, some cases are reported in which success depends on using freshly prepared PDC.¹³⁰
- Methylene chloride must be dry. Best results are obtained when it is distilled from PDC and stored over molecular sieves.^{127a}
- When molecular sieves are added, best results are obtained using 3 Å molecular sieves freshly activated by heating at ca. 320°C during 5 h. Alternatively, they can be stored at 80°C after activation and re-activated for half an hour just before use. Finely ground molecular sieves give best results.
- When acetic acid is added, it must be very dry.

1.4.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols to Aldehydes and Ketones with Pyridinium Dichromate (PDC)

Approximately, 1.1–7 equivalents of solid PDC^a are added over a ca. 0.01–0.30 M solution of the alcohol in dry methylene chloride.^b The resulting suspension is stirred at room temperature^c till most of the starting compound is consumed.^d

Approximately, 0.5–4 g of activated^e molecular sieves—preferably finely ground 3 Å molecular sieves—per mmol of alcohol can be added in order to accelerate the oxidation. The reaction can also be accelerated by the addition of ca. 0.9–4 equivalents of dry AcOH^f or 0.75–12 equivalents of acetic anhydride. The simultaneous use of molecular sieves and an organic acid has a synergistic accelerating effect.^g The addition of ca. 0.5–2.50 g of Celite[®] or Florisil[®] per mmol of alcohol can facilitate the work-up. Celite[®] or Florisil[®] can be added either at the beginning of the oxidation or ca. 30 min before the work-up.

Two alternative work-ups can be carried out.

Work-up A:

This is the most common work-up. Diethyl ether is added and the precipitate is decanted and washed with ether. The collected organic phases are filtered through a pad of Celite[®], silica or Florisil[®]. Alternatively, decanting the precipitate can be avoided and the mixture, resulting from the addition of ether, can be directly filtered through a pad of silica, Celite[®] or Florisil[®]. When the reaction is carried out under dilute conditions, the addition of diethyl ether can be avoided. The organic phase is concentrated giving a residue that may need chromatographic purification. When the reaction is carried out in the presence of added Celite[®] or Florisil[®], a similar work-up is made in which the Celite[®] or Florisil[®] is filtered, and no extra filtration through a pad of silica, Celite[®] or Florisil[®] is normally needed.

Work-up B:


Diethyl ether is added and the resulting mixture is washed with aqueous phases. The aqueous phases used can be: plain water, aqueous saturated NaHCO₃ solution, diluted hydrochloric acid or brine. The collected organic phases are dried (MgSO₄ or NaSO₄) and concentrated, giving a residue that may need chromatographic purification.

^a It may be advisable, particularly on multigram scale reactions, to cool down (ca. 10°C) the reaction mixture during the addition of some components in order to prevent exotherms, which are more likely during the addition of PDC, molecular sieves or the acid accelerant.

^b Occasionally, other apolar organic solvents, like EtOAc, MeCN, benzene or CHCl₃, are used. Some dry DMF may be added to increase the solubility of polar alcohols. DMF may also be the only solvent used. When DMF is employed, over-oxidation of primary


alcohols to carboxylic acids may occur, particularly when the intermediate aldehyde equilibrates with a substantial percentage of hydrate (see page 2).

- ^c It may be advisable to carry out the oxidation at 0°C when sensitive alcohols, able to be oxidized very quickly, are employed. Alternatively, it can be advisable to accelerate the reaction by heating at 40°C when robust alcohols are oxidized.
- ^d It usually takes about 0.5–24 h. Very often, the reaction is very slow unless accelerants are added.
- ^e Best results are obtained when molecular sieves are activated by heating at ca. 320°C, at least during 5 h just prior to use. Activated molecular sieves can also be stored at 80°C and re-activated by heating at ca. 320°C during half an hour before use.
- ^f Other organic acids, such as pyridinium trifluoroacetate or pyridinium tosylate, can also be used, although acetic acid is very easy to eliminate during work-up, and is reported to give best results in some cases.
- ^g When acetic anhydride is used as accelerant, no other accelerants are added.




Ref. 131

A detailed description of a multigram scale reaction is provided.




Ref. 132

A PDC oxidation, followed by removal of the chromium salts with Florisil[®], gives a good yield of an unstable aldehyde. Attempted oxidation using Swern conditions met the problem of decomposition of the aldehyde during column chromatography.




Ref. 133

Although an oxidation with Swern reagent gives a better yield, an oxidation with PDC is preferred because it is easier to carry out. Swern oxidation produces ketone contaminated with sulfur-containing impurities, which may interfere with a subsequent hydrogenation.




Ref. 134

In the absence of molecular sieves, the oxidation lasts 12 h and no quantitative yield is obtained.




Ref. 127a

In the absence of molecular sieves, the reaction needs 40 °C and 2.5 h, giving a 70% yield.
In the absence of both molecular sieves and acetic acid, the reaction takes 3 d at 40 °C and provides a 70% yield.



Ref. 135

The addition of Ac₂O allows a smooth high-yielding reaction.



Ref. 127b

Molecular sieves are not added because they promote a quick, non-selective oxidation.
The addition of acetic acid is needed for a smooth and complete oxidation.

1.4.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oxidation with PDC


The near neutral character of PDC makes almost all protecting groups, including very acid sensitive ones, resistant to its action.

PDC in DMF is able to perform alcohol desilylation and *in situ* oxidation.¹³⁶

TMS and TBS ethers can be cleaved and oxidized to aldehydes or ketones in a one-pot reaction, employing a standard PDC oxidation in which trimethylsilyl chloride is added.¹³⁸


Although aldehydes can be oxidized to acids by PDC, this reaction normally succeeds only with aldehydes in equilibrium with a substantial proportion of hydrate, and useful reaction speed normally demands the use of DMF as solvent.¹²¹ Sometimes, aldehydes possessing electron withdrawing groups at the α position, which strongly shift the hydration equilibrium to the aldehyde hydrate, can be quickly oxidized to acids even in dry CH_2Cl_2 ; the water most probably being originated from the decomposition of PDC.¹³⁹

PDC is able to oxidize allylic positions, resulting in the transformation of alkenes into enones. This reaction normally demands heating and is best performed in solvents other than CH_2Cl_2 .¹⁴⁰ Very often, *t*-butyl hydroperoxide is added.¹⁴¹ When a standard procedure for the oxidation of alcohols with PDC is employed, normally no interference with alkenes occurs.




Lactols are easily oxidized to lactones by PDC, under the same standard conditions used for the oxidation of alcohols into aldehydes and ketones. Cases are reported in which a lactol is transformed into a lactone in the presence of an unreacting alcohol,¹⁴³ and also conversely where an alcohol is selectively oxidized in the presence of an unreacting lactol.¹⁴⁴

Lactols derived from hydroxyketones cannot be oxidized to lactones. Theoretically, they could be oxidized to dicarbonyl compounds via the minor hydroxyketone equilibrating with the lactol. In practice, this reaction is usually so slow as to allow the selective oxidation of alcohols with PDC, in the presence of lactols derived from hydroxyketones.




Although primary and secondary amines are destroyed by PDC, hindered secondary amines can resist the action of PDC long enough to allow selective oxidation of alcohols.¹⁴⁶



Normally, alcohols can be selectively oxidized with PDC in the presence of tertiary amines.¹⁴⁸ Although *N*-methyl tertiary amines are transformed into formamides by PDC,¹⁴⁹ this reaction is usually slow enough so that selective oxidation of alcohols with PDC can be possible.

Nevertheless, there is one report on the selective transformation of an electron-rich aromatic *N*-methyl tertiary amine into a formamide in the presence of a primary alcohol.¹⁵⁰


N-Methyl aromatic amines can suffer oxidation by PDC, giving an immonium ion that can be trapped intramolecularly by a neighbouring alcohol.




There is one report in which sulfides are oxidized by PDC in aqueous acetic acid; however normally the oxidation of alcohols is quicker, so that selective oxidation of alcohols with PDC is possible in the presence of sulfur containing compounds, such as thiophenes,¹⁵³ aryl sulfides,¹⁵⁴ alkyl sulfides¹⁵⁵ and dithioacetals.¹⁵⁶

Nitrocompounds resist the action of PDC during the oxidation of alcohols.¹⁵⁷ On rare occasions, PDC can promote the attack of nucleophiles on nitro groups, in a similar manner to the one observed with Jones reagent (see page 10).


Tertiary allylic alcohols are transformed into transposed enones by PDC under mild conditions.¹⁵⁸




Nevertheless, normally it is possible to selectively oxidize primary and secondary alcohols with PDC without affecting tertiary allylic alcohols.¹⁵⁹




Sometimes, tertiary allylic alcohols interfere with the oxidation of primary and secondary alcohols with PDC, causing low-yielding transformations into the desired aldehydes and ketones.¹⁶¹ Secondary allylic alcohols occasionally suffer oxidative transposition to enones rather than a direct oxidation.¹⁶²




PDC has a lesser tendency to effect oxidative transposition of allylic alcohols than other chromium-based reagents.¹⁶³



Although oxidation of homoallylic alcohols with PDC normally leads uneventfully to the desired β,γ -unsaturated carbonyl compound,¹⁶⁴ in some cases complex mixtures are obtained.¹⁶⁵ It is quite remarkable that oxidations of homoallylic alcohols with PDC result, only quite exceptionally, in migration of the alkene into conjugation with the resulting carbonyl compound,¹⁶⁶ even in cases where such migration would be greatly favoured by thermodynamics.¹⁶⁷




Very often, when the treatment of a 1,4- or a 1,5-diol with PDC leads to the initial formation of a hydroxyaldehyde that can equilibrate with a cyclic hemiacetal, the latter is further oxidized to a lactone.¹⁶⁸



Ref. 169


The expected hydroxyaldehyde is obtained accompanied by a lactone, resulting from the oxidation of a lactol equilibrating with the hydroxyaldehyde.



Ref. 170

One of the alcohols is oxidized to an aldehyde, which equilibrates with a lactol that is further oxidized to a lactone.


No lactone formation occurs when the intermediate lactol is disfavoured by geometric constraints.¹⁷¹



Ref. 172

No further oxidation to lactone occurs for the resulting hydroxyaldehyde is not able to equilibrate with a substantial amount of lactol, that would have to exist in a very unfavourable chair conformation containing a bridgehead alkene.


Sometimes, an uneventful oxidation to dicarbonyl compounds may succeed even when an intermediate lactol looks very favourable.




Ref. 153a

An uneventful oxidation to a dialdehyde happens regardless of the intermediacy of a hydroxyaldehyde, that would be expected to equilibrate with a substantial proportion of hemiacetal.

When the formation of the lactone is purposefully looked at, DMF that promotes the oxidation of primary alcohols in carboxylic acids can be used as solvent in PDC oxidations. The resulting hydroxycarboxylic acid would cyclize to a lactone if favoured.¹⁷³




Lactone formation can happen even resulting in the generation of seven-membered lactones, which are usually less favoured than five or six-membered lactones.





1.4.3. Side Reactions


Similar to other chromium-based oxidants, the action of PDC on alcohols, bearing substituents at the α position and able to support stable carbocations, may result in a carbon-carbon bond breakage from the intermediate chromium ester.




This explains, for example, the tendency of some 1,2-diols to suffer oxidative carbon-carbon bond breakage under the action of PDC. Thus, although many 1,2-diols can be uneventfully oxidized to α -hydroxyketones with PDC,¹⁷⁶ very often a cleavage of a carbon-carbon bond occurs, resulting in two carbonyl functionalities.¹⁷⁷ Vicinal tertiary diols, sometimes, are smoothly oxidized to diketones by PDC.¹⁷⁸




Because of the stabilization of carbocations on α to oxygen atoms, fragmentation can occur in β -alkoxyalcohols via intermediates, similar to the ones resulting from fragmentation of 1,2-diols. In variance to the cations originated from 1,2-diols that normally evolve to ketones by deprotonation, cations originated from β -alkoxyalcohols tend to evolve to esters by oxidation.¹⁸⁰ This further oxidation can be explained by the trapping of these cations with dichromate, resulting in a chromate ester that suffers fragmentation to an ester.




A very similar fragmentation can occur in alcohols possessing a nitrogen atom at the β -position.




Fragmentation of chromate esters may be also driven by the formation of stable allylic¹⁸² or benzylic¹⁸³ cations.




Many other PDC-induced fragmentations can be explained by an alternative mechanism involving a normal oxidation to an aldehyde or ketone, followed by the cleavage of the enol tautomer by PDC.^{183a}



It is important to stress the fact that no fragmentation needs to occur wherever a stable carbocation can be formed. In fact, there are plenty of reports of successful oxidations of alcohols with PDC, in which no fragmentation happens regardless of the potential formation of very stable carbocations via carbon-carbon bond breakages.¹⁸⁴





Sometimes, treatment of primary alcohols with PDC leads to the formation of dimeric esters¹⁸⁶ arising from the oxidation of acyclic hemiacetals, formed by reaction of the starting alcohol with an intermediate aldehyde.



This oxidative dimerization can be minimized by increasing the dilution and adjusting the use of accelerants.¹⁸⁵ Alcohols producing aldehydes, which equilibrate with a substantial proportion of hydrate, tend to be very prone to this side reaction. In fact, the reported examples¹⁸⁶ of this side reaction involve intermediate aldehydes possessing an alkoxy group at the α position, which greatly activates aldehydes to hydration or to hemiacetal

formation by reaction with alcohols. The use of PCC, instead of PDC (see page 74), may help to minimize this side reaction.^{186c}

Some examples of further non-oxidative transformations suffered *in situ* by aldehydes and ketones, obtained by PDC oxidation, are listed below.



Section 1.4. References


- 121 Corey, E. J.; Schmidt, G.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979**, 5, 399.
- 122 Salmon, J.; *Chem.Br.* **1982**, 25, 703.
- 123 Coates, W. M.; Corrigan, J. R.; *Chem. and Ind.* **1969**, 1594.
- 124 a) Paquette, L. A.; Combrink, K. D.; Elmore, S. W.; Zhao, M.; *Helv.Chim.Acta* **1992**, 75, 1772. b) White, J. D.; Choi, Y.; *Org.Lett.* **2000**, 2, 2373. c) Mizutani, T.; Honzawa, S.; Tosaki, S.-ya; Shibasaki, M.; *Angew.Chem.Int.Ed.* **2002**, 41, 4680. d) Sharma, G. V. M.; Rao Vepachedu, S.; *Tetrahedron* **1991**, 47, 519.
- 125 a) Karunakaran, C.; Suresh, S.; *J.Chem.Res.(S)* **2000**, 114. b) Kabilan, S.; Girija, R.; Reis, J. C. R.; Segurado, M. A. P.; Gomes de Oliveira, J. D.; *J.Chem.Soc., Perkin Trans. II* **2002**, 6, 1151.

- 126 Herscovici, J.; Egron, M.-J.; Antonakis, K.; *J.Chem.Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1982**, 1967.
- 127 a) Czernecki, S.; Georgoulis, C.; Stevens, C. L.; Vijayakumaran, K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, 26, 1699. b) Czernecki, S.; Vijayakumaran, K.; Ville, G.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1986**, 51, 5472. c) Hansen, A.; Tagmose, T. M.; *Tetrahedron* **1997**, 53, 697.
- 128 a) Torneiro, M.; Yagamare, F.; Castedo, L.; Mouriño, A.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1997**, 62, 6344. b) Sarandeses, L. A.; Vallés, M. J.; Castedo, L.; Mouriño, A.; *Tetrahedron* **1993**, 49, 731. c) Varela, C.; Nilsson, K.; Torneiro, M.; Mouriño, A.; *Helv.Chim.Acta* **2002**, 85, 3251.
- 129 a) Kim, J. N.; Ryu, E. K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, 33, 3141. b) Björnsne, M.; Classon, B.; Kvarnström, I.; Samuelsson, B.; *Tetrahedron* **1993**, 49, 8637. c) Andersson, F.; Samuelsson, P.; *Carbohydr.Res.* **1984**, 129, C1.
- 130 Rayner, C. M.; Astles, P. C.; Paquette, L. A.; *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **1992**, 114, 3926.
- 131 Lee, T. V.; Porter, J. R.; *Org.Synth.Coll. IX*, 643.
- 132 Moglioni, A. G.; García-Expósito, E.; Aguado, G. P.; Parella, T.; Branchadell, V.; Moltrasio, G. Y.; Ortuño, R. M.; *J.Org.Chem.* **2000**, 65, 3934.
- 133 Hansen, A.; Tagmose, T. M.; *Tetrahedron* **1997**, 53, 697.
- 134 Herscovici, J.; Antonakis, K.; *J.Chem.Soc., Chem.Comm.* **1980**, 561.
- 135 Hansson, T. G.; Plobeck, N. A.; *Tetrahedron* **1995**, 51, 11319.
- 136 Hanessian, S.; Therrien, E.; Granberg, K.; Nilsson, I.; *Biorg.Med.Chem.Lett.* **2002**, 12, 2907.
- 137 Denmark, S. E.; Hammer, R. P.; Weber, E. J.; Habermas, K. L.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1987**, 51, 165.
- 138 Cossio, F. P.; Aizpurua, J. M.; Palomo, C.; *Can.J.Chem.* **1986**, 64, 225.
- 139 Gawley, R. E.; Campagna, S. A.; Santiago, M.; Reu, T.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2002**, 13, 29.
- 140 a) Schultz, A. G.; Lavieri, F. P.; Macielag, M.; Plummer, M.; *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **1987**, 109, 3991. b) Schultz, A. G.; Plummer, M.; Taveras, A. G.; Kullnig, R. K.; *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **1988**, 110, 5547.
- 141 Chidambaram, N.; Chandrasekaran, S.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1987**, 52, 5048.
- 142 Desai, . R.; Gore, V. K.; Bhat, S. V.; *Synth.Comm.* **1990**, 20, 523.
- 143 Krishnudu, K.; Radha Krishna, P.; Mereyala, H. B.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, 37, 6007.
- 144 Reynolds, L. J.; Morgan, B. P.; Hite, G. A.; Mihelich, E. D.; Dennis, E. A.; *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **1988**, 110, 5172.
- 145 Kenny, M. S.; Mauder, L. N.; Sethi, S. P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, 27, 3927.
- 146 Schabbert, S.; Pierschbacher, M. D.; Mattern, R.-H.; Goodman, M.; *Biorg.Med.Chem.* **2002**, 10, 3331.
- 147 Rajendran, V.; Rong, S.-B.; Saxena, A.; Doctor, B. P.; Kozikowski, A. P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, 42, 5359.
- 148 a) DeShong, P.; Kell, D. A.; Sidler, D. R.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1985**, 50, 2309. b) Ho, T.-L.; Su, C.-Y.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, 57, 507. c) Chandrasekhar, S.; Venkat Reddy, M.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, 56, 1111. d) Bi, Y.; Zhang, L.-H.; Hamaker, L. K.; Cook, J. M.; *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **1994**, 116, 9027.
- 149 Zhu, X.; Greig, N. H.; Holloway, H. W.; Whittaker, N. F.; Brossi, A.; Yu, Q.-sheng; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, 41, 4861.
- 150 Takano, S.; Moriya, M.; Iwabuchi, Y.; Ogasawara, K.; *Chem.Lett.* **1990**, 1, 109.
- 151 Yli-Kauhaluoma, J. T.; Harwig, C. W.; Wentworth Jr., P.; Janda, K. D.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, 39, 2269.
- 152 Mangalam, G.; Sundaram, S. M.; *J.Ind.Chem.Soc.* **1991**, 68, 77.
- 153 a) Garzino, F.; Méou, A.; Brun, P.; *Helv.Chim.Acta* **2002**, 85, 1989. b) Yang, S.-M.; Fang, J.-M.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1999**, 64, 394.
- 154 a) House, D.; Kerr, F.; Warren, S.; *Chem.Comm.* **2000**, 18, 1783. b) Kodama, M.; Fukuzumi, K.; Kumano, M.; *Chem.Pharm.Bull.* **1989**, 37, 1691. c) Parker, K. A.; Iqbal, T.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1987**, 52, 4369.

- 155 a) Yang, S.-M.; Fang, J.-M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 1589. b) Allewaert, K.; Van Baelen, H.; Bouillon, R.; Zhao, X.-yang; De Clercq, P.; Vandewalle, M.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1993**, *3*, 1859. c) Kozikowski, A. P.; Fauq, A. H.; *Synlett* **1991**, *11*, 783.
- 156 a) Paterson, I.; Rawson, D. J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 7463. b) Taber, D. F.; Mack, J. F.; Rheingold, A. L.; Geib, S. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 3831. c) Shelly, K. P.; Weiler, L.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1988**, *66*, 1359.
- 157 a) Takeshita, H.; Mori, A.; Suizu, H.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1987**, *60*, 1429. b) Maggiotti, V.; Wong, J.-B.; Razet, R.; Cowley, A. R.; Gouverneur, V.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2002**, *13*, 1789. c) Shu, L.; Wang, P.; Gan, Y.; Shi, Y.; *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 293.
- 158 a) Nagaoka, H.; Baba, A.; Yamada, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 6741. b) Liotta, D.; Brown, D.; Hoekstra, W.; Monahan III, R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 1069.
- 159 a) Beddall, N. E.; Howes, P. D.; Ramsay, M. V. J.; Roberts, S. M.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Sutherland, D. R.; Tiley, E. P.; Williams, D. J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 2595. b) Sasai, H.; Shibasaki, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 333.
- 160 Sugahara, T.; Yamada, O.; Satoh, I.; Takano, S.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1995**, *43*, 147.
- 161 a) Heß, T.; Zdero, C.; Bohlmann, C.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 5643. b) Usami, Y.; Ikura, T.; Amagata, T.; Numata, A.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 3711.
- 162 Majetich, G.; Condon, S.; Hull, K.; Ahmad, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 1033.
- 163 O'Neil, S. V.; Quickley, C. A.; Snider, B. B.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 1970.
- 164 a) Robertson, J.; O'Connor, G.; Sardharwala, T.; Middleton, D. S.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 8309. b) Dhotare, B.; Chattopadhyay, A.; *Synthesis* **2001**, *9*, 1337. c) Crestia, D.; Guérard, C.; Veschambre, H.; Hecquet, L.; Demuyne, C.; Bolte, J.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2001**, *12*, 869.
- 165 a) Hector, M.; Hartmann, R. W.; Njar, V. C. O.; *Synth. Commun.* **1996**, *26*, 1075. b) He, J.-F.; Wu, Y.-L.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 1933.
- 166 a) Shafullah, M. J.; Ahmad, S.; *J. Ind. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *68*, 669. b) Kelly, T. R.; Chandrakumar, N. S.; Cutting, J. D.; Goehring, R. R.; Weibel, F. R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 2173.
- 167 a) Ref. 164a. b) Butt, A. H.; Percy, J. M.; Spencer, N. S.; *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, *17*, 1691. c) Ref. 22a.
- 168 a) Zhang, R.; Wang, Z.; Wei, F.; Huang, Y.; *Synth. Commun.* **2002**, *32*, 2187. b) Suzuki, T.; Ohmori, K.; Suzuki, K.; *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 1741.
- 169 Suemune, H.; Miyao, Y.; Sakai, K.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1989**, *37*, 2523.
- 170 Namba, K.; Shinada, T.; Teramoto, T.; Ohfuné, Y.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 10708.
- 171 Fukuzawa, A.; Sato, H.; Masamune, T.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 4303.
- 172 Ok, H.; Caldwell, C.; Schroeder, D. R.; Singh, A. K.; Nakamishi, K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 2275.
- 173 a) Hirukawa, T.; Oguchi, M.; Yoshikawa, N.; Kato, T.; *Chem. Lett.* **1992**, *12*, 2343. b) Gill, M.; Smrdel, A. F.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1990**, *1*, 453. c) Nickisch, K.; Bittler, D.; Cleve, G.; Eckle, E.; Laurent, H.; *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1988**, *6*, 579.
- 174 D. Martín, D.; Marcos, I. S.; Basabe, P.; Romero, R. E.; Moro, R. F.; Lumeras, W.; Rodríguez, L.; Urones, J. G.; *Synthesis* **2001**, *7*, 1013.
- 175 Gawronska, K.; *Carbohydr. Res.* **1988**, *176*, 79.
- 176 a) Mizutani, T.; Honzawa, S.; Tosaki, S.-ya; Shibasaki, M.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 4680. b) Kumamoto, T.; Tabe, N.; Yamaguchi, K.; Yagishita, H.; Iwasa, H.; Ishikawa, T.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 2717. c) Lee, J.; Li, J.-H.; Oya, S.; Snyder, J. K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 5301. d) Ref. 127b. e) Ichihara, A.; Kawakami, Y.; Sakamura, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 61.
- 177 a) Maki, S.; Ishihara, J.; Nakanishi, K.; *J. Ind. Chem. Soc.*; **2000**, *77*, 651. b) Su, Z.; Tamm, C.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1995**, *78*, 1278.
- 178 Maki, S.; Ishihara, J.; Nakanishi, K.; *J. Ind. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *77*, 651.
- 179 Ha, H.-J.; Choi, C.-J.; Ahn, Y.-G.; Yun, H.; Dong, Y.; Lee, W.K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 8384.

- 180 a) Ref. 129a. b) Jarvis, B. B.; Nilgün Cömezoglu, S.; Álvarez, M. E.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 1918.
- 181 Cossío, F. P.; López, M. C.; Palomo, C.; *Tetrahedron* **1987**, *43*, 3963.
- 182 Kato, N.; Okamoto, H.; Takeshita, H.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1995**, *68*, 2679.
- 183 a) Bijoy, P.; Subba Rao, G. S. R.; *Synth. Commun.* **1993**, *23*, 2701. b) Domínguez, E.; Iriondo, C.; Laborra, C.; Linaza, A.; Martínez, J.; *Bull. Soc. Chim. Belges* **1989**, *98*, 133.
- 184 a) Shu, L.; Wang, P.; Gan, Y.; Shi, Y.; *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 293. b) Dhotare, B.; Chattopadhyay, A.; *Synthesis* **2001**, *9*, 1337. c) Tanaka, R.; Nagatsu, A.; Mizukami, H.; Ogihara, Y.; Sakakibara, J.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 3005.
- 185 Papaioannou, D.; Francis, G. W.; Aksnes, D. W.; Brekke, T.; Maartmann-Moe, K.; *Acta Chem. Scand.* **1990**, *44*, 90.
- 186 a) Crombie, L.; Ryan, A. P.; Whiting, D. A.; Yeboah, S. O.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1987**, *12*, 2783. b) Niwa, H.; Miyachi, Y.; Uosaki, Y.; Yamada, K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 4601. c) Ermolenko, L.; Sasaki, N. A.; Potier, P.; *Synlett* **2001**, *10*, 1565.
- 187 Fristad, W. E.; Paquette, L. A.; *Heterocycles* **1990**, *31*, 2219.
- 188 Fowles, A. M.; MacMillan, J.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1988**, *7*, 1973.

1.5. Pyridinium Chlorochromate (PCC)




Addition of one equivalent of CrO_3 (MW= 100.0) to 1.1 equivalents of hydrochloric acid (6 N) leads to a homogenous solution containing chlorochromic acid (ClCrO_3H). Slow addition of one equivalent of pyridine (MW= 79.1) to this solution, kept at 0°C , leads to the formation of pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC) that separates as yellow-orange crystals. Filtration through a sintered glass funnel, followed by drying in vacuum, allows the isolation of ca. 84% of pure PCC.^{12a}

PCC is usually prepared using the description of Corey and Suggs,¹⁸⁹ although other procedures have been reported.^{190,191} Agarwal *et al.* published that preparing PCC by addition of CrO_3 over a pyridinium hydrochloride solution avoids the handling of poisonous chromyl chloride.¹⁹²

Although PCC was first prepared in 1899,¹⁹¹ its use in the oxidation of alcohols was started as late as in 1975, following a landmark publication by Corey and Suggs,^{12a} hence, the name Corey-Suggs reagent, often employed to refer PCC. Corey and Suggs described that most alcohols are oxidized in good yields to aldehydes and ketones using a suspension of PCC in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature. They also described the addition of NaOAc to the reaction mixture, in order to moderate the slightly acidic character of PCC.

PCC is a stable solid of very moderate hydrophylicity that can be bought and stored for long periods without apparent decomposition. Although commercial PCC operates satisfactorily in most oxidations, cases are reported¹⁹³ in which optimum yields are achieved using freshly prepared PCC. In practice, the alternative use of commercial material or

PCC easily prepared in one's own laboratory is largely dependent on personal preferences.




Similar to other chromium-based reagents, kinetic evidence shows that oxidation of alcohols by PCC operates via a chromate ester intermediate that evolves to an aldehyde or ketone in the rate-determining step.¹⁹⁴


In the vast majority of cases, CH_2Cl_2 is used as solvent in PCC oxidations. Occasionally, other solvents, including benzene,¹⁹⁵ tetrahydrofuran,¹⁹⁶ acetonitrile,¹⁹⁷ chloroform,¹⁹² dioxane,¹⁹⁸ hexane,¹⁹⁹ acetone- CH_2Cl_2 ²⁰⁰ or toluene,²⁰¹ are used in PCC oxidations. The use of some of these alternative solvents may be advantageous in some substrates.²⁰² Use of DMF tends to promote the over-oxidation of primary alcohols into carboxylic acids.²⁰³

PCC possesses a slight acidity that may interfere in some oxidations of acid-labile compounds. This prompted the widespread routine addition of sodium acetate to the reaction medium.²⁰⁴ Other buffers used less often include: KOAc ,²⁰⁵ CaCO_3 ,²⁰⁶ BaCO_3 ,²⁰⁷ NaHCO_3 ,²⁰⁸ Na_2HPO_4 ,²⁰⁹ pyridine²¹⁰ and Na_2CO_3 .²¹¹ Calcium carbonate has proved to be particularly useful in avoiding migration into conjugation of alkenes during the oxidation of homoallylic alcohols.^{206c}

On occasions, an oxidation with PCC proceeds very quickly at the beginning of the reaction and slows down considerably as the reaction advances. This has been attributed to the formation of an acetal—catalyzed by the acidic nature of PCC—between the product and the starting alcohol.²¹²



Although PCC allows quicker oxidations than the closely related oxidant PDC; sometimes, it is convenient to add some accelerant, the most commonly used being molecular sieves and the best results are obtained using 3 Å molecular sieves.¹³⁴



Other less common accelerants for PCC oxidations include: the addition of organic acids or Ac_2O , as well as sonication with ultrasounds or irradiation with microwaves.

Following kinetic studies that show that PCC oxidations are accelerated by acids, occasionally organic acids, including AcOH ,²¹⁴ $p\text{-TsOH}$,^{194a} CSA ,²¹⁵ PTFA ,²¹⁶ NH_4OAc ,²¹⁷ dichloroacetic acid²¹⁸ or trichloroacetic acid,²¹⁸ are added. Sometimes, this can be counterproductive because, with the acidity of PCC not moderated with a buffer, the medium is made more acidic and, therefore, interferences with acid-sensitive moieties in the substrate can happen. On the other hand, sometimes the extra acidity can help to perform other additional transformations during PCC oxidations. For example, addition of acetic acid allows a one-pot hydrolysis of TMS ethers, followed by oxidation to ketone.²¹⁹ On rare occasions, Ac_2O is added to PCC oxidations.²²⁰

The application of ultrasound may substantially shorten the reaction time in PCC oxidations.²²¹ Apparently, the ultrasound produces an erosion of the surface of the particles of PCC suspended in methylene chloride and, therefore, accelerate its interaction with the organic substrates.^{221a}


It is claimed that the action of microwaves may very substantially accelerate PCC oxidations, resulting in reactions lasting a few minutes rather than hours.²²² Microwaves may be applied both to suspensions of PCC in a dichloromethane solution of the organic reactant or to the dust, resulting from thoroughly mixing the reactant and PCC in a mortar.

During PCC oxidations, a dark viscous material containing reduced chromium salts is produced, and can interfere in the separation and purification of the product. Very often, solid particles consisting an inorganic material, such as silica gel,²²³ Celite[®],²²⁴ Florisil[®],²²⁵ magnesium sulfate²²⁶ or montmorillonite K10¹⁹⁸ are added to PCC oxidations, so that the reduced

chromium salts are deposited over these solids and are easily removed by filtration. Sometimes, these inorganic materials are simply added to the reaction.²²⁷ On other occasions, these solid particles and PCC are finely ground in a mortar before being added to the solution.^{221a} This can help to fragment the PCC particles and, therefore, accelerate the oxidation.^{221a} Finally, sometimes PCC is deposited on the solid inorganic particles, by concentrating at the rotary evaporator the solution of PCC possessing suspended solid particles.^{223a}


The work-up of PCC oxidations can be greatly facilitated by the use of the PCC polymeric derivative, poly[vinyl(pyridinium chlorochromate)].²²⁸ Filtration of the polymer and concentration of the organic solution allow an easy isolation of the product.

Alumina has been used in a similar manner. Normally, alumina is added to an aqueous solution of PCC in water, prepared by mixing chromium trioxide, hydrochloric acid (6N) and pyridine. Removal of water leads to the formation of alumina particles covered by PCC, described as PCC on alumina,²²⁹ which is commercially available.²³⁰ Alternatively, it has been described that best results are obtained when alumina and PCC are finely ground in a mortar.²³¹ The alumina not only helps in the work-up by allowing an easy filtering of the chromium-containing by-products, but also accelerates the oxidation with PCC.^{229a}



Ref. 229a

In the absence of alumina, an 82% yield is obtained.



Ref. 232

Low yields are obtained in the oxidation of this congested alcohol with Swern or Jones conditions. While PCC on alumina gives a consistent 90% yield. PCC on other supports, such as Celite[®] or molecular sieves, gives less than 50% yield.

It is important to note that buffers, accelerants and materials introduced to facilitate the work-up can be used simultaneously. Thus, it is common to use: molecular sieves plus NaOAc,²³³ silica gel plus ultrasounds,^{221a} Celite[®] plus NaOAc,²³⁴ AcOH plus molecular sieves,^{195b} montmorillonite K10 plus ultrasounds,¹⁹⁸ molecular sieves plus Celite[®],²³⁵ Celite[®] plus AcOH²³⁶ or AcOH plus Celite[®] plus molecular sieves.^{214c}

1.5.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols to Aldehydes and Ketones with Pyridinium Chlorochromate (PCC)²³⁷

Approximately, 1.1–7 equivalents—typically 1.5 equivalents—of solid PCC are added^{a, b} over a ca. 0.01–0.25 M solution of the starting alcohol in dry methylene chloride. The resulting mixture is stirred at room temperature^c till most of the starting compound is consumed.^d

Very often, ca. 0.2–1.2 g of activated molecular sieves per mmol of alcohol are added in order to accelerate the reaction.

In order to moderate the acidity of PCC, it is very common to add ca. 0.3–1 equivalents of NaOAc.^e

A solid support, such as silica gel, Celite[®], Florisil[®] (magnesium silicate) or magnesium sulfate, is added, very often in a proportion of ca. 0.3–2 g of solid support per mmol of alcohol, in order to facilitate the work-up.^f

Occasionally alumina, working both as a solid support—used to facilitate the work-up—and as an accelerant, mixed with PCC is added, in a proportion of ca. 0.4–1.5 g of alumina per mmol of alcohol. Normally, PCC is deposited over the alumina.^g

Occasionally, ca. 10–20 equivalents of acetic acid^h are added in order to accelerate the reaction.

Sometimes, the reaction flask is sonicated with ultrasound in order to fragment the surface of the PCC particles and, therefore, accelerate the reaction.


Although in PCC oxidations, it is very common to add simultaneously to the reaction an accelerant, a buffer and a work-up-facilitator; it is not common to employ simultaneously two materials belonging to the same kind, with the exception of the combination of the two accelerants molecular sieve and acetic acid, which are very often used together.

When a TLC analysis shows that most of the starting alcohol is consumed,^d the solids suspended in the reaction and the chromium species are removed by filtration through a padⁱ of Florisil[®], silica gel, alumina or

Celite[®], and the pad is washed with an organic solvent, such as ether, CH₂Cl₂, or EtOAc. Sometimes, the solids can be removed by decantation. Other times, it is advisable to add some diethyl ether to the reaction mixture before the filtration, in order to promote the separation of reduced chromium species in a granular form. Occasionally, the reaction mixture is concentrated before the addition of diethyl ether.




Finally, the collected organic phases are concentrated at the rotary evaporator, giving a crude aldehyde or ketone that may need some further purification.

- ^a It may be advisable, particularly on multigram scale reactions, to cool down (ca. 5°C) the reaction mixture during the addition of some components in order to prevent exotherms.
- ^b Frequently, an inverse addition is preferred, whereby a solution of the alcohol is added to a suspension of PCC in CH₂Cl₂.
- ^c It may be advisable to carry out the oxidation at 0°C when sensitive alcohols able to be oxidized very quickly are employed. Alternatively, it may be advisable to accelerate the reaction by heating when robust alcohols are oxidized.
- ^d It usually takes between 30 min and 3 days.
- ^e Other buffers, such as KOAc, CaCO₃, BaCO₃, NaHCO₃, Na₂HPO₄, pyridine or Na₂CO₃, can also be used. CaCO₃ is recommended when avoidance of migration of alkenes into conjugation, during oxidation of homoallylic alcohols, is desired.
- ^f Sometimes, PCC and the solid support are simultaneously added in the form of a fine dust, obtained from grinding both materials together in a mortar.
- ^g The PCC is deposited over the alumina adopting the following operations:
1. One equivalent of pyridine (MW = 79.1) is added over 10 min to a solution of 377 g per liter of CrO₃ (MW = 100.0) in HCl (6 N), kept at 40°C. The solution is cooled at 10°C till a solid is formed, and it is reheated to 40°C in order to dissolve the solid.
 2. Alumina—50 g per equivalent of pyridine—is added and the solvent is evaporated at the rotary evaporator. The resulting orange solid is dried in vacuum and is stable in the dark under vacuum during several weeks.
- Alternatively, the alumina and the PCC can be added after grinding both in a mortar to a fine dust.
- PCC on alumina is commercially available.
- ^h Other organic acids, such as *p*-TsOH, CSA, Py·TFA, NH₄OAc, dichloroacetic or trichloroacetic acid, have been used.
- ⁱ The reduced chromium species can be separated by decantation instead of filtering, but this tends to cause the crude product to be contaminated with chromium.



Ref. 238

An oxidation on a multigram scale is described in detail.



1.5.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oxidation with PCC

1.5.2.1. Protecting Groups

All protecting groups resist the action of PCC, including the following very acid-sensitive ones: TMS ether,²⁴² THP ether,²⁴³ *t*-butyl ether,²⁴⁴


Boc,²⁴⁵ *t*-butyl ester,²⁴⁶ trityl ether²⁴⁷ and even tris(*p*-methoxyphenyl)methyl ether.²⁴⁸ The oxidation-sensitive PMB normally resists the action of PCC,²⁴⁹ as well as the sulfur-containing protecting groups dithioacetals²⁵⁰ and mono-thioacetals.²⁵¹

Although there are hundreds of reports in the literature in which silyl ethers withstand the action of PCC, there are two references in which a TBS ether is cleaved and oxidized *in situ* to aldehyde²⁵² or ketone²⁵³ by the action of PCC unaided by added acid. There are also reports of a TMS-protected tertiary allylic alcohol being transformed into the corresponding transposed enone,²⁵⁴ a labile TES ether being converted into a ketone,²⁵⁵ and a diphenylmethylsilyl (DMPS) ether being removed²⁵⁶ by the action of PCC.²⁵⁴ It has been reported that primary TMS and TES ethers can be selectively transformed in aldehydes in the presence of secondary TMS and TES ethers and under the action of PCC, although the method is often not very effective.²⁵⁷ Bis-TMS²⁵⁸ and bis-TBS²⁵⁹ protected *p*-hydroquinones are transformed into *p*-quinones by the action of PCC.


Although THP ethers²⁴³ resist the action of PCC under the relatively mild conditions used for the oxidation of alcohols, PCC in boiling benzene is able to deprotect THP ethers and perform an *in situ* oxidation of the resulting alcohol to ketone.²⁶⁰

1.5.2.2. Alkenes


Normally, alkenes do not interfere with the oxidation of alcohols with PCC. Although alkenes do react with PCC, this normally requires quite harsh conditions, and selective oxidations of alcohols are possible.




Nevertheless, alkoxyalkenes, being very electron-rich olefins, do react quickly with PCC. This produces either the breakage of the carbon-carbon double bond yielding two carbonyl compounds,²⁶² or the transformation of the alkoxyalkene into an ester or a lactone.²⁶³



Normal alkenes—which are particularly not electron-rich—are oxidized at the allylic position by PCC, resulting in the formation of enones.²⁶⁴ Aromatic compounds suffer a similar reaction at the benzylic positions, yielding aromatic ketones²⁶⁵ or aromatic aldehydes.²⁶⁶ These oxidations normally demand quite harsh conditions with excess of PCC, long reaction times and high temperature. Therefore, they hardly compete with the oxidation of alcohols, which is normally made under quite mild conditions.





Olefins, belonging to primary allylic alcohols and possessing a (*cis*) configuration, suffer isomerization to the (*trans*) compound during the oxidation of the alcohol to aldehyde with PCC.²⁶⁸ This isomerization is not avoided by the addition of sodium acetate as buffer.¹⁸⁹




1.5.2.3. Furan Rings

PCC oxidatively cleaves furan rings, resulting in the synthetically useful formation of conjugated endiones.²⁶⁹ The literature contains both, cases in which an alcohol is oxidized by PCC in the presence of an unreacting furan ring²⁷⁰, as well as contrasting cases in which a furan ring is oxidised by PCC in the presence of an unreacting alcohol.²⁷⁰




1.5.2.4. Tertiary Allylic Alcohols

PCC reacts with tertiary allylic alcohols, forming an intermediate chromate ester that evolves giving a conjugated enone or enal. Sometimes, the isomeric chromate ester produces the epoxidation of the alkene, giving an epoxy alcohol that can be further oxidized to an epoxy ketone.





This oxidative transposition of tertiary allylic alcohols into enones or enals is carried out under mild conditions and has ample application in organic synthesis. Although, it can be carried out with other chromium-based reagents (see pages 16 and 35), PCC is the reagent of choice.²⁷²


Although the PCC-mediated oxidative transposition of tertiary allylic alcohols is carried out under very mild conditions, normally it is possible to selectively oxidize a primary or secondary alcohol to aldehyde or ketone with PCC, without affecting a tertiary allylic alcohol present in the same molecule.²⁷³



Nevertheless, transposed enones can be formed as minor compounds,²⁷⁴ and a few times the oxidative transposition can predominate over the normal oxidation of primary or secondary alcohols.²⁷⁵




Of course, using excess of PCC allows the operation of both, an oxidative transposition of a tertiary allylic alcohol and a normal oxidation of a primary or a secondary alcohol.²⁷⁶




1.5.2.5. Secondary Allylic Alcohols


Although secondary allylic alcohols can suffer an oxidative transposition via the corresponding allylic chromate ester, in the same manner that the tertiary allylic alcohols; normally, a direct oxidation to the corresponding enone with no transposition predominates.²⁷⁷ Nevertheless, minor amounts of enone, resulting from an oxidative transposition, can be formed.²⁷⁸ The formation of transposed enone may be minimized using the less transposing-prone PDC, instead of PCC.²⁷⁹



When the oxidative transposition of secondary allylic alcohols is purposefully looked after, it can be fostered by the addition of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid.²⁸⁰ Most probably, the added acid catalyzes the equilibration of the intermediate allylic chromate esters, allowing the major formation of transposed enone when the corresponding chromate ester is less hindered. This means that an oxidative transposition of a secondary allylic alcohol can only dominate when the thermodynamics of the equilibrating allylic chromate esters are favourable.




Very hindered secondary allylic alcohols may have a great tendency to suffer oxidative transpositions, even without the help of added acid; a fact undoubtedly due to the release of steric tension, resulting from the transposition of the initially formed chromate ester.²⁸¹



The authors of this book are not aware of any case, in which a primary allylic alcohol suffers an oxidative transposition with PCC. Such case would be most unlikely, because it would involve an equilibrating pair of allylic chromate ester, in which the less stable minor one would evolve to a carbonyl compound.


1.5.2.6. Homoallylic Alcohols

During the oxidation of homoallylic alcohols with PCC, normally no migration of the alkene into conjugation with the resulting carbonyl group is observed, regardless of favourable thermodynamics. Such migration can be occasionally observed when it results in a highly favourable formation of endocyclic alkenes inside 5 or 6-membered rings.²⁸²



Ref. 283

No migration of the alkene into conjugation is observed during the PCC oxidation, in spite of the fact that the final compound suffers quantitative isomerization to a conjugated enal by simple contact with alumina at room temperature.




Ref. 282c

Under the relatively energetic conditions of PCC in refluxing benzene, migration of the alkene into conjugation with the ketone is observed.

Under oxidation with PCC, migration of alkenes into conjugation with aldehydes or ketones can be avoided by the addition of calcium carbonate (see page 47).


1.5.2.7. 5,6-Dihydroxyalkenes

PCC transforms 5,6-dihydroxyalkenes into tetrahydrofurans in a highly stereoselective manner²⁸⁴ (see Equation below). This transformation can be explained by the initial formation of a cyclic chromate ester by reaction with the diol moiety, followed by an intramolecular oxidative addition of the chromate ester on the alkene.




Ref. 285


This reaction has been used in the preparation of complex natural tetrahydrofurans.



Of course, the PCC-induced formation of tetrahydrofurans from 5,6-dihydroxyalkenes fails when structural constraints prevent the approach of the intermediate cyclic chromate ester to the alkene.²⁸⁶



Nonetheless, this formation of tetrahydrofurans from 5,6-dihydroxyalkenes, when possible, demands such mild oxidation conditions that it is possible to prevent further oxidation of the generated alcohols by adjusting the quantity of PCC employed.




Ref. 284a


Chromium coordinates selectively with the 1,2-diol, forming a stable cyclic chromate ester that evolves producing the formation of a tetrahydrofuran. Observe that no formation of tetrahydrofuran from the alcohol on the left occurs, for this would involve the intermediacy of a less stable simple chromate ester (*vide infra*). The experimental conditions are so mild that no direct oxidation of the secondary alcohol to ketone is observed, either on the starting compound or in the product.


1.5.2.8. 5-Hydroxyalkenes

It is possible to make an oxidative cyclization, akin to the one suffered by 5,6-dihydroxyalkenes, starting from 5-hydroxyalkenes.^{284a}




However, as the formation of an intermediate simple chromate ester is not as favorable as the generation of the cyclic chromate ester, involved in the oxidation of 5,6-dihydroxyalkenes, this reaction, demands harsher conditions. Therefore, only tertiary 5-hydroxyalkenes may be normally used as starting compounds, otherwise a direct oxidation of the alcohol to an aldehyde or ketone would occur.²⁸⁷ Because of the harsher conditions involved, very often the resulting 1-hydroxyalkyltetrahydrofuran is further oxidized to a γ -lactone or to a ketone.²⁸⁸






Interestingly, in alcohols containing properly positioned alkenes, it is possible to perform a highly stereoselective tandem formation of tetrahydrofurans.^{284a}




As the oxidative cyclization of 5-hydroxyalkenes demands quite harsh conditions, normally it is possible to selectively perform a standard oxidation of a primary or secondary alcohol in other part of the molecule.²⁹⁰





1.5.2.9. Epoxides


PCC reacts with epoxides, resulting in cleavage either generating two carbonyl compounds or transformation into a α -hydroxyketone.



These transformations can be achieved by opening of the epoxide—most probably previously activated by protonation—by attack of chromate. The intermediate chromate may evolve by breakage of a carbon-carbon bond, leading to the formation of an aryl-stabilized cation and a carbonyl compound. Deprotonation of the aryl-stabilized cation leads to a ketone. Alternatively, when there is no aryl group that could stabilize an intermediate cation, the chromate evolves in a standard way to generate a α -hydroxyketone.




As the oxidation of epoxides with PCC is relatively slow, it is possible to adjust the oxidation conditions so as to selectively transform an alcohol into an aldehyde or ketone in the presence of an epoxide.²⁹²




1.5.2.10. Lactols

PCC very easily oxidizes lactols to lactones.²⁹³ However, at the time of writing, the scientific literature does not contain enough data to assess the relative ability of oxidation of lactols versus alcohols with PCC.



1.5.2.11. Acetals

Although certain cyclic acetals are transformed into lactones by PCC,²⁹⁵ sometimes with the help of some added AcOH;^{195b} alcohols are routinely oxidized with PCC without affecting acetals in the same molecule.²⁹⁶





1.5.2.12. 1,2-Diols


Sometimes, 1,2-diols suffer an oxidative carbon-carbon bond breakage under the action of PCC (see page 60).

1.5.2.13. 1,4-Diols


PCC sometimes transforms 1,4-diols in to γ -lactones; however, at least one of the alcohols in 1,4 diols should be a primary alcohol.²⁹⁷ This oxidation proceeds via an intermediate γ -hydroxyaldehyde that equilibrates with a lactol, which is transformed in a γ -lactone.



No formation of lactone is observed when geometrical constraints prevent the formation of an intermediate lactol.²⁹⁸




Very often, uneventful oxidations with no formation of lactone are found, even in cases in which the formation of an intermediate stable lactol looks likely.²⁹⁹




1.5.2.14. 1,5-Diols

With respect to 1,4-diols, a similar behaviour is observed in 1,5-diols, in which one of the alcohols is a primary alcohol. That is, the treatment with PCC may result in the formation of a δ -lactone,³⁰⁰ although this does not happen when geometrical constraints prevent the formation of an intermediate lactol.³⁰¹




As in the case of 1,4-diols, very often 1,5-diols are oxidized uneventfully with PCC, in spite of the potential formation of apparently stable lactols.³⁰²




1.5.2.15. Nitrogen-Containing Compounds

Tertiary and secondary amines can resist the action of PCC, while an alcohol is oxidized.³⁰³ Even so, secondary amines are very often protected against PCC oxidations.




Sometimes, an intramolecular hydrogen bond between an alcohol and an amine prevents the oxidation of the alcohol. In such cases, a successful oxidation of the alcohol with PCC can be performed, by blocking the free electron pair of the nitrogen by the addition of one equivalent of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$.³⁰⁵



Although little pursued in the literature, it can be anticipated that addition of one equivalent of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$ —or other acid—would prevent the interference of amine functionalities in PCC oxidations.


PCC is used to remove menthyl substituents—working as chiral auxiliaries—from amines.³⁰⁶ The oxidation of menthylamines with PCC leads to β -aminoketones that, on treatment with base, suffer a retro-Michael reaction leading to free amines.



Normally, nitrocompounds resist³⁰⁷ the action of PCC; although, on rare occasions, PCC can promote the attack of nucleophiles on nitro groups, in a similar way to the other chromium-based reagents (see pages 10 and 35).

1.5.2.16. Sulfides



Although PCC oxidizes thiols to disulfides³⁰⁸ and sulfides to sulfoxides,³⁰⁹ it is possible to selectively oxidize alcohols in the presence of sulfides.^{310,311}




1.5.3. Side Reactions

1.5.3.1. Oxidative Breakage of a Carbon-Carbon Bond from an Intermediate Chromate Ester


As in other chromium-based reagents (see pages 12 and 38), sometimes intermediate chromate esters, resulting from a primary reaction between alcohols—including tertiary alcohols—and PCC, evolve by breakage of a carbon-carbon bond when it results in the generation of a stable cation. Stable cations generated in this way include cations located at allylic²³⁶ positions and at tertiary carbons,³¹² as well as cations stabilized by nitrogen³¹³ or oxygen³¹⁴ atoms.



1,2-Diols are particularly prone to this side reaction, as the intermediate cation is very stabilized by the presence of an oxygen atom.




It is important to note that the relative velocity of an uneventful oxidation of an alcohol with PCC versus a carbon-carbon bond breakage from a chromate ester, driven by the generation of a stable carbocation, is substantially substrate-dependent, and may change according to stereoelectronic factors, which may be difficult to predict. Thus, many alcohols are successfully oxidized to aldehydes and ketones, regardless of an apparently potential carbon-carbon bond breakage leading to stabilized carbocations.³¹⁵ Consequently, failure to try an alcohol oxidation with PCC, because of fear of this side reaction is not recommended.




1.5.3.2. Formation of Conjugated Enones (or Enals) by Eliminations Subsequent to Alcohol Oxidation


Sometimes, when the oxidation of an alcohol produces a carbonyl compound, containing a good-leaving group at the β -position, an elimination leading to a conjugated enal or enone occurs. This reaction is facilitated by the presence of better leaving-groups. Thus, elimination is quite common during the oxidation of alcohols containing halogens³¹⁶ or carboxylates³¹⁷ at the β -position.




Eliminations promoted by the formation of the following anions can also happen: alkoxides³¹⁸—including those resulting from the opening of epoxides,³¹⁹ hydroxides,³²⁰ sulfonates³²¹ and sulfenates.³²²



When eliminations are purposefully looked after, they can be promoted by the addition of a base, like NaOAc,³²³ pyridine^{210c} or BaCO₃,^{316a} to the oxidizing solution.



It is important to note that these eliminations normally are explained by an E₁C_B mechanism; comprising the formation of an enolate, followed by an elimination, demanding proper alignment between p-orbitals containing negative charge, and sigma orbitals linking the leaving-group with the β -carbon. The nature of the substrate may dictate both, an extremely easy orbital alignment or a very difficult one. Thus, such substrates are found, in which eliminations during PCC oxidations are almost impossible to avoid, or it hardly happen.³²⁴ Sometimes, failure to elimination is easily explained by the instability that would have the resulting alkene.³²⁴ⁱⁱ




Ref. 324ii

In spite of the fact that bromide is an excellent leaving-group, no elimination occurs for it would lead to a very unstable bridgehead alkene.


1.5.3.3. Chromate as Leaving-Group and Reactions Induced by the Acidic Nature of PCC

Sometimes, side reactions, resulting from the intermediate chromate esters acting as good-leaving groups, occur. They are remarkable because they involve PCC reactions, in which no oxidation happens.



Ref. 325


Formation of a chromate ester is followed by the opening of cyclopropane, driven by attack of chloride and elimination of chromate anion.




Ref. 326


A tertiary allylic alcohol produces the desired transposed enone on treatment with PCC. Minor amounts of a compound arising from elimination of the intermediate chromate ester are also formed.

It is often difficult to distinguish whether a hydroxyl acts as a good-leaving group on PCC treatment, resulting from the formation of a chromate ester, or from protonation produced by the acidic nature of PCC. Cases are known in which such PCC induced reactions are not mimicked by treatment with simple acids,³²⁷ suggesting that a chromate ester is acting as leaving-group rather than occurring a reaction induced by the acidic nature of PCC.




On other occasions, some PCC-induced reactions are better explained through the use of PCC as a proton source.³²⁸






The following is a PCC-induced reaction with an unclear mechanism:





1.5.3.4. Oxidative Dimerization of Primary Alcohols

When the oxidation of a primary alcohol with PCC results in the formation of an aldehyde, activated with an electron withdrawing group at the α -position; sometimes, a stable dimeric hemiacetal is formed that is further oxidized to a dimeric ester.³³¹ This reaction, that can also happen with other chromium-based reagents (see page 42), can be minimized by adjusting the reaction conditions.





1.5.3.5 Oxidation Products Suffering Subsequent Reactions in Which PCC Plays no Role


Sometimes, oxidation of alcohols with PCC leads to very reactive aldehydes or ketones that suffer subsequent reactions *in situ*, which can be explained without the recourse of a role for PCC.³³²



1.5.3.6. Side Reactions in Which Several of the Above Principles Operate

Sometimes, the action of PCC on alcohols leads to products that can be explained by complex mechanism, in which several of the reactivity principles mentioned above act in a sequential manner.³³⁴





Section 1.5. References

- 189 Corey, E. J.; Suggs, J. W.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, 2647.
- 190 Bernard, J.; Camelot, M.; *C.R.Acad.Sci., Paris* **1964**, 258, 5881.
- 191 Meyer, R. J.; Best, H.; *Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem.* **1899**, 22, 192.
- 192 Agarwal, S.; Tiwari, H. P.; Sharma, J. P.; *Tetrahedron* **1990**, 46, 4417.
- 193 Denholm, A. A.; Jennens, L.; Ley, S. V.; Wood, A.; *Tetrahedron* **1995**, 51, 6591.
- 194 a) Banerji, K. K.; *Bull.Chem.Soc.Jpn.* **1978**, 51, 2732. b) Banerji, K. K.; *Ind.J.Chem.* **1979**, 17A, 300. c) Brown, H. C.; Gundu Rao, C.; Kulkarni, S. U.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1979**, 44, 2809. d) Panigrahi, G. P.; Mahapatro, D. D.; *Bull.Chem.Soc.Belg.* **1981**, 90, 927. e) Agarwal, S.; Tiwari, H. P.; Sharma, J. P.; *Tetrahedron* **1990**, 46, 1963. f) Chandra Mithula, M.; Murugesan, V.; Ananthkrishnanadar, P.; *Ind.J.Chem.* **1994**, 33A, 37.
- 195 a) Matsukura, H.; Hori, N.; Matsuo, G.; Nakata, T.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, 41, 7681. b) Enders, D.; Vicario, J. L.; Job, A.; Wolberg, M.; Müller, M.; *Chem.Eur.J.* **2002**, 8, 4272. c) Hanessian, S.; Roy, R.; *Can.J.Chem.* **1985**, 63, 163. d) G. Sierra, M.; Cravero, R. M.; Laborde, M. A.; Rúveda, E. A.; *J.Chem.Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1985**, 6, 1227.
- 196 Scott, L. T.; Cheng, P.-C.; Hashecu, M. M.; Bratcher, M. S.; Meyer, D. T.; Warren, H. B.; *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **1997**, 119, 10963.
- 197 Kawase, M.; Samejima, K.; Okada, M.; Ochi, K.; Matsunaga, I.; *Chem.Pharm.Bull.* **1985**, 33, 2395.
- 198 C. Neves, A. S.; Sá e Melo, M. L.; Moreno, M. J. S. M.; T. da Silva, E. J.; Salvador, J. A. R.; da Costa, S. P.; Martins, R. M. L. M.; *Tetrahedron* **1999**, 55, 3255.
- 199 a) Speckenbach, B.; Bisel, P.; Frahm, A. W.; *Synthesis* **1997**, 11, 1325. b) Ref. 230a.
- 200 Ma, C.; Nakamura, N.; Hattori, M.; *Chem.Pharm.Bull.* **2000**, 48, 1681.
- 201 Dauben, W. G.; Warshawsky, A. M.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1990**, 55, 3075.
- 202 a) Ref. 190. b) Piancatelli, G.; Scettri, A.; D'Auria, M.; *Synthesis* **1982**, 245.
- 203 a) Sheu, J.-H.; Yen, C.-F.; Huang, H.-C.; Vicent Hong, Y.-L.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1989**, 54, 5126. b) Baldwin, J. E.; Li, C.-S.; *J.Chem.Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1988**, 4, 261. c) Gross, K. M. B.; Beak, P.; *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **2001**, 123, 315. d) Tiecco, M.; Testaferri, L.; Temperini, A.; Bagnoli, L.; Marini, F.; Santi, C.; *Synth. Commun.* **1998**, 28, 2167.
- 204 Le Floc'h, Y.; Yvergnaux, F.; Toupet, L.; Grée, R.; *Bull.Soc.Chim.Fr.* **1991**, 128, 742.

- 205 a) Jung, M. E.; Johnson, T. W.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 1449. b) Ducray, P.; Rousseau, B.; Mioskowski, C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 3800. c) Hazra, B. G.; Joshi, P. L.; Bahule, B. B.; Argade, N. P.; Pore, V. S.; Chordia, M. D.; *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 2523.
- 206 a) Parish, E. J.; Honda, H.; *Synth. Commun.* **1990**, *20*, 1167. b) Abe, I.; Liu, W.; Oehlschlager, A. C.; Prestwich, G. D.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 9180. c) Seto, H.; Fujioka, S.; Takatsuto, S.; Koshino, H.; Shimizu, T.; Yoshida, S.; *Steroids* **2000**, *65*, 443. d) Parish, E. J.; Luo, C.; Parish, S.; Heidepriem, R. W.; *Synth. Commun.* **1992**, *22*, 2839.
- 207 a) Nicoletti, D.; Ghini, A. A.; Brachet-Cota, A. L.; Burton, G.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1995**, *9*, 1089. b) Veleiro, A. S.; Nevado, M. V.; Monteserin, M. C.; Burton, G.; *Steroids* **1995**, *60*, 268. c) Ferrara, A.; Benedetti, M. O. V.; Ghini, A. A.; Burton, G.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)*, **1993**, *7*, 276.
- 208 a) Johns, A.; Murphy, J. A.; Sherburn, M. S.; *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 7835. c) Bird, C. W.; Butler, H. I.; Coffee, E. C. J.; James, L. M.; Schmidl, B. W. C.; *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 5655.
- 209 a) Fadel, A.; Vandromme, L.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1999**, *10*, 1153. b) Fadel, A.; Salaün, J.; *Tetrahedron* **1985**, *41*, 413.
- 210 a) Shing, T. K. M.; Tang, Y.; *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 4571. b) Ref. 205a. c) Lange, G. L.; Humber, C. C.; Manthorpe, J. M.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2002**, *13*, 1355. d) Regueiro-Ren, K.; Kim, S.-H.; Höfle, G.; Kiffe, M.; Gougoutas, J. Z.; DiMarco, J. D.; Lee, F. Y. F.; Fairchild, C. R.; Long, B. H.; Vite, G. D.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 3815.
- 211 Tanis, S. P.; Herrinton, P. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 3988.
- 212 Lee, M.; Ikeda, I.; Kawabe, T.; Mori, S.; Kanematsu, K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 3406.
- 213 Herscovici, J.; Egron, M.-J.; Antonakis, K.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1982**, 1967.
- 214 a) Ref. 195b. b) Bolster, M. G.; Jansen, B. J. M.; de Groot, A.; *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 5275. c) Burnell, R.; Caron, S.; *Synth. Commun.* **1991**, *21*, 127. d) Ref. 192.
- 215 Kim, S.; Lawson, J. A.; Praticò, D.; FitzGerald, G. A.; Rokach, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 2801.
- 216 Ramírez, J. A.; Gros, E. G.; Galagovsky, D. E.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 6171.
- 217 Ohkita, M.; Kawai, H.; Tsuji, T.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **2002**, *3*, 366.
- 218 a) Panigrahi, G. P.; Mahapatro, D. D.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1980**, *19A*, 579. b) Panigrahi, G. P.; Mahapatro, D. D.; *Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg.* **1981**, *90*, 927.
- 219 Krohn, K.; Bernhard, S.; Flörke, V.; Hayat, N.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 3218.
- 220 Soler, T.; Bachki, A.; Falvello, L. R.; Foubelo, F.; Yus, M.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 493.
- 221 a) Adams, L. L.; Luzio, F. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 5387. b) Özlü, Y.; Cladingboel, D. E.; Parsons, P. J.; *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 2183. c) Ref. 198.
- 222 Chakraborty, V.; Bordoloi, M.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1999**, *2*, 118.
- 223 a) Harde, C.; Bohlmann, F.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 81. b) Ref. 221a. c) Khanapure, S. P.; Manna, S.; Rokach, J.; Murphy, R. C.; Wheelan, P.; Powell, W. S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 1806.
- 224 a) Little, R. D.; Muller, G. W.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 2744. b) Drouet, K. E.; Theodorakis, E. A.; *Chem. Eur. J.* **2000**, *6*, 1987.
- 225 Nemoto, H.; Nagai, M.; Abe, Y.; Moizumi, M.; Fukumoto, K.; Kametani, T.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1987**, *8*, 1727.
- 226 a) Kertesz, D. J.; Kluge, A. F.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 4962. b) Kluge, A. F.; Maddox, M. L.; Partridge, L. G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 2359. c) Bartlett, W. R.; Johnson, W. S.; Plummer, M. S.; Small Jr., V. R.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 2215. d) Walkup, R. D.; Obeyesekere, N. U.; *Synthesis* **1987**, *7*, 607. e) Zamponi, G. W.; Stotz, S. C.; Staples, R. J.; Andro, T. M.; Nelson, J. K.; Hulubei, V.; Blumenfeld, A.; Natale, N. R.; *J. Med. Chem.* **2003**, *46*, 87.
- 227 Misske, A. M.; Hoffmann, H. M. R.; *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 4315.
- 228 Fréchet, J. M. J.; Warnock, J.; Farrall, M. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 2618.
- 229 a) Cheng, Y.-S.; Liu, W.-L.; Chen, S.; *Synthesis* **1980**, 223. b) Rahman, S. M. A.; Ohno, H.; Yoshino, H.; Satoh, N.; Tsukaguchi, M.; Murakami, K.; Iwata, C.; Maezaki, N.; Tanaka,

- T.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 127. c) Nicoletti, D.; Ghini, A. A.; Burton, G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 6673.
- 230 Hudlicky, M. *Oxidations in Organic Chemistry*; ACS: Washington, DC, 1990, p. 284.
- 231 P. González, M. S.; D. Aciego, R. M.; L. Herrera, F. J.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 3715.
- 232 Paquette, L. A.; Meister, P. G.; Friedrich, D.; Sauer, D. R.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 49.
- 233 Taber, D. F.; Christos, T. E.; Hodge, C. N.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 2081.
- 234 Wipf, P.; Jung, J.-K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 6319.
- 235 Baig, M. H. A.; Baskaran, S.; Banerjee, S.; Trivedi, G. K.; *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 4723.
- 236 Waddell, T. G.; Carter, A. D.; Miller, T. J.; Pagni, R.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 381.
- 237 For a review on PCC oxidations see ref. 12b.
- 238 Paquette, L. A.; Earle, M. J.; Smith, G. F.; *Org. Synth. Coll. Vol. IX*, 132.
- 239 Fors, K. S.; Gage, J. R.; Heier, R. F.; Kelly, R. C.; Perrault, W. R.; Wicnienski, N.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 7348.
- 240 Piers, E.; Romero, M. A.; *Tetrahedron* **1993**, *49*, 5791.
- 241 Rich, R. H.; Bartlett, P. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 3916.
- 242 a) Clive, D. L. J.; Zhang, C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 1413. b) Kato, N.; Takeshita, H.; Tanaka, S.; Kataoka, H.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1989**, *10*, 1833. c) Asami, M.; Ishizaki, T.; Inoue, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 1893.
- 243 a) Ghorpade, S. R.; Kalkote, U. R.; Chavan, S. P.; Bhide, S. R.; Ravindranathan, T.; Puranik, V. G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 6803. b) de Frutos, O.; Atienza, C.; Echavarren, A. M.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *1*, 163. c) Takatsuto, S.; Gotoh, C.; Noguchi, T.; Nomura, T.; Fujiooka, S.; Yokota, T.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1998**, *4*, 206.
- 244 a) Ma, B.; Snyder, J. K.; *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*(22), 4688. b) Dujardin, G.; Rossignol, S.; Brown, E.; *Synthesis* **1998**, *5*, 763. c) Sugahara, T.; Kuroyanagi, Y.; Ogasawara, K.; *Synthesis* **1996**, *9*, 1101. d) Block, E.; Wall, A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 809.
- 245 a) Blechert, S.; Stapper, C.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *16*, 2855. b) Katoh, T.; Kakiya, K.; Nakai, T.; Nakamura, S.; Nishide, K.; Node, M.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2002**, *13*, 2351. c) Crich, D.; Ranganathan, K.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 12422.
- 246 a) Allevi, P.; Galligani, M.; Anastasia, M.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2002**, *13*, 1901. b) Tian, H.; She, X.; Shi, Y.; *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 715. c) Da Ros, T.; Prato, M.; Lucchini, V.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 4289.
- 247 a) Terauchi, T.; Morita, M.; Kimijima, K.; Nakamura, Y.; Hayashi, G.; Tanaka, T.; Kanoh, N.; Nakata, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 5505. b) Lee, M.; Ikeda, I.; Kawabe, T.; Mori, S.; Kanematsu, K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 3406. c) Yasukouchi, T.; Kanematsu, K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 6559.
- 248 Ficini, J.; Barbara, C.; Ouerfelli, O.; *Heterocycles* **1989**, *28*, 547.
- 249 a) Lautens, M.; Stammers, T. A.; *Synthesis* **2002**, *14*, 1993. b) Vakalopoulos, A.; Hoffmann, H. M. R.; *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 177. c) Wolbers, P.; Hoffmann, H. M. R.; *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 1905.
- 250 a) Furth, P. S.; Hwu, J. R.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 8842. b) Herczegh, P.; Zsély, M.; Szilágyi, L.; Batta, G.; Bajza, I.; Bognár, R.; *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 2793. c) Wang, S. S.; Rokach, J.; Powell, W. S.; Dekle, C.; Feinmark, S. J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 4051. d) Ref. 299a. e) Agócs, A.; Gunda, T. E.; Batta, G.; Kovács-Kulyassa, A.; Herczegh, P.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2001**, *12*, 469.
- 251 Ayer, W. A.; Talamas, F. X.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1988**, *66*, 1675.
- 252 Schrader, T. O.; Snapper, M. L.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 9685.
- 253 Piers, E.; Renaud, J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 11.
- 254 Herscovici, J.; Delatré, S.; Boumaíza, L.; Antonakis, K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 3928.
- 255 Hart, T. W.; Metcalfe, D. A.; Scheinmann, F.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1979**, 156.
- 256 Denmark, S. E.; Hammer, R. P.; Weber, E. J.; Habermas, K. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *51*, 165.
- 257 Rodríguez, A.; Nomen, M.; Spur, B. W.; Godfroid, J. J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 5161.
- 258 a) Sakamoto, K.; Tsutsui, S.; Ebata, K.; Kabuto, C.; Sakurai, H.; *Chem. Lett.* **2000**, *3*, 226. b) Bindl, J.; Burgemeister, T.; Daub, J.; *Chem. Ber.* **1985**, *118*, 4934.

- 259 Wang, Z.; S. Jiménez, L.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 6049.
- 260 Parish, E. J.; Kizito, S. A.; Heidepriem, R. W.; *Synth. Commun.* **1993**, *23*, 223.
- 261 Deiters, A.; Mück-Lichtenfeld, C.; Fröhlich, R.; Hoppe, D.; *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 1833.
- 262 a) Fetizon, M.; Goulaouic, P.; Hanna, I.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 6261. b) Baskaran, S.; Islam, I.; Raghavan, M.; Chandrasekaran, S.; *Chem. Lett.* **1987**, *6*, 1175.
- 263 a) Piancatelli, G.; Scettri, A.; D'Auria, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1977**, *39*, 3483. b) Naito, T.; Kojima, N.; Miyata, O.; Ninomiya, I.; Inoue, M.; Doi, M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1990**, *5*, 1271.
- 264 a) Narasimhan, V.; Rathore, R.; Chandrasekaran, S.; *Synth. Commun.* **1985**, *15*, 769. b) Parish, E. J.; Chitrakorn, S.; Wei, T. Y.; *Synth. Commun.* **1986**, *16*, 1371. c) Danishefsky, S. J.; Masters, J. J.; Young, W. B.; Link, J. T.; Snyder, L. B.; Magee, T. V.; Jung, D. K.; Isaacs, R. C. A.; Bornmann, W. G.; Alaimo, C. A.; Coburn, C. A.; Di Grandi, M. J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 2843.
- 265 a) Ref. 264b. b) Rathore, R.; Saxena, N.; Chandrasekaran, S.; *Synth. Commun.* **1986**, *16*, 1493.
- 266 Mosher, M. D.; Natale, N. R.; *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1995**, *32*, 79.
- 267 Sawyer, J. S.; Macdonald, T. L.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 4839.
- 268 a) García-Gómez, G.; Moretó, J. M.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *7*, 1359. b) Vlieghe, P.; Clerc, T.; Pannecouque, C.; Witrouw, M.; De Clercq, E.; Salles, J.-P.; Kraus, J.-L.; *J. Med. Chem.* **2001**, *44*, 3014. c) Ref. 261. d) Ko, S. Y.; Lee, A. W. M.; Masamune, S.; Reed, L. A.; Sharpless, K. B.; Walker, F. J.; *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 245. e) Battersby, A. R.; Baker, M. G.; Broadbent, H. A.; Fookes, C. J. R.; Leeper, F. J.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1987**, *9*, 2027. f) Danishefsky, S.; Berman, E. M.; Ciufolini, M.; Etheredge, S. J.; Segmuller, B. E.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 3891.
- 269 a) Ballini, R.; Bosica, G.; *J. Nat. Prod.* **1998**, *61*, 673. b) Wu, H.-J.; Huang, F.-J.; Lin, C.-C.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1991**, *11*, 770. c) Wu, H.-J.; Pan, K.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1987**, *12*, 898. d) Petrini, M.; Ballini, R.; Rosini, G.; Marotta, E.; *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 151.
- 270 a) Baker, R.; Cottrell, I. F.; Ravenscroft, P. D.; Swain, C. J.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1985**, *11*, 2463. b) Roussis, V.; Hubert, T. D.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1992**, *5*, 539.
- 271 Piancatelli, G.; Scettri, A.; D'Auria, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1977**, *25*, 2199.
- 272 a) Ref. 86. b) Bacigaluppo, J. A.; Colombo, M. I.; Zinczuk, J.; Huber, S. N.; Mischne, M. P.; Rúveda, E. A.; *Synth. Commun.* **1991**, *21*, 1361.
- 273 a) Raun, J.; Nielsen, P.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **2001**, *9*, 985. b) Kigoshi, H.; Sawada, A.; Imamura, Y.; Niwa, H.; Yamada, K.; *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 2551. c) Vedejs, E.; Buchanan, R. A.; Watanabe, Y.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 8430. d) Hua, D. H.; Venkataraman, S.; Chan-Yu-King, R.; Pankstelis, J. V.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 4741. e) Vedejs, E.; Buchanan, R. A.; Conrad, P.; Meier, G. P.; Mullins, M. J.; Watanabe, Y.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 5878.
- 274 Ireland, R. E.; Smith, M. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 854.
- 275 Weyerstahl, P.; Buchmann, B.; Marschall-Weyerstahl, H.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1988**, *6*, 507.
- 276 Domon, K.; Mori, K.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *22*, 3783.
- 277 a) Breit, B.; Zahn, S. K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 4870. b) Clive, D. L. J.; Sannigrahi, M.; Hisaindee, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 954. c) Aburel, P. S.; Rømming, C.; Ma, K.; Undheim, K.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **2001**, *12*, 1458. d) Christoffers, J.; Oertling, H.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 1339. e) Periers, A.-M.; Laurin, P.; Benedetti, Y.; Lachaud, S.; Ferroud, D.; Iltis, A.; Haesslein, J.-L.; Klich, M.; L'Hermite, G.; Musicki, B.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 867.
- 278 a) Kawamata, T.; Nagashima, K.; Nakai, R.; Tsuji, T.; *Synth. Commun.* **1996**, *26*, 139. b) Ihara, M.; Katogi, M.; Fukumoto, K.; Kametani, T.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1988**, *11*, 2963.
- 279 a) Ref. 163. b) Ref. 280b.

- 280 a) Bäckström, P.; Okecha, S.; De Silva, N.; Wijekoon, D.; Norin, T.; *Acta Chem.Scand. B* **1982**, *36*, 31. b) Carnell, A. J.; Casy, G.; Gorins, G.; Kompany-Saeid, A.; McCague, R.; Olivo, H. F.; Roberts, S. M.; Willetts, A. J.; *J.Chem.Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1994**, *23*, 3431.
- 281 Chu, A.; Mander, L. N.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 2727.
- 282 a) Parish, E. J.; Honda, H.; Chitakorn, S.; Liuant, P.; *Lipids* **1991**, *26*, 675. b) Suginome, H.; Senboku, H.; Yamada, S.; *J.Chem.Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1990**, *8*, 2199. c) Parish, E. J.; Honda, H.; *Synth.Commun.* **1990**, *20*, 1167. d) Tso, H.-H.; Chou, T.-shue; Hung, S. C.; *J.Chem.Soc., Chem.Commun.* **1987**, *20*, 1552.
- 283 Quirin, M. J.; Taran, M.; Delmond, B.; *Can.J.Chem.* **1996**, *74*, 1852.
- 284 a) Towne, T. B.; McDonald, F. E.; *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 6022. b) Morimoto, Y.; Muragaki, K.; Iwai, T.; Morishita, Y.; Kinoshita, T.; *Angew.Chem.Int.Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 4082. c) Ref. 119. d) Corey, E. J.; Ha, D.-C.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 3171.
- 285 a) Ref. 284d. b) Ref. 284b.
- 286 Rubello, A.; Vogel, P.; *Helv.Chim.Acta* **1988**, *71*, 1268.
- 287 Faivre, V.; Lila, C.; Saroli, A.; Doutheau, A.; *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 7765.
- 288 a) Chakraborty, T. K.; Chandrasekaran, S.; *Chem.Lett.* **1985**, *5*, 551. b) Ref. 285a.
- 289 Schlecht, M. F.; Kim, H.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 583.
- 290 De Cusati, P. F.; Olofson, R. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 1409.
- 291 Antonioletti, R.; D'Auria, M.; De Mico, A.; Piancatelli, G.; Scettri, A.; *Synthesis* **1983**, *11*, 890.
- 292 a) Negishi, E.-ichi; Tan, Z.; Liou, S.-Y.; Liao, B.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 10197. b) Marshall, J. A.; Robinson, E. D.; Lebreton, J.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 227. c) Grove, J. F.; *J.Chem.-Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1990**, *1*, 115. d) Shen, B.; Whittle, Y. G.; Gould, S. J.; Keszler, D. A.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 4422. e) Abe, I.; Liu, W.; Oehlschlager, A. C.; Prestwich, G. D.; *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 9180.
- 293 See, for example: a) Koviach, J. L.; Chappell, M. D.; Halcomb, R. L.; *J.Org.Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 2318. b) Wang, W.; Zhang, Y.; Sollogoub, M.; Sinaÿ, P.; *Angew.Chem.Int.Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 2466. c) Dujardin, G.; Rossignol, S.; Brown, E.; *Synthesis* **1998**, *5*, 763. d) Takao, K.; Ochiai, H.; Yoshida, K.; Hashizuka, T.; Koshimura, H.; Tadano, K.; Ogawa, S.; *J.Org.-Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 8179.
- 294 Tietze, L. F.; Henke, S.; Bärtels, C.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 7145.
- 295 Job, A.; Wolberg, M.; Müller, M.; Enders, D.; *Synlett* **2001**, *11*, 1796.
- 296 See, for example: a) Cossy, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 4113. b) Ref. 226d. c) Fraser-Reid, B.; Molino, B. F.; Magdzinski, L.; Mootoo, D. R.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 4505. d) Ref. 297d. e) Bernet, B.; Bishop, P. M.; Caron, M.; Kawamata, T.; Roy, B. L.; Ruest, L.; Savé, G.; Soucy, P.; Deslongchamps, P.; *Can.J.Chem.* **1985**, *63*, 2810.
- 297 a) Shaw, J. T.; Woerpel, K. A.; *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 8747. b) Hariprakash, H. K.; Subba Rao, G. S. R.; *Ind.J.Chem.* **1998**, *37B*, 851. c) Miyashita, M.; Suzuki, T.; Yoshikoshi, A.; *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 3728. d) Townsend, C. A.; Christensen, S. B.; Davis, S. G.; *J.Chem.Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1988**, *4*, 839. e) Hunger, J.; Wolff, C.; Tochtermann, W.; Peters, E.-M.; Peters, K.; von Schnering, H. G.; *Chem.Ber.* **1986**, *119*, 2698. f) Anjaneyulu, A. S. R.; Santi Kumar, D.; Sastry, Ch. V. M.; Umasundari, P.; *Ind.J.Chem.* **1994**, *33B*, 839. g) Anjaneyulu, A. S. R.; Sastry, Ch. V. M.; Umasundari, P.; Satyanarayana, P.; *Ind.J.Chem.* **1987**, *26B*, 305. h) Nájera, C.; Yus, M.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 1491.
- 298 a) Medarde, M.; Tomé, F.; López, J. L.; Caballero, E.; Boya, M.; Melero, C. P.; San Feliciano, A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 8683. b) Shizuri, Y.; Suyama, K.; Yamamura, S.; *J.Chem.Soc., Chem.Commun.* **1986**, *1*, 63.
- 299 a) Pieper, P. A.; Yang, D.-yah; Zhou, H.-qiang; Liu, H.-wen; *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 1809. b) Marshall, J. A.; Gung, W. Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 3899. c) Dasaradhi, L.; Jagadishwar Rao, S.; Bhalerao, U. T.; *Ind.J.Chem.* **1988**, *27B*, 167.
- 300 a) Dounay, A. B.; Urbaneck, R. A.; Frydrychowski, V. A.; Forsyth, C. J.; *J.Org.Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 925. b) Lee, J.; Lewin, N. E.; Blumberg, P. M.; Márquez, V. E.; *Biorg.Med.Chem.Lett.* **1994**, *4*, 2405. c) Młynarski, J.; Banaszek, A.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 3737.

- 301 a) Mitome, H.; Miyaoka, H.; Yamada, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 8107. b) F. Barrero, A.; Álvarez-Manzaneda, E. J.; Álvarez-Manzaneda, R.; Chahboun, R.; Meneses, R.; Aparicio B., M.; *Synlett* **1999**, *6*, 713. c) Hwu, J. R.; Furth, P. S.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 8834.
- 302 a) Kasmai, H. S.; Mischke, S. G.; Blake, T. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 2267. b) Dasaradhi, L.; Bhalariao, U. T.; *Synth. Commun.* **1987**, *17*, 1845.
- 303 a) Padwa, A.; Brodney, M. A.; Dimitroff, M.; Liu, B.; Wu, T.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 3119. b) Bringmann, G.; Ochse, M.; Götz, R.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 2069. c) Hua, D. H.; Bharathi, S. N.; Robinson, P. D.; Tsujimoto, A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 2128. d) Güller, R.; Dobler, M.; Borschberg, H.-J.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1991**, *74*, 1636. e) Galli, R.; Dobler, M.; Güller, R.; Stahl, R.; Borschberg, H.-J.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2002**, *85*, 3400. f) Itoh, T.; Yamazaki, N.; Kibayashi, C.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2469.
- 304 Takatsu, N.; Noguchi, M.; Ohmiya, S.; Otomasu, H.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1987**, *35*, 4990.
- 305 a) Node, M.; Nagasawa, H.; Fuji, K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 517. b) Karvinen, E.; Lounasmaa, M.; *Heterocycles* **1992**, *34*, 1773.
- 306 a) Andrés, C.; Maestro, G.; Nieto, J.; Pedrosa, R.; García-Granda, S.; Pérez-Carreño, E.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 1463. b) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Duque-Soladana, J. P.; Rosón, C. D.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 2809. c) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Duque-Soladana, J. P.; Mendiguchía, P.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *22*, 3727. d) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Iglesias, J. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 243. e) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Iglesias, J. M.; Pérez-Encabo, A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 1817.
- 307 a) Tret'yakov, E. V.; Tkachev, A. V.; Rybalova, T. V.; Gatilov, Y. V.; Knight, D. W.; Vasilevsky, S. F.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 10075. b) Temal-Laieb, T.; Chastanet, J.; Zhu, J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 583. c) Kimura, R.; Nagano, T.; Kinoshita, H.; *Bull. Chem.-Soc. Jpn.* **2002**, *75*, 2517. d) Evans, K. A.; Beshah, K.; Young, D. H.; Fujimoto, T. T.; Tice, C. M.; Michelotti, E. L.; *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 2223.
- 308 a) Salehi, P.; Farrokhi, A.; Gholizadeh, M.; *Synth. Commun.* **2001**, *31*, 2777. b) Kasmai, H. S.; Mischke, S. G.; *Synthesis* **1989**, *10*, 763.
- 309 Lhoták, P.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 4775.
- 310 a) Hauser, F. M.; Caringal, Y.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 555. b) House, D.; Kerr, F.; Warren, S.; *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, *18*, 1779. c) Fukuyama, Y.; Hirono, M.; Kodama, M.; *Chem. Lett.* **1992**, *1*, 167. d) Ref. 268a.
- 311 Padwa, A.; Heidelbanch, T. M.; Kuethe, J. T.; McClure, M. S.; Wang, Q.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 5928.
- 312 Sugimura, T.; Paquete, L. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 3017.
- 313 Yamamura, M.; Suzuki, T.; Hashimoto, H.; Yoshimura, J.; Shin, C.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1985**, *58*, 2812.
- 314 a) Ref. 313. b) Bull, J. R.; Steer, L. M.; *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 5389. c) Sabitha Kumari, T.; Patel, H. A.; Dev, S.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1988**, *27B*, 1076. d) Tori, M.; Sono, M.; Asakawa, Y.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1989**, *37*, 534.
- 315 a) Valverde, S.; López, J. C.; Rabanal, R. M.; Escudero, J.; *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 573. b) Yates, P.; Stiver, S.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1987**, *65*, 2203. c) Iida, T.; Momose, T.; Chang, F. C.; Goto, J.; Nambara, T.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1989**, *37*, 3323. d) Kinder Jr., F. R.; Chin, J.; Shapiro, M. J.; Bair, K. W.; *Synth. Commun.* **1998**, *28*, 2541. e) Ramírez, J. A.; T. Centurión, O. M.; Gros, E. G.; Galagovsky, L. R.; *Steroids* **2000**, *65*, 329.
- 316 a) Veleiro, A. S.; Nevado, M. V.; Monteserín, M. C.; Burton, G.; *Steroids* **1995**, *60*, 268. b) Yoshimitsu, T.; Ohshiba, Y.; Ogasawara, K.; *Synthesis* **1994**, *10*, 1029. c) Heumann, A.; Kaldy, S.; Tenaglia, A.; *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 539. d) Heumann, A.; Kaldy, S.; Tenaglia, A.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1993**, *4*, 420.
- 317 a) Deardorff, D. R.; Shambayati, S.; Myles, D. C.; Heerding, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 3614. b) Welzel, P.; Hobert, K.; Ponty, A.; Neunert, D.; Klein, H.; Milkova, T.; *Tetrahedron* **1985**, *41*, 4509.

- 318 Iglesias-Guerra, F.; Candela, J. L.; Espartero, J. L.; Vega-Pérez, J. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 5031.
- 319 Gallasch, B. A. W.; Spitteller, G.; *Lipids* **2000**, *35*, 953.
- 320 Shing, T. K. M.; Tang, Y.; *J.Chem.Soc., Chem.Commun.* **1990**, *10*, 748.
- 321 Babler, J. H.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 4614.
- 322 Pohmakotr, M.; Popuang, S.; Chancharunee, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 1715.
- 323 a) Ref. 316b. b) Ref. 316c. c) Ref. 316d. d) Ref. 317b.
- 324 Some examples in which no elimination happens are:
- β -hydroxyalcohol: a) Mehta, G.; Krishnamurthy, N.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 5945. b) Armesto, N.; Ferrero, M.; Fernández, S.; Gotor, V.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 8759. c) Fraser-Reid, B.; Magdzinski, L.; Molino, B. F.; Mootoo, D. R.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 4495.
 - β -bromoalcohol: Alvernhe, G.; Anker, D.; Laurent, A.; Haufe, G.; Beguin, C.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 3551.
 - β -alkoxyalcohol: a) Fairbanks, A. J.; Sinaÿ, P.; *Synlett* **1995**, *3*, 277. b) Rashid, A.; Taylor, G. M.; Wood, W. W.; Alker, D.; *J.Chem.Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1990**, *5*, 1289.
 - β -carboxyalcohol: Momok, T.; Toyooka, N.; Jin, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 5389.
 - β -silyloxyalcohol: Hua, D. H.; Venkataraman, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 3765.
- 325 Dauben, W. G.; Michno, D. M.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 682.
- 326 Venkataraman, H.; Cha, J. K.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 2505.
- 327 Mehta, G.; Chattopadhyay, S. K.; Umarye, J. D.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 4881.
- 328 Tuhina, K.; Bhowmik, D. R.; Venkateswaran, R. V.; *Chem.Commun.* **2002**, *6*, 634.
- 329 Corey, E. J.; Ensley, H. E.; Suggs, J. W.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1976**, *41*, 380.
- 330 Cossy, J.; BouzBouz, S.; Laghgar, M.; Tabyaoui, B.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 823.
- 331 Ermolenko, L.; Sasaki, N. A.; Potier, P.; *Synlett* **2001**, *10*, 1565.
- 332 Tadano, K.; Maeda, H.; Hoshino, M.; Iimura, Y.; Suami, T.; *Chem.Lett.* **1986**, *7*, 1081.
- 333 Ingham, S.; Turner, R. W.; Wallace, T. W.; *J.Chem.Soc., Chem.Commun.* **1985**, *23*, 1664.
- 334 a) Csuk, R.; Dörr, P.; Kühn, M.; Krieger, C.; Irngartinger, H.; Oeser, T.; Antipin, M. Y.; *Z.Naturforsch.* **1999**, *54b*, 1079. b) Morita, H.; Simizu, K.; Takizawa, H.; Aiyama, R.; Itokawa, H.; *Chem.Pharm.Bull.* **1988**, *36*, 3156. c) Williams, D. R.; Brown, D. L.; Benbow, J. W.; *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 1923. d) Tu, Y. Q.; Ren, S. K.; Jia, Y. X.; Wang, B. M.; Chan, A. S. C.; Choi, M. C. K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 2141. e) Giannetti, B. M.; Steffan, B.; Steglich, W.; Quack, W.; Anke, T.; *Tetrahedron* **1986**, 3579.


1.6. Other Chromium-Based Oxidants

1.6.1. Chromic Acid


Chromium trioxide in aqueous solution equilibrates with a number of species, and chromic acid, being the most abundant one under acidic conditions (see page 1). Thus, a mixture of chromium trioxide and sulfuric acid is often referred to as a “chromic acid” solution. Such solution can also be obtained by the action of sulfuric acid on sodium dichromate ($\text{Na}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$) or potassium dichromate ($\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$).

So far, the most common experimental conditions used for the oxidation of alcohols with chromic acid are the so-called Jones oxidation; first described in 1946, in which acetone is used as co-solvent. In fact, the use of chromic acid in the oxidation of alcohols has a long tradition in organic synthesis. As soon as in the 19th century, Beckmann described³³⁵ an oxidation of alcohol with aqueous chromic acid, in which no mixing of phases was


facilitated by the addition of an organic solvent. Such crude procedure, which very often results in a sludge of suspended organic matter in water, may offer sometimes the advantage of avoiding emulsions, and finds occasional use even nowadays.³³⁶



In 1901, Kiliani *et al.*³³⁷ described the use of a solution of chromic acid in acetic acid and water, prepared by mixing sodium dichromate, sulfuric acid, acetic acid and water. The resulting “Kiliani reagent” is occasionally used for the oxidation of alcohols.³³⁸ In 1954, Gastamide³³⁹ described a similar reagent in which no water is added. This procedure was rediscovered in 1989³⁴⁰ and offers the distinctive advantage of the good solubilizing power of acetic acid for both polar and apolar compounds. Chromic acid in acetic acid—with³⁴¹ or without^{342b} water included—has been prepared using either sodium³⁴³ or potassium³⁴¹ dichromate, or chromium trioxide³⁴² as the source of chromic acid. A study of the kinetics of oxidation of alcohols with chromic acid in acetic acid has also been made.³⁴⁴ Occasionally, no sulfuric acid is added to the reaction; in fact, this variant being described earlier than the Gastamide paper. Thus, the use of a mixture of potassium dichromate and acetic acid was first described in 1934, and is referred as the method of Asahina and Ishidate,³⁴⁵ while the employment of sodium dichromate in aqueous acetic acid was reported in 1948, and has been described as the method of Erne and Erlenmeyer.³⁴⁶ Fieser reagent, comprised of a suspension of chromic trioxide in anhydrous acetic acid, must also be mentioned.³⁴⁷




In 1961, Brown³⁴⁸ described the oxidation of alcohols, using a two-phase system with aqueous chromic acid and diethyl ether. Brown's oxidation³⁴⁹ has a work-up, facilitated by the reluctance of ether to form emulsions with materials containing chromium, and although not as popular as Jones oxidation, it is used quite often.



Interestingly, very few examples involving other organic solvents, apart from acetone, acetic acid or diethyl ether, are found in the literature in chromic acid oxidations of alcohols. Rarely used organic solvents include: ethyl acetate,³⁵⁰ benzene,³⁵¹ chlorobenzene,³⁵² dioxane³⁵³ and DMSO.³⁵⁴

Phase-transfer conditions can be used in a two-phase system, consisting of aqueous chromic acid and dichloromethane with tetrabutylammonium bisulfate³⁵⁵ or benzyltriethylammonium chloride³⁵⁶ as phase-transfer catalysts.



Finally, the use of some chromic acid species deposited on silica particles must be mentioned.³⁵⁷

Interestingly, in Jones oxidation, chromic acid is almost always generated from chromium trioxide; while in the rest of the chromic acid oxidations, sodium or potassium dichromate are almost exclusively used. This seems to be the result of an irrational tradition originated since the reagents

were first employed in the seminal papers. Chromium trioxide looks a better choice in all oxidations, because of its more economical price.

1.6.2. Chromium Trioxide and Pyridine

Chromium trioxide forms the complex $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ on reaction with pyridine. This complex is very effective in the oxidation of alcohols and, depending in the way it is generated, results in different reagents possessing the names of their discoverers. Thus, Sarett reagent,³⁵⁸ first described in 1953, is formed when chromium trioxide is added over excess of pyridine, resulting in a solution of $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ in pyridine. As the preparation of Sarett reagent is tedious and dangerous, in 1962, Cornforth reagent³⁵⁹ was introduced, whereby chromium trioxide is added to pyridine as an aqueous solution, resulting in a much more comfortable and safe preparation of the complex. Both Sarett and Cornforth reagents suffer from the need to use them in excess in a very basic pyridine solution. These problems were overcome by the use of Collins reagent, in which the complex $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ is used in dichloromethane solution. In 1968, Collins⁸ described the preparation and isolation of the complex $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$, that can be stored and later used in dichloromethane solution for the oxidation of alcohols in almost neutral conditions, with no need to use a great excess of oxidant. In 1970, a great experimental improvement on Collins oxidation was introduced by Ratcliffe,¹⁰ by which the complex $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ was prepared *in situ* by adding CrO_3 and pyridine to dichloromethane; thus, avoiding the need to isolate and handle the complex $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$, which is quite hygroscopic. Nowadays, Sarett and Cornforth reagents are rarely used, while Collins oxidations are normally performed using the Ratcliffe variant, in which $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Py}$ is prepared *in situ*.

1.6.3. Dichromate Salts

So far, the most commonly used dichromate salt in the oxidation of alcohols is pyridinium dichromate (PDC). It possesses the advantages of being soluble in organic solvents, easy to prepare and having some extra reactivity due to the slightly acidic nature of the pyridinium counter-ion. In fact, under proper conditions the cheap and simple inorganic dichromate salts, sodium dichromate ($\text{Na}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$) and potassium dichromate ($\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$) are also able to oxidize alcohols, in spite of its lack of solubility in most organic solvents and its decreasing reactivity. Thus, $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ can be used as oxidant of alcohols if brought into an organic solution by employing a dipolar organic solvent, like DMF³⁶² or DMSO,³⁶³ or by using two equivalents of Adogen 464 as phase-transfer reagent in benzene.³⁶⁴ Even the simple procedure of mixing finely ground potassium dichromate with an alcohol, in the absence of solvent, may result in a useful oxidation.³⁶⁵ Other alternatives of oxidation of alcohols with neutral sodium or potassium dichromate include, the use of a two-phase system of water and benzene,^{351b} and the

employment of a silica-supported reagent.³⁶⁶ It is important to stress that, when sodium or potassium dichromate are used in the presence of sulfuric acid or other strong acids, the real oxidizing reagent is chromic acid (see page 83).

The oxidation of alcohols with metal dichromates, other than sodium or potassium dichromate, has been little explored. Hydrated zinc dichromate ($\text{ZnCr}_2\text{O}_7 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$)^{367, 368a} and ferric dichromate [$\text{Fe}_2(\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7)_3$],^{368b}—which are very easy to prepare as stable solids—are able to oxidize alcohols in organic solvents.³⁶⁸ Zinc dichromate is particularly efficient in the transformation of α -hydroxyphosphonates into α -ketophosphonates.³⁶⁹

Ammonium dichromates, other than pyridinium dichromate, have been scarcely used in the oxidation of alcohols, regardless of their easy preparation. It seems that the ammonium contra-ion may have a profound effect on the reactivity of the dichromate anion. For instance, the simple ammonium dichromate— $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ —is able to oxidize alcohols only when very exacting experimental conditions are employed.³⁷⁰ Quinolinium (QDC),³⁷¹ isoquinolinium (iQDC),³⁷² bis(benzyltriethylammonium),³⁷³ 2- and 4-benzylpyridinium,³⁷⁴ benzimidazolium,³⁷⁵ *n*-butyltriphenylphosphonium,³⁷⁶ 1-benzyl-4-aza-1-azoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane³⁷⁷ and naphthyridinium (NapDC)³⁷⁸ dichromates have been shown to be able to oxidize alcohols. Although little explored, some of them seem to offer some advantages over PDC regarding solubility in apolar solvents and oxidation selectivity.

A compound prepared and first described as nicotinium dichromate (NDC) by Palomo *et al.*,³⁷⁹ was later shown by X-ray-crystal analysis³⁸⁰ to be a betainic mixed anhydride of nicotinic and chromic acid (NACAA). Because of its unique structure, it deserves a close scrutiny of its oxidative properties.³⁸¹ Replacement of the chloride anion in the quaternary ammonium resin, Dowex 1-X8, for the dichromate anion, leads to a polymer supported dichromate, which is able to make selective benzylic oxidations.³⁸² Finally, poly[vinyl(pyridinium dichromate)] (PVPDC), a polymeric analogue of PDC, must be mentioned whose use in the oxidation of alcohols allows for a very easy work-up.³⁸³

1.6.4. Halochromate Salts

Ammonium chlorochromates are prepared by mixing chromium trioxide and an amine in hydrochloric acid, and collecting the crystals. For historical reasons, the most thoroughly used and investigated is pyridinium chlorochromate, although chlorochromates possessing other ammonium cations may offer some advantages. Even though, the oxidizing power resides on the chlorochromate anion, the ammonium part modulates the oxidizing reactivity by providing differential acidic catalyses. Thus, the less acidic *p*-dimethylaminopyridinium chlorochromate (DMAPCC)³⁸⁴ is a milder oxidant than pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC), and is able to selectively oxidize allylic alcohols. Similarly, quinolinium chlorochromate

(QCC)³⁸⁵ is able to regioselectively oxidize primary alcohols in the presence of secondary ones. Tetrabutylammonium (TBACC),^{386, 387j} butyltriphenylphosphonium (BTPPCC)³⁸⁸ and benzyltriphenylphosphonium³⁸⁹ chlorochromates, as they possess no acidic protons, behave as very mild oxidants able to perform selective oxidations on allylic and benzylic alcohols.

On the other hand, isoquinolinium (iQCC),^{385b} *p*-methylpyridinium (γ -PCC)³⁹⁰ and trimethylammonium (TMACC)³⁹¹ chlorochromates closely resemble the oxidizing behaviour of PCC. *p*-Methylpyridinium chlorochromate has the distinctive advantage over PCC of containing *p*-methylpyridine that is less toxic than pyridine.

2,6-Dicarboxypyridinium chlorochromate (2,6-DCPCC)³⁹² possesses an acidic character that allows the *in situ* deprotection and oxidation of alcohols, protected as tetrahydropyranyl and trimethylsilyl ethers. 2,2'-Bipyridinium chlorochromate (BPCC)³⁹³ contains a ligand that complexes efficiently with the reduced chromium species, generated during the oxidation of alcohols, allowing for a substantial simplification of the work-ups. For this reason, it enjoys a popularity among chlorochromates surpassed by only PCC.

Other ammonium chlorochromates, occasionally used in the oxidation of alcohols, include: pyrazinium-*N*-oxide (PzOCC),³⁷⁸ naphthyridinium (NapCC),³⁹⁴ pyrazinium (PzCC),³⁹⁴ tripyridinium hydrochloride (TPCC),^{378a} triethylammonium,^{378b} imidazolium and 1-methylimidazolium,^{194e} and benzyltrimethylammonium (BTMACC)^{387j} chlorochromates.

Interestingly, the little studied inorganic chlorochromates, potassium³⁹⁷ and magnesium³⁹⁸ chlorochromates are very easy to prepare and are soluble in polar organic solvents, like acetone or acetonitrile. They are able to efficiently oxidize secondary alcohols to ketones, although they provide only low yields of aldehydes on the oxidation of primary alcohols.

Ammonium fluoro and bromochromates can be prepared in an analogous manner than the chlorochromates by mixing chromium trioxide, an amine and the corresponding hydrohalic acid in water, and collecting the crystals. Fluorochromates are less acidic and, therefore, less reactive than chlorochromates, while bromochromates are more acidic and more reactive. Pyridinium fluorochromate (PFC)³⁸⁷ and quinolinium fluorochromate on alumina³⁹⁹ have efficiently been used in the oxidation of alcohols as less acidic counterparts of PCC, needing no addition of a buffer. The use of the polymeric analogue of PFC, poly[vinyl(pyridinium fluorochromate)] has also been described.⁴⁰⁰ Pyridinium bromochromate (PBC)⁴⁰¹ is a little studied analogue of PCC with a stronger oxidizing power. Quinolinium fluorochromate (QFC)⁴⁰² is a very mild oxidant, able to deprotect primary TBS ethers in the presence of secondary ones, thanks to the presence of fluoride. The liberated alcohols are oxidized *in situ* to aldehydes.


3,5-Dimethylpyrazolinium fluorochromate,⁴⁰³ isoquinolinium fluorochromate (iQFC)⁴⁰⁴ and quinolinium bromochromate (QBC)⁴⁰⁵ have also been described as halochromates able to oxidize alcohols.

1.6.5. Oxidations Using Catalytic Chromium Compounds


A great effort is dedicated to the development of methodologies for the oxidation of alcohols, involving catalytic quantities of chromium compounds, which are re-oxidized with other oxidants present in excess.⁴⁰⁶ Using chromium compounds in catalytic amounts is environmentally sound, and often facilitates the work-ups.

Chromium compounds used in catalytic amounts for the oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes and ketones include:

- Cr(0) compounds, like $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$,⁴⁰⁷
- Cr(III) compounds, like Cr(III) hydroxide deposited on montmorillonite,⁴⁰⁸ Cr(III) stearate,⁴⁰⁹ $\text{Cr}(\text{acac})_3$,^{409b} Cr(III) on a perfluorinated sulfonic resin (NAFK),⁴¹⁰ chloro(tetraphenylporphyrinate)chromium(III) [(TPP)CrCl] (**6**)⁴¹¹ and (salen)oxochromium(III) complex (**7**),^{412,413}



- Cr(VI) compounds, like CrO_3 ,⁴¹⁴ PDC,⁴¹⁵ PCC,⁴¹⁶ $(\text{OCMe}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_2\text{O})\text{CrO}_2$ ⁴¹⁷ and a chromium substituted aluminophosphate (CrAPO-5),⁴¹⁸
- Bimetallic complexes containing chromium like **8**.⁴¹⁹



As oxidants (used in excess), the following reagents were tried: *t*-butyl hydroperoxide,^{407,408,410,414,409,418} cumyl hydroperoxide,^{414b} hydrogen peroxide,^{415c} air,⁴¹⁹ oxygen,⁴¹⁸ peracetic acid,⁴¹⁷ bis(trimethylsilyl)peroxide,^{415a,b; 416} sodium perborate,⁴²⁰ iodosobenzene^{411,412,413} and iodosobenzene diacetate.⁴¹³

Table 1.4. Lists the combinations of catalytic chromium compounds and oxidants (used in excess) employed in the oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes and ketones.

Catalytic chromium compound	Oxidant used in excess	Molar ratio chromium compound/oxidant in excess/alcohol	Observations	References
Cr(CO) ₆	<i>t</i> -BuOOH	0.25/3/1	MeCN, 19 h, reflux	407
Cr(III) montmorillonite	<i>t</i> -BuOOH	0.025/1.05/1	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 18–20 h, r.t.	408
Cr(St) ₃	<i>t</i> -BuOOH	—	80–125 °C	409
Cr(acac) ₃	<i>t</i> -BuOOH	0.02/2/1	PhH, 6 h, 80 °C	409b
Cr/NAFK	<i>t</i> -BuOOH	0.034/4/1	PhCl, 6 h, 85 °C	410
(TPP)CrCl	PhIO	—	r.t.	411
(Salen)oxochromium(III) complex (7)	PhIO	0.15/1.5/1	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 20 °C	392,413
(Salen)oxochromium(III) complex (7)	PhI(OAc) ₂	0.1/1.5/1	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 1 h, 20 °C	413
CrO ₃	<i>t</i> -BuOOH	0.05–0.1/1–4/1	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 8–17 h, r.t.,	414
CrO ₃	NaBO ₃	0.1/7/1	PhH:H ₂ O(1:1), 24 h, 60 °C	400
CrO ₃	PhCM ₂ OOH	—	—	414b
PDC	Me ₃ SiOO ₂ SiMe ₃	0.1/0.5/1	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 0.5 h, 25 °C	415a,b
PDC	H ₂ O ₂	0.1/6/1	0.2 eq. adogen 464, 1,2-dichloroethane, 24 h, 80 °C	415c
PCC	Me ₃ SiOO ₂ SiMe ₃	—	—	416
(OCMe ₂ CH ₂ CM ₂ O)CrO ₂	MeCO ₃ H	—	—	417
CrAPO-5	<i>t</i> -BuOOH	0.14/5/10	PhCl, 16 h, 85 °C	418
CrAPO-5	O ₂	—	—	418
Bimetallic complexes 8	air	5 mol% catalyst	MeCN, 72 h, 70 °C	419

Table 1.4. lists the combinations of catalytic chromium compounds and oxidants (used in excess) employed in the oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes and ketones.

Although the oxidations using catalytic chromium compounds are industrially attractive, none of them has found a widespread use in organic synthesis, because its versatility and efficiency in complex substrates have not been demonstrated.

1.6.6. Miscellaneous

A suspension of chromium trioxide in dichloromethane, although able to oxidize alcohols, produces a very sluggish and low yielding transformation into aldehydes and ketones, because of the heterogeneous nature of the reaction. The addition of a catalytic amount of a crown ether,⁴²¹ or a quaternary ammonium salt,⁴²² causes a substantial increase in reaction speed and yield. Alternatively, chromium trioxide in a mixture of dichloromethane and diethyl ether is able to oxidize alcohols in good yields, particularly when celite is added to facilitate the work-up.⁴²³ Chromium trioxide deposited on alumina is very efficient in the transformation of 1-hydroxyphosphonates into acyl phosphonates.⁴²⁴ Recently, it has been reported that solid CrO_3 in a solvent-free system is able to efficiently oxidize liquid primary alcohols to aldehydes.⁴²⁵ Chromium trioxide intercalated in graphite is able to oxidize primary alcohols in a very good yield, while secondary alcohols are almost inert to this reagent.^{426a}

Chromium peroxide (CrO_5), obtained by the oxidation of chromium trioxide with hydrogen peroxide, reacts with amines forming complexes, like 2,2'-bipyridylchromium (BPCP) and pyridinechromium (PCP) peroxides, that oxidize efficiently alcohols to aldehydes and ketones.^{426b}

Pyridinium and quaternary ammonium resins react with chromium trioxide, producing polymer-supported complex chromates that oxidize alcohols, and provide a very facile work-up.⁴²⁷

The mixture of chromium trioxide with one equivalent of trimethylsilyl chloride, with no solvent added, results in the formation of an explosive red liquid that is soluble in dichloromethane or tetrachloromethane.⁴²⁸ It is suggested, with no spectroscopic evidence, that it consists of trimethylsilyl chlorochromate [$\text{Me}_3\text{Si-O-Cr}(\text{O})_2\text{-Cl}$]. This compound, which can safely be used in organic solvents, is able to oxidize alcohols to aldehydes or ketones, and interacts with *t*-butyldimethylsilyl ethers producing deprotection, followed by oxidation of the liberated alcohol.¹³⁸ Compounds analogue to trimethylsilyl chlorochromate are also able to oxidize alcohols, although they possess lesser reactivity. They can be prepared by reaction of chromium trioxide with dimethyldichlorosilane and diphenyldichlorosilane.^{428b}

Chromyl chloride adsorbed on silica-alumina oxidizes alcohols to aldehydes and ketones.⁴³⁰

Section 1.6. References

- 335 Beckmann, E.; *Ann.* **1889**, 250, 322.
- 336 See for example: a) Mariella, R. P.; Leech, J. L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1949**, 71, 3558. b) Ogawa, K.; Terada, T.; Muranaka, Y.; Hamakawa, T.; Hashimoto, S.; Fujii, S.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1986**, 34, 3252. c) Elderfield, R. C.; Ressler, C.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1950**, 72, 4059. d) Hussey, A. S.; Baker, R. H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1960**, 25, 1434. e) Naruse, Y.; Yamamoto, H.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, 44, 6021. f) Al-Hassan, S. S.; Cameron, R. J.; Curran, A. W. C.; Lyall, W. J. S.; Nicholson, S. H.; Robinson, D. R.; Stuart, A.; Suckling, C. J.; Stirling, I.; Wood, H. C. S.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1985**, 8, 1645. g) Ceruti, M.; Amisano, S.; Milla, P.; Viola, F.; Rocco, F.; Jung, M.; Cattel, L.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1995**, 7, 889. h) Nickels, J. E.; Heintzelman, W.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1950**, 15, 1142. i) Dauben, W. G.; Tweit, R. C.; Mannerskantz, C.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1954**, 76, 4420.
- 337 Kiliani, H.; Merk, B.; *Chem. Ber.* **1901**, 34, 3562.
- 338 a) Huber, W. F.; Renoll, M.; Rossow, A. G.; Mowry, D. T.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1946**, 68, 1109. b) Long, L. M.; Troutman, H. D.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1949**, 71, 2469. c) Bixler, R. L.; Niemann, C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1958**, 23, 742. d) Ong, H. H.; Caldwell, S. R.; Profitt, J. A.; Tegeler, J. J.; Agnew, M. N.; Wilker, J. C.; Ress, R. J.; Kitzen, J. M.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1987**, 30, 2295.
- 339 Gastamide, B.; *Ann. chim. Paris* **1954**, 9, 257.
- 340 Gallina, C.; Giordano, C.; *Synthesis* **1989**, 6, 466.
- 341 a) Huber, W. F.; Renoll, M.; Rossow, A. G.; Mowry, D. T.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1946**, 68, 1109. b) Long, L. M.; Troutman, H. D.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1949**, 71, 2469. c) Bixler, R. L.; Niemann, C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1958**, 23, 742.
- 342 a) Weisblat, D. I.; Magerlein, B. J.; Hanze, A. R.; Myers, D. R.; Rolfson, S. T.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, 75, 3625. b) Kamano, Y.; Pettit, G. R.; Inoue, M.; Tozawa, M.; Smith, C. R.; Weisleder, D.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1988**, 7, 2037.
- 343 a) Erne, M.; Erlenmeyer, H.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1948**, 31, 652. b) Taguchi, T.; Hosoda, A.; Kobayashi, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, 26, 6209. c) Giordano, C.; Gallina, C.; Consalvi, V.; Scandurra, R.; *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **1989**, 24, 357. d) Ahmad, S.; Ranf, A.; Ahmad, F.; Osman, S. M.; Khan, M.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1990**, 29B, 637. e) Khan, M.; Siddiqui, M. S.; Osman, S. M.; Khan, A.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1989**, 28B, 32. f) Corey, E. J.; Link, J. O.; Shao, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, 33, 3435. g) Mellin-Morlière, C.; Aitken, D. J.; Bull, S. D.; Davies, S. G.; Husson, H.-P.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2001**, 12, 149.
- 344 Schreiber, J.; Eschenmoser, A.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1955**, 38, 1529.
- 345 a) Asahina, Y.; Ishidate, M.; *Chem. Ber.* **1934**, 67, 1202. b) Doering, W. von E.; Farber, M.; Sprecher, M.; Wiberg, K. B.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, 74, 3000. c) Kulkarni, R. A.; Thaker, S. R.; *J. Ind. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, 65, 427.
- 346 a) Ref. 343a. b) Kurkky, R. P.; Brown, E. V.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, 74, 6260. c) Daigo, K.; Reed, L. J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1962**, 84, 659.
- 347 a) Fieser, L. F.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1948**, 70, 3237. b) Nakanishi, K.; Fieser, L. F.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, 74, 3910. c) Fieser, L. F.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, 75, 4391.
- 348 Brown, H. C.; Garg, C. P.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1961**, 83, 2952.
- 349 a) Brown, H. C.; Garg, C. P.; Tiu, K.-T.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1971**, 36, 387. b) Fristad, W. E.; Bailey, T. R.; Paquette, L. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, 45, 3028. c) Rossi, R.; Carpita, A.; Chini, M.; *Tetrahedron* **1985**, 41, 627. d) Lie Ken Jie, M. S. F.; Zheng, Y. F.; *Synthesis* **1988**, 6, 467. e) Carr, G.; Dean, C.; Whittaker, D.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. II* **1989**, 1, 71. f) Lie Ken Jie, M. S. F.; Kalluri, P.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1997**, 23, 3485. g) Broadus, K. M.; Kass, S. R.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, 123, 4189. h) Eisenhuth, L.; Siegel, H.; Hopf, H.; *Chem. Ber.* **1981**, 114, 3772. i) Armesto, D.; Ortiz, M. J.; Agarrabeitia, A. R.; Aparicio-Lara, S.; *Synthesis* **2001**, 8, 1149. j) Noguchi, S.; Imanishi, M.; Morita, K.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1964**, 12, 1184.
- 350 Shastri, M. H.; Patil, D. G.; Patil, V. D.; Dev, S.; *Tetrahedron* **1985**, 41, 3083.


- 351 a) Toth, J. E.; Hamann, P. R.; Fuchs, P. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 4694. b) Lou, J.-D.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1997**, *6*, 206. c) Johnson, W. S.; Gutsche, C. D.; Banerjee, D. K.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1951**, *73*, 5464.
- 352 Weisblat, D. I.; Magerlein, B. J.; Myers, D. R.; Hanze, A. R.; Fairburn, E. I.; Rolfson, S. T.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, *75*, 5893.
- 353 Sivasubramanian, S.; Muthusubramanian, S.; Arumugam, N.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1986**, *25B*, 162.
- 354 a) Shyamsunder Rao, Y.; Filler, R.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1974**, *39*, 3304. b) Achari, B.; Bandyopadhyay, S.; Basu, K.; Pakrashi, S. C.; *Tetrahedron* **1985**, *41*, 107. c) Heck, R.; Ofenloch, R.; Wolf, R.; *Synthesis* **1990**, *1*, 62.
- 355 a) Pletcher, D.; Tait, S. J. D.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1978**, *18*, 1601. b) Rosini, G.; Ballini, R.; Sorrenti, P.; Petrini, M.; *Synthesis* **1984**, *7*, 607. c) Ballini, R.; Bosica, G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 5466. d) Ballini, R.; Astolfi, P.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1996**, *11*, 1879. e) Hanko, R.; Rabe, K.; Dally, R.; Hoppe, D.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1991**, *30*, 1690. f) Landini, D.; Montanari, F.; Rolla, F.; *Synthesis* **1979**, 134.
- 356 Someswara Rao, C.; Deshmukh, A. A.; Thakor, M. R.; Srinivasan, P. S.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1986**, *25B*, 324.
- 357 a) Singh, R. P.; Subbarao, H. N.; Dev, S.; *Tetrahedron* **1979**, *35*, 1789. b) Gaoni, Y.; *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 2819. c) Harapanhalli, R. S.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1988**, *12*, 3149.
- 358 a) Ref. 2. b) Stenberg, V. I.; Perkins, R. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1963**, *28*, 323. c) Ellis, B.; Petrow, V.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1956**, *4*, 4417. d) Ref. 117c. e) Arth, G. E.; Poos, G. I.; Sarett, L. H.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1955**, *77*, 3834. f) Poos, G. I.; Johns, W. F.; Sarett, L. H.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1955**, *77*, 1026. g) Hammock, B. D.; Gill, S. S.; Casida, J. E.; *J. Agr. Food Chem.* **1974**, *22*, 379.
- 359 a) Cornforth, R. H.; Cornforth, J. W.; Popják, G.; *Tetrahedron* **1962**, *18*, 1351. b) Padwa, A.; Doubleday, C.; Mazzu, A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 3271. c) Sokoloff, S.; Segal, R.; *Tetrahedron* **1977**, *33*, 2837. d) González, A. G.; Mendoza, J. J.; Ravelo, A. G.; Luis, J. G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 4522.
- 360 Collins, J. C.; Hess, W. W.; Frank, F. J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1968**, 3363.
- 361 Ratcliffe, R.; Rodehorst, R.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1970**, *35*, 4000.
- 362 Lou, J.-D.; Lu, L.-H.; Liu, W.; *Synth. Commun.* **1997**, *27*, 3701.
- 363 a) Santaniello, E.; Ferraboschi, P.; Sozzani, P.; *Synthesis* **1980**, *8*, 646. b) Hu, Y.; Hu, H.; *Synthesis* **1991**, *4*, 325.
- 364 Hutchins, R. O.; Natale, N. R.; Cook, W. J.; Ohr, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1977**, *48*, 4167.
- 365 Lou, J.-D.; Xu, Z.-N.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 8843.
- 366 a) Liu, R. S. H.; Hammond, G. S. J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 4936. b) Gaoni, Y.; *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 2819.
- 367 Schulze, J.; *Z. Anorg. Chem.* **1895**, *10*, 148.
- 368 Zinc dichromate: a) Firouzabadi, H.; Sardarian, A. R.; Moosavipour Afshari, H.; *Synthesis* **1986**, *4*, 285. Ferric dichromate: b) Firouzabadi, H.; Tamami, B.; Goudarzian, N.; Hatam, M.; Mansour Lakouraj, M.; *Synth. Commun.* **1991**, *21*, 2077.
- 369 Firouzabadi, H.; Iranpoor, N.; Sobhani, S.; Sardarian, A.-R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 4369.
- 370 a) Shirini, F.; Zolfigol, M. A.; Mallakpour, B.; Mallakpour, S. E.; Hajipour, A. R.; *Aust. J. Chem.* **2001**, *54*, 405. b) Shirini, F.; Zolfigol, M. ali; Pourhabib, A.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **2001**, *11*, 476.
- 371 a) Balasubramanian, K.; Prathiba, V.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1986**, *25B*, 326. b) Kuotsu, B.; Tiewsoh, E.; Debroy, A.; Mahanti, M. K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 8875.
- 372 Srinivasan, R.; Akila, S.; Caroline, J.; Balasubramanian, K.; *Synth. Commun.* **1998**, *28*, 2245.
- 373 Huang, X.; Chan, C.-C.; *Synthesis* **1982**, *12*, 1091.
- 374 Akamanchi, K. G.; Iyer, L. G.; Meenakshi, R.; *Synth. Commun.* **1991**, *21*, 419.
- 375 Meng, Q.-H.; Feng, J.-C.; Bian, N.-S.; Liu, B.; Li, C.-C.; *Synth. Commun.* **1998**, *28*, 1097.

- 376 Baltork, I. M.; Sadeghi, M. M.; Mahmoodi, N.; Kharamesh, B.; *Ind.J.Chem.* **1997**, *36B*, 438.
- 377 Hajipour, A. R.; Mallakpour, S. E.; Mohammadpoor-Baltork, I.; Khoei, S.; *Chem.Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 120.
- 378 a) Davis, H. B.; Sheets, R. M.; Paudler, W. W.; Gard, G. L.; *Heterocycles* **1984**, *22*, 2029.
b) Rozwadowska, M. D.; Matecka, D.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 1221.
- 379 a) López, C.; González, A.; Cossío, F. P.; Palomo, C.; *Synth.Commun.* **1985**, *15*, 1197.
b) Cossío, F. P.; López, M. C.; Palomo, C.; *Tetrahedron* **1987**, *17*, 3963.
- 380 Matikainen, J. K. T.; Kaltia, S. A. A.; Hase, T. A.; Sundberg, M. R.; Kivekäs, R.; *J.Chem.Res. (S)* **1990**, *5*, 150.
- 381 a) Kesselmaus, R. P. W.; Wijnberg, J. B. P. A.; Minnaard, A. J.; Walinga, R. E.; de Groot, A.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 7237. b) Halonen, A.; Hase, T. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 7327. c) Matikainen, J.; Kaltia, S.; Hämäläinen, M.; Hase, T.; *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 4531.
- 382 Shirini, F.; Tajik, H.; Jalili, F.; *Synth.Commun.* **2001**, *31*, 2885.
- 383 Frechet, J. M. J.; Darling, P.; Farral, M. J. J.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1981**, *46*, 1728.
- 384 a) Guzic Jr., F. S.; Luzzio, F. A.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1982**, *47*, 1787. b) Starratt, A. N.; Ward, E. W. B.; Stothers, J. B.; *Can.J.Chem.* **1989**, *67*, 417.
- 385 a) Srinivasan, R.; Ramesh, C. V.; Madhulatha, W.; Balasubramanian, K.; *Ind.J.Chem.* **1996**, *35B*, 480. b) Singh, J.; Kad, G. L.; Vig, S.; Sharma, M.; Chhabra, B. R.; *Ind.J.Chem.* **1997**, *36B*, 272.
- 386 Santaniello, E.; Milani, F.; Casati, R.; *Synthesis* **1983**, *9*, 749.
- 387 a) Bhattacharjee, M. N.; Chaudhuri, M. K.; Dasgupta, H. S.; Roy, N.; Khathing, D. T.; *Synthesis* **1982**, *7*, 588. b) Funahashi, S.; Yamaguchi, Y.; Tanaka, M.; *Bull.Chem.Soc.Jpn.* **1984**, *57*, 204. c) Rao, A. V. R.; Reddy, E. R.; Joshi, B. V.; Yadav, J. S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 6497. d) Bhattacharjee, M. N.; Chaudhuri, M. K.; Purkayastha, S.; *Tetrahedron* **1987**, *43*, 5389. e) Banerji, K. K.; *J.Chem.Soc., Perkin Trans. II* **1988**, *4*, 547. f) Banerji, K. K.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 2154. g) Agarwal, S.; Chowdury, K.; Banerji, K. K.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 5111. h) Parish, E. J.; Kizito, S. A.; Sun, H.; *J.Chem.Res. (S)* **1997**, *2*, 64. i) Martínez, F.; del Campo, C.; Sinisterra, J. V.; Llama, E. F.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 4651. j) Nonaka, T.; Kanemoto, S.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Bull.Chem.Soc.Jpn.* **1984**, *57*, 2019.
- 388 Hajipour, A. R.; Mallakpour, S. E.; Malakoutikhah, M.; *Ind.J.Chem.* **2003**, *42B*, 195.
- 389 Hajipour, A. R.; Mallakpour, S. E.; Backnejad, H.; *Synth.Commun.* **2000**, *30*, 3855.
- 390 Khodaie, M. M.; Salehi, P.; Goodarzi, M.; *Synth.Commun.* **2001**, *31*, 1253.
- 391 Acharya, S. P.; Rane, R. A.; *Synthesis* **1990**, *2*, 127.
- 392 Tajbakhsh, M.; Hosseinzadeh, R.; Yazdani Niaki, M.; *J.Chem.Res. (S)* **2002**, *10*, 508.
- 393 a) Guzic Jr., F. S.; Luzzio, F. A.; *Synthesis* **1980**, *9*, 691. b) Batcho, A. D.; Sereno, J. F.; Hennessy, B. M.; Baggiolini, E. G.; Uskoković, M. R.; Horst, R. L.; *Biorg.Med.Chem.Lett.* **1993**, *3*, 1821. c) Buchbauer, G.; Holbik, H.; *Heterocycles* **1988**, *27*, 1217. d) Lee, T. V.; Boucher, R. J.; Rockell, C. J. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 689. e) Brooks, D. W.; Kellogg, R. P.; Cooper, C. S.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 192. f) Amate, Y.; Bretón, J. L.; García-Granados, A.; Martínez, A.; Onorato, M. E.; Sáenz de Buruaga, A.; *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 6939.
- 394 Davis, H. B.; Sheets, R. M.; Brannfors, J. M.; Paudler, W. W.; Gard, G. L.; *Heterocycles* **1983**, *20*, 2029.
- 395 Firouzabadi, H.; Iranpoor, N.; Sobhani, S.; Sardarian, A.-R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 4369.
- 396 Agarwal, S.; Tiwari, H. P.; Sharma, J. P.; *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 4417.
- 397 Carlsen, P. H. J.; Bränden, J. E.; *Acta Chem.Scand.* **1987**, *41B*, 313.
- 398 Carlsen, P. H. J.; Aasbø, K.; *Synth.Commun.* **1994**, *24*, 89.
- 399 Rajkumar, G. A.; Arabindoo, B.; Murugesan, V.; *Ind.J.Chem.* **1998**, *37B*, 596.
- 400 Srinivasan, R.; Balasubramanian, K.; *Synth.Commun.* **2000**, *30*, 4397.
- 401 Manoharan, T. S.; Madhava Madyastha, K.; *Ind.J.Chem.* **1986**, *25B*, 228.

- 402 a) Murugesan, V.; Pandurangan, A.; *Ind.J.Chem.* **1992**, *31B*, 377. b) Chandrasekhar, S.; Mohanty, P. K.; Takhi, M.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 2628. c) Chandhuri, M. K.; Chettri, S. K.; Lyndem, S.; Paul, P. C.; Srinivas, P.; *Bull.Chem.Soc.Jpn.* **1994**, *67*, 1894. d) Rajkumar, G. A.; Arabindoo, B.; Murugesan, V.; *Synth.Comm.* **1999**, *29*, 2105. e) Rajkumar, G. A.; Arabindoo, B.; Murugesan, V.; *Ind.J.Chem.* **2000**, *39B*, 74.
- 403 Bora, U.; Chandhuri, M. K.; Dey, D.; Kalita, D.; Kharmawphlang, W.; Mandal, G. C.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 2445.
- 404 Srinivasan, R.; Stanley, P.; Balasubramanian, K.; *Synth.Comm.* **1997**, *27*, 2057.
- 405 Özgün, B.; Değirmenbasi, N.; *Synth.Comm.* **1996**, *26*, 3601.
- 406 Muzart, J.; *Chem.Rev.* **1992**, *92*, 113.
- 407 Pearson, A. J.; Chen, Y. S.; Han, G. R.; Hsu, S. Y.; Ray, T.; *J.Chem.Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1985**, 267.
- 408 Choudary, B. M.; Durgaprasad, A.; Valli, V. L. K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 5785.
- 409 a) Blau, K.; Kovacs, O.; Lauterbach, G.; Makhoul, M.; Pritzkow, W.; Tien, T. D.; *J.Prakt.Chem.* **1989**, *331*, 771. b) Lauterbach, G.; Pritzkow, W.; Tien, T. D.; Voerckel, V.; *J.Prakt.Chem.* **1988**, *330*, 933.
- 410 a) Kanemoto, S.; Saimoto, H.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 3317. b) Kanemoto, S.; Saimoto, H.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Bull.Chem.Soc.Jpn.* **1989**, *62*, 519.
- 411 a) Groves, J. T.; Kruper, W. J.; *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 7613. b) Groves, J. T.; Subramanian, D. V.; *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 2177. c) Vorb'sev, B. L.; Grishchenko, N. F.; Kovaleva, G. V.; *Protsessy Neftepererab.i.Nefiekhimii* **1990**, 164; *CA.* **1990**, *113*, 211342c.
- 412 Adam, W.; Gadissa Gelalcha, F.; Saha-Möller, C. R.; Stegmann, V. R.; *J.Org.Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 1915.
- 413 Adam, W.; Hajra, S.; Herderich, M.; Saha-Möller, C. R.; *Org.Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 2773.
- 414 a) Muzart, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 2133. b) Riahi, A.; Hénin, F.; Muzart, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 2303. c) Muzart, J.; N'ait Ajjou, A.; *Synthesis* **1993**, *8*, 785.
- 415 a) Kanemoto, S.; Matsubara, S.; Takai, K.; Oshima, K.; Utimo, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Bull.Chem.Soc.Jpn.* **1988**, *61*, 3607. b) Ref. 416. c) Bouquillon, S.; Ait-Mohand, S.; Muzart, J.; *Eur.J.Org.Chem.* **1998**, *11*, 2599.
- 416 Kanemoto, S.; Oshima, K.; Matsubara, S.; Takai, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 2185.
- 417 Corey, E. J.; Barrette, E. P.; Magriotis, P. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 5855.
- 418 Dong Chen, J.; Dakka, J.; Neeleman, E.; Sheldon, R. A.; *J.Chem.Soc., Chem.Comm.* **1993**, *18*, 1379.
- 419 Zhang, A.; Mann, C. M.; Shapley, P. A.; *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 6591.
- 420 a) Sarneski, J. E.; Michos, D.; Thorp, H. H.; Didiuk, M.; Poon, T.; Blewitt, J.; Brudvig, G. W.; Crabtree, R. H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 1153. b) Muzart, J.; N'ait Ajjou, A.; *Synth.Comm.* **1991**, *21*, 575.
- 421 Ganboa, I.; Aizpurua, J. M.; Palomo, C.; *J.Chem.Res. (S)* **1984**, 92.
- 422 Gelbard, G.; Brunelet, T.; Jouitteau, C.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980**, *21*, 4653.
- 423 Flatt, S. J.; Flett, G. W. J.; Taylor, B. J.; *Synthesis* **1979**, *10*, 815.
- 424 Kaboudin, B.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 3169.
- 425 Lou, J.-D.; Xu, Z.-N.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 6095.
- 426 a) Lalancette, J.-M.; Rollin, G.; Dumas, P.; *Can.J.Chem.* **1972**, *50*, 3058. b) Firouzabadi, H.; Iranpoor, N.; Kiaezadeh, F.; Toofan, J.; *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 719.
- 427 Brunelet, T.; Jouitteau, C.; Gelbard, G.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 4016.
- 428 a) Aizpurua, J. M.; Palomo, C.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 4367. b) Aizpurua, J. M.; Juaristi, M.; Lecea, B.; Palomo, C.; *Tetrahedron* **1985**, *41*, 2903.
- 429 Cossio, F. P.; Aizpurua, J. M.; Palomo, C.; *Can.J.Chem.* **1986**, *64*, 225.
- 430 San Filippo Jr., J.; Chern, C.-I.; *J.Org.Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 2182.

Activated Dimethyl Sulfoxide

2.1. Introduction




In 1963, Moffatt and Pfitzner¹ published that, at room temperature, treatment of an alcohol dissolved in dry DMSO with dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC), in the presence of a mild acid, leads to the oxidation to the corresponding aldehyde or ketone. This oxidation was remarkable, because it succeeded in sensitive substrates, and no trace of over-oxidation to acid was detected in the oxidation of primary alcohols. Two years later, Moffatt *et al.*² and Albright *et al.*³ almost simultaneously suggested a mechanism for this oxidation, which has been proved to be fundamentally right.⁴ According to this mechanism (see Equation below), protonated DCC reacts with DMSO resulting in the formation of a sulfonium species containing a good-leaving group linked to the positive sulfur atom, the so-called “activated DMSO” species **9**. The alcohol displaces the good leaving-group, yielding an alkoxydimethylsulfonium salt **10** that loses a proton, resulting in the formation of the sulfur ylide **11**. Finally, an intramolecular elimination leads to the formation of a carbonyl compound and dimethyl sulfide.

Dimethyl sulfide is toxic and possesses a very bad odour. Particularly, in reactions with activated DMSO on a very big scale, it may be advisable to destroy the dimethyl sulfide, generated during the reaction, by purging the reaction mixture with a nitrogen flow, and scrubbing the resulting gaseous mixture with aqueous NaOCl.⁵

The “activated DMSO” **9** can also suffer an elimination, resulting in the highly reactive $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{S}^{\oplus}-\text{CH}_3$ species that can react with the alcohol, yielding a methylthiomethyl ether **13** as a side compound. Fortunately, this elimination demands a higher temperature than the normal temperature of oxidation, and a proper control of the temperature minimizes the formation of the methylthiomethyl ether side compound.

Using solvents of low polarity also minimizes the formation of methylthiomethyl ethers.⁶ That is why, oxidations with activated DMSO are normally carried out in CH_2Cl_2 , a solvent of low polarity possessing good solubilizing power.

The $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectra of methylthiomethyl ethers ($\text{R-OCH}_2\text{-SCH}_3$) shows the methyl group as a singlet at ca. 2.1–2.3 ppm, and the methylene group as a singlet or as an AB quartet at ca. 4.6–4.8 ppm.



It was very soon realized that other electrophiles, besides diimides, can “activate” DMSO and allow the oxidation of alcohols. Thus, in 1965, acetic anhydride³ and phosphorous pentoxide⁷ were already suggested as activators by Albright *et al.* and Onodera *et al.*, and in 1967, Doering and Parikh disclosed the use of the complex $\text{SO}_3 \cdot \text{Py}$.⁸ The following years witnessed the exploration of numerous activators, belonging to almost any conceivable electrophile kind. Thus, the Swern team carried out a very active search for an ideal activator that led to the proposal of trifluoroacetic anhydride⁹ in 1976, and culminated with the predication of oxalyl chloride in 1978,¹⁰ as the activator of choice in what became known as the Swern oxidation. Nowadays, most research groups use the “Swern oxidation” as the default oxidation when activated DMSO is desired. In fact, oxalyl chloride is the activator guaranteeing probably the best yields in the oxidation of alcohols, and it is now the most commonly used also, regardless of involving a somehow inconvenient experimental procedure, including low temperature and the evolution of highly toxic carbon monoxide. Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, the complex $\text{SO}_3 \cdot \text{Py}$, trifluoroacetic anhydride, acetic anhydride and phosphorous pentoxide, in approximate decreasing order of use, are other activators commonly used in oxidations with activated DMSO, and offer alternatives to Swern oxidation, involving many times simpler experimental procedures with a minimum detriment in yield. In the opinion of the authors, the highly successful discovery of the Swern oxidation, rather than closing the chapter of the oxidation of alcohols with activated DMSO, should encourage the quest for

better activators. In fact, many promising alternative activators have been suggested, but little tested by the synthetic organic chemists (see Table 2.2, page 177). Furthermore, some potentially good activators could have been discarded, because of using unoptimized reaction conditions. Very significantly, trifluoroacetic anhydride has been proved to be a magnificent activator at low temperature by Swern *et al.*,¹²² while it was previously discarded by Albright *et al.*^{3,56} after finding that it is useless at room temperature.

It is important to note that, depending on the activator, the resulting “activated DMSO” will have diverse reactivity. Strong activators, such as oxalyl chloride or trifluoroacetic anhydride, produce highly reactive “activated DMSO”, able to oxidize alcohols at very low temperature. The resulting forms of highly reactive “activated DMSO” will also have a tendency to decompose to the methylene sulfonium salt **12** at relatively low temperatures. Thus, strong activators must necessarily be used at low temperatures for best yields. In contrary, mild activators, such as dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, the complex $\text{SO}_3 \cdot \text{Py}$, acetic anhydride or phosphorous pentoxide, give best results at approximately room temperature, because the resulting forms of “activated DMSO” are less reactive but very advantageously decompose less easily to the methylene sulfonium salt **12**. An important consequence of this pattern of reactivity is that the resistance of unreactive alcohols to oxidation with activated DMSO can hardly be overcome by increasing the temperature.

2.1.1. A Proposal for Nomenclature of Reactions Involving Activated DMSO

Oxidations involving DCC are normally referred as either “Moffatt oxidations” or Pfitzner–Moffatt oxidations”. Sometimes, the name “Moffatt oxidations” is applied in a broad sense to any reaction involving activated DMSO regardless of the concrete activator employed. Moffatt made the seminal contribution to the oxidations with activated DMSO and explored its mechanism. Therefore, we suggest that oxidations with activated DMSO collectively be called “Moffatt oxidations”. The name “Pfitzner–Moffatt oxidation” could be reserved to oxidations involving DCC, or any other carbodiimide as activator. Oxidations with oxalyl chloride are called, according to extensive use, “Swern oxidations”. In fact, Swern made an enormous contribution to oxidations with activated DMSO, involving many different activators.¹¹ Although, his most successful activator was oxalyl chloride, he must also be credited with the suggestion of trifluoroacetic anhydride as activator. Its use, although not as common as the use of oxalyl chloride, is common enough to merit a name to the reaction. We propose, in keeping with common usage, that “Swern oxidation” be used to refer to oxidations in which oxalyl chloride is employed, the name “Omura–Sharma–Swern oxidation” being reserved to oxidations involving trifluoroacetic anhydride. The name “Parikh–Doering oxidation” is normally used for oxidations involving the complex $\text{SO}_3 \cdot \text{Py}$. This usage is unambiguous and should be kept. No reaction name has normally been employed for oxidations involving acetic anhydride. We suggest that these oxidations be called “Albright–Goldman oxidations”. Albright and Goldman were the first to suggest the use of acetic anhydride, and Albright made valuable early contributions to the

oxidations with activated DMSO.¹² The use of phosphorous pentoxide was first briefly mentioned by Albright in 1965, and soon afterwards, Onodera *et al.* published a communication dealing solely with this reagent. Therefore, we suggest the name “Albright–Onodera oxidations” for oxidations involving P₂O₅. When less common activators are used, the corresponding oxidation can be named as Moffatt oxidation mediated by the corresponding activator. For instance, an oxidation induced by triphosgene can be described as a “Triphosgene-mediated Moffatt oxidation”.

Corey and Kim described an oxidation,^{6a} in which activated DMSO is not generated by activation of DMSO, but by oxidation of dimethyl sulfide. Although, they described only the use of chlorine and *N*-chlorosuccinimide as dimethyl sulfide oxidants, we propose that the name “Corey–Kim oxidations” be applied to alcohol oxidations, in which activated DMSO is generated by oxidation of dimethyl sulfide, regardless of the oxidant employed.

Section 2.1. References


- 1 Pfitzner, K. E.; Moffatt, J. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, *85*, 3027.
- 2 (a) Pfitzner, K. E.; Moffatt, J. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 5661. (b) *ibid*, 5670.
- 3 Albright, J. D.; Goldman, L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 4214.
- 4 Fenselau, A. H.; Moffatt, J. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1966**, *88*, 1762.
- 5 (a) Brown Ripin, D. H.; Abele, S.; Cai, W.; Blumenkopff, T.; Casavant, J. M.; Doty, J. L.; Flanagan, M.; Koecher, C.; Laue, K. W.; McCarthy, K.; Meltz, C.; Munchhoff, M.; Pouwer, K.; Shah, B.; Sun, J.; Teixeira, J.; Vries, T.; Whipple, D. A.; Wilcox, G.; *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2003**, *7*, 115. (b) Liu, C.; Ng, J. S.; Behling, J. R.; Yen, C. H.; Campbell, A. L.; Fuzail, K. S.; Yonan, E. E.; Mehrotra, D. V.; *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **1997**, *1*, 45.
- 6 (a) Corey, E. J.; Kim, C. U.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 7586. (b) Hendrickson, J. B.; Schwartzman, S. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, *4*, 273. (c) Johnson, C. R.; Phillips, W. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 682.
- 7 Onodera, K.; Hirano, S.; Kashimura, N.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 4651.
- 8 Parikh, J. R.; Doering, W. von E.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 5505.
- 9 Omura, K.; Sharma, A. K.; Swern, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1976**, *41*, 957.
- 10 Mancuso, A. J.; Huang, S.-L.; Swern, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 2480.
- 11 Omura, K.; Swern, D.; *Tetrahedron* **1978**, *34*, 1651.
- 12 Albright, J. D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1974**, *39*, 1977.

2.2. Pfitzner–Moffatt Oxidation (Carbodiimide-Mediated Moffatt Oxidation)

During some couplings of nucleosides, promoted by dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC), Pfitzner and Moffatt.¹³ decided to try dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) as solvent. Instead of obtaining the expected couplings, they observed oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes and ketones. These oxidations were very remarkable, because at that time, on the nucleosides tested, no oxidants were known to be able to deliver efficiently the observed aldehydes and ketones. Furthermore, contrary to many other oxidants, no over-

oxidation of aldehydes to carboxylic acids occurred. These serendipitous observations led to a detailed study of the oxidation of alcohols, using DMSO and DCC, that culminated with several landmark publications by Moffatt *et al.*^{14,15} in which they determined optimal experimental conditions and performed tests, providing data to propose a consistent mechanism for these oxidations. Very soon other researchers realized that DMSO activators, other than carbodiimides, could be used, and the ensuing research efforts led to a number of oxidation protocols involving activation of DMSO, that culminated with the present employment of oxalyl chloride in the so-called Swern oxidation¹⁶ as the default oxidation with activated DMSO. The Pfitzner–Moffatt oxidation¹³—in which carbodiimides are used for the activation of DMSO—not only represents the seminal contribution to the oxidation of alcohols with activated DMSO, but it is an oxidation method that finds broad use nowadays and possesses a number of advantages, including being very conveniently performed at room temperature.

Initially, Moffatt *et al.* performed optimization studies on the oxidation of testosterone (**14**) to Δ^4 -androstene-3,17-dione (**15**).¹⁴



A look at the mechanism (page 98) shows that DCC—in order to be attacked by DMSO—needs to be activated by protonation. On the other hand, the reaction fails in the presence of a strong acid, such as HCl, H₂SO₄ or HClO₄, because these would prevent the formation of the sulfur ylide.¹¹ Moffatt *et al.* found that the oxidation of testosterone (**14**) succeeds using mild acids with pK_a inside a narrow window.^{14a} For example, no oxidation occurs with acetic acid (pK_a = 4.76) or trichloroacetic acid (pK_a = 0.66), because their pK_as lay outside the acidity window, while monochloroacetic acid (pK_a = 2.86) leads to a slow and incomplete reaction, and dichloroacetic acid (pK_a = 1.25) produces a quantitative oxidation in ten minutes.

In fact, it was observed, regarding the acidic catalyst in the oxidation of testosterone (**14**), that acidity is not the only factor affecting yields, as acids with very similar pK_as can lead to very diverse yields of the ketone **15**.

After testing many acids, it was found that orthophosphoric acid (solid anhydrous phosphoric acid) provides the greater acceleration of the oxidation, although its use may not be the most convenient, as it also leads to the formation of greater amounts of side compounds. Pyridinium trifluoroacetate—which can be used in the presence of excess of pyridine for buffering purposes—provides an optimum acceleration of the oxidation without promoting the formation of side compounds. Excellent yields are obtained when 0.5 equivalents of acid are added. A marginal increase in yield can be observed with a lower quantity of acid, at the cost of prolonging the reaction time substantially. Increasing the amount of acid above 0.5 equivalents produces a substantial decrease in yield. Very hindered alcohols are not oxidized employing pyridinium trifluoroacetate as acid. In such cases, some oxidation can be observed by using orthophosphoric acid, although the resulting yields of carbonyl compounds tend to be low, and substantial amounts of side compounds are obtained.

Three equivalents of DCC provide the best yield, while using less equivalents result in a substantial decrease in yield. Adding more than three equivalents of DCC has little influence in the oxidation.

DMSO must be used in excess, because it must attack DCC in competition with the acid and the alcohol. Surpassing the quantity of DMSO above six equivalents has little influence in the yield of the oxidation, although small yield increases are observed with a growing number of DMSO equivalents till an optimum yield is obtained with a 1:1 DMSO-benzene mixture. The use of neat DMSO results in a yield almost as good as using a 1:1 mixture of DMSO and benzene.

Moffatt *et al.* found that the optimized reaction conditions developed for the oxidation of testosterone (**14**), worked ideally in the oxidation of other alcohols. Later, researchers tended to apply, on reactions run at room temperature on very diverse alcohols, these optimized conditions involving 3 equivalents of DCC or other carbodiimide, 0.5 equivalents of pyridinium trifluoroacetate with some extra pyridine added, and neat DMSO or a mixture of DMSO and benzene as solvent. The only substantial changes to this standard protocol involve the growing use of the water-soluble carbodiimide EDC,¹⁷ instead of DCC, in order to facilitate the work-ups, and the occasional employment of dichloroacetic acid,¹⁸ which proved very effective in the oxidation of some complex polar alcohols, instead of pyridinium trifluoroacetate.

Moffatt *et al.*¹³ mentioned that other carbodiimides, such as diisopropylcarbodiimide, can be used in place of DCC. Carbodiimides, other than DCC and EDC, occasionally employed in this oxidation include: diethylcarbodiimide¹⁹ and 1-cyclohexyl-3-(2-morpholinoethyl)carbodiimide metho-*p*-toluenesulfonate.²⁰ It

must be mentioned that the easily available²¹ diethylcarbodiimide is a liquid that generates the water soluble *N,N'*-diethylurea.²²

It should also be noted that, during the formulation of the standard oxidation protocol by Pfitzner and Moffatt, no study at different temperatures was made, and the only solvent substantially tested was benzene.

Very occasionally, solvents other than benzene, such as toluene,²³ CH₂Cl₂²⁴ or DME,²⁵ have been used. It must be mentioned that the use of polar solvents tends to promote the formation of methylthiomethyl ethers in oxidations with activated DMSO.²⁶ So far, pyridinium trifluoroacetate²⁷ is the acid most commonly used, while phosphoric²⁸ and dichloroacetic acid¹⁸ are being used less often. Acids rarely used include: pyridinium tosylate,²⁹ pyridinium phosphate³⁰ and pyridinium chloride,³¹ which are normally employed in the presence of excess of pyridine.

2.2.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols by Pfitzner–Moffatt Method

Three equivalents^a of a carbodiimide^b are added over a solution of 1 equivalent of the alcohol and 0.5 equivalents of pyridinium trifluoroacetate^c in 0.6–40 mL of neat dry DMSO (MW = 78.1, d = 1.10), or a mixture of DMSO and benzene^d, at room temperature.^e When most of the starting compound has been consumed,^f the work-up can be made according to the following alternatives:

Work-up A:

The solvent is removed at the rotary evaporator, and the resulting residue is purified by chromatography. It can be advisable to filter the precipitate of *N,N'*-dicyclohexylurea^g—formed when DCC is used—before removing the solvent. In order to avoid interferences from unreacting carbodiimide, it can be advisable to transform it in the corresponding urea by careful addition of oxalic acid—either solid or in a solution in methanol—to the stirred reaction mixture. Addition of oxalic acid produces a copious evolution of gas that signals the duration of the hydrolysis of the carbodiimide.

Work-up B:

The reaction mixture is fractionated between water and an organic solvent, such as diethyl ether, ethyl acetate or dichloromethane. The organic phase is sequentially washed with water and with an aqueous solution of NaHCO₃, dried with Na₂SO₄ or MgSO₄ and concentrated. When DCC is used, the resulting residue will contain unreacting DCC and *N,N'*-dicyclohexylurea that will need to be separated by chromatography. Alternatively, most of the highly insoluble urea, which appears as a thick

suspension in water, or in an organic solvent, can be removed at some point during the work-up by filtration. It can be advisable to quench the reaction by transforming the excess of DCC into the corresponding urea, by careful addition of oxalic acid either solid or in a solution in methanol.

^a Normally, 3 equivalents of carbodiimide are used, although a greater amount can be advisable if the presence of adventitious moisture is suspected. The gratuitous employment of a liberal excess of carbodiimide can lead to a decreased yield, because of the need to separate great amounts of the resulting urea during the work-up.

^b Normally, DCC (MW = 206.3) is used, although it can be difficult to free the product from the residues of the urea, resulting from the hydrolysis of DCC during the work-up. That is why, the water-soluble carbodiimide EDC [*N*-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-*N'*-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride] (MW = 191.7) is finding a growing use instead of DCC.

^c Very often more than 0.5 equivalents of pyridinium trifluoroacetate (MW = 191.1) are added. This practice is not advisable, as it can lead to a substantial decrease in the yield of the aldehyde or ketone. For instance, during the oxidation of testosterone (**14**), Moffatt *et al.* found that on changing from 0.5 to 2.0 equivalents of pyridinium trifluoroacetate, a decrease of ca. 20% occurs.^{14b} On the other hand, the quantity of pyridinium trifluoroacetate can be diminished to 0.1 equivalents with no erosion of the yield, although leading to a slower reaction.

Pyridinium trifluoroacetate can either be added as such, or formed *in situ* by the addition of pyridine (MW = 79.1, *d* = 0.98) and trifluoroacetic acid (MW = 114.0, *d* = 1.48). Very often pyridine is added in an excess of ca. 0.5–2 equivalents relative to trifluoroacetic acid for buffering purposes.

If the substrate possesses a basic site, like an amine, this can neutralize the pyridinium trifluoroacetate and prevent the oxidation. In such cases, 1.5 equivalents of pyridinium trifluoroacetate must be added.

During the oxidation of greatly hindered alcohols, it can be advisable to use 0.5 equivalents of orthophosphoric acid (MW = 98.0) (solid phosphoric acid) instead of pyridinium trifluoroacetate. This causes an acceleration of the oxidation, although it normally leads to greater amounts of side compounds. On some highly polar compounds, the use of 0.5 equivalents of dichloroacetic acid (DCAA) (MW = 128.9, *d* = 1.47) can provide best results.

^d Although, normally best yields are obtained using a 1:1 mixture of DMSO and benzene, it can be experimentally more convenient to avoid the use of dry benzene, because neat DMSO delivers normally a yield of carbonyl compound almost as good. On the other hand, if using as little as possible of DMSO (MW = 78.1, *d* = 1.10) is desired, its quantity can be decreased to about 6 equivalents without a great erosion of the yield.


Very little is known about the influence of the use of other solvents on the yield, although it is expected that other aprotic solvents would be as efficient as benzene. Toluene and CH₂Cl₂ are interesting alternatives to the use of carcinogenic benzene, which have been proved to be efficient in this oxidation.

^e It can be advisable to cool the reaction flask on an ice-water bath during the initial mixture of components on multigram scale oxidations when exotherms can be expected. As the DMSO freezes at 18°C, operations at low temperature must be done in the presence of a co-solvent, like benzene.

^f Normally, it takes between 1 h and 1 day.


^g *N,N'*-dicyclohexylurea shows a melting point of 237–238°C.³² Its ¹H-NMR (δ, DMSO-*d*₆, 500 MHz, ppm) shows the following signals: 5.50 (1H, d, *J* = 8 Hz), 3.37–3.28 (1H, m),

1.75–1.68 (2H, m), 1.65–1.57 (2H, dt), 1.53–1.47 (1H, dt), 1.29–1.19 (2H, qt), 1.18–1.10 (1H, tt), 1.10–1.00 (2H, qd), and its ^{13}C -NMR (δ , DMSO-d_6 , ppm) the following ones: 156.4, 47.3, 32.9, 24.9 and 23.9. A common side compound when pyridinium trifluoroacetate and DCC are used is *N,N'*-dicyclohexyl-*N*-trifluoroacetylurea that shows a melting point of 139°C and the following ^1H -NMR (δ): 6.5 (1H, m) and 3.8 (22H, m).³³ DCC possesses a melting point of 34–35°C³⁴ and the following spectroscopic data: ^1H -NMR (δ , CDCl_3 , ppm): 3.19–3.14 (1H, m), 1.90–1.85 (2H, m), 1.72–1.70 (2H, m), 1.34–1.31 (1H, m), 1.29–1.14 (5H, m); ^{13}C -NMR (δ , CDCl_3 , ppm): 139.8, 55.7, 34.9, 25.4 and 24.7. Mass spectrum: EM (CI, %) = 207[(M^+ + 1), 16], 125 (100). The 1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide shows the following ^1H -NMR (δ , D_2O , 60 MHz, ppm): 3.27 (t, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 3.26 (q, $J = 7$ Hz), 2.28 (t, $J = 7$ Hz), 2.21 (s), 1.7 (m), 1.21 (t, $J = 7$ Hz).³⁵ The hydrosoluble carbodiimide EDC shows a melting point of 111–113°C³⁶ and the following spectroscopic data: ^1H -NMR (δ , CDCl_3 , 500 MHz, ppm): 7.67 (d, $J = 23$ Hz), 3.93–3.90 (m), 3.76 (s), 3.61–3.56 (m), 3.38–2.94 (m), 2.66–2.62 (m), 1.99–1.81 (m), 1.03–0.89 (m); ^1H -NMR (δ , D_2O , 60 MHz, ppm)—mixture of open and cyclic form: 3.86 (t, $J = 7$ Hz), 3.48 (t, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 3.41 (s), 3.17 (q, $J = 7$ Hz), 2.92 (s), 2.2 (m), 1.16 (t, $J = 7$ Hz).³⁵ ^{13}C -NMR (δ , CDCl_3 , 125.8 MHz, ppm): 147.0, 141.1, 139.3, 63.6, 61.6, 55.5, 53.3, 52.5, 43.6, 42.9, 42.6, 41.8, 41.1, 37.3, 26.0, 18.3, 18.1, 16.6, 15.6, 13.5; ^{13}C -NMR (δ , DMSO-d_6 , ppm): 158.3 (^{13}CN), 147.7, 141.2 ($-\text{NCN}-$), 62.4 ($^{13}\text{CH}_2\text{N}$ or $^{13}\text{CH}_2\text{N}^+$), 60.4, 54.6, 52.9, 51.7, 43.3 ($^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{N}$), 42.3, 42.0, 40.9, 40.6, 36.5 ($^{13}\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 36.3, 33.9, 25.9 ($\text{C}^{13}\text{CH}_2\text{C}$), 25.2, 17.3 ($^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{C}$), 16.5, 15.6, 13.5.³⁷




Ref. 23a

This fluorine-containing, oxidation-resistant alcohol is best oxidized by the Pfitzner–Moffatt reaction, using dichloroacetic acid as catalyst. Observe the use of toluene, instead of carcinogenic benzene, as solvent. A Swern oxidation was not reproducible, and caused substantial epimerization of the isobutyl side chain. Collins oxidation was successful, but required a great excess of reagent resulting in some peptide degradation.




Ref. 38

In variance with other oxidants, such as the chromium-based ones, no carbon-carbon bond breakage is observed in the Pfitzner–Moffatt oxidation of this 1,2-diol.




Ref. 23b

The water soluble carbodiimide EDC was used, instead of DCC that caused problems during the purification of the product.



Ref. 39

This oxidation that proved troublesome under a variety of conditions, like Swern, PCC, Dess–Martin and Parikh–Doering, succeeded under Pfitzner–Moffatt conditions.



Ref. 30a

A good yield in the oxidation of this hindered secondary alcohol was obtained employing the Pfitzner–Moffatt method, by using orthophosphoric acid as a strong acidic activator. Collins oxidation delivers only a 38% yield.

2.2.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Pfitzner–Moffatt Oxidation

The Pfitzner–Moffatt oxidation is performed in the presence of a carbodiimide that is transformed into a form of “activated DMSO”. As both the carbodiimide and the activated DMSO are strong electrophiles, it would seem reasonable to expect that nucleophilic sites in a molecule would interfere with the oxidation. Nevertheless, Pfitzner–Moffatt oxidations very often can be carried out in the presence of thiols,^{14b} amines⁴⁰ and amides.^{23c,d}

Carboxylic acids react under Pfitzner–Moffatt conditions, resulting in the formation of methylthiomethyl esters and *N*-acylureas.⁴¹ Nevertheless, although the authors are not aware of any report involving the selective oxidation of alcohols in the presence of a carboxylic acid, such outcome would be likely with carboxylic acids with little nucleophilicity, as standard Pfitzner–Moffatt oxidations are performed in the presence of trifluoroacetate that is known for not to interfere.

Quite puzzlingly, thiols are reported^{14b} to be unreactive under Pfitzner–Moffatt conditions, while this being one of the few oxidation methods for alcohols compatible with this functionality. Sulfides also resist the action of Pfitzner–Moffatt oxidations.^{42,43}


Some amines react under Pfitzner–Moffatt conditions, yielding an adduct with the carbodiimide or a *S,S*-dimethylsulfilimine, resulting from attack of the amine on activated DMSO. The reactivity of different amines is very diverse, and observed in amines, which are not substantially protonated under the reaction conditions, while they still possess enough nucleophilicity. Thus, tertiary amines do not interfere, while hindered secondary ones seldom do it.



Ref. 44

An eventful oxidation of the secondary alcohol in the presence of a very hindered secondary amine occurs.


In fact, the interference of amines in Pfitzner–Moffatt oxidations very often results from the trivial fact that basic sites in a molecule can quench the acidic catalyst. In such cases, the oxidations must be carried out by adding an excess of one equivalent of acidic catalyst.




Ref. 40a

In this oxidation, 1.6 equivalents of acidic catalyst are used, instead of the standard quantity of 0.5 equivalents, because one equivalent is quenched by protonation of the amine.


It must be mentioned that the *S,S*-dimethylsulfilimines, resulting from attack of amines on activated DMSO, are very often hydrolyzed back to the free amine during the work-up and thus, their formation may not be detected.



Although amides can react under Pfitzner–Moffatt conditions, resulting in the formation of a number of compounds, including *N*-methylthiomethylamides and *N*-acylsulfilimines,⁴⁶ normally, these reactions are slower than the oxidation of alcohols, so that selective oxidations can be possible.^{23c,d}




Normally, tertiary alcohols do not interfere with the oxidation of primary or secondary alcohols, although the use of a liberal quantity of reagent can lead to the formation of the methylthiomethyl ether of the tertiary alcohol, accompanying a normal oxidation of a primary or secondary alcohol.⁴⁷



Ref. 47

The use of a liberal quantity of reagent leads to the desired oxidation of the secondary alcohol, being accompanied by the formation of a methylthiomethyl ether on the tertiary alcohol.

Sometimes, small amounts of methylthiomethyl ethers of primary or secondary alcohols are isolated. As these ethers originate from $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{S}(+)\text{-Me}$, formed by decomposition of activated DMSO that needs relatively high temperature, it is expected that lowering the reaction temperature would minimize the formation of these side compounds.⁴⁸




Ref. 48

The oxidation of the primary alcohol leads to an aldehyde that is isolated as an iminal. Minor amounts of a methylthiomethyl ether are isolated, resulting from the reaction of the alcohol with $\text{CH}_2=\text{S}(+)\text{-Me}$ that is formed by thermal decomposition of activated DMSO.

Interestingly, a Swern oxidation fails to deliver the desired product, because it causes the chlorination of the indole.

Very rarely, those strong carbon nucleophiles, able to survive the presence of an acidic catalyst, can react with activated DMSO.^{40c}




Ref. 40c

Traces of a compound, resulting from attack of an enol on activated DMSO, are obtained in an otherwise successful oxidation of a secondary alcohol.

Pyridinium trifluoroacetate is such a mild acidic catalyst that it can hardly affect acid-sensitive functionalities. Thus, for example the very acid-sensitive Boc-protected amines⁴⁹ and *t*-butyl esters,⁵⁰ as well as glycosides⁵¹ and acetals,⁵² remain unchanged under Pfitzner–Moffatt conditions.

2.2.3. Side Reactions


Homoallylic alcohols are oxidized, in the presence of pyridinium trifluoroacetate, with no migration of the alkene into conjugation with the carbonyl, even in cases in which such migration can occur under very mild acidic catalyses. On the other hand, the stronger acid H₃PO₄ is able to produce such isomerizations.^{14b}



Ref. 14b

While the use of pyridinium trifluoroacetate as acidic catalyst leads to 90% of the desired unconjugated enone, the employment of the stronger acid H₃PO₄ as catalyst results in the isolation of the desired product contaminated with the corresponding conjugated enone, originating from acid catalyzed migration of the alkene. This migration can also happen under very mild conditions during chromatography on silica gel.


Sometimes, when intramolecular processes are favoured, the intermediate alkoxy-sulfonium salt suffers displacement from a nucleophile, instead of the expected evolution to an aldehyde or ketone.⁵³



Ref. 53

The less hindered primary alcohol reacts selectively with activated DMSO, resulting in the formation of an intermediate alkoxydimethylsulfonium salt. This intermediate, instead of evolving as usual to an aldehyde, produces a cyclic ether by an intramolecular displacement, in which DMSO acts as a good-leaving group.

Sometimes, when the primary product of the oxidation contains a good-leaving group in the β -position relative to the carbonyl, an elimination occurs leading to an enol or an enone.⁵⁴



Section 2.2. References


- 13 Pfitzner, K. E.; Moffatt, J. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, *85*, 3027.
- 14 (a) Pfitzner, K. E.; Moffatt, J. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 5661. (b) *ibid*, 5670.
- 15 Fenselau, A. H.; Moffatt, J. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1966**, *88*, 1762.
- 16 Mancuso, A. J.; Huang, S.-L.; Swern, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 2480.
- 17 (a) i) Bright, G. M.; Nagel, A. A.; Bordner, J.; Desai, K. A.; Dibrino, J. N.; Nowakowska, J.; Vicent, L.; Watrous, R. M.; Scivolino, F. C.; English, A. R.; Retsema, J. A.; Anderson, M. R.; Brennan, L. A.; Borovoy, R. J.; Cimochoowski, C. R.; Faiella, J. A.; Girard, A. E.; Girard, D.; Herbert, C.; Manousos, M.; Mason, R.; *J. Antibiot.* **1988**, *41*, 1029. ii) Shengxi, C.; Xiandong, X.; Lanxiang, Y.; *J. Antibiot.* **2001**, *54*, 506. iii) Fardis, M.; Ashley, G. W.; Carney, J. R.; Chu, D. T.; *J. Antibiot.* **2001**, *54*, 278. (b) Mallams, A. K.; Rossmann, R. R.; *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I* **1989**, *4*, 775. (c) i) Ramage, R.; MacLeod, A. M.; Rose, G. W.; *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 5625. ii) Semple, J. E.; Rowley, D. C.; Brunck, T. K.; Ripka, W. C.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1997**, *7*, 315. iii) Edwards, P. D.; Meyer Jr., E. F.; Vijayalakshmi, J.; Tuthill, P. A.; Andisik, D. A.; Gomes, B.; Strimpler, A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 1854.
- 18 (a) Fearon, K.; Spaltenstein, A.; Hopkins, P. B.; Gelb, M. H.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1987**, *30*, 1617. (b) Nicoll-Griffith, D. A.; Weiler, L.; *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 2733. (c) Semple, J. E.; Owens, T. D.; Nguyen, K.; Levy, O. E.; *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 2769.
- 19 (a) Cook, A. F.; Moffatt, J. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 2697. (b) Mallams, A. K.; Rossmann, R. R.; *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I* **1989**, *4*, 775.
- 20 Finch, N.; Fitt, J. J.; Hsu, I. H. S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, *40*, 206.
- 21 Kollenz, G.; Penn, G.; Ott, W.; Peters, K.; Peters, E.-M.; von Schnering, H. G.; *Chem. Ber.* **1984**, *117*, 1310.
- 22 Hendrickson, J. B.; Schwartzman, S. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, *4*, 273.
- 23 (a) Fearon, K.; Spaltenstein, A.; Hopkins, P. B.; Gelb, M. H.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1987**, *30*, 1617. (b) Ramage, R.; MacLeod, A. M.; Rose, G. W.; *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 5625. (c) Semple, J. E.; Rowley, D. C.; Brunck, T. K.; Ripka, W. C.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1997**, *7*, 315. (d) Edwards, P. D.; Meyer Jr., E. F.; Vijayalakshmi, J.; Tuthill, P. A.; Andisik, D. A.; Gomes, B.; Strimpler, A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 1854.
- 24 (a) Bright, G. M.; Nagel, A. A.; Bordner, J.; Desai, K. A.; Dibrino, J. N.; Nowakowska, J.; Vicent, L.; Watrous, R. M.; Scivolino, F. C.; English, A. R.; Retsema, J. A.; Anderson, M. R.; Brennan, L. A.; Borovoy, R. J.; Cimochoowski, C. R.; Faiella, J. A.; Girard, A. E.; Girard, D.; Herbert, C.; Manousos, M.; Mason, R.; *J. Antibiot.* **1988**, *41*, 1029. (b) Shengxi, C.; Xiandong, X.; Lanxiang, Y.; *J. Antibiot.* **2001**, *54*, 506. (c) Fardis, M.; Ashley, G. W.; Carney, J. R.; Chu, D. T.; *J. Antibiot.* **2001**, *54*, 278.

- 25 De Gaudenzi, L.; Apparao, S.; Schmidt, R. R.; *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 277.
- 26 (a) Corey, E. J.; Kim, C. U.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 7586. (b) Hendrickson, J. B.; Schwartzman, S. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, *4*, 273. (c) Johnson, C. R.; Phillips, W. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 682.
- 27 See for example: (a) (i) Pfitzner, K. E.; Moffatt, J. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 5661. (ii) *ibid.*, 5670 and (iii) Bright, G. M.; Nagel, A. A.; Bordner, J.; Desai, K. A.; Dibrino, J. N.; Nowakowska, J.; Vicent, L.; Watrous, R. M.; Sciavolino, F. C.; English, A. R.; Retsema, J. A.; Anderson, M. R.; Brennan, L. A.; Borovoy, R. J.; Cimochoowski, C. R.; Faiella, J. A.; Girard, A. E.; Girard, D.; Herbert, C.; Manousos, M.; Mason, R.; *J. Antibiot.* **1988**, *41*, 1029. (b) Smith III, A. B.; Kingery-Wood, J.; Leenay, T. L.; Nolen, E. G.; Sunazuka, T.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 1438. (c) Kita, Y.; Iio, K.; Kawaguchi, K.-ichi; Fukuda, N.; Takeda, Y.; Ueno, H.; Okunaka, R.; Higuchi, K.; Tsujino, T.; Fujioka, H.; Akai, S.; *Chem. Eur. J.* **2000**, *6*, 3897.
- 28 (a) Luzzio, F. A.; Fitch, R. W.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 5485. (b) Kűfner, U.; Schmidt, R. R.; *Synthesis* **1985**, *11*, 1060.
- 29 Denmark, S. E.; Cramer, C. J.; Dappen, M. S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 877.
- 30 (a) Tokoroyama, T.; Kotsuji, Y.; Matsuyama, H.; Shimura, T.; Yokotani, K.; Fukuyama, Y.; *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I* **1990**, *6*, 1745. (b) Noe, C. R.; Knollműller, M.; Etmayer, P.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1989**, *7*, 637.
- 31 Lee, H. H.; Hodgson, P. G.; Bernacki, R. J.; Korytnyk, W.; Sharma, M.; *Carbohydr. Res.* **1988**, *176*, 59.
- 32 Ross, S.; Muenster, L. J.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1961**, *39*, 401.
- 33 Bryan Jones, J.; Wigfield, D. C.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1966**, *44*, 2517.
- 34 Stevens, C. L.; Singhal, G. H.; Ash, A. B.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1967**, *32*, 2895.
- 35 Tenforde, T.; Fawwaz, R. A.; Freeman, N. K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1972**, *37*, 3372.
- 36 ALDRICH Handbook of Fine Chemicals and Laboratory Equipment, 2003–04.
- 37 Yavari, I.; Roberts, J. D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 4689.
- 38 Schobert, R.; *Synthesis* **1987**, *8*, 741.
- 39 Nagamitsu, T.; Sunazuka, T.; Tanaka, H.; Ōmura, S.; Sprengeler, P. A.; Smith, A. B.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 3584.
- 40 (a) Sakamoto, S.; Tsuchiya, T.; Umezawa, S.; Umezawa, H.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1987**, *60*, 1481. (b) (i) Andrés, C.; Maestro, G.; Nieto, J.; Pedrosa, R.; García-Granda, S.; Pérez-Carreño, E.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 1463. (ii) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Duque-Soladana, J. P.; Rosón, C. D.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 2809. (iii) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Duque-Soladana, J. P.; Mendiguchía, P.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *22*, 3727. (iv) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Iglesias, J. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 243. (v) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Iglesias, J. M.; Pérez-Encabo, A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 1817. (c) Creemer, L. C.; Toth, J. E.; Kirst, H. A.; *J. Antibiot.* **2002**, *55*, 427. (d) Girardet, J.-L.; Gunic, E.; Esler, C.; Cieslak, D.; Pietrzkowski, Z.; Wang, G.; *J. Med. Chem.* **2000**, *43*, 3704.
- 41 Lerch, U.; Moffatt, J. G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1971**, *36*, 3861.
- 42 De Gaudenzi, L.; Apparao, S.; Schmidt, R. R.; *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 277
- 43 Kita, Y.; Iio, K.; Kawaguchi, K.; Fukuda, N.; Takeda, Y.; Ueno, H.; Okunaka, R.; Higuchi, K.; Tsujino, T.; Fujioka, H.; Akai, S.; *Chem. Eur. J.* **2000**, *6*, 3897.
- 44 Setoi, H.; Kayakiri, H.; Hashimoto, M.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1989**, *37*, 1126.
- 45 Gosselin, G.; Bergogne, M.-C.; De Rudder, J.; De Clercq, E.; Imbach, J.-L.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1987**, *30*, 982.
- 46 Lerch, U.; Moffatt, J. G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1971**, *36*, 3391.
- 47 Nishiyama, S.; Shizuri, Y.; Shigemori, H.; Yamamura, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 723.
- 48 Rubiralta, M.; Diez, A.; Bosch, J.; Solans, X.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 5591.
- 49 (a) Wasserman, H. H.; Pearce, B. C.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 2237. (b) Semple, J. E.; Rowley, D. C.; Brunck, T. K.; Ripka, W. C.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1997**, *7*, 315.


- 50 (a) Baldwin, J. E.; Adlington, R. M.; Jones, R. H.; Schofield, C. J.; Zaracostas, C.; Green-grass, C. W.; *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 4879. (b) Yasuhara, T.; Nishimura, K.; Yamashita, M.; Fukuyama, N.; Yamada, K.-ichi; Muraoka, O.; Tomioka, K.; *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1123.
- 51 (a) Creemer, L. C.; Toth, J. E.; Kirst, H. A.; *J. Antibiot.* **2002**, *55*, 427. (b) Fardis, M.; Ashley, G. W.; Carney, J. R.; Chu, D. T.; *J. Antibiot.* **2001**, *54*, 278. (c) Shengxi, C.; Xiandong, X.; Lanxiang, Y.; *J. Antibiot.* **2001**, *54*, 506.
- 52 (a) De Gaudenzi, L.; Apparao, S.; Schmidt, R. R.; *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 277. (b) Ueno, Y.; Tadano, K.-ichi; Ogawa, S.; McLaughlin, J. L.; Alkofahi, A.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1989**, *62*, 2328. (c) Sakamoto, S.; Tsuchiya, T.; Umezawa, S.; Umezawa, H.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1987**, *60*, 1481. (d) (i) Andrés, C.; Maestro, G.; Nieto, J.; Pedrosa, R.; García-Granda, S.; Pérez-Carreño, E.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 1463. (ii) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Duque-Soladana, J. P.; Rosón, C. D.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 2809. (iii) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Duque-Soladana, J. P.; Mendiguchía, P.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *22*, 3727. (iv) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Iglesias, J. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 243. (v) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Iglesias, J. M.; Pérez-Encabo, A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 1817.
- 53 Harada, N.; Sugioka, T.; Uda, H.; Kuriki, T.; Kobayashi, M.; Kitagawa, I.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 6606.
- 54 (a) Andrés, C.; Maestro, G.; Nieto, J.; Pedrosa, R.; García-Granda, S.; Pérez-Carreño, E.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 1463. (b) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Duque-Soladana, J. P.; Rosón, C. D.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 2809. (c) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Duque-Soladana, J. P.; Mendiguchía, P.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *22*, 3727. (d) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Iglesias, J. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 243. (e) Pedrosa, R.; Andrés, C.; Iglesias, J. M.; Pérez-Encabo, A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 1817. (f) Iglesias-Guerra, F.; Candela, J. I.; Espartero, J. L.; Vega-Pérez, J. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 5031.

2.3. Albright–Goldman Oxidation (Acetic Anhydride-Mediated Moffatt Oxidation)

In 1965, Albright and Goldman³ demonstrated that alcohols are oxidized to aldehydes and ketones by the action of a mixture of DMSO and acetic anhydride at room temperature. Two years later,⁵⁶ they presented a full paper, in which optimized conditions for this oxidation were established using yohimbine (**16**) as a model substrate. Thus, it was found that treatment of yohimbine with a mixture of DMSO and Ac₂O produces the desired oxidation to yohimb-
binone (**17**), accompanied by formation of the methylthiomethyl ether **18**.



Optimal conditions minimizing the formation of side compounds, consisting on the methylthiomethyl ether and the acetate of the starting alcohol, involve the use of DMSO as solvent mixed with 5 equivalents of Ac_2O . While the amount of the acetate side compound can be minimized by using no more than 5 equivalents of Ac_2O ,⁵⁶ or lowering the temperature to ca. 5°C ,⁵⁷ the amount of methylthiomethyl ether is very substrate-dependent, and can be quite substantial. Interestingly, alcohols yielding best yields of aldehyde or ketone are normally very hindered. Apparently, steric hindrance causes a greater retardation on the formation of side compounds than on the desired oxidation.



The Albright–Goldman oxidation protocol is not a good choice as a standard oxidation procedure, because it tends to deliver substantial quantities of side compounds on simple substrates. On the other hand, it may succeed in hindered alcohols resistant to oxidation by other means. In those cases in which the Albright–Goldman oxidation delivers a useful yield of aldehyde or ketone, this oxidation protocol is hardly surpassed in terms of economy and experimental usefulness. Both DMSO and Ac_2O are cheap solvents that are conveniently employed in this oxidation at room temperature or with some heating.

Although Albright and Goldman established the use of 5 equivalents of Ac_2O in DMSO at room temperature, as the optimized conditions for the oxidation of an uncomplicated unhindered substrate, normally a much greater excess of Ac_2O ⁵⁶ is employed, and sometimes the oxidation is performed by heating rather than at room temperature. This happens because the Albright–Goldman oxidations tends to be used on hindered alcohols where, on one hand, other oxidants are less likely to succeed and, on the other hand, DMSO- Ac_2O tends to yield less amounts of side compounds. On such refractory substrates, the oxidation normally demands the use of a great excess of Ac_2O and, very often, heating above room temperature.

2.3.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols by Albright–Goldman Method

A mixture of ca. 20–60 equivalents^a of acetic anhydride in ca. 0.05–0.4 M solution of 1 equivalent of alcohol in dry DMSO is stirred at room temperature^b under a blanket of an inert gas, till most of the starting compound is consumed.^c The work-up can be made according to two alternative protocols:

Work-up A:

After the oxidation, as the reaction mixture consists of products originating from the alcohol mixed with DMSO, Ac₂O, Me₂S and AcOH, the latter being volatile compounds, the crude aldehyde or ketone can be secured by simple concentration in vacuo. Since the removal of the less volatile DMSO may demand heating, and can be unpractical at a multi-gram scale, this simple protocol is useful for reactions on a small scale resulting in products resistant to heat. Alternatively, it may be useful to eliminate most of the more volatile Ac₂O, Me₂S and AcOH under mild conditions, leaving a residue consisting of product mixed with mostly remaining DMSO that can be subjected to a further work-up according to method B.

Work-up B:


The reaction mixture is mixed with water or ice.^d This may result in the precipitation of the product that can be separated by filtration. If no precipitation occurs, the product can be extracted with an organic solvent, such as CH₂Cl₂, CHCl₃, Et₂O or EtOAc. The organic phase is washed with an aqueous solution of sodium bicarbonate, in order to eliminate acetic acid residues. It can be additionally washed with plain water and/or brine. Finally, the organic phase is dried (Na₂SO₄ or MgSO₄), and concentrated to give a crude product that may need further purification.

^a Although, in unhindered alcohols, it may be advisable to use as less as 2 to 4 equivalents of acetic anhydride in order to minimize the formation of alcohol acetate, as this reaction is normally applied to hindered alcohols which react quite slowly, normally it is recommended to use a very great excess of acetic anhydride.

^b In alcohols very resistant to oxidation, it may be advisable to heat at ca. 60–100°C. On the other hand, in alcohols prone to suffer acetylation, this side reaction can be minimized by lowering the temperature to ca. 5°C. As the melting point of DMSO is 18°C, freezing can occur at low temperature. It can be avoided by adding a co-solvent, or using a great excess of Ac₂O.


^c Normally, it takes between 2 and 40 h. If heating is applied, the reaction time can be decreased to as little as 10 min.

^d Sometimes, an alcohol, such as methanol or ethanol, is added before mixing with water or ice, in order to destroy the Ac₂O. The destruction of the anhydride is performed by stirring with the alcohol at room temperature for about 1 h.




Ref. 57

The reaction is performed at 5°C in order to minimize the acetylation of the alcohol. A Swern reaction causes the α -chlorination of the ketone.




Ref. 58

No epimerization on α to the ketone is observed in the oxidation of this equatorial alcohol, using the Albright–Goldman method.



Ref. 59

This oxidation fails with strong oxidants like dichromate-sulfuric acid, because of decomposition of the sydnone ring, while mild oxidants like MnO_2 cause no reaction. The use of a 1:1 mixture of DMSO and Ac_2O , instead of the conditions indicated above, leads to a 38% yield of the corresponding acetate, and to a decrease in the yield of ketone to 46%.



Ref. 60

An excellent yield in the oxidation of this hindered alcohol is obtained using the Albright–Goldman method.

2.3.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Albright–Goldman Oxidation

As the Albright–Goldman oxidation is relatively little used in organic synthesis, the available literature provides a very limited database to know the sensitivity of many moieties to this oxidation protocol.

During this oxidation, acetic acid is produced that could interfere with acid-sensitive molecular fragments. Nevertheless, isopropylidene⁶¹ and benzylidene acetals,⁶² as well as glycosides⁶³ and dioxolanes⁶⁴ are known to resist the Albright–Goldman oxidation, probably because no water is present and a small amount of acetic acid is generated.


Tertiary amines,⁶⁵ dithioacetals⁶⁶ and thioethers⁶⁷ resist the action of the Albright–Goldman oxidation. Primary amines are acetylated⁶⁸ because of the presence of Ac_2O , although cases are known in which a primary amine remains unaffected,^{67c} while a secondary alcohol is oxidized.

Tertiary alcohols react slowly at room temperature with $\text{DMSO-Ac}_2\text{O}$, resulting in the formation of a methylthiomethyl ether. In fact, this is one of the standard procedures⁶⁹ for the protection of tertiary alcohols as methylthiomethyl ethers; acetic acid being commonly added as catalyst when this reaction is purposefully sought at.⁷⁰ One would expect that the greater hindrance of tertiary alcohols versus primary and secondary ones should allow the selective oxidation of the latter. Although, the authors of this book are not aware of examples from such behavior in the literature.

2.3.3. Side Reactions

As mentioned earlier, the most common side reaction during oxidations with the Albright–Goldman protocol is the formation of methylthiomethyl ethers.⁷¹ The other common side reaction is the acetylation of the alcohol. These side reactions can be minimized by limiting the amount of Ac_2O to about 5 equivalents⁵⁶ or even less,⁵⁹ or by lowering the temperature to ca. 5°C .⁵⁷

When the oxidation results in the formation of a ketone, containing a good-leaving group at the β -position, very often an elimination occurs leading to an enone.⁷²



Section 2.3. References

- 56 Albright, J. D.; Goldman, L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 2416.
- 57 Smith III, A. B.; Leenay, T. L.; Liu, H.-J.; Nelson, L. A. K.; Ball, R. G.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 49.
- 58 Casero, F.; Cipolla, L.; Lay, L.; Nicotra, F.; Panza, L.; Russo, G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 3428.
- 59 Lin, S.-T.; Tien, H.-J.; Chen, J.-T.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1998**, *10*, 626.
- 60 Baldwin, J. E.; Forrest, A. K.; Ko, S.; Sheppard, L. N.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1987**, *2*, 81.
- 61 (a) Dondoni, A.; Orduna, J.; Merino, P.; *Synthesis* **1992**, *112*, 201. (b) Katagiri, N.; Akatsuka, H.; Haneda, T.; Kaneko, C.; Sera, A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 5464. (c) Kerekgyarto, J.; Kamerling, J. P.; Bouwstra, J. B.; Vliegthart, J. F. G.; Liptak, A.; *Carbohydr. Res.* **1989**, *186*, 51.
- 62 Baer, H. H.; Radatus, B.; *Carbohydr. Res.* **1986**, *157*, 65.
- 63 (a) Schmidt, R. R.; Beyerbach, A.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1992**, *9*, 983. (b) Martin, O. R.; Khamis, F. E.; Prahlada Rao, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 6143. (c) Kerekgyarto, J.; Kamerling, J. P.; Bouwstra, J. B.; Vliegthart, J. F. G.; Liptak, A.; *Carbohydr. Res.* **1989**, *186*, 51.
- 64 Tsuda, Y.; Sakai, Y.; Nakai, A.; Kaneko, M.; Ishiguro, Y.; Isobe, K.; Taga, J.; Sano, T.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1990**, *38*, 1462.
- 65 Broka, C. A.; Gerlits, J. F.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 2144.
- 66 (a) Broka, C. A.; Gerlits, J. F.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 2144. (b) Kumar, R.; Lown, J. W.; *Heteroc. Commun.* **2002**, *8*, 115.
- 67 (a) Classon, B.; Garegg, Per J.; Liu, Z.; Samuelsson, B.; *Carbohydr. Res.* **1988**, *174*, 369. (b) Al-Masoudi, N. A. L.; Hughes, N. A.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1987**, *7*, 1413. (c) Gavagnin, M.; Sodano, G.; *Nucleos. & Nucleot.* **1989**, *8*, 1319.
- 68 Bessodes, M.; Lakaf, R.; Antonakis, K.; *Carbohydr. Res.* **1986**, *148*, 148.
- 69 Yamada, K.; Kato, K.; Nagase, H.; Hirata, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**, *1*, 65.
- 70 (a) Okada, Y.; Wang, J.; Yamamoto, T.; Mu, Y.; Yokoi, T.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1996**, *17*, 2139. (b) Ibnuasud, I.; Tom Thomas, P.; Nair Rani, R.; Vavan Sasi, P.; Beena, T.; Hisham, A.; *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 4887.
- 71 (a) Katagiri, N.; Akatsuka, H.; Haneda, T.; Kaneko, C.; Sera, A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 5464. (b) Smith III, A. B.; Cui, H.; *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 587.
- 72 (a) Bessodes, M.; Lakaf, R.; Antonakis, K.; *Carbohydr. Res.* **1986**, *148*, 148. (b) Lichtenthaler, F. W.; Nishiyama, S.; Weimer, T.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1989**, *12*, 1163.

2.4. Albright–Onodera Oxidation (Phosphorous Pentoxide-Mediated Moffatt Oxidation)

In 1965, Albright and Goldman in a communication⁷³ briefly mentioned that DMSO can be activated with phosphorous pentoxide in the oxidation of alcohols. A few months later, Onodera *et al.*⁷⁴ made a report fully centred on this oxidation, in which they described that oxidation of alcohols can be performed by treating a solution of the alcohol in dry DMSO with P₂O₅ at room temperature. In 1987, an important improvement on this oxidation protocol was published by Taber *et al.*,⁷⁶ whereby 1.8 equivalents of P₂O₅ are added in a solution of alcohol, 2 equivalents of DMSO and 3.5 equivalents of Et₃N in dry CH₂Cl₂, and the reaction is carried out at room temperature.

The Albright–Onodera oxidation is seldom used in organic synthesis and, therefore, no extensive experimental database is available that would provide information on its scope and limitations. Nonetheless, it must be mentioned that this oxidation tends to be used as a last resort when more common oxidation protocols fail, and in such cases, very often, it proves to be superior than other common oxidants. The Albright–Onodera oxidation is very conveniently carried out at room temperature using very cheap reagents, and resulting in water soluble side compounds that greatly simplify the work-up.


2.4.1. General Procedure of Albright–Onodera Oxidation Using Taber Modification

Two equivalents of dry DMSO and 1.8 equivalents of P_2O_5 ^{a,b} are sequentially added over a stirred ca. 0.2 M solution of 1 equivalent of the starting alcohol in dry CH_2Cl_2 , kept over an ice-water bath and under a blanket of an inert gas. The reaction mixture is allowed to react at room temperature till a TLC analysis shows no starting compound.^c The reaction mixture is cooled again on an ice-water bath and 3.5 equivalents of Et_3N are slowly added. After about ½ h, 10% aqueous HCl is added, and the resulting mixture is extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The organic phase is washed with brine, dried with $MgSO_4$ and concentrated, giving a residue that may need further purification.

^a CAUTION! Phosphorous pentoxide is extremely caustic on contact with the skin. It must be manipulated using gloves. In case of irritation, the affected area must be immediately flushed with plenty of water.



^b As phosphorous pentoxide is extremely hygroscopic, it must be promptly transferred in order to minimize hydration produced by atmospheric moisture. Phosphorous pentoxide reacts very violently with water producing a copious evolution of heat.

^c It normally takes between ½ h and 2 h.



Ref. 76

This α -hydroxy- β -lactam is resistant to usual oxidizing reagents, like PDC, PCC or Swern, but delivers a 88% of the desired ketone by using the Albright–Onodera protocol.



2.4.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Albright–Onodera Oxidation

It is known that acetals,⁷⁸ β -lactams,⁷⁹ TBS ethers⁷⁶ and alkenes⁷⁵ resist the action of the Albright–Onodera oxidation.

Section 2.4. References

- 73 Albright, J. D.; Goldman, L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 4214.
 74 Onodera, K.; Hirano, S.; Kashimura, N.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 4651.
 75 Taber, D. F.; Amedio Jr., J. C.; Jung, K.-Y.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 5621.
 76 Palomo, C.; Aizpurua, J. M.; Urchegui, R.; García, J. M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1995**, *22*, 2327.
 77 Bussey, C.; Lepoittevin, J.-P.; Benezra, C.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1993**, *3*, 1283.
 78 Hassarajani, S. A.; Dhotare, B.; Chattopadhyay, A.; Mamdapur, V. R.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1998**, *37B*, 80.
 79 (a) Palomo, C.; Aizpurua, J. M.; Ganboa, I.; Carreaux, F.; Cuevas, C.; Maneiro, E.; Ontoria, J. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 3123. (b) Palomo, C.; Aizpurua, J. M.; Urchegui, R.; García, J. M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1995**, *22*, 2327.

2.5. Parikh–Doering Oxidation (Sulfur Trioxide-Mediated Moffatt Oxidation)

Parikh and Doering in 1967 described⁸⁰ that DMSO can be activated for the oxidation of alcohols, using sulfur trioxide that can be conveniently added to the reaction mixture as complex with pyridine. According to the original

communication, alcohols can be oxidized to aldehydes and ketones by adding a solution of 3–3.3 equivalents of the pyridine sulfur trioxide complex—a commercially available stable solid—in dry DMSO over a solution of the alcohol in dry DMSO, containing 6.5–16.5 equivalents of triethylamine at room temperature. This communication was not followed, as far as the authors of this book are aware, by any full paper on the establishment of optimized conditions to obtain the best yields. Subsequent authors modified the original protocol to fit the oxidation of their own alcohols, and in general, this resulted in applying the following experimental conditions:


- Very often, CH_2Cl_2 is used as a co-solvent. Very variable proportions of DMSO versus CH_2Cl_2 are used. Sometimes, CH_2Cl_2 is a minor component in the mixture, and other times, the oxidation can be successful with as little as 3 eq. of DMSO in a CH_2Cl_2 solution.⁸¹ Minimizing the amount of DMSO may facilitate the work-up. Other co-solvents like THF⁸² or CHCl_3 ⁸³ are occasionally used.
- Most frequently, the reaction is carried out at low temperature rather than at room temperature. It is common to cool down the reaction on an ice-water bath, while a temperature as low as -12°C ⁸⁴ can be employed. Sometimes, mixing is done at low temperature, while the proper oxidation is carried out at room temperature. As DMSO solidifies at 18°C , reactions at low temperature must include a co-solvent like CH_2Cl_2 .
- Very often, the pyridine sulfur trioxide complex is added as a solid rather than mixed with DMSO, as recommended in the original publication. This is obviously done for experimental convenience. Nevertheless, one must take into account that the pyridine sulfur trioxide complex reacts with alcohols,⁸⁵ phenols⁸⁶ and other nucleophiles, like amides⁸⁷ and amines,⁸⁸ resulting in the introduction of a $-\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ group. That is why, $\text{SO}_3 \cdot \text{Py}$ must be in contact with DMSO and, therefore, being consumed during the activation of DMSO before it has a chance to react with the alcohol. Mixing $\text{SO}_3 \cdot \text{Py}$ with DMSO ca. 5–15 min before the addition to the alcohol may guarantee a good yield.⁸⁹
- Some authors reported⁸⁹ that, for best yields, scrupulously dry material must be used.

For example, during the oxidation of *N*-benzyl-3-hydroxy-4-methylpiperidine, a 99% conversion in the oxidation is achieved with starting material containing 0.1% of water, while the conversion decreases to 42% with starting material containing 2% of water.^{90a}

- Sometimes, Hünig's base⁹¹— $\text{EtN}(i\text{-Pr})_2$ —is used rather than triethylamine. This hindered base may help to minimize α -epimerization on some sensitive aldehydes and ketones.

The exact reaction temperature may have a profound effect on the yield. For example, during the oxidation of the primary alcohol **21**, a drastic improvement from a 24% to an almost quantitative yield was observed by lowering the temperature from 40 to 10°C . Furthermore, the low temperature

minimized the epimerization of the resulting aldehyde. The test performed at 10°C was made in a DMSO-toluene 5:1 mixture, in order to avoid freezing of the solution.⁹²



These results suggest that the Parikh–Doering oxidation should be routinely tried at 0–10°C, rather than at room temperature, as described in the original paper.


The Parikh–Doering oxidation is conveniently carried out at room temperature or moderately cool temperature. The activator—SO₃·Py—generates side compounds that are very easily removed during the work-up. In variance with other oxidations involving activating DMSO, the Parikh–Doering oxidation rarely delivers substantial amounts of methylthiomethyl ether side compounds.⁹³ Unlike the Swern oxidation, no chlorinated side compounds are possible.

2.5.1. General Procedure for Parikh–Doering Oxidation

Between 2 and 9—typically 2.9–3.3—equivalents of the complex SO₃·Py (MW=159.2) in a ca. 190–400 mg/mL solution^a in dry DMSO are slowly added over ca. 0.2–0.6 M solution of 1 equivalent of alcohol in dry DMSO, containing ca. 7–17 equivalents of Et₃N (MW = 101.2, *d* = 0.726).^b When most of the starting compound is consumed,^c water is added. This may cause the precipitation of the product, particularly when no co-solvent has been added to the DMSO solution. In that case, the crude product can be isolated by simple filtration, and the DMSO contaminant can be washed away with water. If no precipitation occurs, an organic solvent, like CH₂Cl₂, EtOAc or Et₂O, is added and the organic


phase is decanted and washed with water. Optionally, the organic phase can also be washed with brine, a NaHCO_3 aqueous solution and/or a NH_4Cl aqueous solution. Finally, the organic phase is dried with Na_2SO_4 or MgSO_4 , and concentrated, leaving a residue that may need further purification.

- ^a Very often the complex $\text{SO}_3 \cdot \text{Py}$ is added as a solid rather than in a DMSO solution. Apparently, this is not generally deleterious for the oxidation yield, although the $\text{SO}_3 \cdot \text{Py}$ complex must be consumed by activating DMSO, before it is able to react directly with the alcohol. Adding the $\text{SO}_3 \cdot \text{Py}$ solution in DMSO from 5 to 15 min after its preparation may prevent the transformation of the alcohol into the $\text{R-OSO}_3\text{H}$ species.
- ^b The reaction can be carried out at room temperature. Very often, it is done at a lower temperature, typically over an ice-water bath. Temperatures as low as -12°C have been employed. It is also common to mix the reactants at low temperature, and let the reaction be run at room temperature. This is particularly advisable when the reaction is run in multigram scale and exotherms are expected.
- ^c Normally, it takes between 10 min and 2 days, typically ca. 2 h.




Ref. 90

A Parikh–Doering oxidation on 40.9 Kg of starting compound in a 640 L vessel is described. A current of nitrogen is run through the reaction, in order to divert the dimethyl sulfide—generated during the oxidation—to a scrubber containing 13–15% bleach. A Parikh–Doering oxidation is preferred over a Swern oxidation on a big scale, because the former can be carried out under non-cryogenic temperatures, the reagents are easier to handle, and there is a greater flexibility to add more reagent if the reaction does not proceed to completion.




Ref. 89

This oxidation presented a serious challenge, because of the tendency of the substrate to suffer dehydration, or oxidative breakage at the benzylic positions. It succeeded under Parikh–Doering conditions, provided that scrupulously dry conditions are used, and the reaction of $\text{SO}_3 \cdot \text{Py}$ with DMSO precedes the interaction with the diol, in order to avoid the formation of a sulfate ester. Thus, the solution of $\text{SO}_3 \cdot \text{Py}$ in DMSO was prepared 5 min in advance of its use. The application of the closely related Albright–Goldman oxidation led to erratic yields, the diol acetate being the main side product.




Ref. 94

After considerable experimentation, it was found that the Parikh–Doering oxidation provides a good and reproducible yield. Under Swern conditions, yields are erratic with substantial quantities of a product, arising from opening of the epoxide by attack of a chloride ion being formed. PCC did not afford a good yield of alcohol.




Ref. 95

Both PCC and a Moffatt oxidation fail to provide the desired unstable ketone, while the Parikh–Doering oxidation succeeds. Observe that no migration of the alkene into conjugation with the ketone occurs.



Ref. 96

While the Parikh–Doering oxidation succeeds, a Swern oxidation produces chlorination at the activated 3-position of the indole.



Ref. 97

During the oxidation, an acid-catalyzed cyclization of the product by attack of the nitrogen atoms on the ketone, leading to three different aminals, must be avoided. A Parikh–Doering oxidation gives a good yield of the desired ketone, while PCC, Dess–Martin reagent and Jones oxidation deliver diverse amounts of aminals.

2.5.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Parikh–Doering Oxidation

Although the complex pyridine-sulfur trioxide reacts with a number of nucleophiles, including alcohols,⁸⁵ amines,⁸⁸ amides⁸⁷ and phenols,⁸⁶ producing the introduction of a $-\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ group; no such reaction needs to happen during a properly performed Parikh–Doering oxidation, because the complex is consumed by reaction with DMSO before interfering with functional groups in the substrate. In fact, the Parikh–Doering oxidation can be carried out in the presence of nucleophiles, like tertiary alcohols⁹⁸ and tertiary amines.⁹⁹

There is a published instance, in which the Parikh–Doering oxidation is made with no interference from a secondary amine.¹⁰⁰


Not surprisingly, acid sensitive functionalities and protecting groups are not modified under Parikh–Doering conditions. Such groups include: acetals,¹⁰¹ glycosides,^{102a} amines protected with Boc¹⁰³ and alcohols protected with TMS,¹⁰⁵ TBS,¹⁰² MOM,¹⁰⁶ Tr¹⁰⁷ and *t*-Bu.¹⁰⁸ In spite of the presence of Et_3N , as the Parikh–Doering oxidation is made under anhydrous conditions, functionalities and protecting groups sensitive to base-catalyzed hydrolyses are not affected.

The Parikh–Doering oxidation provides a very high regioselectivity for the oxidation of alcohols. Oxidation-sensitive functionalities, like indoles,^{99a,c} sulfides,¹⁰⁹ and selenides,¹¹⁰ as well as oxidation-sensitive protecting groups, like dithioacetals,¹¹¹ PMB¹⁰⁴ and dimethoxybenzyl ethers^{109b}, do not react.


It must be mentioned that sensitive compounds, like alkyl silanes,¹¹² alkyl stannanes¹¹³ and vinyl stannanes,¹¹⁴ are not affected under the conditions of the Parikh–Doering oxidation.

2.5.3. Side Reactions


When an aldehyde or ketone, possessing a good-leaving group at the β -position, is obtained during a Parikh–Doering oxidation, very often an elimination occurs, leading to an enal or an enone. Leaving-groups suffering such elimination include acetate¹¹⁵ and sulfinyl.¹¹⁶



Very rarely, some quantity of methylthiomethyl ether is formed.⁹³ It must be mentioned that the formation of methylthiomethyl ethers in oxidation with activated DMSO can be minimized by the use of low polarity solvents.¹¹⁷



In a properly performed Parikh–Doering oxidation, the complex $\text{SO}_3 \cdot \text{Py}$ must not interfere, because it must be completely consumed by reaction with DMSO before the substrate is added. In practice, it can be difficult to avoid the presence of minor amounts of $\text{SO}_3 \cdot \text{Py}$, that can react with nucleophilic sites in the molecule, including alcohols.



Section 2.5. References

- 80 Parikh, J. R.; Doering, W. von E.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 5505.
- 81 Wasicak, J. T.; Craig, R. A.; Henry, R.; Dasgupta, B.; Li, H.; Donaldson, W. A.; *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 4185.
- 82 (a) Conrad, P. C.; Kwiatkowski, P. L.; Fuchs, P. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 586. (b) Baker, R.; Castro, J. L.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1989**, *1*, 190. (c) Nicolaou, K. C.; Hepworth, D.; Finlay, M. R. V.; King, N. P.; Werschkun, B.; Bigot, A.; *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, *6*, 519.
- 83 Liu, Z. D.; Piyamongkol, S.; Liu, D. Y.; Khodr, H. H.; Lu, S. L.; Hider, R. C.; *Biorg. Med. Chem.* **2001**, *9*, 563.
- 84 Gabriëls, S.; Van Haver, D.; Vandewalle, M.; De Clercq, P.; Viterbo, D.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *8*, 1803.

- 85 (a) Zhou, X.-D.; Cai, F.; Zhou, W.-S.; *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 10293. (b) Kitov, P. I.; Bundle, D. R.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **2001**, *8*, 838. (c) Itoh, Y.; Matsuda, N.; Harada, K.; Takanashi, K.; Watanabe, K.; Takagi, H.; Itoh, S.; Yoshizawa, I.; *Steroids* **1999**, *64*, 363.
- 86 (a) Tian, H.-Y.; Li, H.-J.; Chen, Y.-J.; Wang, D.; Li, C.-J.; *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2002**, *41*, 4523. (b) Ohkubo, T.; Wakasawa, T.; Nambara, T.; *Steroids* **1990**, *55*, 128. (c) Charpentier, B.; Dor, A.; Roy, P.; England, P.; Pham, H.; Durieux, C.; Roques, B. P.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1989**, *32*, 1184.
- 87 (a) Branch, C. L.; Finch, S. C.; Pearson, M. J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 3219. (b) Yamashita, H.; Minami, N.; Sakakibara, K.; Kobayashi, S.; Ohno, M.; Hamada, M.; Umezawa, H.; *J. Antibiot.* **1987**, *40*, 1716. (c) Hinz, W.; Just, G.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1987**, *65*, 1503.
- 88 (a) Curran, W. V.; Ross, A. A.; Lee, V. J.; *J. Antibiot.* **1988**, *41*, 1418. (b) Chiba, T.; Jacquinet, J. C.; Sinay, P.; Petitou, M.; Choay, J.; *Carbohydr. Res.* **1988**, *174*, 253.
- 89 Harvey, R. G.; Goh, S. H.; Cortez, C.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 3468.
- 90 (a) Brown Ripin, D. H.; Abele, S.; Cai, W.; Blumenkopff, T.; Casavant, J. M.; Doty, J. L.; Flanagan, M.; Koecher, C.; Laue, K. W.; McCarthy, K.; Meltz, C.; Munchhoff, M.; Pouwer, K.; Shah, B.; Sun, J.; Teixeira, J.; Vries, T.; Whipple, D. A.; Wilcox, G.; *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2003**, *7*, 115. For other Parikh-Doering oxidation performed on a multikilogram scale see: (b) Liu, C.; Ng, J. S.; Behling, J. R.; Yen, C. H.; Campbell, A. L.; Fuzail, K. S.; Yonan, E. E.; Mehrotra, D. V.; *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **1997**, *1*, 45.
- 91 See for example: (a) Urban, F. J.; Breitenbach, R.; Murtiashaw, C. W.; Vanderplas, B. C.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1995**, *6*, 321. (b) Waizumi, N.; Itoh, T.; Fukuyama, T.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 7825. (c) Toyota, M.; Odashima, T.; Wada, T.; Ihara, M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 9036. (d) Smith III, A. B.; Lee, D.; Adams, C. M.; Kozlowski, M. C.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 4539. (e) Bio, M. M.; Leighton, J. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 1693.
- 92 Seki, M.; Mori, Y.; Hatsuda, M.; Yamada, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 5527.
- 93 For an example of isolation of a methylthiomethyl ether in a Parikh-Doering oxidation see: Takano, S.; Sato, N.; Akiyama, M.; Ogasawara, K.; *Heterocycles* **1985**, *23*, 2859.
- 94 Urabe, H.; Matsuka, T.; Sato, F.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 4179.
- 95 Brayer, J. L.; Alazard, J. P.; Thal, C.; *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 5187.
- 96 Langlois, Y.; Pouilhès, A.; Génin, D.; Andriamialisoa, R. Z.; Langlois, N.; *Tetrahedron* **1983**, *39*, 3755.
- 97 Granier, T.; Vasella, A.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1998**, *81*, 865.
- 98 See for example: (a) Hoffmann, H. M. R.; Koch, O.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 2939. (b) Hatakeyama, S.; Sakurai, K.; Numata, H.; Ochi, N.; Takano, S.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 5201. (c) Makino, K.; Suzuki, T.; Awane, S.; Hara, O.; Hamada, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 9391. (d) Patin, A.; Kanazawa, A.; Philouze, C.; Greene, A. E.; Muri, E.; Barreiro, E.; Costa, P. C. C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 3831.
- 99 See for example: (a) Roberson, C. W.; Woerpel, K. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 11342. (b) Urban, F. J.; Breitenbach, R.; Murtiashaw, C. W.; Vanderplas, B. C.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1995**, *6*, 321. (c) Gmeiner, P.; Feldman, P. L.; Chu-Moyer, M. Y.; Rapoport, H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 3068. (d) Brayer, J.-L.; Alazard, J.-P.; Thal, C.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 643.
- 100 Parra, S.; Laurent, F.; Subra, G.; Deleuze-Masquefa, C.; Benezech, V.; Fabreguettes, J.-R.; Vidal, J.-P.; Pocock, T.; Elliott, K.; Small, R.; Escale, R.; Michel, A.; Chapat, J.-P.; Bonnet, P.-A.; *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2001**, *36*, 255.
- 101 See for example: (a) Toyota, M.; Sasaki, M.; Ihara, M.; *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1193. (b) Patin, A.; Kanazawa, A.; Philouze, C.; Greene, A. E.; Muri, E.; Barreiro, E.; Costa, P. C. C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 3831. (c) Linclau, B.; Boydell, A. J.; Clarke, P. J.; Horan, R.; Jaquet, C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 1821. (d) Roush, W. R.; Chen, H.; Reilly, M. L.; *Heterocycles* **2002**, *58*, 259.
- 102 (a) Sugimoto, T.; Fujii, T.; Hatanaka, Y.; Yamamura, S.; Ueda, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 6529. (b) Makino, K.; Suzuki, T.; Awane, S.; Hara, O.; Hamada, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 9391.

- 103 See for example: (a) Kato, S.; Harada, H.; Morie, T.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1997**, 21, 3219. (b) Cheguillaume, A.; Doubli-Bounoua, I.; Bandy-Floc'h, M.; Le Grel, P.; *Synlett* **2000**, 3, 331. (c) Ermolenko, L.; Sasaki, N. A.; Potier, P.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **2000**, 15, 2465.
- 104 See for example: (a) Jeong, E. J.; Kang, E. J.; Sung, L. T.; Hong, S. K.; Lee, E.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, 124, 14655. (b) Smith III, A. B.; Zhu, W.; Shirakami, S.; Sfougatakis, C.; Doughty, V. A.; Bennett, C. S.; Sakamoto, Y.; *Org. Lett.* **2003**, 5, 761. (c) Nakamura, S.; Inagaki, J.; Kudo, M.; Sugimoto, T.; Obara, K.; Nakajima, M.; Hashimoto, S.; *Tetrahedron* **2002**, 58, 10353.
- 105 Shigeno, K.; Sasai, H.; Shibasaki, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, 33, 4937.
- 106 (a) De Brabander, J.; Vandewalle, M.; *Synthesis* **1994**, 8, 855. (b) Suzuki, Y.; Nishimaki, R.; Ishikawa, M.; Murata, T.; Takao, K.; Tadano, K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, 65, 8595. (c) Harvey, R. G.; Cortez, C.; Ananthanarayan, T. P.; Schmolka, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, 53, 3936.
- 107 (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Hepworth, D.; Finlay, M. R. V.; King, N. P.; Werschkun, B.; Bigot, A.; *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 6, 519. (b) Chen, C.; Ahlberg Randall, L. A.; Miller, R. B.; Jones, A. D.; Kurth, M. J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, 116, 2661. (c) Bertolini, G.; Casagrande, C.; Norcini, G.; Santangelo, F.; *Synth. Commun.* **1994**, 24, 1833.
- 108 (a) Sugahara, T.; Kuroyanagi, Y.; Ogasawara, K.; *Synthesis* **1996**, 9, 1101. (b) Ihara, M.; Tokunaga, Y.; Fukumoto, K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, 55, 4497.
- 109 (a) Waizumi, N.; Itoh, T.; Fukuyama, T.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, 122, 7825. (b) De Brabander, J.; Vandewalle, M.; *Synthesis* **1994**, 8, 855.
- 110 Bigogno, C.; Danieli, B.; Lesma, G.; Passarella, D.; *Heterocycles* **1995**, 41, 973.
- 111 See for example: (a) Smith III, A. B.; Lee, D.; Adams, C. M.; Kozlowski, M. C.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, 4, 4539. (b) Smith III, A. B.; Friestad, G. K.; Barbosa, J.; Bertounesque, E.; Hull, K. G.; Iwashima, M.; Qiu, Y.; Salvatore, B. A.; Grant Spoons, P.; Duan, J. J.-W.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, 121, 10468. (c) Hatakeyama, S.; Kawamura, M.; Takano, S.; Irie, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, 35, 7993. (d) Konradi, A. W.; Pedersen, S. F.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, 55, 4506.
- 112 Takeda, K.; Kawanishi, E.; Sasaki, M.; Takahashi, Y.; Yamaguchi, K.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, 4, 1511.
- 113 Inoue, M.; Sasaki, M.; Tachibana, K.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1998**, 37, 965.
- 114 Vaz, B.; Álvarez, R.; R. de Lera, A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, 67, 5040.
- 115 (a) Cree, G. M.; Mackie, D. W.; Perlin, A. S.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1969**, 47, 511. (b) Maradufu, A.; Mackie, D. M.; Perlin, A. S.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1972**, 50, 2617. (c) Muto, R.; Ogasawara, K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, 42, 4143.
- 116 Conrad, P. C.; Kwiatkowski, P. L.; Fuchs, P. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, 52, 586.
- 117 (a) Corey, E. J.; Kim, C. U.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, 94, 7586. (b) Hendrickson, J. B.; Schwartzman, S. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, 4, 273. (c) Johnson, C. R.; Phillips, W. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, 91, 682.
- 118 Zanka, A.; Itoh, N.; Kuroda, S.; *Org. Proc. Res. Develop.* **1999**, 3, 394.

2.6. Omura–Sharma–Swern Oxidation (TFAA-Mediated Moffatt Oxidation)

The use of trifluoroacetic anhydride for the activation of DMSO in the oxidation of alcohols was first attempted by Albright and Goldman in 1965.^{119,120} According to these authors, who tried the reaction at room temperature, trifluoroacetic anhydride is not effective in the activation of DMSO. Later, Swern *et al.* made a detailed study of the interaction of DMSO with TFAA,¹²¹ and proved that the resulting activated DMSO is stable at low temperature and can be used in the oxidation of alcohols. In

three papers published between 1976 and 1978,¹²² Swern *et al.* made a profound study on the oxidation of alcohols with DMSO activated with TFAA, resulting in optimized oxidation protocols that are being used nowadays by other researchers.

Neat trifluoroacetic anhydride and DMSO interact in an explosive manner at room temperature.¹²¹ Nevertheless, at low temperature and in the presence of CH_2Cl_2 , as solvent and moderator, DMSO and TFAA react almost instantaneously, yielding a white precipitate described as trifluoroalkoxydimethylsulfonium trifluoroacetate (**22**).

This form of activated DMSO is stable below -30°C , but suffer a Pummerer rearrangement above this temperature, resulting in the formation of methylthiomethyl trifluoroacetate (**23**). In fact, compound **23** reacts with alcohols in the presence of an amine, resulting in a very quick trifluoroacetylation. However, this trifluoroacetylation pathway is not operative in a properly performed Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, because alcohols are previously transformed in alkoxydimethylsulfonium salts **24**.


Interestingly, although trifluoroacetic anhydride reacts very quickly with alcohols, the reaction with DMSO is even quicker. Therefore, the formation of the activated DMSO species **22** can be made in the presence of the alcohol, resulting in little erosion of the oxidation yield.

Alcohols react with compound **22** at low temperature in ca. 30 min, yielding an alkoxydimethylsulfonium salt **24** and one equivalent of trifluoroacetic acid. This mixture is normally stable at room temperature for several days. Nonetheless, alkoxydimethylsulfonium salts, derived from alcohols whose radicals are able to stabilize carbocations—particularly allylic and benzylic alcohols—suffer solvolyses by the action of trifluoroacetic acid from 0°C to room temperature, already in the absence of an amine, yielding the corresponding trifluoroacetates. This differential stability of alkoxydimethylsulfonium salts, derived from diverse alcohols, dictate different protocols in the Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation depending on the alcohol (*vide infra*).

The treatment of an alkoxydimethylsulfonium salt **24** with an amine produces a sulfur ylide **25** that can yield an aldehyde or ketone and dimethyl sulfide. Alternatively, **25** can fragment producing the sulfonium species **26** that can generate an undesired methylthiomethyl ether by reaction with alkoxide. Another common side reaction is the displacement of DMSO by attack of trifluoroacetate. These two side reactions—trifluoroacetylation and methylthiomethylation—are normally minimized by adding the amine at room temperature. Therefore, the oxidation of normal alcohols is better made according to the so-called *Procedure C*, whereby although all the operations till the formation of the alkoxydimethylsulfonium salt **24** are made at low temperature, the key intermediate **24** is left to reach room temperature *before* the amine is added. Obviously, *Procedure C* is not suitable for allylic and benzylic alcohols, because they are solvolyzed to the corresponding trifluoroacetates if the alkoxydimethylsulfonium salts **24** are allowed to reach room temperature before adding an amine. In those

cases, the so-called *Procedure A* must be used, whereby an amine is added at low temperature to the alkoxydimethylsulfonium salt **24**, and the resulting mixture is allowed to reach slowly at room temperature. These results are exemplified in Table 2.1.

Additionally, it must be mentioned that the formation of methylthio-methyl ethers in oxidations with activated DMSO is minimized by the use of solvents of low polarity.¹²³ Hence, the routine use of CH_2Cl_2 —which possesses a good balance of solubilizing power versus low polarity—is practiced in Omura–Sharma–Swern and Moffatt oxidations. The formation of side compounds—both trifluoroacetates and methylthiomethyl ethers—is decreased by using more diluted reaction conditions under *Procedure C*, while concentration has little effect on the yield in oxidations performed under *Procedure A*.¹²⁴



Most Omura–Sharma–Swern oxidations are performed in CH_2Cl_2 , although other apolar solvents, like toluene,¹²⁵ can be equally effective.

Table 2.1.

Alcohol	Procedure*	Carbonyl (%)	Trifluoroacetate ester (%)	Methylthiomethyl ether (%)
1-Decanol	A	37	35	21
	C	56	24	8
Cyclohexanol	A	65	22	12
	C	73	17	5
Benzylic alcohol	A	84	11	0
	C	42	58	—
<i>Sec</i> -phenethyl alcohol	A	97	1	—
	C	0	96	—

* *Procedure A*: DMSO and TFAA are reacted at -78 to -60°C for ca. 10 min producing **22**, which is reacted with the alcohol at -78 to -60°C for ca. 30 min. The amine is added to the resulting solution of alkoxy-sulfonium salt **24** and the resulting mixture is left to reach slowly at room temperature. *Procedure C*: like *Procedure A* but the solution of the alkoxy-sulfonium salt **24** is left to reach at room temperature *before* the amine is added.

Because of the propensity to generate side compounds, the Omura–Sharma–Swern oxidation is not a suitable routine oxidation protocol for normal alcohols. Interestingly, however, the formation of side compounds is greatly suppressed during the oxidation of very sterically hindered alcohols. Therefore, this oxidation is particularly suited for secondary alcohols, flanked by bulky groups, and for primary neopentilic alcohols, that is, it gives best yields precisely on those alcohols that are very difficult to oxidize by other means. On such alcohols, the alternative use of either *Procedure A* or *Procedure C* may not be very important, although *Procedure A* is normally preferred, because some side reactions are minimized at low temperature.


Interesting modifications of the standard *Procedure A* include, allowing a prolonged reaction—till 90 min—of activated DMSO **22** with the alcohol at low temperature, in order to make sure the complete formation of the alkoxy-sulfonium intermediate **24**,¹²⁶ and performing the final steps at ca. -78°C ¹²⁷ or 0°C ¹²⁸ rather than at room temperature.

Quite remarkably, although TFAA-activated DMSO is decomposed above -30°C , there is one published report of successful oxidation, in which TFAA is added over a solution of DMSO and the alcohol, kept at -20°C .¹²⁵ This oxidation succeeds apparently, because at this temperature, TFAA-activated DMSO suffers decomposition slower than conversion into an alkoxy-sulfonium salt by attack of the alcohol.


The nature of the amine, used for the decomposition of the alkoxydimethylsulfonium salt, has a great influence in the yield of the aldehyde or ketone. Swern *et al.* proved^{122c} that best yields are obtained with hindered amines, like Hünig's base ($\text{Et}_3\text{N} \cdot \text{Pr}_2$). Nevertheless, most Omura–Sharma–Swern oxidations are performed using Et_3N instead of Hünig's base, although

with the latter, yields are obtained exceeding 5 to 25 % relative to the use of Et_3N . This is probably due to the fact that most references to the Omura–Sharma–Swern oxidation cite earlier papers^{125,123b} where only the use of Et_3N is described, while the use of Hünig's base is mentioned in a later paper^{122c} that is less cited. Good yields can also be obtained by using DBU.¹²⁹


The differential stability of alkoxysulfonium salts, derived from diverse alcohols, and the lesser tendency of hindered alcohols to provide trifluoroacetate side compounds can explain some interesting selective oxidations reported in the literature.^{125,130}



The base added to decompose the alkoxysulfonium intermediate can be used to perform additional reactions *in situ* after the oxidation.



Interestingly, it is possible to perform an *in situ* addition of a Grignard reagent to a carbonyl compound, obtained by the Omura–Sharma–Swern oxidation.



2.6.1. General Procedure (Procedure A) for Oxidation of Alcohols with Omura–Sharma–Swern Method

Between 1.5 and 7 equivalents—typically 1.5 equivalents—of trifluoroacetic anhydride (MW = 210.0, $d = 1.49$) are slowly^a added to a cold^b and stirred ca. 0.3–2 M solution^c of 2–11 equivalents—typically 2 equivalents—^d of dry DMSO (MW = 78.1, $d = 1.10$) in dry CH_2Cl_2 .^e This results in the formation of a white precipitate, described as the TFAA-activated DMSO compound **22**. After 5–15 min,^f a ca. 0.05–0.9 M solution of the alcohol in dry DMSO is slowly^a added. After 15 min–2 h of stirring at low temperature, ca. 3–12 equivalents of Et_3N or Hünig's base ($\text{EtN}i\text{-Pr}_2$)^g are slowly added.^h The reaction mixture is left to reach slowly at room temperature.ⁱ When most of the starting compound is consumed,^j the reaction mixture is partitioned between an organic solvent, like CH_2Cl_2 or ether, and water. The organic phase is washed with brine and/or an aqueous solution of saturated NaHCO_3 , dried with Na_2SO_4 or MgSO_4 and concentrated, giving a residue that may need purification.

^a As TFAA-activated DMSO, that is compound **22**, decomposes above -30°C , care must be taken to avoid exotherms during the addition of trifluoroacetic anhydride or the alcohol. Adding these compounds as a CH_2Cl_2 solution may help to avoid exotherms.

^b Normally between -78 and -50°C .

^c The solution of DMSO in CH_2Cl_2 must be prepared at room temperature, because DMSO can freeze when it is dropped on cold CH_2Cl_2 .

^d DMSO must be used in molar excess relative to TFAA, in order to consume all the anhydride that otherwise could cause side reactions. An excessive amount of DMSO can

increase the polarity of the solution, and promote the generation of methylthiomethyl ethers.

^e Other solvents with low polarity, such as toluene, can be equally effective.


^f DMSO and TFAA are reported to react instantaneously at -60°C . The resulting activated DMSO is stable at low temperature, at least, during several days. Therefore, little change in the oxidation yield is expected, depending on the time that DMSO and TFAA are in contact at low temperature.

^g Normally Et_3N is used, although Hünig's base has been proved to give a yield of 5–25% in excess relative to Et_3N .

^h Alcohols, which are neither allylic, benzylic or greatly hindered, may be best oxidized according to the so-called *Procedure C*, comprised of adding the amine *after* the solution reaches room temperature.


ⁱ Sometimes, the reaction mixture is left stirring at low temperature, or is left to reach 0°C rather than room temperature. In those cases, very often the reaction is quenched at low temperature with an alcohol, like MeOH or *i*-PrOH, before the work-up.

^j It takes about 1 h.




Ref. 132

A Swern oxidation produces the introduction of a methylthio group next to the ketone, while a Omura–Sharma–Swern oxidation, performed at low temperature during all the operations before the work-up, provides the desired ketone in good yield.



Ref. 133

An excellent yield of ketone is obtained in the oxidation of a hindered alcohol, in a molecule adorned with multiple functionalities.



Ref. 134


A 99% yield of ketone is obtained via an Omura–Sharma–Swern oxidation, while Dess–Martin periodinane delivers a 73% yield.

2.6.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Omura–Sharma–Swern Oxidation

As expected, acid sensitive functionalities, including THP,¹³⁵ Tr,¹³⁶ TBS¹³⁷ and *t*-Bu¹³⁸ ethers, orthoesters,¹³⁹ acetals¹⁴⁰ and glycosides,^{137a,141} as well as Boc-protected¹⁴² amines, are resistant to Omura–Sharma–Swern oxidations.


Normally, functionalities sensitive to basic hydrolyses, like esters, resist this oxidation protocol, because the added amine operates in the absence of water.

Oxidation-sensitive functionalities other than alcohols are remarkably resistant to the action of the TFAA-mediated Moffatt oxidation. Functional groups resistant to this oxidation include: *p*-methoxybenzyl ethers¹³³ and esters,¹⁴³ sulfides,^{143a,144} thioacetals,¹⁴⁵ nitrogen heterocycles¹⁴⁶ and most peculiarly even selenides,¹⁴⁷ and *p*-hydroquinones.¹⁴⁸




Although very often indoles are recovered unchanged,¹⁴⁹ there are evidences¹⁵⁰ showing that they do react under Omura–Sharma–Swern conditions, producing an intermediate that, in the absence of excess of oxidizing reagent, reverts to starting indole during the work-up. However, this intermediate sometimes may evolve, resulting in the generation of side compounds (see page 137).

Tertiary¹⁵¹ amines remain unaffected, and there are examples of unreactive secondary¹⁵² amines, recovered unchanged in Omura–Sharma–Swern oxidations. There is one report¹⁵³ of a secondary amine being transformed in a trifluoroacetamide. As trifluoroacetamides are hydrolyzed under very mild basic conditions, one wonders whether the recovery of secondary amines is a result of the hydrolysis of the corresponding trifluoroacetamides during the work-up. During an oxidation in the preparation of the anti-tumour agent FMdC, it was found that an Omura–Sharma–Swern oxidation was unique among other oxidation procedures, because no interference from a primary aromatic amine happened.¹⁵⁴



It is interesting to note that stabilized phosphoranes^{143a,b} and phosphonate¹⁵⁵ anions can resist TFAA-mediated Moffatt oxidations.





2.6.3. Side Reactions


Very often, alcohols are transformed into the corresponding trifluoroacetates. This side reaction can be very substantial in alcohols possessing radicals able to stabilize carbocations, such as benzylic and allylic alcohols.^{122a,b} A proper choice of reaction conditions can result in a minimization of this side reaction (see page 130).

The action of the amine over the alkoxy-sulfonium intermediate— $\text{ROS}(+)\text{Me}_2$ —can produce either the desired oxidation, or the generation of $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{S}(+)\text{-Me}$. This compound can react with alcohols, resulting in the formation of methylthiomethyl ethers, $\text{R-O-CH}_2\text{-S-Me}$. It can also react with other nucleophilic sites, resulting in the introduction of a methylthiomethyl group. Unhindered alcohols are particularly prone to the generation of methylthiomethyl ethers, whose formation can be difficult to avoid by adjusting reaction conditions. Nevertheless, like other Moffatt oxidations, it

is expected that the use of solvents of low polarity would help to minimize this side reaction.¹²³

Nucleophiles, other than alcohols, can react with the TFAA-activated DMSO molecule— $\text{F}_3\text{C}-\text{CO}_2-\text{S}^+(\text{Me})_2$ —, indoles being particularly prone to do so.






Ref. 150

The initial attack of the indole on the activated DMSO molecule generates an electrophilic intermediate that suffers an intramolecular attack from an amide anion. After the aromaticity being recovered by expulsion of dimethyl sulfide, yielding an intermediate that can be isolated, a second attack of the indole on activated DMSO generates a sulfonium salt. This sulfonium salt, according to the authors, suffers deprotonation, yielding a tetravalent sulfur compound that evolves via a pericyclic reaction, resulting in the introduction of a methylthiomethyl group. An alternative mechanistic proposal, involving the intermediacy of $\text{H}_2\text{C} = \text{S}^+(\text{Me})_2$, would hardly explain the regioselectivity of the methylthiomethylation.


Sometimes, side products are formed, resulting from attack on electrophilic sites of dimethyl sulfide generated from DMSO.



Ref. 156


Dimethyl sulfide, generated from DMSO, attacks the enone resulting from the oxidation of the alcohol. A sulfonium salt is generated that decomposes into a sulfur-containing side-compound. Performing the oxidation entirely at -78°C , prevents the undesired attack of dimethyl sulfide.

Sometimes, an elimination occurs when good-leaving groups are present at the α or the β -position of the resulting carbonyl compound.



It must be mentioned that such eliminations need not to occur, and examples are known in which no carboxylate,^{140c,142b} sulfone,¹⁵⁸ or hydroxy¹⁵⁹ groups suffer elimination.

Sometimes, an insaturation migrates into conjugation with the newly formed carbonyl group.



However, examples are also known,¹³⁵ in which similar migrations do not happen.

Section 2.6. References

- 119 Albright, J. D.; Goldman, L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 4214.
- 120 Albright, J. D.; Goldman, L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 2416.
- 121 Sharma, A. K.; Ku, T.; Dawson, A. D.; Swern, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, *40*, 2758.
- 122 (a) Omura, K.; Sharma, A. K.; Swern, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1976**, *41*, 957. (b) Huang, S. L.; Omura, K.; Swern, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1976**, *41*, 3329. (c) Huang, S. L.; Omura, K.; Swern, D.; *Synthesis* **1978**, 297.
- 123 (a) Corey, E. J.; Kim, C. U.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 7586. (b) Hendrickson, J. B.; Schwartzman, S. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, *4*, 273. (c) Johnson, C. R.; Phillips, W. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 682.

- 124 Omura, K.; Sharma, A. K.; Swern, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1976**, *41*, 957.
- 125 Tietze, L. F.; Henke, S.; Bärtels, C.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 7145.
- 126 Li, W.-R.; Ewing, W. R.; Harris, B. D.; Joullié, M. M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 7659.
- 127 Sakai, N.; Ohfune, Y.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 998.
- 128 (a) Isono, N.; Mori, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 115. (b) Burkholder, T. P.; Fuchs, P. L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 9601.
- 129 Boger, D. L.; Hüter, O.; Mbiya, K.; Zhang, M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 11839.
- 130 Abelman, M. M.; Overman, L. E.; Tran, V. D.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 6959.
- 131 Su, Z.; Tamm, C.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1995**, *78*, 1278.
- 132 Rowley, M.; Leeson, P. D.; Williams, B. J.; Moore, K. W.; Baker, R.; *Tetrahedron* **1992**, *48*, 3557.
- 133 Hale, K. J.; Cai, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 4233.
- 134 Mayer, S. C.; Pfizenmayer, A. J.; Joullié, M. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 1655.
- 135 Kojima, K.; Amemiya, S.; Koyama, K.; Saito, S.; Oshima, T.; Ito, T.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1987**, *35*, 4000.
- 136 Liang, D.; Pauls, H. W.; Fraser-Reid, B.; Georges, M.; Mubarak, A. M.; Jarosz, S.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1986**, *64*, 1800.
- 137 See for example: (a) Suryawanshi, S. N.; Fuchs, P. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 902. (b) Jones, K.; Wood, W. W.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1987**, *3*, 537. (c) Amoo, V. E.; De Bernardo, S.; Weigele, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 2401.
- 138 Momotake, A.; Mito, J.; Yamaguchi, K.; Togo, H.; Yokoyama, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 7207.
- 139 Barrett, A. G. M.; Barta, T. E.; Flygare, J. A.; Sabat, M.; Spilling, C. D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 2409.
- 140 See for example: (a) Liang, D.; Pauls, H. W.; Fraser-Reid, B.; Georges, M.; Mubarak, A. M.; Jarosz, S.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1986**, *64*, 1800. (b) Fetter, J.; Lempert, K.; Kajtár-Peredy, M.; Simig, G.; Hornyák, G.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1986**, *8*, 1453. (c) Weber, J. F.; Talhouk, J. W.; Nachman, R. J.; You, T.-P.; Halaska, R. C.; Williams, T. M.; Mosher, H. S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 2702.
- 141 Horii, S.; Fukase, H.; Matsuo, T.; Kameda, Y.; Asano, N.; Matsui, K.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1986**, *29*, 1038.
- 142 See for example: (a) Takahata, H.; Banba, Y.; Tajima, M.; Momose, T.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 240. (b) Li, W.-R.; Han, S.-Y.; Joullié, M. M.; *Tetrahedron* **1993**, *49*, 785. (c) Williams, L.; Zhang, Z.; Shao, F.; Carroll, P. J.; Joullié, M. M.; *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 11673.
- 143 (a) Ona, H.; Uyeo, S.; Fukao, T.; Doi, M.; Yoshida, T.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1985**, *33*, 4382. (b) Haruta, J.; Nishi, K.; Kikuchi, K.; Matsuda, S.; Tamura, Y.; Kita, Y.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1989**, *37*, 2338.
- 144 Tseng, C. C.; Hauda, I.; Abdel-Sayed, A. N.; Bauer, L.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 1893.
- 145 See for example: (a) Amoo, V. E.; De Bernardo, S.; Weigele, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 2401. (b) Ohwa, M.; Kogure, T.; Eliel, E. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 2599. (c) Braish, T. F.; Saddler, J. C.; Fuchs, P. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 3647.
- 146 See for example: (a) Ona, H.; Uyeo, S.; Fukao, T.; Doi, M.; Yoshida, T.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1985**, *33*, 4382. (b) Momotake, A.; Mito, J.; Yamaguchi, K.; Togo, H.; Yokoyama, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 7207. (c) Álvarez, M.; Salas, M.; de Veciana, A.; Lavilla, R.; Bosch, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 5089. (d) Kuehne, M. E.; Brook, C. S.; Frasier, D. A.; Xu, F.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 5977.
- 147 (a) Williard, P. G.; de Laszlo, S. E.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 3738. (b) Marco, J. A.; Carda, M.; *Tetrahedron* **1987**, *43*, 2523.
- 148 Welch, S. C.; Levine, J. A.; Arimilli, M. N.; *Synth. Commun.* **1993**, *23*, 131.
- 149 See for example: (a) (i) Ona, H.; Uyeo, S.; Fukao, T.; Doi, M.; Yoshida, T.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1985**, *33*, 4382. (ii) Haruta, J.; Nishi, K.; Kikuchi, K.; Matsuda, S.; Tamura, Y.; Kita, Y.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1989**, *37*, 2338. (b) (i) Álvarez, M.; Salas, M.; de Veciana, A.;


- Lavilla, R.; Bosch, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 5089. (ii) Kuehne, M. E.; Brook, C. S.; Frasier, D. A.; Xu, F.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 5977.
- 150 Bailey, P. D.; Cochrane, P. J.; Irvine, F.; Morgan, K. M.; Pearson, D. P. J.; Veal, K. T.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 4593.
- 151 See for example: (a) Chamberlin, A. R.; Chung, J. Y. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 4425. (b) Ihara, M.; Yasui, K.; Taniguchi, N.; Fukumoto, K.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1990**, *5*, 1469. (c) Álvarez, M.; Salas, M.; de Veciana, A.; Lavilla, R.; Bosch, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 5089.
- 152 Biggadike, K.; Borthwick, A. D.; Evans, D.; Exall, A. M.; Kirk, B. E.; Roberts, S. M.; Stephenson, L.; Youds, P.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Williams, D. J.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1987**, *4*, 251.
- 153 Snider, B. B.; Lin, H.; *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 643.
- 154 Appell, R. B.; Duguid, R. J.; *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2000**, *4*, 172.
- 155 Huber, R.; Vasella, A.; *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 33.
- 156 Jung, M. E.; Piizzi, G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 3911.
- 157 Yasuda, S.; Yamamoto, Y.; Yoshida, S.; Hanaoka, M.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1988**, *36*, 4229.
- 158 (a) Nantz, M. H.; Fuchs, P. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 5298. (b) Braish, T. F.; Saddler, J. C.; Fuchs, P. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 3647.
- 159 Tavares da Silva, E. J.; Roleira, F. M. F.; Sá e Melo, M.; Campos Neves, A. S.; Paixão, J. A.; de Almeida, M. J.; Silva, M. R.; Andrade, L. C. R.; *Steroids* **2002**, *67*, 311.
- 160 Mukai, C.; Kasamatsu, E.; Ohyama, T.; Hanaoka, M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **2000**, *5*, 737.

2.7. Swern Oxidation (Oxalyl Chloride-Mediated Moffatt Oxidation)

Few oxidation methods have enjoyed the almost immediate success of the Swern procedure for the oxidation of alcohols. Since the publication of three foundational papers¹⁶¹ in 1978–79, Swern has become the *de facto* oxidation method by default whenever activated DMSO is desired. It offers the advantage of quite consistent good yields in many substrates, with an operation performed under very low temperature and mild conditions. Swern's procedure consists of the oxidation of an alcohol using DMSO, activated by reaction with oxalyl chloride. According to Swern, oxalyl chloride is the most effective activator of DMSO examined by his group.¹⁶² It must be mentioned that Swern's research team is probably the one that has tried the highest number of DMSO activators for the oxidation of alcohols.


Mechanism

DMSO and oxalyl chloride react in an explosive manner at room temperature. The reaction at -60°C is almost instantaneous, resulting in a copious evolution of carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide. As soon as, a drop of a solution of DMSO in CH_2Cl_2 contacts a solution of oxalyl chloride in CH_2Cl_2 at -60°C , an almost instantaneous reaction takes place, resulting in the formation of chlorodimethylsulfonium chloride (**30**).



The primary product (**29**) of the reaction of DMSO and oxalyl chloride decomposes very quickly to **30** even at -140°C .¹⁶³ However, the activated DMSO molecule **30** remains stable below -20°C , but decomposes above this temperature to chloromethyl methyl sulfide (**31**), via the reactive species $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{S}(+)\text{-Me}$.

During a Swern oxidation, after the formation of the activated DMSO molecule **30**, the alcohol is added at low temperature. The alcohol reacts very quickly with activated DMSO, resulting in the formation of an alkoxydimethylsulfonium chloride (**32**).





According to the standard protocol (procedure A) as described by Swern *et al.*, the alcohol is allowed to react with activated DMSO for 15 min at low temperature (normally -78 to -50°C). This is followed by the addition of triethylamine, which reacts with the activated alcohol, while the reaction is left to reach room temperature. This standard protocol, involving the generation of activated DMSO in CH_2Cl_2 at low temperature (ca. -60°C), followed by activation of the alcohol for 15 min, addition of triethylamine and after 5 min allowing the reaction to heat up slowly to room temperature, is found suitable for most substrates. However, some variations have been introduced to suit the oxidation of diverse alcohols.

Interestingly, oxalyl chloride reacts quicker with DMSO than alcohols. Therefore, although not common,¹⁶⁴ it is possible to generate an activated alcohol by the addition of oxalyl chloride over a mixture of alcohol and DMSO.

Reaction Temperature

For experimental convenience, it may be advisable to carry out the reaction at a maximum temperature. As the activated DMSO molecule—compound **30**—decomposes above -20°C , it is not possible to use a temperature much higher than this one. On the other hand, the stability of the activated alcohol species **32**, being very diverse depending on the concrete


alcohol involved, dictates different experimental protocols. Thus, in the case of alcohols derived from radicals able to stabilize cations—particularly allylic, propargylic and benzylic alcohols—the corresponding activated alcohol species **32** are expected¹⁶⁵ to decompose at temperatures lower than room temperature. In such alcohols, it is advisable to perform the Swern oxidation at a temperature as low as kinetics would allow. In variance with these alcohols, simple aliphatic alcohols, as demonstrated by Swern *et al.*, can be efficiently oxidized even at -10°C .¹⁶⁶ However, at this temperature it is necessary to employ excess of activated DMSO to compensate for its decomposition (procedure D). Regardless of the success of the oxidation of simple aliphatic alcohols at -10°C ,—as a higher temperature tends to promote side reactions—it is advisable to try the Swern oxidation on substrates of medium complexity at a low temperature (ca. -78 to -50°C).



Alcohol Activation


The observations performed by Marx and Tidwell,¹⁶⁹ regarding alcohol ligand interchange in alkoxy-sulfonium salts, show that the activation of normal alcohols at low temperature is extremely rapid, being possible to complete in a few minutes at -60°C . These results show the general correctness of the 15 min time period for the activation of alcohol in the standard protocol. Nevertheless, in difficult oxidations,¹⁷⁰ there are reports claiming that the best yields are obtained when the activation of the alcohol is allowed to run during a prolonged period of 45 minutes. Probably, hindered alco-

ols—or alcohol possessing certain functional group in close proximity to the alcohol functionality—need some extra time for complete activation at low temperature. In fact, the activation of the alcohols in the Swern oxidation is very often performed during much longer than 15 min, as recommended in the standard protocol by Swern *et al.*; activation times as long as 2 h being occasionally described.¹⁷¹




It is difficult to anticipate the optimum activation time for the oxidation of a certain alcohol. Hindered alcohols are expected to require more than 15 min. On the other hand, a prolonged activation time, although not deleterious for the oxidation of many alcohols, whose corresponding alkoxydisulfonium chlorides are stable, may promote side reactions, particularly in allylic, benzylic and propargylic alcohols. In such alcohols, it may be advisable to use a very short activation time at a very low temperature, followed by a prolonged reaction with an amine at low temperature.

There are reports in which a prolonged activation time of the alcohol at low temperature is not sufficient for an efficient oxidation, and a higher temperature during the activation must be employed.¹⁷²




Preventing Acid-induced Side Reactions

As activated DMSO and activated alcohols have a certain acidity, a prolonged alcohol activation before the addition of base may cause decomposition of very acid-sensitive functionalities.





The decomposition of acid-sensitive substrates during Swern oxidations can also be explained by the presence of adventitious hydrogen chloride. This can be avoided by the use of freshly distilled oxalyl chloride and carefully dried DMSO.¹⁷⁴



Preventing Base-induced Side Reactions



In the standard protocol the transformation of the activated alcohol into the carbonyl compound is done by the action of Et_3N for 5 min,

followed by increasing temperature slowly to room temperature. On some substrates, however, it may be advisable to allow a prolonged contact at low temperature before heating up to room temperature, or even to quench the reaction at low temperature.¹⁷⁵ This is so, particularly when a facile α -epimerization¹⁷⁶ or a β -elimination¹⁷⁷ of the product must be avoided.




Side reactions, promoted by the acidity of the protons at the α position of the carbonyl of the product, such as α -epimerizations and migration of alkenes into conjugation with the carbonyl, can be mitigated by the use

of the bulkier base diisopropylethylamine (Hünig's base), rather than triethylamine, with a low-temperature quenching.¹⁷⁸ On the other hand, it must be mentioned that using Hünig's base instead of Et₃N, may cause a substantial decrease on the reaction speed.¹⁷⁹



Sometimes, triethylamine causes side reactions, because of its basic strength rather than lack of bulkiness. In such cases, it may be advisable to use a weaker base, such as *N*-methylmorpholine.¹⁸¹




alcohol activation: 25 min, -78°C
3.3 eq. *N*-methylmorpholine, 70°C to 0°C , followed by 2 h, 0°C

Ref. 181a

The use of triethylamine leads to a β -elimination of MeOH from the product. This is avoided by employing the weaker base *N*-methylmorpholine.

With a difficult substrate, in which many bases were tried, Chrisman and Singaram proved that the election of base may have a profound effect on the yield of a certain Swern oxidation. In the substrate tried, the ideal base was neither triethylamine nor Hünig's base, but a base with an intermediate bulkiness.¹⁸²




base	%
<i>i</i> -Pr ₂ NEt	65
Et ₃ N	68
<i>N</i> -ethylpiperidine	93
<i>N</i> -methylpyrrolidine	57

Ref. 182

An optimum yield is obtained using *N*-ethylpiperidine as base. However, in a very similar substrate, best yields are obtained with *N*-ethylpyrrolidine.

In other substrates, a very strong base, such as DBU, may provide best results.¹⁸³



(COCl) ₂ , DMSO, Et ₃ N	46%
(COCl) ₂ , DMSO, <i>i</i> -Pr ₂ NEt	87%
(COCl) ₂ , DMSO, DBU	85%

Ref. 183

Although, Hünig's base provided best yield, the use of DBU was preferred, because the product was obtained with a higher purity.

Solvent

Dichloromethane is almost exclusively used as the solvent in Swern oxidations, being tetrahydrofuran¹⁸⁴ very rarely used. This is somehow surprising as some compounds have poor solubility in CH_2Cl_2 at low temperature, and in variance with other Moffatt oxidations, an increase in the solvent polarity in a Swern oxidation seems substantially not to originate side reactions. For example,¹⁶² a 93% yield in the oxidation of 2-octanol was obtained, using the very polar mixture CH_2Cl_2 :DMSO (1.3:1) as solvent.

Non-aqueous Work-up

Normally, the work-up of Swern oxidations is carried out by a routine fractioning between an aqueous and an organic phase. Some aldehydes with a high tendency to exist as a hydrate—typically, aldehydes possessing an alkoxy group at the α position—are hydrated during the standard work-up, resulting in a chemical species resistant to react with nucleophiles as aldehydes do. In such cases, it is advisable to perform a non-aqueous work-up, in which an organic solvent is added, the solids are filtered, the resulting solution is concentrated, and the residue is purified with a silica column.¹⁸⁵

Modified Swern Reagent

The standard Swern oxidation employing DMSO results in the formation of dimethyl sulfide, which is a toxic volatile liquid (b.p. 38°C) with an unpleasant smell. This can be avoided by using other sulfoxides that generate sulfides lacking volatility. Useful alternatives include: dodecyl methyl sulfoxide,¹⁸⁶ 6-(methylsulfinyl)hexanoic acid,¹⁸⁷ sulfoxides containing per-fluorated alkyl chains¹⁸⁸ and sulfoxides bound to polymers, such as polystyrene¹⁸⁹ or poly(ethylene)glycol.¹⁹⁰ These variants not only avoid the generation of an unpleasant odour, but also facilitate the work-up. Thus, for example, 6-(methylsulfinyl)hexanoic acid generates a sulfide that is easily separated by chromatography, fluorated sulfoxides produce sulfides that can be extracted with a fluorous solvent, and polymer-based sulfoxides generate sulfide-containing polymers that can be filtered. All these expensive sulfoxides can be regenerated by oxidation of the resulting sulfides.

2.7.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols Using Swern Oxidation

From 2 to 11 equivalents^a—typically 2.2 equivalents—of dry DMSO^b (MW = 78.1, $d = 1.10$) are slowly^c added over a cold^d stirred ca. 0.2–0.9 M solution of 1.1–5 equivalents—typically 1.1 equivalents—of oxalyl chloride in dry CH_2Cl_2 . After the evolution of gas ceased—ca. 1–20 min—,^e a ca. 0.1–0.5 M solution of 1 equivalent of the alcohol in

dry CH_2Cl_2 is slowly^f added to the resulting cold^g solution of activated DMSO. After 5 min to 2 h^h—typically 15 min—ca. 1.2–16 equivalents—typically 5 equivalents—of triethylamineⁱ (MW = 101.2, $d = 0.726$) are added. After 5 to 120 min^j—typically 5 min—the reaction is left to reach room temperature.

The reaction is quenched^k by the addition of either water, a buffer phosphate solution at pH 7, or a slightly acidic aqueous solution, formed, for example, by ca. 10% ammonium chloride, or 0.1–0.5 M sodium bisulfate. The organic phase is separated and the aqueous phase is washed with CH_2Cl_2 . At this point, it may be helpful to add some CH_2Cl_2 , or other organic solvent, like Et_2O or EtOAc , in order to facilitate the fractioning of phases. The collected organic phases may be optionally washed with water or brine. The resulting organic solution is dried with Na_2SO_4 or MgSO_4 and concentrated, giving a residue that may need some purification.

^a DMSO must be used in excess relative to oxalyl chloride. In the oxidation of substrates with poor solubility in cold CH_2Cl_2 , it may be advisable to increase substantially the quantity of DMSO, in order to facilitate the solubility of the alcohol.

^b The addition of DMSO dissolved in some CH_2Cl_2 may help to avoid local over-heating, as well as the formation of frozen drops of DMSO.

^c The DMSO reacts very quickly with oxalyl chloride, resulting in a copious evolution of carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide. CAUTION: carbon monoxide is highly toxic, therefore a good hood must be employed. The rate of addition of DMSO must be adjusted to avoid a too quick delivery of gas and heat.

^d Typically, between -78 and -60°C . The resulting activated DMSO decomposes above -20°C .

^e As the resulting activated DMSO is stable at low temperature, no effect on the yield of the oxidation is expected by applying a prolonged contact of DMSO with oxalyl chloride.

^f The speed of the addition of the alcohol solution must be adjusted to avoid exotherms.


^g In the oxidation of simple aliphatic alcohols, the solution of activated DMSO may be left to reach as high as -10°C in order to increase the solubility of the alcohol. The routine use of such high temperature is not advisable for it may cause side reactions.

^h Normally, the activation of the alcohol is complete in a few minutes, although hindered alcohols may need a longer time. As activated alcohols derived from radicals able to stabilize carbocations, like allylic, benzylic and propargylic alcohols, are unstable, in such alcohols it is advisable to perform the activation at very low temperature and to add triethylamine as soon as possible. Substrates with a very high sensitivity to acids can be decomposed, because of the acidic nature of activated DMSO and activated alcohols. In such cases, it is advisable to add Et_3N as soon as possible.

ⁱ In order to avoid base-induced side reactions, like α -epimerizations on the carbonyl or migration of alkenes into conjugation with the carbonyl, it may be advisable to perform the oxidation using a bulky amine, like diisopropylethylamine (Hünig's base, MW = 129.3, $d = 0.742$), instead of Et_3N . In such cases, it may also be advisable to quench the reaction at low temperature with an acidic aqueous solution and to wash the organic phase with an aqueous buffer at pH 7.

^j A prolonged contact of the amine with the activated alcohol is necessary when the quenching of the reaction is done at low temperature, rather than after the reaction is left to reach room temperature.

^k Sometimes, it is advisable to perform a non-aqueous work-up, particularly when aldehydes prone to form hydrates, such as α -alkoxyaldehydes, are obtained. A non-aqueous work-up can be performed by adding an organic solvent, such as acetone, ether or EtOAc, filtering the solids and concentrating the organic solution. The resulting crude material—containing residual triethylamine hydrochloride and DMSO—can be purified by a silica chromatography.




alcohol activation: 1 h, -75°C

5.4-5.8 eq. Et_3N , 1 h, -75°C , followed by -75°C to r.t.

Ref. 191

A description of a Swern oxidation on a multigram scale is provided.




alcohol activation: 30 min, -78°C

1.2 eq. Et_3N , 5 min., -78°C , followed
by -78°C to r.t.

Ref. 192

A highly unstable aldehyde is obtained under Swern conditions, while PCC, PDC, Jones oxidation and Dess-Martin periodinane lead to decomposition.




alcohol activation: 5 min, -78°C

4 eq. Et_3N , 2 h, -78°C and 12 h, 0°C

Ref. 193


In an enantioselective synthesis of a key intermediate for the preparation of poisons from the skin of tropical frogs, a key oxidation was performed under Swern conditions with 77% yield, while PCC provided a 28% yield and Pfitzner–Moffatt oxidation 73% yield.




2.7.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Swern Oxidation

As the Swern oxidation is performed under very mild conditions, very acid-sensitive and base-sensitive functional groups are not affected. Adventitious hydrogen chloride—generated, for example, by decomposition of oxalyl chloride—may affect acid-sensitive functionalities. However, this can be avoided by using freshly distilled oxalyl chloride and a very dry DMSO (see page 145). Alterations in acid-sensitive functionalities can also be explained by the acidic nature of activated DMSO and activated alcohols. These alterations can be avoided by adding the base, very promptly after the beginning of the activation of the alcohol (see page 145). In fact, cases of acid-sensitive functional groups being modified, during a properly performed Swern oxidation, are very rare. Swern oxidations are compatible with very acid-sensitive protecting groups, such as THP¹⁹⁵ or trityl¹⁹⁶ ethers.

It has been reported that epoxides are transformed in α -chloroketones or α -chloroaldehydes under Swern conditions.¹⁹⁷ According to the authors, depending on the starting epoxide, it may be necessary to add some methanol—that generates HCl by reaction with activated DMSO—for the reaction to occur. This transformation can be explained by an acid-catalyzed opening of the epoxide, resulting in a chloroalcohol that is oxidized to a α -chloroaldehyde or ketone. Adventitious HCl can explain the reaction when no MeOH is added.



Under normal Swern conditions, as the oxidation of alcohols is quicker than the reaction with epoxides, it is possible to oxidize alcohols with no interference of epoxides in the same molecule.¹⁹⁸



The action of triethylamine may cause base-induced reactions, such as: α -epimerization of carbonyl compounds; isomerization of alkenes into conjugation with carbonyl groups; and, elimination in carbonyl compounds possessing a good-leaving group at the β -position

These base-induced side reactions can be mitigated by (see page 145):


- Using bases, like Hünig's base, which are more hindered than triethylamine
- Using amines, like *N*-methylmorpholine, which are less basic than triethylamine
- Quenching the reaction at low temperature under mild conditions

These reactions only operate on very sensitive substrates, and protecting groups removable under basic conditions normally resist a Swern oxidation.

The Swern oxidation shows a great regioselectivity for the oxidation of alcohols, in the presence of other functionalities with a high sensitivity for oxidants. For example, sulfides, thioacetals, disulfides (see page 146) and even selenides²⁰⁰ resist the action of Swern oxidation.

Protecting groups that are cleaved by an oxidant, like *p*-methoxybenzyl²⁰¹ and dimethoxybenzyl²⁰² ethers or *p*-methoxybenzylidene²⁰³ and dimethoxybenzylidene²⁰⁴ acetals, resist the action of oxalyl chloride-activated DMSO.



Primary TMS and TES ethers²⁰⁵ are deprotected and transformed into the corresponding aldehydes under Swern conditions. Other less labile silyl ethers—such as TBS ethers as well as secondary TMS and TES ethers—, remain unaffected. This allows to perform selective oxidations of primary alcohols in the presence of secondary ones by persilylation of polyols by TMS or TES, followed by selective oxidation of the primary silyl ethers to aldehydes under Swern conditions.



Although the selective oxidation of primary TMS and TES ethers, in the presence of secondary TMS and TES ethers, has been reported by several research groups, there is a contradictory report^{205c} showing that 2-octanol TMS ether is oxidized quicker than 1-octanol TMS ether. This raises the concern that the selective oxidation of primary TES and TMS ethers may be the result of a selective acidic hydrolysis, produced by adventitious HCl. This would lead to oxidations with low reproducibility. As the selective oxidation of primary alcohols is an important synthetic operation, this matter deserves a close scrutiny.

It is possible to oxidize alcohols in the presence of free carboxylic acids.²⁰⁶ Nevertheless, sometimes better results are obtained if the acid is protected, for example by methylation.²⁰⁷ Sometimes, free carboxylic acids have a low solubility in cold CH_2Cl_2 . In such cases, an *in situ* protection with the silylating agent, bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide (BSA) normally allows the solubilization of the acid as trimethylsilyl ester, and an easy Swern oxidation. The resulting silylated acid is easily deprotected during the work-up.²⁰⁸

Primary and secondary amines react under Swern conditions, resulting in the formation of imines,²⁰⁹ enamines,^{209b} methylthiomethylamines^{209b} or iminosulfurans.²¹⁰ Hindered secondary amines react very slowly under Swern conditions, so that selective oxidation of alcohols is possible.¹⁹⁴ Particularly, primary amines protected with bulky alkyl groups, such as 9-phenylfluorenyl²¹¹ or trityl,²¹² resist Swern conditions during the oxidation of alcohols. The selective oxidation of alcohols, in the presence of secondary amines, is facilitated when the amine is present as a protonated species during the activation of the alcohol.




Tertiary amines normally remain unaffected under Swern conditions.


Primary amides react under Swern conditions, producing the corresponding nitriles²¹³ and minor amounts of iminosulfurans.²¹⁰ Nonetheless, there is some report depicting the selective oxidation of alcohols in the presence of primary amides.²¹⁴ Secondary and tertiary amides remain unaffected.

Nitro groups remain unaffected²¹⁵ during Swern oxidations, although there is one report in which a nitroalcohol is transformed into a lactone.²¹⁶


It is possible to oxidize alcohols in the presence of free phenols,²¹⁷ although many times phenols are protected for solubilizing purposes.




Tertiary alcohols react with activated DMSO, yielding an activated alcohol, that, as it lacks an α -hydrogen, is not able to evolve to a carbonyl compound. Nevertheless, when a β -hydrogen is present, elimination to an alkene can occur under the action of a base.²¹⁹



Because of steric constraints, the activation of primary and secondary alcohols is quicker than the activation of tertiary alcohols. Therefore, normally, it is possible to oxidize primary and secondary alcohols, with no interference from elimination reactions of tertiary alcohols present in the same molecule.²²⁰




The simultaneous oxidation of a secondary or primary alcohol, and dehydration of a tertiary alcohol can be carried out by using excess of Swern reagent.²²²



2.7.3. Reactions Performed *in situ* after a Swern Oxidation

Swern oxidations produce the quite unreactive side compounds carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, dimethyl sulfide and an amine hydrochloride. Therefore, it is very often possible to perform the *in situ* addition of a nucleophile to the aldehyde or ketone, resulting from the oxidation. This is particularly useful when the aldehyde or ketone is difficult to isolate, because of possessing an unusually high reactivity.




Ref. 223


The highly unstable trimethylsilylformaldehyde is prepared by Swern oxidation at very low temperature. An *in situ* condensation with a stabilized phosphorane delivers a silylolefin.

If the solution of trimethylsilylformaldehyde is allowed to reach 0°C, no condensation product is obtained, which proves that trimethylsilylformaldehyde is not stable in solution at 0°C.


Particularly, the *in situ* condensation of highly reactive aldehydes—generated by Swern oxidation—with stabilized phosphoranes and phosphonate anions is finding ample use in organic synthesis.²²⁴ It must be mentioned that highly reactive aldehydes—for example α -ketoaldehydes, or aldehydes possessing heteroatom substituents at the α -position—are very often difficult to isolate, because of their tendency to be hydrated or to polymerize. At the same time, these highly reactive aldehydes are able to react with stabilized phosphoranes and phosphonate anions at low temperature, while less reactive aldehydes are more refractory to reaction. Therefore, the *in situ* condensation of aldehydes, generated by Swern oxidation, with phosphorous compounds is particularly well suited for operation with reactive aldehydes, while less reactive ones are better isolated before condensation.




Although many aldehydes with lesser reactivity can be isolated and purified before condensation with phosphorous compounds, often an *in situ* condensation is performed for experimental convenience.²²⁵




These *in situ* oxidations, followed by condensation with a phosphorous reagent, are normally not possible on ketones, because of their lack of reactivity with stabilized phosphoranes and phosphonate anions. Nevertheless, one-pot condensation with ketones can occur in very favourable cases.²²⁶



Other nucleophiles reacting *in situ* with aldehydes and ketones, obtained by Swern oxidation, include Grignard reagents^{223,184c} and amines.²²⁷




Aldehydes and ketones, obtained by Swern oxidation, may suffer *in situ* intramolecular aldol condensations, resulting in very elegant construction of cycles.^{237b}




2.7.4. Side Reactions

2.7.4.1. Activated DMSO as Source of Electrophilic Chlorine


Nucleophilic sites in a molecule can be chlorinated by attack on the electrophilic chlorine atom, present in activated DMSO. Indoles are particularly prone to suffer this kind of chlorination on the 3-position.²²⁸



Ketones—particularly those with a high proportion of enol form^{229,230} can be chlorinated at the α -position. Using activated DMSO, in stoichiometric amounts, can mitigate the α -chlorination of ketones.²³¹




Sometimes, an alkene conjugated with a ketone is introduced during a Swern oxidation.^{172a,232} This can be explained by an α -chlorination followed by elimination of HCl.



2.7.4.2. Activated DMSO as Source of Electrophilic Sulfur


A methylthio group can be introduced in a nucleophilic site of a molecule by a reaction, in which activated DMSO can operate as a source of electrophilic sulfur.^{228c}




2.7.4.3. Transformation of Alcohols into Chlorides

Activated alcohols are unstable, at least at high temperature, when the corresponding radicals are able to stabilize carbocations, for example in the case of allylic alcohols. The thermal decomposition of activated allylic alcohols leads to the formation of allylic chlorides. This decomposition can

purposefully be brought about, by letting the activated alcohol to heat up with no base added.²³³




Sometimes, the transformation of allylic alcohols into chlorides, by the action of activated DMSO, is so quick that it competes with a normal oxidation.²³⁴




Nonetheless, very often activated allylic alcohols are persistent enough at low temperature, so as to allow a normal Swern oxidation with an added base.²³⁵

Sometimes, the transformation of allylic alcohols into chlorides, during a Swern oxidation, is brought about by the presence of adventitious HCl.²³⁶




2.7.4.4. Methylthiomethylation

The surplus activated DMSO, which remains unreacted after the activation of the alcohol during a Swern oxidation, decomposes on heating, generating the highly reactive species $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{S}(+)\text{-Me}$ (page 97). This species can react with tertiary alcohols present in the molecule, resulting in the formation of a methylthiomethyl ether.²³⁷



In fact, it is common to obtain minor amounts of methylthiomethylation of tertiary alcohols during the performance of Swern oxidations of secondary and primary alcohols. The reaction of the tertiary alcohols can be mitigated by avoiding excess of activated DMSO, and performing a low temperature quenching. Very rarely, minor amounts of products are obtained, arising from reaction of secondary or primary alcohols²³⁸ with $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{S}(+)\text{-Me}$. In variance with tertiary alcohols, which are quite hindered, secondary and primary alcohols are expected to be activated very quickly by reaction with activated DMSO. Therefore, no substantial amounts of free secondary or primary alcohols are expected to be present for reaction with $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{S}(+)\text{-Me}$ during a properly performed Swern oxidation.



2.7.4.5. Base-Induced Reactions


Addition of triethylamine to the activated alcohol, during a Swern oxidation, may produce side reactions, beginning with a deprotonation step. As triethylamine operates at very low temperature, only substrates very sensitive to deprotonation suffer these side reactions. No base-catalyzed hydrolyses are possible because of the absence of water.

The most common side-reactions induced by an initial deprotonation are:

- α -Epimerization of the aldehydes or ketones, resulting from the oxidation,
- Migration of alkenes into conjugation with the aldehydes or ketones, produced during the oxidation,
- Eliminations caused by the presence of a good-leaving group, present at the β -position of the resulting aldehyde or ketone.

α -Epimerization is very common when the aldehydes or ketones, obtained during the Swern oxidation, possess very acidic α -hydrogens; typically, when the α -position is substituted with an electron-withdrawing atom, such as an oxygen or a nitrogen. α -Epimerization can be mitigated by using a bulky base, such as Hünig's base instead of triethylamine, or by performing a low-temperature quenching (see page 146).


The Swern oxidation of homoallylic alcohols leads to a β,γ -unsaturated carbonyl compound, which sometimes suffers an *in situ* base-induced isomerization of the alkene into conjugation with the carbonyl group.²³⁹



It must be mentioned that, most often, no migration of alkenes into conjugation happens during Swern oxidations of homoallylic alcohols.²⁴⁰ Such migrations can be avoided using a hindered base, such as diisopropylethylamine, or performing a low-temperature quenching (see page 146).


Sometimes, when a Swern oxidation produces a carbonyl compound possessing a good-leaving group at the β -position, an *in situ* elimination occurs, resulting in the generation of a conjugated enone or enal.

Aldehydes and ketones, possessing tertiary alcohols,²⁴¹ halides,^{209d} epoxides,^{242, 243} and sulfonates²⁴⁴ at the β -position, may suffer such elimination reactions. The use of more hindered or weaker bases than Et_3N (see page 146), and a low-temperature quenching²⁴⁵ can help to avoid these eliminations.




2.7.4.6. Acid-Induced Reactions

During Swern oxidations, adventitious HCl may be present either due to the use of impure oxalyl chloride, or due to the hydrolysis of some chlorine-containing chemical, caused by employing wet DMSO. Adventitious HCl may cause acid-induced side reactions on sensitive substrates.^{174,246}




2.7.4.7. Formation of Lactones from Diols

The oxidation of 1,4- and 1,5-diols with many oxidants leads to intermediate hydroxycarbonyl compounds that equilibrate with lactols, which are transformed *in situ* into lactones. This side reaction is very uncommon during Swern oxidations, due to the sequential nature of alcohol activation versus base-induced transformation of the activated alcohol into a carbonyl compound. Thus, during the oxidation of a diol, normally when the first alcohol is transformed into an aldehyde or ketone, the second alcohol is already protected by activation, resulting in the impossibility of formation of a lactol that could lead to a lactone.



However, when one of the alcohols from the diol is a tertiary one—which, therefore, is difficult to protect by activation—formation of lactones is possible.²⁴⁷



Section 2.7. References

- 161 (a) Mancuso, A. J.; Huang, S.-L.; Swern, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 2480. (b) Omura, K.; Swern, D.; *Tetrahedron* **1978**, *34*, 1651. (c) Mancuso, A. J.; Brownfain, D. S.; Swern, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1979**, *44*, 4148.
- 162 Omura, K.; Swern, D.; *Tetrahedron* **1978**, *34*, 1651.
- 163 Albright, J. D.; Goldman, L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 2416.
- 164 For an example see: Simay, A.; Prokai, L.; Bodor, N.; *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 4091.
- 165 Albright, J. D.; Goldman, L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 4214.
- 166 Grieco, P. A.; Nargund, R. P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 4813.
- 167 Mancuso, A. J.; Huang, S.-L.; Swern, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 2480.
- 168 Harcken, C.; Brückner, R.; *New. J. Chem.* **2001**, *25*, 40.
- 169 Marx, M.; Tidwell, T. T.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1984**, *49*, 788.
- 170 Ghera, E.; Ben-David, Y.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 2972.
- 171 Paulsen, H.; Mielke, B.; von Deyn, W.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1987**, *5*, 439.
- 172 (a) Bi, Y.; Zhang, L.-H.; Hamaker, L. K.; Cook, J. M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 9027. (b) Yu, P.; Wang, T.; Li, J.; Cook, J. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 3173.
- 173 Marshall, J. A.; Lu, Z.-H.; Johns, B. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 817.
- 174 Danheiser, R. L.; Fink, D. M.; Okano, K.; Tsai, Y.-M.; Szczepanski, S. W.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 5393.
- 175 Fang, X.; Bandarage, U. K.; Wang, T.; Schroeder, J. D.; Garvey, D. S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 4019.
- 176 (a) Armstrong, A.; Barsanti, P. A.; Jones, L. H.; Ahmed, G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 7020. (b) Paterson, I.; Perkins, M. V.; *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 1811.
- 177 Paterson, I.; Norcross, R. D.; Ward, R. A.; Romea, P.; Lister, M. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 11287.
- 178 (a) Longbottom, D. A.; Morrison, A. J.; Dixon, D. J.; Ley, S. V.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 2786. (b) Evans, D. A.; Gage, J. R.; Leighton, J. L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 9434. (c) Walba, D. M.; Thurmes, W. N.; Haltiwanger, R. C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 1046. (d) Evans, D. A.; Polniaszek, R. P.; De Vries, K. M.; Guinn, D. E.; Mathre, D. J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 7613. (e) Hu, T.-S.; Yu, Q.; Wu, Y.-L.; Wu, Y.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 853. (f) Evans, D. A.; Ripin, D. H. B.; Halstead, D. P.; Campos, K. R.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 6816.
- 179 Myers, A. G.; Zhong, B.; Movassaghi, M.; Kung, D. W.; Lanman, B. A.; Kwon, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 1359.
- 180 Anderson, J. C.; McDermott, B. P.; Griffin, E. J.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 8747.
- 181 (a) Willson, T. M.; Kocienski, P.; Jarowicki, K.; Isaac, K.; Hitchcock, P. M.; Faller, A.; Campbell, S. F.; *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 1767. (b) Ohmoto, K.; Okuma, M.; Yamamoto, T.; Kijima, H.; Sekioka, T.; Kitagawa, K.; Yamamoto, S.; Tanaka, K.; Kawabata, K.; Sakata, A.; Imawaka, H.; Nakai, H.; Toda, M.; *Biorg. Med. Chem.* **2001**, *9*, 1307. (c) Ohmoto, K.; Yamamoto, T.; Okuma, M.; Horiuchi, T.; Imanishi, H.; Odagaki, Y.; Kawabata, K.; Sekioka, T.; Hirota, Y.; Matsuoka, S.; Nakai, H.; Toda, M.; Cheronis, J. C.; Spruce, L. W.; Gyorkos, A.; Wiczorek, M.; *J. Med. Chem.* **2001**, *44*, 1268. (d) Mortimore, M.; Cockerill, G. S.; Kociński, P.; Treadgold, R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 3747.
- 182 Chrisman, W.; Singaram, B.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 2053.
- 183 Smith III, A. B.; Liu, H.; Hirschmann, R.; *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 2037.
- 184 (a) Bull, J. R.; Thomson, R. I.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1990**, *2*, 241. (b) Ireland, R. E.; Norbeck, D. W.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 2198. (c) Hegde, S. G.; Myles, D. C.; *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 11179. (d) Nagaoka, H.; Shimano, M.; Yamada, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 971. (e) Kugel, C.; Lellouche, J.-P.; Beaucourt, J.-P.; Niel, G.; Girard, J.-P.; Rossi, J.-C.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 4947. (f) Le Merrer, Y.; Gravier-Pelletier, C.; Dumas, J.; Depezay, J. C.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 1003. (g) Chacun-Lefèvre, L.; Joseph, B.; Mérour, J.-Y.; *Synlett* **2001**, *6*, 848.

- 185 See for example: (a) Williams, D. R.; Harigaya, Y.; Moore, J. L.; D'sa, A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 2641. (b) Paquette, L. A.; Oplinger, J. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 2953. (c) Brown, M. J.; Harrison, T.; Overman, L. E.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 5378. (d) Caderas, C.; Lett, R.; Overman, L. E.; Rabinowitz, M. H.; Robinson, L. A.; Sharp, M. J.; Zablocki, J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 9073.
- 186 Nishide, K.; Ohsugi, S.-ichi; Fudesaka, M.; Kodama, S.; Node, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 5177.
- 187 Liu, Y.; Vederas, J. C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 7856.
- 188 (a) Crich, D.; Neelamkavil, S.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 7449. (b) Crich, D.; Neelamkavil, S.; *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 3865.
- 189 (a) Cole, D. C.; Stock, J. R.; Kappel, J. A.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2002**, *12*, 1791. (b) Kwok Wai Choi, M.; Toy, P. H.; *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 7171.
- 190 Harris, J. M.; Liu, Y.; Chai, S.; Andrews, M. D.; Vederas, J. C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 2407.
- 191 Danheiser, R. L.; Fink, D. M.; Okano, K.; Tsai, Y.-M.; Szczepanski, S. W.; *Org. Synth. Coll. VIII* **1993**, 501.
- 192 Hénaff, N.; Whiting, A.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 5193.
- 193 Luzzio, F. A.; Fitch, R. W.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 5485.
- 194 Katoh, T.; Itoh, E.; Yoshino, T.; Terashima, S.; *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 10229.
- 195 See for example: (a) Spino, C.; Barriault, N.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 5292. (b) Klimko, P. G.; Davis, T. L.; Griffin, B. W.; Sharif, N. A.; *J. Med. Chem.* **2000**, *43*, 4247. (c) Maruyama, T.; Asada, M.; Shiraiishi, T.; Yoshida, H.; Maruyama, T.; Ohuchida, S.; Nakai, H.; Kondo, K.; Toda, M.; *Biorg. Med. Chem.* **2002**, *10*, 1743. (d) Nanda, S.; Yadav, J. S.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2003**, *14*, 1799.
- 196 See for example: (a) Lautens, M.; Colucci, J. T.; Hiebert, S.; Smith, N. D.; Bouchain, G.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 1879. (b) Ohira, S.; Sawamoto, T.; Yamato, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 1537. (c) Nicolaou, K. C.; King, N. P.; Finlay, M. R. V.; He, Y.; Roschangar, F.; Vourloumis, D.; Vallberg, H.; Sarabia, F.; Ninkovic, S.; Hepworth, D.; *Biorg. Med. Chem.* **1999**, *7*, 665. (d) Tsunashima, K.; Ide, M.; Kadoi, H.; Hirayama, A.; Nakata, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 3607.
- 197 (a) Raina, S.; Bhuniya, D.; Singh, V. K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 6021. (b) Raina, S.; Singh, V. K.; *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 2467.
- 198 See for example: (a) Ichikawa, Y.; Isobe, M.; Goto, T.; *Tetrahedron* **1987**, *43*, 4749. (b) Waanders, P. P.; Thijs, L.; Zwaneburg, B.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 2409. (c) Liu, D.-G.; Wang, B.; Lin, G.-Q.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 9114. (d) Papaioannou, N.; Blank, J. T.; Miller, S. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 2728.
- 199 Mukaiyama, T.; Pudhom, K.; Yamane, K.; Arai, H.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2003**, *76*, 413.
- 200 (a) Willson, T. M.; Kocienski, P.; Jarowicki, K.; Isaac, K.; Faller, A.; Campbell, S. F.; Bordner, J.; *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 1757. (b) Jahn, U.; Curran, D. P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 8921. (c) Bigogno, C.; Danieli, B.; Lesma, G.; Passarella, D.; *Heterocycles* **1995**, *41*, 973.
- 201 (a) Nakajima, N.; Tanaka, T.; Hamada, T.; Oikawa, Y.; Yonemitsu, O.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1987**, *35*, 2228. (b) Hikota, M.; Tone, H.; Horita, K.; Yonemitsu, O.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 7.
- 202 See for example: (a) Danishefsky, S. J.; Selnick, H. G.; Zelle, R. E.; DeNinno, M. P.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 4368. (b) Lumin, S.; Yadagiri, P.; Falck, J. R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 4237. (c) Tone, H.; Nishi, T.; Oikawa, Y.; Hikota, M.; Yonemitsu, O.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1989**, *37*, 1160. (d) Roush, W. R.; Bannister, T. D.; Wendt, M. D.; Jablonowski, J. A.; Scheidt, K. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 4275.
- 203 (a) Dounay, A. B.; Urbaneck, R. A.; Frydrychowski, V. A.; Forsyth, C. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 925. (b) Zhu, Q.; Qiao, L.; Wu, Y.; Wu, Y.-L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 2692. (c) Shiina, I.; Shibata, J.; Ibuka, R.; Imai, Y.; Mukaiyama, T.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2001**, *74*, 113. (d) Paquette, L. A.; Hofferberth, J. E.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 2266.

- 204 (a) Hikota, M.; Tone, H.; Horita, K.; Yonemitsu, O.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 7. (b) Roush, W. R.; Newcom, J. S.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 4739.
- 205 (a) Sasaki, M.; Murae, T.; Matsuo, H.; Konosu, T.; Tanaka, N.; Yagi, K.; Usuki, Y.; Takahashi, T.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1988**, *61*, 3587. (b) Tolstikov, G. A.; Miftakhov, M. S.; Adler, M. E.; Komissarova, N. G.; Kuznetsov, O. M.; Vostrikov, N. S.; *Synthesis* **1989**, *12*, 940. (c) Afonso, C. M.; Barros, M. T.; Maycock, C. D.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1987**, *6*, 1221. (d) Shimizu, H.; Okamura, H.; Iwagawa, T.; Nakatami, M.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 1903. (e) Rodríguez, A.; Nomen, M.; Spur, B. W.; Godfroid, J. J.; Lee, T. H.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 25. (f) Ndakala, A. J.; Hashemzadeh, M.; So, R. C.; Howell, A. R.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 1719. (g) Lambert, W. T.; Burke, S. D.; *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 515.
- 206 See for example: (a) Michelotti, E. L.; Borrell, J. I.; Roemmele, R.; Matallana, J. L.; Teixidó, J.; Bryan, L. M.; *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2002**, *50*, 495. (b) Gais, H.-J.; Bülow, G.; Zatorski, A.; Jentsch, M.; Maidonis, P.; Hemmerle, H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 5115. (c) Sanner, M. A.; Weigelt, C.; Stansberry, M.; Killeen, K.; Michne, W. F.; Kessler, D. W.; Kullnig, R. K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 5264. (d) Degnan, A. P.; Meyers, A. I.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 2762.
- 207 Harrison, P. J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 7125.
- 208 (a) Smith III, A. B.; Leenay, T. L.; Liu, H.-J.; Nelson, L. A. K.; Ball, R. G.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 49. (b) Smith III, A. B.; Leenay, T. L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 5761.
- 209 (a) Simay, A.; Prokai, L.; Bodor, N.; *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 4091. (b) Keirs, D.; Overton, K.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1987**, *21*, 1660. (c) Dufour, M.; Gramain, J.-C.; Husson, H.-P.; Sinibaldi, M.-E.; Troin, Y.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 5483. (d) Gaucher, A.; Ollivier, J.; Marguerite, J.; Pangam, R.; Salaün, J.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1994**, *72*, 1312.
- 210 Huang, S. L.; Swern, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 4537.
- 211 (a) Gosselin, F.; Lubell, W. D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 7463. (b) Polyak, F.; Lubell, W. D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 1171.
- 212 Schmidt, U.; Schmidt, J.; *Synthesis* **1994**, *3*, 300.
- 213 (a) Huang, S. L.; Swern, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 4537. (b) Nakajima, N.; Ubukata, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 2099. (c) Nakajima, N.; Saito, M.; Ubukata, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 5565.
- 214 Nippon Lederle K. K., Japan; Nagao, Y.; Kumagai, T.; Matsunaga, H.; *Jpn. Kokai Tokkyo Koho* **1992**, JP 04089477 A2 19920323 Heisei. Appl.: JP 90-201139 19900731.
- 215 (a) Cordero, F. M.; Pisaneschi, F.; Salvati, M.; Paschetta, V.; Ollivier, J.; Salauen, J.; Brandi, A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 3271. (b) Crich, D.; Ranganathan, K.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 12422. (c) Moses, J. E.; Baldwin, J. E.; Márquez, R.; Adlington, R. M.; Cowley, A. R.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 3731. (d) Michael, J. P.; Maqutu, T. L.; Howard, A. S.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1989**, *12*, 2389.
- 216 Degnan, A. P.; Meyers, A. I.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 3503.
- 217 (a) Mori, K.; Uno, T.; *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 1945. (b) Fukuyama, T.; Yang, L.; Ajeck, K. L.; Sachleben, R. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 3712. (c) Revesz, L.; Siegel, R. A.; Buescher, H.-H.; Marko, M.; Maurer, R.; Meigel, H.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1990**, *73*, 326.
- 218 Meyers, A. I.; Willemsen, J. J.; *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 10493.
- 219 Gleiter, R.; Herb, T.; Hofmann, J.; *Synlett* **1996**, *10*, 987.
- 220 (a) Mori, K.; Uno, T.; *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 1945. (b) Youn, J.-H.; Lee, J.; Kun Cha, J.; *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 2935. (c) Liu, B.; Zhou, W.-S.; *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 3379. (d) Williams, D. R.; Heidebrecht Jr., R. W.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 1843.
- 221 Nemoto, H.; Matsushashi, N.; Imaizumi, M.; Nagai, M.; Fukumoto, K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 5625.
- 222 Hirama, M.; Fujiwara, K.; Shigematu, K.; Fukazawa, Y.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 4120.
- 223 Ireland, R. E.; Norbeck, D. W.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 2198.


- 224 See for example: (a) Ireland, R. E.; Norbeck, D. W.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 2198. (b) Ireland, R. E.; Wardle, R. B.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 1780. (c) Chandrasekhar, S.; Venkat Reddy, M.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 1111. (d) Wei, X.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 3815.
- 225 (a) Rej, R.; Nguyen, D.; Go, B.; Fortin, S.; Lavallée, J.-F.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 6289. (b) Hanessian, S.; Cantin, L.-D.; Andreotti, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 4893. (c) Toshima, H.; Maru, K.; Saito, M.; Ichihara, A.; *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 5793. (d) Yang, Q.; Toshima, H.; Yoshihara, T.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 5377. (e) Hutton, T. K.; Muir, K.; Procter, D. J.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2345.
- 226 Paulsen, H.; von Deyn, W.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1987**, *2*, 125.
- 227 Davidsen, S. K.; Chu-Moyer, M. Y.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 5558.
- 228 (a) Feldman, P. L.; Rapoport, H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 3882. (b) Rubiralta, M.; Diez, A.; Bosch, J.; Solans, X.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 5591. (c) Yang, C.-G.; Wang, J.; Jiang, B.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 1063.
- 229 Taber, D. F.; Amedio Jr., J. C.; Jung, K.-Y.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 5621.
- 230 Appendino, G.; Tagliapietra, S.; Nano, G. M.; Palmisano, G.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1989**, *12*, 2305.
- 231 Smith III, A. B.; Leenay, T. L.; Liu, H.-J.; Nelson, L. A. K.; Ball, R. G.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 49.
- 232 Zhang, L. H.; Cook, J. M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 4088.
- 233 Kato, N.; Nakanishi, K.; Takeshita, H.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1986**, *59*, 1109.
- 234 (a) Dolan, S. C.; MacMillan, J.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1985**, *12*, 2741. (b) Lawrence, N. J.; Crump, J. P.; McGown, A. T.; Hadfield, J. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 3939.
- 235 See for example: (a) Cambie, R. C.; Hay, M. P.; Larsen, L.; Rickard, C. E. F.; Rutledge, P. S.; Woodgate, P. D.; *Aust. J. Chem.* **1991**, *44*, 821. (b) Trost, B. M.; Matelich, M. C.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 9007. (c) Bhaskar, K. V.; Chu, W.-L. A.; Gaskin, P. A.; Mander, L. N.; Murofushi, N.; Pearce, D. W.; Pharis, R. P.; Takahashi, N.; Yamaguchi, I.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 6203. (d) Castellaro, S. J.; MacMillan, J.; Willis, C. L.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1991**, 2999.
- 236 Kende, A. S.; Johnson, S.; Sanfilippo, P.; Hodges, J. C.; Jungheim, L. N.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 3513.
- 237 (a) Williams, D. R.; Klingler, F. D.; Dabral, V.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 3415. (b) Hiram, M.; Noda, T.; Itô, S.; Kabuto, C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 706. (c) Davey, A. E.; Schaeffer, M. J.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1992**, *20*, 2999.
- 238 (a) Tietze, L. F.; Brumby, T.; Brand, S.; Bratz, M.; *Chem. Ber.* **1988**, *121*, 499. (b) Bull, J. R.; Steer, L. M.; *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 5389.
- 239 (a) Longbottom, D. A.; Morrison, A. J.; Dixon, D. J.; Ley, S. V.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 2786. (b) Kato, M.; Watanabe, M.; Masuda, Y.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1992**, *65*, 2071. (c) Majewski, M.; Irvine, N. M.; Bantle, G. W.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 6697. (d) Trost, B. M.; Hipkind, P. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 4541.
- 240 See for example: (a) Ireland, R. E.; Maienfisch, P.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 640. (b) Collins, S.; Hong, Y.; Kataoka, M.; Nguyen, T.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 3395. (c) Tsubuki, M.; Kanai, K.; Keino, K.; Kakinuma, N.; Honda, T.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 2930. (d) Denmark, S. E.; Stavenger, R. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 8837.
- 241 Alves, C.; Barros, M. T.; Maycock, C. D.; Ventura, M. R.; *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 8443.
- 242 Whitesell, J. K.; Allen, D. E.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 3585.
- 243 Shizuri, Y.; Matsunaga, K.; Yamamura, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 3693.
- 244 Takagi, R.; Miyanaga, W.; Tamura, Y.; Ohkata, K.; *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, *18*, 2096.
- 245 Paterson, I.; Norcross, R. D.; Ward, R. A.; Romea, P.; Lister, M. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 11287.
- 246 Williams, D. R.; Brown, D. L.; Benbow, J. W.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 1923.

247 (a) Kawasaki, M.; Matsuda, F.; Terashima, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 2145. (b) Kawasaki, M.; Matsuda, F.; Terashima, S.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 5717. (c) Gammon, D. W.; Hunter, R.; Wilson, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 3141.


2.8. Corey–Kim Oxidation

In most Moffatt oxidations, “activated DMSO” is prepared by the “activation” of DMSO in a reaction with an electrophile. On the other hand, in a Corey–Kim oxidation, no DMSO is used in the preparation of “activated DMSO”, which is obtained by oxidation of dimethyl sulfide.

Thus, Corey and Kim explained in 1972²⁴⁸ that reaction of dimethyl sulfide with chlorine yields chlorodimethylsulfonium chloride, which is precisely the same species described later²⁴⁹ as the “activated DMSO” species, generated during a Swern oxidation.



As operation with gaseous chlorine is dangerous and inconvenient, Corey–Kim oxidations are normally performed by oxidation of dimethyl sulfide with *N*-chlorosuccinimide rather than with chlorine. This results in the formation of a different kind of “active DMSO” species, in which a sulfur–nitrogen bond is present.



This species suffers displacement of a succinimido anion by reaction with an alcohol, resulting in the formation of activated alcohol that can evolve to a carbonyl compound by treatment with triethylamine.

Interestingly, it is possible to employ diisopropyl sulfide in the place of dimethyl sulfide in Corey–Kim oxidations, in which case primary alcohols can be oxidized in the presence of secondary ones or vice versa, depending on reaction temperature.²⁵⁰

Sometimes, better yields are obtained in Corey–Kim oxidations by using methyl phenyl sulfide in the place of dimethylsulfide, a result that can be related with the greater solubility of the sulfoxonium intermediate.²⁵¹


Although the Corey–Kim oxidation is not used as often as the Swern oxidation—probably because of the bad odour of dimethyl sulfide—it offers the advantage of allowing an operation above -25°C . Typically, NCS (*N*-chlorosuccinimide) and Me_2S are mixed in toluene at 0°C , resulting in the formation of a precipitate of activated DMSO. The reaction mixture is cooled to ca. -25°C and the alcohol is added for activation. This is followed by addition of Et_3N and allowing the reaction to reach room temperature.

As in other Moffatt oxidations, a Corey–Kim oxidation may produce minor amounts of methylthiomethyl ethers. These can be minimized by using a solvent of low polarity, like toluene.^{248a} Nonetheless, very often dichloromethane is used, because of its better solubilizing power. Almost always triethylamine is used as base.

Because of the high temperature employed in the activation of the alcohols, the Corey–Kim oxidation is not suitable for the oxidation of alcohols, derived from radicals able to stabilize carbocations—particularly allylic and benzylic alcohols. In such cases, the activated alcohol is attacked by the chloride anion, resulting in the formation of organic chlorides.^{248a}

In fact, Corey–Kim conditions offer a good method for the regioselective transformation of allylic and benzylic alcohols into chlorides, in the presence of other alcohols.²⁵² The use of *N*-bromosuccinimide in spite of *N*-chlorosuccinimide, quite expectedly, allows the preparation of allylic and benzylic bromides. It must be mentioned that when the transformation of alcohols into chlorides is desired, the activated alcohol is allowed to decompose *in the absence* of triethylamine; whereas, when an oxidation is desired, triethylamine must be added as soon as the alcohol is activated. That is why, some benzylic alcohols can be efficiently oxidized under Corey–Kim conditions,²⁵³ while others can be transformed into benzylic bromides with NBS and Me_2S .²⁵²

The Corey–Kim procedure is the oxidation method of choice for the transformation of β -hydroxycarbonyl compounds into 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds. Treatment of β -hydroxycarbonyl compounds under Corey–Kim conditions leads to an intermediate 1,3-dicarbonyl compound **33** that reacts *in situ* with activated DMSO, resulting in the generation of a stable sulfur ylide **34**. This sulfur compound can be transformed into the desired 1,3-dicarbonyl compound by reduction with zinc in acetic acid.²⁵⁴




2.8.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols Using Corey–Kim Method

From 2 to 5 equivalents of dimethyl sulfide (CAUTION STENCH, b.p. 38°C, MW = 62.13, d = 0.846) are added over a ca. 0.2–0.7 M solution of ca. 1.5–6.5 equivalents of *N*-chlorosuccinimide (MW = 133.53) in dry toluene^a at 0°C. A white precipitate of activated DMSO is immediately formed. After ca. 10–30 min, the reaction temperature is lowered to ca. –40 to –20°C—typically –25°C (CCl₄-dry ice bath)—and 1 equivalent of alcohol is slowly added in a ca. 0.2–1.3 M solution in dry toluene.^b After ca. 0.5–6 h—typically 2 h—, a ca. 2–6 M solution of ca. 1.2–22 equivalents of Et₃N in dry toluene is slowly added and the cooling bath is removed. Optionally, the reaction can be left standing at low temperature for ca. 10 min to 3 h before removing the cooling bath.

The reaction mixture is fractionated by addition of an organic solvent, such as Et₂O or CH₂Cl₂, and an aqueous solvent, like diluted HCl, 1 to 5% saturated NaHCO₃, water or brine. The organic phase is separated and optionally washed with water and/or brine. Finally, the organic phase is dried (Na₂SO₄ or MgSO₄) and concentrated, giving a crude oxidation product that may need further purification.

^a Other solvents like CH₂Cl₂ can be used for solubilizing purposes. More polar solvents facilitate the generation of undesired methylthiomethyl ethers.

^b A slight exotherm will be generated.



alcohol activation: 2 h, –25°C
15 eq. Et₃N, 5 min

Ref. 255


A detailed description on a multigram scale is provided.²⁵⁵



alcohol activation: 1.5 h, -25°C
1.8 eq. Et_3N , 10 min

Ref. 256


This difficult substrate can be oxidized under Corey–Kim conditions with 62% yield, while other methods such as PCC, PDC, Swern or Jones provide less than 27% yield.



alcohol activation: 1 h, -40°C
8 eq. Et_3N , 1.5 h, -40 to 20°C

Ref. 257

While PCC, Parikh–Doering, Swern or Omura–Sharma–Swern oxidations fail to give the desired diketone, the Corey–Kim method provides a 89% yield.



alcohol activation: 6 h, -20°C
1.5 eq. Et_3N , 5 min

Ref. 258


This oxidation on an apparently very simple substrate fails with PCC, PDC, DCC–DMSO and $(\text{F}_3\text{C-CO})_2\text{O}$ –DMSO, because of the high sensitivity of the selenium atom to suffer oxidation. On the other hand, a Corey–Kim oxidation delivers a 60% of the desired ketone.

2.8.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Corey–Kim Oxidations

As the Corey–Kim oxidation is carried out under almost neutral conditions at low temperature, most functional and protecting groups are expected to remain unaffected. As this method did not find exhaustive use in organic synthesis, no ample data are yet available.

2.8.3. Side Reactions

Similar to other Moffatt oxidations, the Corey–Kim method results sometimes in the generation of methylthiomethyl ethers by reaction of alcohols with $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{S}(+)\text{-Me}$, resulting from decomposition of activated DMSO.²⁵⁹



Because of the action of Et_3N on the activated alcohol, some side reactions—beginning with a deprotonation—can happen in sensitive substrates. For example, α -epimerization of sensitive aldehydes and ketones,²⁶⁰ and migration of alkenes into conjugation with carbonyl groups²⁶¹ are occasionally found.

Section 2.8. References

- 248 (a) Corey, E. J.; Kim, C. U.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 7586. (b) Hendrickson, J. B.; Schwartzman, S. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, *4*, 273. (c) Johnson, C. R.; Phillips, W. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 682.
- 249 Mancuso, A. J.; Huang, S.-L.; Swern, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 2480.
- 250 Soo Kim, K.; Haeng Cho, I.; Ki Yoo, B.; Heon Song, Y.; Sun Hahn, C.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1984**, 762.
- 251 Corey, E. J.; Kim, C. U.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1973**, *38*, 1233.
- 252 Corey, E. J.; Kim, C. U.; Takeda, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1972**, 4339.
- 253 Noe, C. R.; Knollmüller, M.; Steinbauer, G.; Völlenkne, H.; *Chem. Ber.* **1985**, *118*, 4453.
- 254 (a) Yamauchi, M.; Katayama, S.; Todoroki, T.; Watanabe, T.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1987**, 389. (b) Katayama, S.; Fukuda, K.; Watanabe, T.; Yamauchi, M.; *Syntheses*

- 1988, 3, 178. (c) Pulkkinen, J.; Vepsäläinen, J.; Laatikainen, R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, 35, 9749.
- 255 Corey, E. J.; Kim, C. U.; Misco, P. F.; *Org. Synth. Coll. VI*, 220.
- 256 Shishido, K.; Takahashi, K.; Fukumoto, K.; Kametani, T.; Honda, T.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, 52, 5704.
- 257 Kuehne, M. E.; Bornmann, W. G.; Parsons, W. H.; Spitzer, T. D.; Blount, J. F.; Zubieta, J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, 53, 3439.
- 258 Baudat, R.; Petrzilka, M.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1979**, 62, 1406.
- 259 (a) Edwards, O. E.; Kolt, R. J.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1987**, 65, 595. (b) Lubell, W. D.; Jamison, T. F.; Rapoport, H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, 55, 3511.
- 260 Wan, A. S. C.; Yokota, M.; Ogata, K.; Aimi, N.; Sakai, S.; *Heterocycles* **1987**, 26, 1211.
- 261 Shastri, M. H.; Patil, D. G.; Patil, V. D.; Dev, S.; *Tetrahedron* **1985**, 41, 3083.

2.9. Other Alcohol Oxidations Using Activated DMSO

Almost any electrophile, able to react with DMSO, can generate an “active DMSO” species that can be used for the oxidation of alcohols. Dozens of such activators have been described in the literature as shown in Table 2.2. Many of these activators have been the subject of very superficial analyses and, therefore, their potential for Moffatt oxidation of alcohols is not known in detail. Some of these activators—particularly

Table 2.2. Less Commonly Used Electrophiles for the Activation of DMSO

Reagent	Abbrev. or Formulae	Observations
Benzoic anhydride	Bz ₂ O	Briefly mentioned by Albright ²⁶⁸ as an efficient substitute of Ac ₂ O
Methanesulfonic anhydride	Ms ₂ O	Briefly mentioned by Swern ²⁶⁹ and Albright, ²⁶⁸ it delivers from good to excellent yields at -20°C
<i>p</i> -Toluenesulfonic anhydride	Ts ₂ O	Briefly mentioned by Albright ²⁶⁸ who reports high yields at -20°C
Trifluoromethane sulfonic anhydride	Tf ₂ O	Briefly mentioned by Hendrickson and Schwartzman ²⁷⁰
Methyl chloroglyoxylate	CH ₃ OC(O)C(O)Cl	Described as efficient, but with no particular advantages over oxalyl chloride ²⁷¹
Thionyl chloride	SOCl ₂	Briefly mentioned by Swern, ²⁶⁹ it provides good to excellent yields at -60°C
Diphosgene, trichloromethyl chloroformate	Cl ₃ COC(O)Cl	Reported as an alternative to the use of oxalyl chloride with the advantage of being a dense liquid with low volatility ²⁶²

(Continued)

Table 2.2. Less Common by Used Electrophiles for the Activation of DMSO—Cont'd

Triphosgene	$(\text{Cl}_3\text{CO})_2\text{CO}$	White crystalline solid reported as a safe alternative to oxalyl chloride, suitable for large-scale operations ²⁶³
Methanesulfonyl chloride	MsCl	Briefly mentioned by Albright ²⁶⁸ and Swern, ²⁶⁹ Albright reports a slow reaction at -20°C ; according to Swern, it provides good yields at room temperature
<i>p</i> -Toluenesulfonyl chloride	TsCl	Briefly mentioned by Albright ²⁶⁸ and Swern, ²⁶⁹ it gives from good to excellent yields between -20 and 5°C
Benzenesulfonyl chloride	BsCl	Briefly mentioned by Albright ²⁶⁸ giving good yield in one oxidation
Cyanuric chloride		Briefly mentioned by Albright ²⁶⁸ and Swern, ²⁶⁹ this surprisingly little used activator is inexpensive and delivers easily elaborated water-soluble salts ²⁷²
Trichloroacetonitrile	$\text{Cl}_3\text{C}-\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$	Briefly mentioned by Moffatt ²⁷³ as giving a modest yield at room temperature
2-Chloro-1,3-dimethylimidazolinium chloride	DMC	It provides excellent yields in the oxidation of secondary alcohols, ²⁶⁴ and tends to produce chlorination of primary alcohols
Polyphosphoric acid		Briefly mentioned by Albright ²⁶⁸ as a substitute of Ac_2O
Phosphorous trichloride	PCl_3	Briefly mentioned by Swern, ²⁶⁹ it provides from modest to excellent yields at -30°C
Triphenylphosphine dichloride	$\text{Ph}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{Cl}_2$	Reported as an alternative to oxalyl chloride, providing from good to excellent yields at -78°C ²⁶⁶
Triphenylphosphine dibromide	$\text{Ph}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{Br}_2$	Reported as an alternative to oxalyl chloride with properties closely resembling $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{Cl}_2$ ²⁶⁶
Phosphorous oxychloride	POCl_3	Briefly mentioned by Swern, ²⁶⁹ it provides from modest to excellent yields at -30°C
Acetyl chloride	AcCl	Briefly mentioned by Swern, ²⁶⁹ it provides modest yields at -20°C
Benzoyl chloride	BzCl	Briefly mentioned by Swern, ²⁶⁹ it provides from poor to excellent yields at -20°C
Acetyl bromide	AcBr	Briefly mentioned by Swern, ²⁶⁹ it provides from modest to excellent yields at -60°C
Phenyl dichlorophosphate	$\text{PhOP}(\text{O})\text{Cl}_2$	It provides from good to excellent yields in oxidations performed from -10°C to room temperature ²⁶⁷
Diphenyl chlorophosphate	$(\text{PhO})_2\text{P}(\text{O})\text{Cl}$	Briefly mentioned by Liu and Nyangulu ^{267a} as a less satisfactory activator than phenyl dichlorophosphate

Diethyl chlorophosphate	$(\text{EtO})_2\text{P}(\text{O})\text{Cl}$	Briefly mentioned by Liu and Nyangulu ^{267a} as a less satisfactory activator than phenyl dichlorophosphate
Ethoxyacetylene	$\text{EtO}-\text{C}\equiv\text{C}-\text{H}$	Briefly mentioned by Albright ^{268,274}

oxalyl chloride, which is used in the ubiquitous Swern oxidation—are frequently used in Moffatt oxidations, and have already been described in this book.

Table 2.2. lists activators used less commonly for Moffatt oxidations. The following activators, namely diphosgene,²⁶² triphosgene,²⁶³ 2-chloro-1,3-dimethylimidazolium chloride,²⁶⁴ 1-cyclohexyl-3-(2-morpholinoethyl)-carbodiimide metho-*p*-toluenesulfonate,²⁶⁵ triphenylphosphine dibromide and dichloride,²⁶⁶ and phenyl dichlorophosphate,²⁶⁷ have been the subject of scientific monographs, in which they are proposed as suitable and convenient alternatives to more routinely used activators, and can offer improved oxidation conditions in some substrates.

Section 2.9. References


- 262 (a) Takano, S.; Inomata, K.; Tomita, S.; Yanase, M.; Samizu, K.; Ogasawara, K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 6619. (b) Kubodera, N.; Watanabe, H.; Miyamoto, K.; Matsumoto, M.; Matsuoka, S.; Kawanishi, T.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1993**, *41*, 1659.
- 263 Palomo, C.; Cossio, F. P.; Ontoria, J. M.; Odriozola, J. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 5948.
- 264 Isobe, T.; Ishikawa, T.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 5832.
- 265 Finch, N.; Fitt, J. J.; Hsu, I. H. S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, *40*, 206.
- 266 Bisai, A.; Chandrasekhar, M.; Singh, V. K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 8355.
- 267 (a) Liu, H.-J.; Nyangulu, J. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 3167. (b) Liu, H.-J.; Nyangulu, J. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 5097. (c) Cvetovich, R. J.; Nelly, D. H.; DiMichele, L. M.; Shuman, R. F.; Grabowski, E. J. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 7704.
- 268 Albright, J. D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1974**, *39*, 1977.
- 269 Omura, K.; Swern, D.; *Tetrahedron* **1978**, *34*, 1651.
- 270 Hendrickson, J. B.; Schwartzman, S. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, *4*, 273.
- 271 Mancuso, A. J.; Brownfain, D. S.; Swern, D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1979**, *44*, 4148.
- 272 De Luca, L.; Giacomelli, G.; Porcheddu, A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 7907.
- 273 Pfitzner, K. E.; Moffatt, J. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 5661.
- 274 Albright, J. D.; Goldman, L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 2416.

Hypervalent Iodine Compounds

3.1. Introduction

Iodine compounds in a high valence state behave as strong oxidants¹ and, therefore, are good candidates for the oxidation of alcohols. Nevertheless, its use in organic synthesis has been very limited due to its general lack of stability and poor solubility in most organic solvents. The fate of hypervalent iodine compounds in the oxidation of alcohols changed dramatically in 1983 by a landmark publication² of Dess and Martin, in which they showed that the hypervalent iodine compound **35**—nowadays known as Dess-Martin periodinane—is able to transform alcohols into aldehydes and ketones in an extraordinary effective manner. Contrary to other hypervalent iodine compounds, Dess-Martin periodinane (**35**) is a stable compound with a high solubility in most organic solvents.

A few years later,³ it was shown that *o*-iodoxybenzoic acid (**36**)—itself a precursor in the preparation of Dess-Martin periodinane—is able to oxidize very effectively alcohols in DMSO solution. *o*-Iodoxybenzoic acid—normally referred to as IBX—exists mainly as a cyclic form **37**, which crystallizes as a polymer with very low solubility in most solvents with the exception of DMSO. Although, IBX (**36**) was already known in 1893,⁴ this ultracentennial reagent found very little use till very recently, when awareness about its solubility in DMSO was raised.



Section 3.1. References


1 For a review of hypervalent iodine compounds in Organic Synthesis see: Zhdkankin, V. V.; Stang, P. J.; *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 2523.

2 Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 4155.

3 Frigerio, M.; Santagostino, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 8019.


4 Hartman, C.; Meyer, V.; *Chem. Ber.* **1893**, *26*, 1727.

3.2. Dess-Martin Periodinane




In 1983, Dess and Martin published² the preparation of the hypervalent iodine compound **35**—Dess-Martin periodinane (DMP)—by treatment of *o*-iodoxybenzoic acid (**36**) with acetic anhydride and acetic acid. This high valent iodine compound, due to the presence of the iodine atom inside a stable five-membered ring and surrounded by organic residues, is very soluble in many organic solvents and possesses a high kinetic stability. It has a very long lifetime under inert atmosphere at room temperature and can be handled in the air with little decomposition due to humidity.

In the foundational paper of Dess-Martin periodinane,² it was shown that this compound is very efficient in the oxidation of alcohols in dichloromethane solution at room temperature. While the alcohols are oxidized to the corresponding aldehydes and ketones, Dess-Martin periodinane is transformed into the organic iodinane **38** and acetic acid.



Side reactions caused by the acidic nature of acetic acid can be prevented by the addition of a base, such as pyridine or sodium bicarbonate. The periodinane **38** can be removed either by:

- Hydrolysis with 1.3 M NaOH, resulting in *o*-iodosobenzoic acid (**39**) that can be separated by washing with an aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution.
- Treatment with sodium thiosulfate, resulting in reduction to 2-iodobenzoic acid that can be removed by washing with an aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution.



It is possible to eliminate very efficiently the by-products derived from Dess-Martin periodinane as well as excess of reagent by a sequential treatment with a thiosulfate-containing resin, followed by a base-functionalized resin. This procedure is very amenable for automation work-ups.^{11d}

The *o*-iodosobenzoic or *o*-iodobenzoic acids, recovered from the work-up of oxidations with Dess-Martin periodinane, can be recycled back to this oxidant by oxidation to IBX, followed by transformation of IBX into Dess-Martin periodinane.²

It is possible to perform an oxidation with Dess-Martin periodinane under almost neutral conditions by adding pyridine to the reaction flask in order to neutralize the acetic acid, which is generated during the oxidation, and performing the work-up by treatment with sodium thiosulfate in the presence of a sodium bicarbonate buffer.²


Although the unique effectiveness of Dess-Martin periodinane in the oxidation of complex alcohols was recognized very soon, initially great difficulties were encountered in the preparation of good samples of this reagent. Thus, many researchers were not able to complete the peracetylation of IBX—needed for the preparation of Dess-Martin periodinane—by treatment with acetic anhydride and acetic acid at 85°C as described by Dess and Martin.^{2,5} A number of experimental modifications was suggested,⁶ culminating in a very detailed description published in the *Organic Syntheses* journal.⁷

The experimental difficulties were greatly clarified by the discovery of Stevenson *et al.* that IBX can exist in two crystalline forms⁸ of very different solubility. Thus, IBX can be present either as a microcrystalline powder in which each microcrystal contains a racemate of IBX that dissolves readily, or as a conglomerate of microscopic crystals of optically active IBX, possessing very slow kinetics for solubilization. During the preparation of IBX, normally a mixture of both crystalline forms is obtained, whose proportion depends on experimental details, like stirring speed, which are difficult to reproduce. As expected, optimum yields of Dess-Martin periodinane are obtained starting from IBX present as the better soluble microcrystalline form. This form can be secured by dissolving IBX in aqueous NaOH and precipitating it by bringing rapidly the pH to 1 by addition of hydrochloric acid.⁸

WARNING:DESS-MARTIN PERIODINANE IS AN EXPLOSIVE COMPOUND. An explosion has been reported during an operation with Dess-Martin periodinane.⁹ Although pure Dess-Martin periodinane seems to be refractory to explosion² and the explosive properties of this compound


have been attributed to the presence of impurities, Dess-Martin periodinane produces an exotherm during decomposition due to heat;⁷ therefore, care must be taken during its handling.

The available ¹H-NMR and kinetic data^{2,5} regarding oxidation of alcohols with Dess-Martin periodinane are consistent with a mechanism involving the initial displacement of an acetate from Dess-Martin reagent by an alcohol molecule, resulting in the rapid formation of intermediate **39**. This intermediate **39** can evolve very slowly generating the desired carbonyl compound, acetic acid and the monoacetoxyiodinane (**38**). On the other hand—in the presence of excess of alcohol—two acetoxy ligands can be substituted by two alcohols, resulting in the formation of the intermediate bisalkoxyiodinane **40** that evolves very quickly to the alkoxyiodinane **41**, acetic acid and the desired carbonyl compound.




A corollary of this mechanism is that using excess of Dess-Martin periodinane can, in fact, produce a decrease in the speed of the oxidation, while an excess of alcohol causes an acceleration. On the other hand, using excess of alcohol, while providing an acceleration of the oxidation, may produce a decrease in the yield of the carbonyl compound because some of the alcohol is consumed in the generation of the alkoxyperiodinane (**41**), rather than suffering the desired transformation into the carbonyl compound. This problem can be by-passed by the addition of *tert*-butyl alcohol to the reaction medium.² This non-oxidizable alcohol causes an acceleration of the reaction via the formation of the bisalkoxyperiodinane (**42**) that evolves very quickly to the *t*-butoxyperiodinane (**43**), acetic acid and the desired carbonyl compound. It must be mentioned that when *tert*-butyl alcohol is used for the

acceleration of Dess-Martin periodinane oxidation, it may be necessary to separate the *t*-butoxyperiodinane (**43**) by chromatographic means because this compound is resistant to decomposition by either aqueous base or sodium thiosulfate.



Initially, the use of Dess-Martin periodinane in the oxidation of alcohols was plagued with reproducibility problems and puzzling reports claiming that better yields were obtained using old batches of impure material or performing the oxidation with no exclusion of moisture, something very odd considering that Dess-Martin periodinane is moisture sensitive. Similarly, while Dess and Martin reported that their name-reagent was perfectly soluble in most organic solvents, other authors claimed that they were not able to get clear solutions of Dess-Martin periodinane in organic solvents; although that operated satisfactorily during oxidations.^{2,10} This confusing state of affairs was clarified in 1994 by Meyer and Schreiber,^{6d} in a very elegant paper, in which they proved that reaction of Dess-Martin periodinane with water results in the formation of the acetoxyiodinane oxide (**44**), that is able to oxidize alcohols much quicker and efficiently than Dess-Martin periodinane.




Thus, impure samples of Dess-Martin periodinane containing **44** because of partial hydrolysis of Dess-Martin reagent or incomplete acetylation during its preparation can in fact perform much better during the oxidation of alcohols than very pure samples of Dess-Martin periodinane. Likewise, performing the oxidation in the air or using wet solvents may result in better yields because of the *in situ* generation of periodinane **44**.


For the sake of consistency in the experiments, rather than recommending careless experimental techniques or using impure samples of Dess-Martin periodinane, Meyer and Schreiber suggested modifying the protocol

of the Dess-Martin oxidation, whereby a controlled amount of water is added to the reaction mixture containing a pure sample of Dess-Martin periodinane. This allows the *in situ* generation of the highly reactive periodinane **44** that otherwise is difficult to isolate and store as an effective reagent.

Normally, Dess-Martin oxidations are carried out in CH_2Cl_2 , although it succeeds in almost any organic solvent including PhCF_3 ,¹¹ CHCl_3 ,¹² benzene,¹³ toluene,¹⁴ DMSO,¹⁵ DMF,¹⁶ THF,¹⁷ EtOAc¹⁸ and acetonitrile.¹⁹ It is usually performed at room temperature, although it can be carried out at 0°C ²⁰ or higher than room temperature.²¹ It must be mentioned that using a high temperature increases the risk of functional groups other than alcohols suffering oxidation.²²

In order to neutralize the acetic acid produced during the oxidation, very often, sodium bicarbonate²³ or pyridine²⁴ are added; other bases, like sodium acetate²⁵ or 2,6-lutidine are less commonly used.²⁶ Often, water,²⁷ *tert*-butyl alcohol²⁸ or trifluoroacetic acid²⁹ are added in order to accelerate the reaction. Sometimes, the inclusion of water is made in a quite uncontrolled manner by using a “wet” solvent³⁰ containing an undisclosed amount of water, or by running the reaction unprotected by a blanket of an inert gas.³¹ Better reproducibility is expected when a precise amount of water is added to a dry solvent. While one equivalent of water converts Dess-Martin periodinane in the periodinane **44**, which is a better oxidant, adding excess of water may cause the inactivation of all periodinane species able to oxidize alcohols. Performing the oxidation “in the air” is a particularly irreproducible technique because the amount of added water depends on parameters, such as atmospheric humidity, which are difficult to control. Meyer and Schreiber^{6d} showed that in a given experiment, while a 20% atmospheric humidity provided enough water to accelerate the reaction, a 75% humidity caused the quick destruction of any oxidizing agent.





3.2.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols Using Dess-Martin Periodinane

A ca. 0.05–0.35 M solution of the alcohol^a in dry^b CH₂Cl₂,^c containing 1 to 5 equivalents—typically 1.5 eq.—of Dess-Martin periodinane^d (MW = 424.14; **WARNING**: this oxidant can explode) is stirred^e at room temperature^f till most of the starting compound is consumed. For a quicker reaction, the following accelerants can be added: water (ca. 1–1.2 eq.), *tert*-butyl alcohol (ca. 0.7–1.5 eq.) or trifluoroacetic acid (ca. 1.5–3 eq.). The possible deleterious effect of acetic acid produced during the oxidation can be prevented by the addition of ca. 10–15 eq. of NaHCO₃ or ca. 2.5–3.5 eq. of pyridine. The work-up can be made according to four alternative protocols:

Work-up A: Thiosulfate work-up

In this work-up, the periodinane species **38**^g, resulting from the reduction of Dess-Martin periodinane, is further reduced with sodium thiosulfate to *o*-iodobenzoic acid^h that is removed with a sodium bicarbonate aqueous solution. The treatment with sodium thiosulfate is normally made in the presence of sodium bicarbonate as buffer. This is the most common work-up because it is done under almost neutral conditions and the organic periodinane **38** is destroyed; thus, avoiding a possible difficult chromatographic separation from the product. The *o*-iodobenzoic acid can be recycled back to Dess-Martin periodinane by oxidation.

The volume of the reaction mixture is normally increased by the addition of an organic solvent, consisting normally in Et₂O and less often in CH₂Cl₂ or EtOAc. An aqueous solution containing sodium thiosulfate (ca. 100–158 g/L, Na₂S₂O₃) and NaHCO₃ (ca. 100 g/L-saturated) is added and the resulting mixture is stirred for ca. 10–15 min. The organic

phase is separated, dried (Na_2SO_4 or MgSO_4) and concentrated, giving a residue that may need further purification. Optionally, the organic phase may be washed with water and brine before drying.

Work-up B: Sodium hydroxide work-up

In this work-up, the periodinane species **38^g**—resulting from the reduction of Dess-Martin periodinane—is hydrolyzed to *o*-iodosobenzoic acid,ⁱ which is removed in the basic aqueous solution that is used for hydrolysis. This work-up is suitable for substrates that are not sensitive to aqueous base.

Diethyl ether and aqueous NaOH (ca. 0.5–1 N) are added to the reaction flask. The resulting mixture is stirred during ca. 10–15 min. The organic phase is separated, dried (Na_2SO_4 or MgSO_4) and concentrated, giving a residue that may need further purification. Optionally, the organic phase may be washed with water and brine before drying.

Work-up C: Simple washing with an aqueous solution

In this work-up, no effort is made to separate the periodinane species **38** using chemical means. Normally, the separation is performed by chromatography.

The reaction mixture is washed with an aqueous phase, such as saturated aqueous NH_4Cl or saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 . Optionally, solids suspended in the reaction mixture can be filtered before the aqueous washing. The addition of an organic solvent such as Et_2O or CH_2Cl_2 may facilitate the washings. The organic phase is dried (Na_2SO_4 or MgSO_4) and concentrated, giving a residue that needs further purification because of the presence of periodinane **38**.

Work-up D: Non-aqueous work-up

As in work-up C, in this work-up, the periodinane **38** is not removed by chemical means. Therefore, it must be separated from the crude at a later stage.


The reaction mixture is filtered through a pad of silica gel, Florisil[®] or Celite[®]. The addition of an organic solvent such as Et_2O may facilitate the operation. The filtered solution is concentrated, giving a crude that needs further purification because of the presence of periodinane **38**.

^a The alcohol can be added—either neat or in solution—to a solution of Dess-Martin periodinane in CH_2Cl_2 or vice versa. The mixing may result in a copious evolution of heat, therefore—particularly on multigram scale—, it may be advisable to perform the mixing slowly, so as to allow for the dissipation of heat, or to cool down the reaction.

^b Sometimes, wet CH_2Cl_2 is purposefully used in order to accelerate the reaction due to its water content. It must be mentioned that an optimum acceleration is achieved with 1


equivalent of water, and using a wet solvent of unknown water content may result in irreproducible reactions.

- ^c Many other aprotic organic solvents of very variable polarity, including PhCF₃, CHCl₃, benzene, DMSO, DMF, THF, EtOAc and acetonitrile, have been successfully employed in this oxidation.
- ^d Pure Dess-Martin periodinane is perfectly soluble in CH₂Cl₂. Partially hydrolyzed samples contain impurities that are not soluble. These partially hydrolyzed samples may, in fact, lead to quicker oxidations. However, the use of Dess-Martin periodinane samples with an unknown extent of decomposition due to hydrolysis is not recommended because this may lead to irreproducible results. Likewise, it is recommended that Dess-Martin periodinane be handled under maximum exclusion of water for the sake of better oxidation reproducibility. Dess-Martin periodinane shows the following spectroscopic data:⁵ ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, δ): 8.31 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), 8.29 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), 8.07 (t, J = 8.5 and 7.3 Hz), 7.80 (t, J = 8.5 and 7.3 Hz), 2.33 (s) and 2.01 (s); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, δ): 175.7, 174.0, 166.1, 142.4, 135.8, 133.8, 131.8, 126.5, 126.0, 20.4 and 20.3.
- ^e Sometimes, the reaction is performed in the air in order to allow atmospheric humidity to enter into the reaction; therefore, causing an acceleration due to the presence of water. An optimum acceleration is caused by 1 equivalent of water and the quantity of water entering from the air varies greatly depending on experimental factors, such as atmospheric water content, which are very difficult to control. Therefore, it is advisable to run the reaction under a blanket of an inert gas—adding, if desired, a controlled amount of water—rather than in the air.
- ^f Sometimes, the reaction is run at 0°C. Very rarely, the reaction is performed at a temperature slightly higher than room temperature—ca. 40–55°C—in order to get some acceleration on refractory substrates.
- ^g Periodinane species **38** shows the following ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz, δ): 8.25 (dd, J = 7.5 and 1.2 Hz), 8.00 (d, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.92 (dt, J = 7.4 and 1.5 Hz), 7.71 (dt, J = 7.8 and 0.9 Hz) and 2.26 (s).
- ^h *o*-Iodobenzoic acid (IB) shows the following ¹H-NMR (H₂O/*t*-BuOH 7:3 v/v, 400 MHz, δ): 7.87 (d), 7.42 (t), 7.40 (d), 7.10 (td).³³
- ⁱ *o*-Iodosobenzoic acid (IBA) shows the following ¹H-NMR (H₂O/*t*-BuOH 7:3 v/v, 400 MHz, δ): 8.19 (dd), 7.98 (td), 7.89 (d), 7.75 (t).³³




Ref. 34

A Dess-Martin oxidation on a multigram scale is described with precise experimental details.



Ref. 24c


This alcohol containing a very sensitive diazirine moiety can be efficiently oxidized with DMP, while other oxidants like Swern, MnO₂, PCC, PDC and CAN were not successful.




3.2.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Dess-Martin Oxidation

According to Dess and Martin,⁵ Dess-Martin periodinane reacts slowly with sulfides at room temperature to give complex unidentified products. Nonetheless, as the oxidation of sulfides is slow, normally it is possible to oxidize alcohols in the presence of sulfides.³⁵

Sometimes, the presence of sulfides causes a decrease in the yield of the oxidation of alcohols with DMP.³⁶




On the other hand, the oxidation of some sulfides with Dess-Martin periodinane provides a unique way to prepare some 1,2,3-tricarbonyl compounds, which are very difficult to obtain.³⁷




1,2,3-Tricarbonyl compounds can also be obtained by treatment of β -hydroxycarbonyl compounds—without a sulfur atom at the α -position—with Dess-Martin periodinane.³⁸

According to Panek *et al.*,³⁹ thioacetals are hydrolyzed under the action of Dess-Martin periodinane, being possible to perform a selective hydrolysis without affecting an alcohol present in the same molecule. Reaction conditions optimized for the thioacetal hydrolysis involve the use of Dess-Martin periodinane in a MeCN/CH₂Cl₂/H₂O (8:1:1) solvent mixture. Under these conditions, Dess-Martin periodinane behaves as a very efficient reagent for the hydrolysis of thioacetals in complex substrates.




Quite puzzlingly, other authors report the selective oxidation of alcohols in the presence of dithioacetals.⁴⁰




These diverse results can be explained either by the variability of the substrates, or by the influence of minor experimental modifications. Particularly, dichloromethane is the solvent used wherever an alcohol is selectively oxidized, while acetonitrile is the main solvent when a selective dithioacetal hydrolysis is achieved. The presence of water in the reaction media seems to play no role as a selective dithioacetal hydrolysis can be observed under anhydrous reaction conditions after an aqueous work-up.³⁹


Dess-Martin periodinane oxidizes lactols to lactones.⁴¹ In molecules containing both an alcohol and a lactol, sometimes it is possible to perform a selective oxidation of the alcohol in the presence of a lactol.¹³ Although, a case is known in which this selectivity is reversed and a lactol is oxidized to the corresponding lactone, while an alcohol in the same molecule remains unaffected.⁴²




The Dess-Martin periodinane oxidation of alcohols can be carried out in the presence of free phenols.⁴³




Alcohols can be oxidized in the presence of tertiary⁴⁴ or secondary⁴⁵ amines. Sometimes, the secondary amines react intramolecularly *in situ* with the functionality resulting from the oxidation of the alcohol.⁴⁶



Dess and Martin reported that their name reagent reacts with primary amines giving insoluble products, which are difficult to analyze. Nevertheless, there are several reports of oxidation of alcohols, in which primary aromatic amines remain unaffected.⁴⁷ In these cases, when an aldehyde is obtained, sometimes it is attacked by the amine, resulting in the formation of nitrogen heterocycles.⁴⁸ There is one report⁴⁹ in which an alcohol is oxidized to an aldehyde in the presence of a primary aliphatic amine that reacts *in situ* with the aldehyde.



Aromatic amides react with Dess-Martin periodinane, resulting in the formation of quinones⁵⁰ and azaquinones.⁵¹ These reactions were thoroughly studied by Nicolaou *et al.*, who proved that the resulting azaquinones can be trapped *in situ*, resulting in highly stereoselective construction of skeletons of complex natural products.⁵² Normally, Dess-Martin periodinane reacts with aromatic amides at temperatures higher than room temperature. Although, sometimes such reactions occur at room temperature, reaction of Dess-Martin periodinane with alcohols is quicker, and alcohols can be selectively oxidized in the presence of both aromatic⁵³ and aliphatic⁵⁴ amides in the same molecule.



Oximes are hydrolyzed to aldehydes and ketones with Dess-Martin periodinane in wet CH_2Cl_2 . This reaction competes with the oxidation of alcohols, so that selective oxime hydrolyses can be performed in the presence of alcohols.⁵⁵ However, *O*-alkyloximes remain unaffected during the oxidation of alcohols.⁵⁶

Normally, nitrocompounds resist⁵⁷ the action of Dess-Martin reagent. However, there is one report in which a nitroalcohol is transformed into a lactone, thanks to a very easy intramolecular interaction between the nitro group and the alcohol.⁵⁸

N-Acyloxyhydroxylamines are oxidized to the interesting intermediates acylnitroso compounds by the action of Dess-Martin periodinane.⁵⁹

Dess-Martin periodinane is a sufficiently mild reagent that is very rare for protecting groups to be removed. Protecting groups possessing a very high sensitivity to oxidation, such as *p*-methoxybenzyl⁶⁰ and *m,p*-dimethoxybenzyl⁶¹ ethers, and protecting groups with a high sensitivity to acids, such as THP ethers,⁶² trityl ethers⁶³ and TMS ethers,⁶⁴ can resist the action of Dess-Martin periodinane.

However, there is one report of partial hydrolysis of a TIPS ether promoted by the acidity of Dess-Martin periodinane.^{106a}


Dess-Martin periodinane supported on silica is able to perform the direct transformation of TMS ethers to aldehydes and ketones.⁶⁵

Alkenes can be transformed into epoxides by reaction with Ac-IBX (**44**), generated by reaction of Dess-Martin periodinane with water.^{50b} As the oxidation of alcohols is quicker, it is normally possible to oxidize alcohols with no interference from alkenes.



3.2.3. Reactions Performed *in situ* During Dess-Martin Oxidation

It is possible to perform Dess-Martin oxidations of alcohols in the presence of stabilized phosphoranes or phosphonates.⁶⁶ The aldehydes and ketones resulting from the oxidation—when reactive enough—can interact

with the phosphorous compounds yielding alkenes in a one-pot reaction. This operation involving the *in situ* generation of aldehydes or ketones, which will react in a Wittig or a Wittig-Horner reaction, is particularly useful when the intermediate aldehydes or ketones are unstable.




Because of the relative inertness of functional groups other than alcohols to Dess-Martin conditions, a Dess-Martin oxidation is a good choice when an *in situ* reaction of the resulting aldehydes or ketones is desired. It is particularly common to use Dess-Martin periodinane in order to generate very reactive aldehydes or ketones that suffer *in situ* concerted reactions, such as Diels-Alder additions,⁶⁷ oxy-Claisen reactions,⁶⁸ pericyclic processes⁶⁹ and concerted hydrogen shifts.⁷⁰




3.2.4. Side Reactions

Dess-Martin periodinane has a very low tendency to induce α -epimerization of sensitive carbonyl compounds, being particularly useful in the obtention of epimerization-sensitive aldehydes and ketones without erosion of the enantiomeric or diastereomeric excess.⁷¹ Thus, in a detailed study aimed at finding the ideal oxidant for the obtention of racemization-prone *N*-protected α -aminoaldehydes with a maximum of enantiomeric excess, Dess-Martin periodinane in wet CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature was found to be the oxidant of choice.




The treatment of 1,2-diols with Dess-Martin periodinane may lead either to a 1,2-dicarbonyl compound,¹⁴ or to an oxidative breakage of a C-C bond^{14,72} depending on stereoelectronic factors. When a 1,2-dicarbonyl compound is obtained, very often, one of the carbonyl groups tautomerizes to the enol form. Under controlled conditions, very often, it is possible to selectively oxidize one of the alcohols in a 1,2-diol, particularly when this alcohol is an allylic one.⁷³

The treatment of 1,4-, 1,5- and 1,6-diols with Dess-Martin periodinane, very often, leads uneventfully to dicarbonyl compounds⁷⁴ or to hydroxycarbonyl compounds⁷⁵ that are occasionally isolated as lactols.⁷⁶ Sometimes, when a lactol is primarily obtained, it suffers a further oxidation to a lactone^{32,77} or it is transformed into an acetylated lactol.⁷⁸ It has been proved that for the acetylation of lactols, both Dess-Martin periodinane and acetic acid generated during the oxidation must be present. The addition of pyridine does not avoid this reaction.




2-Ene-1,4-diols are transformed into furans by Dess-Martin periodinane.^{15c}


Sometimes, when an aldehyde or ketone containing a good-leaving group at the β -position is obtained, an *in situ* elimination occurs resulting in the formation of an enal or an enone.^{23c} In fact, Dess-Martin oxidations are carried out under very mild conditions and eliminations often happen during silica chromatography rather than during the oxidation.⁷⁹



Occasionally, alkenes suffer migrations⁸⁰ or cis-trans isomerizations^{6d} during Dess-Martin oxidations. Such reactions normally only occur under very favourable thermodynamic and kinetic conditions, Dess-Martin reagent being able to deliver compounds containing unstable alkenes that would isomerize on simple contact with silica.



Sometimes, aldehydes or ketones resulting from Dess-Martin oxidation are attacked intramolecularly by nitrogen atoms belonging to diverse functionalities, when such attack results in amins inside stable medium-sized rings.⁸² Sometimes, these amins suffer dehydration to enamines.



Section 3.2. References

- 5 Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 7277.
- 6 (a) Evans, D. A.; Kaldor, S. W.; Jones, T. K.; Clardy, J.; Stout, T. J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 7001. (b) Bailey, S. W.; Chandrasekaran, R. Y.; Ayling, J. E.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 4470. (c) Ireland, R. E.; Liu, L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 2899. (d) Meyer, S. D.; Schreiber, S. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 7549.
- 7 Boeckman Jr., R. K.; Shao, P.; Mullins, J. J.; *Org. Synth.* **2000**, *77*, 141.
- 8 Stevenson, P. J.; Treacy, A. B.; Nieuwenhuyzen, M.; *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. II* **1997**, 589.
- 9 Plumb, J. B.; Harper, D. J.; *Chem. Eng. News* **1990**, *July 16*, 3.
- 10 Burkhart, J. P.; Peet, N. P.; Bey, P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 3433.
- 11 Rocaboy, C.; Gladysz, J. A.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 1993.
- 12 White, J. D.; Hrcnciar, P.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 2646.
- 13 Nicolaou, K. C.; Baran, P. S.; Zhong, Y.-L.; Chiu Fong, K.; He, Y.; Hyung, Yoon, W.; Choi, H.-S.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 1676.
- 14 Candela L., J. I.; Martín H., J. I.; Rico F., M. R.; Altinel, E.; Arseniyadis, S.; *Synlett* **2001**, *5*, 597.
- 15 (a) Barrett, A. G. M.; Hamprecht, D.; Ohkubo, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 9376. (b) Takao, K.; Sasaki, T.; Kozaki, T.; Yanagisawa, Y.; Tadano, K.-ichi; Kawashima, A.; Shinonaga, H.; *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 4291. (c) Clive, D. L. J.; Ou, L.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 4559.
- 16 (a) Kobayashi, J.; Hatakeyama, A.; Tsuda, M.; *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 697. (b) Kobayashi, N.; Kaku, Y.; Higurashi, K.; Yamauchi, T.; Ishibashi, A.; Okamoto, Y.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2002**, *12*, 1747.
- 17 (a) Sabat, M.; Johnson, C. R.; *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 1089. b) Sabat, M.; Johnson, C. R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 1209.
- 18 Hirai, Y.; Ito, K.; Nagaoka, H.; *Heterocycles* **1998**, *48*, 235.
- 19 (a) Rodríguez, G.; Rodríguez, D.; López, M.; Castedo, L.; Domínguez, D.; Saá, C.; *Synlett* **1998**, *11*, 1282. (b) Bastiaans, H. M. M.; van der Baan, J. L.; Ottenheijm, H. C. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 3880. (c) Deng, J.; Hamada, Y.; Shioiri, T.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 2261. (d) Bell, T. W.; Vargas, J. R.; Crispino, G. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 1978.
- 20 (a) Boehm, H. M.; Handa, S.; Pattenden, G.; Roberts, L.; Blake, A. J.; Li, W.-S.; *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I* **2000**, *20*, 3522. (b) Roush, W. R.; Chen, H.; Reilly, M. L.; *Heterocycles*


- 2002, 58, 259. (c) Wender, P. A.; Baryza, J. L.; Bennett, C. E.; Bi, C.; Brenner, S. E.; Clarke, M. O.; Horan, J. C.; Kan, C.; Lacôte, E.; Lippa, B.; Nell, P. G.; Turner, T. M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 13648.
- 21 For example 40°C: Bastiaans, H. M. M.; van der Baan, J. L.; Ottenheijm, H. C. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 3880; 55°C: Deng, J.; Hamada, Y.; Shioiri, T.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 2261; 85°C: Candela L., J. I.; Martín H., J. I.; Rico F., M. R.; Altinel, E.; Arseniyadis, S.; *Synlett* **2001**, *5*, 597.
- 22 Nicolaou, K. C.; Baran, P. S.; Zhong, Y.-L.; Sugita, K.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 2212.
- 23 (a) González, I. C.; Forsyth, C. J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 9099. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Snyder, S. A.; Simonsen, K. B.; Koumbis, A. E.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 3473. (c) Harris, J. M.; O'Doherty, G. A.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 5161. (d) Trost, B. M.; Gunzner, J. L.; Dirat, O.; Rhee, Y. H.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *124*, 10396.
- 24 (a) Anies, C.; Pancrazi, A.; Lallemand, J.-Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 2075. (b) Vloon, W. J.; van den Bos, J. C.; Koomen, G.-J.; Pandit, U. K.; *Tetrahedron* **1992**, *48*, 8317. (c) Rühmann, A.; Wentrup, C.; *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 3785. (d) Caprio, V.; Brimble, M. A.; Furfert, D. P.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 4023.
- 25 McMurry, J. E.; Siemers, N. O.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 4505.
- 26 Reiser, U.; Jauch, J.; Herdtweck, E.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 3345.
- 27 (a) Durand, T.; Guy, A.; Henry, O.; Vidal, J.-P.; Rossi, J.-C.; Rivalta, C.; Valagussa, A.; Chiabrande, C.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, 809. (b) Enders, D.; Wortmann, L.; Dücker, B.; Raabe, G.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *82*, 1195. (c) Elsworth, C.; Gill, M.; Randies, E.; Ten, A.; *Aust. J. Chem.* **2000**, *53*, 41. (d) Meyer, S. D.; Schreiber, S. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 7549.
- 28 (a) Robl, J. A.; Duncan, L. A.; Pluscec, J.; Karanewsky, D. S.; Gordon, E. M.; Ciosek Jr., C. P.; Rich, L. C.; Dehmel, V. C.; Slusarchyk, D. A.; Harrity, T. W.; Obrien, K. A.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1991**, *34*, 2804. (b) Patel, D. V.; Rielly-Gauvin, K.; Ryono, D. E.; Free, C. A.; Smith, S. A.; Petrillo Jr., E. W.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1993**, *36*, 2431. (c) Bastiaans, H. M. M.; van der Baan, J. L.; Ottenheijm, H. C. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 3880. (d) Werner, K. M.; de los Santos, J. M.; Weinreb, S. M.; Shang, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 686.
- 29 (a) Skiles, J. W.; Miao, C.; Sorcek, R.; Jacober, S.; Mui, P. W.; Chow, G.; Weldon, S. M.; Possanza, G.; Skoog, M.; Keirns, J.; Letts, G.; Rosenthal, A. S.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1992**, *35*, 4795. (b) Skiles, J. W.; Fuchs, V.; Miao, C.; Sorcek, R.; Grozinger, K. G.; Mauldin, S. C.; Vitous, J.; Mui, P. W.; Jacober, S.; Chow, G.; Matteo, M.; Skoog, M.; Weldon, S. M.; Possanza, G.; Keirns, J.; Letts, G.; Rosenthal, A. S.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1992**, *35*, 641. (c) Ohba, T.; Ikeda, E.; Takei, H.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1996**, *6*, 1875. (d) Kossenjans, M.; Martens, J.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1999**, *10*, 3409.
- 30 (a) Soucy, F.; Grenier, L.; Behnke, M. L.; Destree, A. T.; McCormack, T. A.; Adams, J.; Plamondon, L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 9967. (b) Chiacchio, U.; Corsaro, A.; Rescifina, A.; Bkaithan, M.; Grassi, G.; Piperno, A.; Privitera, T.; Romeo, G.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 3425. (c) Chiacchio, U.; Corsaro, A.; Gambera, G.; Rescifina, A.; Piperno, A.; Romeo, R.; Romeo, G.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2002**, *13*, 1915. (d) Armstrong, A.; Barsanti, P. A.; Blench, T. J.; Ogilvie, R.; *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 367.
- 31 Oppolzer, W.; Flachsmann, F.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2001**, *84*, 416.
- 32 Anies, C.; Pancrazi, A.; Lallemand, J.-Y.; Prangé, T.; *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1997**, *134*, 203.
- 33 Bunton, C. A.; Foroudian, H. J.; Gillitt, N. D.; *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *12*, 758.
- 34 Boeckman Jr., R. K.; Shao, P.; Mullins, J. J.; *Org. Synth.* **2000**, *77*, 141.
- 35 (a) Taber, D. F.; Xu, M.; Hartnett, J. C.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 13121. (b) Taber, D. F.; Jiang, Q.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 1876. (c) Connolly, S.; Bennion, C.; Botterell, S.; Crophaw, P. J.; Hallam, C.; Hardy, K.; Hartopp, P.; Jackson, C. G.; King, S. J.; Lawrence, L.; Mete, A.; Murray, D.; Robinson, D. H.; Smith, G. M.; Stein, L.; Walters, I.; Wells, E.; Withnall, W. J.; *J. Med. Chem.* **2002**, *45*, 1348. (d) Donkor, I. O.; Korukonda, R.; Huang, T. L.; Le Cour Jr., L.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2003**, *13*, 783.
- 36 Zoller, T.; Breuilles, P.; Uguen, D.; De Cian, A.; Fischer, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 6253.
- 37 Linde II, R. G.; Jeroncic, L. O.; Danishefsky, S. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 2534.

- 38 Batchelor, M. J.; Gillespie, R. J.; Golec, J. M. C.; Hedgecock, C. J. R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 167.
- 39 Langille, N. F.; Dakin, L. A.; Panek, J. S.; *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 575.
- 40 (a) Ihara, M.; Taniguchi, T.; Tokunaga, Y.; Fukumoto, K.; *Synthesis* **1995**, *11*, 1405. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Qian, W.; Bernal, F.; Uesaka, N.; Pihko, P. M.; Hinrichs, J.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 4068. (c) Nakamura, S.; Inagaki, J.; Kudo, M.; Sugimoto, T.; Obara, K.; Nakajima, S.; Hashimoto, S.; *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 10353. (d) Nakamura, S.; Inagaki, J.; Sugimoto, T.; Ura, Y.; Hashimoto, S.; *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 10375.
- 41 (a) Heckrodt, T. J.; Mulzer, J.; *Synthesis* **2002**, *13*, 1857. (b) Hoffmann, R. W.; Kruger, J.; Bruckner, D.; *New J. Chem.* **2001**, *25*, 2001. (c) Nakamura, T.; Shiozaki, M.; *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 8779.
- 42 Fleck, T. J.; Grieco, P. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 1813.
- 43 See for example: (a) Tatsuta, K.; Takano, S.; Sato, T.; Nakano, S.; *Chem. Lett.* **2001**, *2*, 172. (b) Yoshikawa, N.; Shibasaki, M.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 2569. (c) Choong, I. C.; Lew, W.; Lee, D.; Pham, P.; Burdett, M. T.; Lam, J. W.; Wiesmann, C.; Luong, T. N.; Fahr, B.; DeLano, W. L.; McDowell, R. S.; Allen, D. A.; Erlanson, D. A.; Gordon, E. M.; O'Brien, T.; *J. Med. Chem.* **2002**, *45*, 5005.
- 44 (a) Cain, G. A.; Drummond Jr., S.; *Synth. Commun.* **2000**, *30*, 4513. (b) Humphrey, J. M.; Liao, Y.; Ali, A.; Rein, T.; Wong, Y.-L.; Chen, H.-J.; Courtney, A. K.; Martin, S. F.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 8584. (c) Alcaide, B.; Pardo, C.; Sáez, E.; *Synlett* **2002**, *1*, 85. (d) Ishizaki, M.; Kai, Y.; Hoshino, O.; *Heterocycles* **2002**, *57*, 2279.
- 45 (a) Winkler, J. D.; Hershberger, P. M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 4852. (b) Ma, D.; Sun, H.; *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 2503.
- 46 (a) Werner, K. M.; de los Santos, J. M.; Weinreb, S. M.; Shang, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 4865. (b) Werner, K. M.; de los Santos, J. M.; Weinreb, S. M.; Shang, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 686.
- 47 (a) Robins, M. J.; Samano, V.; Johnson, M. D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 410. (b) Bailey, S. W.; Chandrasekaran, R. Y.; Ayling, J. E.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 4470. (c) Mesguiche, V.; Parsons, R. J.; Arris, C. E.; Bentley, J.; Boyle, F. T.; Curtin, N. J.; Davies, T. G.; Endicott, J. A.; Gibson, A. E.; Golding, B. T.; Griffin, R. J.; Jewsbury, P.; Johnson, L. N.; Newell, D. R.; Noble, M. E. M.; Wang, L. Z.; Hardcastle, I. R.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2003**, *13*, 217.
- 48 O'Neil, I. A.; Murray, C. L.; Hunter, R. C.; Kalindjian, S. B.; Jenkins, T. C.; *Synlett* **1997**, 75.
- 49 Kelly-Basetti, B. M.; Krodkiewska, I.; Sasse, W. H. F.; Savage, G. P.; Simpson, G. W.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 327.
- 50 (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Sugita, K.; Baran, P. S.; Zhong, Y.-L.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 207. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Sugita, K.; Baran, P. S.; Zhong, Y.-L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 2221.
- 51 Nicolaou, K. C.; Zhong, Y.-L.; Baran, P. S.; Sugita, K.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 2145.
- 52 (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Zhong, Y.-L.; Baran, P. S.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 622. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Baran, P. S.; Zhong, Y.-L.; Sugita, K.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 2212.
- 53 See for example: (a) Ooi, H.; Urushibara, A.; Esumi, T.; Iwabuchi, Y.; Hatakeyama, S.; *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 953. (b) Taniguchi, T.; Ogasawara, K.; *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 3193. (c) Wells, G. J.; Ming Tao, K. A. J.; Bihovsky, R.; *J. Med. Chem.* **2001**, *44*, 3488.
- 54 See for example: (a) Ohmoto, K.; Yamamoto, T.; Okuma, M.; Horiuchi, T.; Imanishi, H.; Odagaki, Y.; Kawabata, K.; Sekioka, T.; Hirota, Y.; Matsuoka, S.; Nakai, H.; Toda, M.; Cheronis, J. C.; Spruce, L. W.; Gyorkos, A.; Wiczorek, M.; *J. Med. Chem.* **2001**, *44*, 1268. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Huang, X.; Giuseppone, N.; Bheema Rao, P.; Bella, M.; Reddy, M. V.; Snyder, S. A.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 4705. (c) Hayashi, Y.; Shoji, M.; Yamaguchi, S.; Mukaiyama, T.; Yamaguchi, J.; Kakeya, H.; Osada, H.; *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 2287.
- 55 (a) Chaudhari, S. S.; Akamanchi, K. G.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 3209. (b) Chaudhari, S. S.; Akamanchi, K. G.; *Synthesis* **1999**, *5*, 760.

- 56 Zimmer, R.; Grassberger, M. A.; Baumann, K.; Horvath, A.; Schulz, G.; Haidl, E.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 7635.
- 57 (a) Magnus, P.; Pye, P.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1995**, *19*, 1933. (b) Evans, K. A.; Beshah, K.; Young, D. H.; Fujimoto, T. T.; Tice, C. M.; Michelotti, E. L.; *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 2223. (c) Ng, S. M.; Beaudry, C. M.; Trauner, D.; *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1701. (d) Imhof, S.; Bleichert, S.; *Synlett* **2003**, *5*, 609.
- 58 Degnan, A. P.; Meyers, A. I.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 3503.
- 59 Jenkins, N. E.; Ware Jr., R. W.; Atkinson, R. N.; King, S. B.; *Synth. Commun.* **2000**, *30*, 947.
- 60 (a) Sneddon, H. F.; Gaunt, M. J.; Ley, S. V.; *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1147. (b) Kigoshi, H.; Kita, M.; Ogawa, S.; Itoh, M.; Uemura, D.; *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 957. (c) Duffey, M. O.; LeTiran, A.; Morken, J. P.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 1458. (d) Spino, C.; Hill, B.; Dubé, P.; Gingras, S.; *Can. J. Chem.* **2003**, *81*, 81.
- 61 (a) Mohr, P. J.; Halcomb, R. L.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2413. (b) Mohr, P. J.; Halcomb, R. L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 1712.
- 62 (a) Wang, Q.; Linhardt, R. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 2668. (b) Bourque, E.; Deslongchamps, P.; Dory, Y. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 2390. (c) Ghosh, A. K.; Wang, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 3399. (d) Kim, S.; Lawson, J. A.; Praticò, D.; FitzGerald, G. A.; Rokach, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 2801.
- 63 (a) Kumamoto, H.; Ogamino, J.; Tanaka, H.; Suzuki, H.; Haraguchi, K.; Miyasaka, T.; Yokomatsu, T.; Shibuya, S.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 3331. (b) Nelson, S. G.; Cheung, W. S.; Kassick, A. J.; Hilfiker, M. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 13654. (c) Makino, K.; Kondoh, A.; Hamada, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 4695. (d) Rasmussen, B. S.; Elezcano, U.; Skrydstrup, T.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **2002**, *14*, 1723.
- 64 Taylor, R. E.; Hearn, B. R.; Ciavarri, J. P.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2953.
- 65 Oskooie, H. A.; Khalilpoor, M.; Saednia, A.; Sarmad, N.; Heravi, M. M.; *Phosphorous, sulfur, silicon and the related elements.* **2000**, *166*, 197.
- 66 (a) Huang, C. C.; *J. Labeled Compd. Radiopharm.* **1987**, *24*, 675. (b) Barrett, A. G. M.; Hamprecht, D.; Ohkubo, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 9376. (c) Harris, J. M.; O'Doherty, G. A.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 5161. (d) Overman, L. E.; Rosen, M. D.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 4596. (e) Clough, S.; Ragga, H. M. E.; Simpson, T. J.; Willis, C. L.; Whiting, A.; Wrigley, S. K.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **2000**, *15*, 2475.
- 67 (a) Wong, T.; Wilson, P. D.; Woo, S.; Fallis, A. G.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 7045. (b) Takasu, K.; Katagiri, R.; Tanaka, Y.; Toyota, M.; Kim, H.-S.; Wataya, Y.; Ihara, M.; *Heterocycles* **2001**, *54*, 607. (c) Miyaoka, H.; Shida, H.; Yamada, N.; Mitome, H.; Yamada, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 2227. (d) Reiser, U.; Jauch, J.; Herdtweck, E.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 3345.
- 68 (a) Boeckman Jr., R. K.; Shair, M. D.; Vargas, J. R.; Stolz, L. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 1295. (b) Boeckman Jr., R. K.; Reeder, M. R.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 6456. (c) Boeckman Jr., R. K.; Zhang, J.; Reeder, M. R.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 3891.
- 69 (a) Li, C.; Lobkovsky, E.; Porco Jr., J. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 10484. (b) Hu, Y.; Li, C.; Kulkarni, B. A.; Strobel, G.; Lobkovsky, E.; Torczynski, R. M.; Porco Jr., J. A.; *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 1649. (c) Li, C.; Bardhan, S.; Pace, E. A.; Liang, M.-C.; Gilmore, T. D.; Porco Jr., J. A.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 3267.
- 70 (a) Muralidharan, K. R.; de Lera, A. R.; Isaef, S. D.; Norman, A. W.; Okamura, W. H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 1895. (b) Ikeda, M.; Takahashi, K.; Dan, A.; Koyama, K.; Kubota, K.; Tanaka, T.; Hayashi, M.; *Biorg. Med. Chem.* **2000**, *8*, 2157.
- 71 (a) Myers, A. G.; Zhong, B.; Movassaghi, M.; Kung, D. W.; Lanman, B. A.; Kwon, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 1359. (b) Davis, F. A.; Kasu, P. V. N.; Sundarababu, G.; Qi, H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 7546. (c) Davis, F. A.; Srirajan, V.; Titus, D. D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 6931. (d) Botuha, C.; Haddad, M.; Larcheveque, M.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1998**, *9*, 1929.

- 72 (a) Grieco, P. A.; Collins, J. L.; Moher, D. E.; Fleck, T. J.; Gross, R. S.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 6078. (b) Yang, W.-B.; Patil, S. S.; Tsai, C.-H.; Lin, C.-H.; Fang, J.-M.; *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 253.
- 73 See for example: (a) Tatsuta, K.; Takano, S.; Sato, T.; Nakano, S.; *Chem. Lett.* **2001**, *2*, 172. (b) Arseniyadis, S.; Rico F., M. R.; Quilez del M., J.; Martin H., J. I.; Birlirakis, N.; Potier, P.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1999**, *10*, 193. (c) Benningshof, J. C. J.; IJsselstijn, M.; Wallner, S. R.; Koster, A. L.; Blaauw, R. H.; van Ginkel, A. E.; Brière, J.-F.; van Maarseveen, J. H.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T.; Hiemstra, H.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **2002**, *14*, 1701.
- 74 See for example: (a) Serra, S.; Fuganti, C.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2002**, *85*, 2489. (b) Barrett, A. G. M.; Hamprecht, D.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 8608. (c) Carreira, E. M.; Du Bois, J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 8106. (d) Taber, D. F.; Jiang, Q.; Chen, B.; Zhang, W.; Campbell, C. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 4821.
- 75 See for example: (a) Hollingworth, G. J.; Pattenden, G.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 703. (b) Gössinger, E.; Schwartz, A.; Sereinig, N.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 3045. (c) Ma, D.; Sun, H.; *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 2503. (d) Duvold, T.; Jørgensen, A.; Andersen, N. R.; Henriksen, A. S.; Sørensen, M. D.; Björkling, F.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2002**, *12*, 3569.
- 76 Gomes C., M.; de Souza, A. X.; da Silva, G. V. J.; *Molecules* **2002**, *7*, 475.
- 77 Crimmins, M. T.; Pace, J. M.; Nantermet, P. G.; Kim-Meade, A. S.; Thomas, J. B.; Watterson, S. H.; Wagman, A. S.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 8453.
- 78 Roels, J.; Metz, P.; *Synlett* **2001**, *6*, 789.
- 79 (a) Paquette, L. A.; Bailey, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 7849. (b) Harris, J. M.; O'Doherty, G. A.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 5161.
- 80 Yick, C.-Y.; Tsang, T.-K.; Wong, H. N. C.; *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 325.
- 81 Hashmi, A. S. K.; Bats, J. W.; Choi, J.-H.; Schwarz, L.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 7491.
- 82 (a) Marumoto, S.; Kogen, H.; Naruto, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 2068. (b) Granier, T.; Vasella, A.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1998**, *81*, 865. (c) White, J. D.; Hrcnciar, P.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 2646. (d) Consonni, A.; Danieli, B.; Lesma, G.; Passarella, D.; Piacenti, P.; Silvani, A.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *7*, 1377. (e) Schrey, A.; Osterkamp, F.; Straudi, A.; Rickert, C.; Wagner, H.; Koert, U.; Herrschaft, B.; Harms, K.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *11*, 2977. (f) Le, V.-D.; Wong, C.-H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 2399. (g) Yu, C.; Hu, L.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 5167.

3.3. *o*-Iodoxybenzoic Acid (IBX)



The *o*-iodoxybenzoic acid (**37**) (p. 181)—commonly known as IBX—was prepared for the first time more than a century ago by Hartman and Meyer by oxidation of *o*-iodobenzoic acid with KBrO_3 .⁴ This compound was not explored in organic synthesis for a long time because it was wrongly supposed that its virtual lack of solubility in common organic solvents would preclude any synthetic usefulness. IBX came to the attention of the organic

chemists in the 80's as the direct precursor in the preparation of Dess-Martin periodinane.² In 1994, Santagostino *et al.* made the key discovery that DMSO behaves as a unique solvent in its ability to dissolve IBX in a concentration as high as 0.5 M;³ in such solutions, IBX being able to oxidize alcohols in an extraordinarily efficient and selective manner. In fact, in less than a decade since the seminal paper of Santagostino *et al.*, IBX has proved to be a rather unique alcohol oxidant, able to perform very difficult oxidations that tend to fail using other oxidants. These difficult oxidations include:

- Transformation of 1,2-diols into α -dicarbonyl compounds with no oxidative breakage of a C-C bond.^{3,83}
- Oxidation of 1,4-diols to lactols with no over-oxidation to lactones.⁸⁴
- Oxidation of alcohols with a nitrogen-containing functionality at the 4 position, resulting in amins with no over-oxidation to lactams.⁸⁵
- Oxidation of alcohols with no interference from amines in the same molecule, including the very oxidation-prone primary amines.⁸³

Before being used as an alcohol oxidant, IBX found widespread use in the organic laboratories as the precursor of Dess-Martin periodinane. It was found that the efficiency of Dess-Martin periodinane as alcohol oxidant depends substantially on the profile of impurities and the exact manner in which the precursor IBX is prepared. This prompted very detailed studies aimed at finding a protocol delivering IBX of the best quality. Many experimental modifications⁸⁶ on the initial preparation of Hartman and Meyer in 1893⁴ involving the oxidation of *o*-iodobenzoic acid with KBrO_3 were suggested, culminating to a very detailed description being recently published in the *Organic Syntheses* journal.⁷ A preparation of IBX needing the handling of less toxic reagents than the classic ones, was described by Santagostino *et al.* involving the oxidation of *o*-iodobenzoic acid with oxone[®].⁸⁷

Stevenson *et al.* discovered that IBX can exist as two different crystalline forms with very different solubilizing kinetics and efficiency in the preparation of Dess-Martin periodinane.⁸ Apparently, IBX is normally obtained as a mixture of both crystalline forms in diverse proportions, depending on minor experimental details like stirring speed. Crystals with the more efficient microcrystalline morphology can be obtained by precipitating IBX from a basic aqueous solution by addition of hydrochloric acid.

When IBX is used as a solution in DMSO, the morphology of the original crystals obviously plays no role on the oxidizing efficiency. On the other hand, IBX can be used in the oxidation of alcohols as a suspension in many organic solvents.⁸⁸ Although, one would expect that in such case the morphology of IBX crystals must play an important role on the oxidizing efficiency, no such differential behaviour has been reported in the literature.^{88a}

WARNING: IBX IS EXPLOSIVE


It has been reported that IBX behaves as an explosive similar to trinitrotoluene.⁹ Apparently, the tendency to explosion on impact or on heating depends very much on IBX purity,^{5,7} being pure samples of reagent much safer. While a wet sample of IBX can explode above 130°C,⁷ a pure sample explodes above 200°C.^{6c} Very recently, it was discovered that IBX mixed with benzoic and isophthalic acids lacks any explosive property. The corresponding formulation—containing 49% of IBX, 22% of benzoic acid and 29% of isophthalic acid—has been patented as SIBX⁸⁹ and it has been claimed that it is a safe alternative to IBX with the same oxidizing efficiency.^{88b}

Normally, IBX is dissolved in DMSO for the oxidation of alcohols and the reaction is carried out at room temperature.³ Sometimes, the addition of co-solvents causes the precipitation of IBX, resulting in a slower but still efficient oxidation that nonetheless, normally would need heating.⁸³ In fact, IBX oxidations can be carried out using suspensions of IBX in a solvent other than DMSO, in which IBX is virtually insoluble.^{83,88a} A substantial acceleration can be achieved by adding a few equivalents of DMSO.

Finney and More have recently proved^{88a} that, contrary to intuition, IBX oxidations are more efficiently carried out by using a heated suspension of IBX in various organic solvents rather than using an IBX solution in DMSO at room temperature. This contradicts the general view that IBX must be dissolved for better oxidation ability. After testing several solvents, these authors considered ethyl acetate and 1,2-dichloroethane as the solvents of choice for the oxidation of alcohols using IBX suspensions. These solvents do not react with IBX like THF or toluene, while they are unable to dissolve by-products originating from IBX. This allows an extremely efficient experimental protocol involving the heating of the alcohol in a suspension of IBX with a work-up by simple filtration and concentrating the resulting solution containing solely the desired product. Additionally, this procedure—as all oxidations involving IBX—is not generally affected by the presence of moisture or air, so that the oxidations can most often be done by simple heating in the air using solvents, which need not to be rigorously dried. The general observations of Finney and More were confirmed by Quideau *et al.* employing SIBX, the non explosive formulation of IBX, rather than IBX.^{88b}


It must be mentioned that Nicolaou *et al.* presented evidences, showing that IBX reacts with some solvents like DMSO or THF—specially under heat—resulting in the transformation of IBX into species possessing the corresponding solvents as ligands.⁹⁰ These modified IBX species have a different reactivity profile than IBX in the oxidation of aromatic amides and in the introduction of alkenes conjugated with carbonyls. Therefore, one would expect substantial changes on the pattern of oxidation of alcohols by IBX depending on the solvent employed, although the published data till 2004 seems to suggest that the solvent plays a minor role.⁸⁸

For the oxidation of alcohols with IBX, kinetic evidences are consistent with the following mechanism.⁹¹



There is an initial fast equilibrium in which the alcohol interacts with IBX, leading to a small concentration of intermediate **45**. This intermediate evolves slowly to IBA and the desired carbonyl compound. As expected, the presence of water displaces the initial equilibrium to the left and produces a decrease on the oxidation speed. Thus, although IBX oxidations can be made in the presence of water, it is better to perform them under dry conditions for maximum velocity.

The water soluble IBX analogue **46** has been prepared.⁹² It is capable of oxidizing allylic and benzylic alcohols in water solution with no over-oxidation being observed to acids, in spite of the presence of a great excess of water. The compound **46** is not able of oxidizing aliphatic alcohols. IBX derivatives have been prepared, in which IBX is linked to a silica support⁹³ or to a resin.⁹⁴ These derivatives oxidize alcohols similarly to IBX with the advantage of allowing for easier work-ups.



3.3.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols with IBX

The alcohol is added^a to a ca. 0.4–1 M solution^b of ca. 1–10 equivalents—typically 1.1–3 eq.—of IBX^c in DMSO.^{d,e} In the oxidation of substrates containing a primary or secondary amine, ca. 1–1.5 equivalents of an acid such as TFA must be added for protection. When a TLC analysis shows that most of the starting compound is consumed,^f the reaction is elaborated according to two alternative protocols:

Work-up A:


The reaction mixture is filtered and concentrated, affording a crude product that may need further purification. This very simple work-up is

well suited for cases in which no DMSO is used, or it is used in very small amounts. It is particularly well adapted for oxidations in which EtOAc or 1,2-dichloroethane are used as the only solvents. These two solvents are not able to dissolve both IBX and the by-products originating from IBX, so that a simple filtration leaves a solution of very pure product.

Work-up B:



Water—or less frequently a neutral aqueous buffer—is added and the precipitate is filtered. The filtrate is extracted with an organic solvent, like Et₂O, EtOAc or CH₂Cl₂. Optionally, the organic phase may be washed with water, a saturated NaHCO₃ aqueous solution and/or brine. The organic phase is dried (Na₂SO₄ or MgSO₄) and concentrated, giving a residue that may need further purification.

- ^a Normally, the alcohol is added as a concentrated solution in DMSO. Sometimes, it is added as a solution in other organic solvent such as THF. The use of organic solvents other than DMSO may cause the formation of a precipitate of IBX. Oxidations in a two-phase system with precipitated IBX are slower. Therefore, in such cases some heating is recommended.
- ^b IBX must be stirred for about 5–20 min in DMSO in order to get a ca. 0.4–1 M solution. IBX completely dissolved in DMSO allows for a very quick oxidation that can normally be performed at room temperature over several hours.
- ^c IBX shows the following ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz, δ): 8.15 (d, 1H, J= 7.9 Hz), 8.02 (d, 1H, J= 14.8 Hz), 7.99 (t, 1H, J= 7.9 Hz) and 7.84 (t, 1H, J= 14.8 Hz).⁷
- ^d Sometimes, a co-solvent consisting of an aprotic organic solvent, like THF, EtOAc or 1,2-dichloroethane, is added. In fact, the oxidation can be performed in other solvents or adding only a few equivalents of DMSO. Limiting the quantity of DMSO causes IBX to exist as a suspension that makes the oxidation much slower, resulting in the need to heat. Using lesser amounts of DMSO may be advisable for work-up convenience.
- ^e Although, water causes a decrease on the oxidation rate, the oxidation can frequently be carried out in a wet solvent and in the air without a substantial erosion in the yield.
- ^f When a reaction mixture containing completely dissolved IBX is used—that is when it contains plenty of DMSO—the oxidation normally lasts about 1–20 h at room temperature. When IBX is present as a suspension, the reaction lasts about 0.5–6 h at 55–80°C.




Ref. 83

In this very remarkable oxidation in which the primary amine is protected by protonation with TFA, the reaction succeeds in spite of the presence of a primary amine and the tendency of the molecule to suffer an oxidative C-C bond breakage.




3.3.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oxidations with IBX

IBX possesses a great selectivity for the reaction with alcohols and the interaction with other functional groups normally demands more severe experimental conditions. According to Santagostino *et al.*,⁸³ phenols and anilines react with IBX producing complex and dark colored reaction mixtures. Nevertheless, it is possible to selectively oxidize alcohols in the presence of certain phenols that are not very electron rich.^{88b}



On the other hand, IBX transforms very efficiently *o*-methoxyphenols^{88b} and simple phenols⁹⁶ into *o*-quinones. Tertiary amines resist IBX oxidations, while primary and secondary ones are unreactive to IBX when protected by protonation.⁸³ IBX is one of the few known oxidants able to perform alcohol oxidations in the presence of primary aliphatic amines. Amides are normally unreactive to IBX, whereas, *N*-acylanilines and *N*-alkoxycarbonylanilines possessing a free N-H interact with IBX via a single electron transfer to the oxidant, yielding radical-cations that participate in synthetically useful radical cyclizations.⁹⁷ These IBX oxidations involving a SET mechanism demand very exacting experimental conditions, which very often involve heating. Therefore, under proper experimental conditions, it is often possible to oxidize alcohols in the presence of *N*-acyl and *N*-acylcarbonylamines.⁹⁸

IBX is able to transform tosylhydrazones and oximes into carbonyl compounds under very mild conditions.⁹⁹ It is possible to selectively oxidize alcohols with IBX in the presence of sulfides.^{83,100} In fact, IBX has a lesser tendency to oxidize sulfides than Dess-Martin periodinane and in some sulfur-containing substrates it can be the oxidant of choice.³⁶




Alcohols can be selectively oxidized in the presence of dithioacetals derived from unconjugated ketones.^{83,101} On the other hand, the thioacetals at benzylic and allylic positions can be hydrolyzed under very mild conditions with IBX in DMSO in the presence of *traces of water*.¹⁰²

DMSO reacts slowly with IBX at room temperature, resulting in its oxidation to dimethyl sulfone and reduction of IBX to IBA and *o*-iodobenzoic acid.⁸³ This reaction normally does not interfere with the oxidation of alcohols in DMSO because it is rather slow.

Heating IBX with aldehydes and ketones, results in the introduction of conjugated alkenes in a highly efficient way.¹⁰³ This reaction, similar to the reaction of IBX with *N*-acyl and *N*-alkoxycarbonylanilines, usually operates under different experimental conditions than the oxidation of alcohols;

therefore, it is often possible to adjust the oxidation conditions in a certain substrate so as to perform the desired oxidation.



IBX allows the introduction of carbonyl groups at benzylic positions in a very efficient way, when it is used as a heated solution in fluorobenzene-DMSO (2:1).^{103b,104} This reaction normally does not interfere with the normal oxidation of alcohols because alcohols are oxidized under milder conditions.



In spite of the slightly acidic nature of IBX,⁵ no interference is observed from very acid-sensitive protecting groups, such as TMS ethers¹⁰⁵ or THP ethers.^{99a}

Oxidation-sensitive protecting groups, such as PMB ethers,¹⁰⁶ resist the action of IBX under the experimental conditions used for the oxidation of alcohols.


3.3.3. Reactions Performed *in situ* During Oxidation With IBX

Sometimes, enones—obtained by oxidation of allylic alcohols—suffer Diels-Alder reactions during oxidations with IBX.^{106a}

Oxidations of primary alcohols with IBX can be performed in the presence of stabilized Wittig reagents, so that the resulting aldehydes react *in situ* with the Wittig reagents resulting in highly efficient one-pot transformations. This procedure is particularly advisable whenever highly reactive and unstable intermediate aldehydes are involved.¹⁰⁷



Bagley *et al.* performed a number of pyrimidine and pyridine syntheses by condensing an inone—generated *in situ* by oxidation of a propargylic alcohol with IBX—with amidines and β -aminocrotonate.¹⁰⁸



3.3.4. Side Reactions¹⁰⁹

Sometimes, over-oxidation of benzylic alcohol to benzoic acid is observed with IBX.^{88a} This over-oxidation does not happen in all benzylic alcohols and can be avoided by running the oxidation under anhydrous conditions. In fact, IBX is quite resistant to produce over-oxidation to acids even in the presence of a great excess of water. The water-soluble IBX analogue **46** is able to transform a number of benzylic alcohols into the corresponding benzaldehydes with no over-oxidation to acid, using water as solvent.⁹² When the oxidation of alcohol to acid is purposefully looked after, it can be performed with IBX in DMSO with the addition of certain nucleophilic catalysts, such as 2-hydroxypyridine (HYP) or *N*-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS).¹¹⁰


Section 3.3. References

- 83 Frigerio, M.; Santagostino, M.; Sputore, S.; Palmisano, G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 7272.
- 84 Corey, E. J.; Palani, A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 3485; 7945.
- 85 Bose, D. S.; Srinivas, P.; Gurjar, M. K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 5839.
- 86 (a) Greenbaum, F. R.; *Am. J. Pharm.* **1936**, *108*, 17. (b) Banerjee, A.; Banerjee, G. C.; Bhattacharya, S.; Banerjee, S.; Samaddar, H.; *J. Ind. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *58*, 605. (c) Ireland, R. E.; Liu, L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 2899.
- 87 Frigerio, M.; Santagostino, M.; Sputore, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 4537.
- 88 (a) More, J. D.; Finney, N. S.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 3001. (b) Ozanne, A.; Pouységu, L.; Depernet, D.; François, B.; Quideau, S.; *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 2903.
- 89 Depernet, D.; François, B.; U.S. Patent **2002**, 2002/0107416; *Chem. Abstr.* **2002**, 137, 109123.
- 90 Nicolaou, K. C.; Montagnon, T.; Baran, P. S.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 993.
- 91 De Munari, S.; Frigerio, M.; Santagostino, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 9272.
- 92 Thottumkara, A. P.; Vinod, T. K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 569.
- 93 Mülbaier, M.; Giannis, A.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 4393.
- 94 Sorg, G.; Mengel, A.; Jung, G.; Rademann, J.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *41*, 4395.
- 95 Keltjens, R.; Vadivel, S. K.; de Vroom, E.; Klunder, A. J. H.; Zwanenburg, B.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, 2529.
- 96 Magdziak, D.; Rodríguez, A. A.; Van De Water, R. W.; Pettus, T. R. R.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 285.
- 97 (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Baran, P. S.; Kranich, R.; Zhong, Y.-L.; Sugita, K.; Zou, N.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 202. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Baran, P. S.; Zhong, Y.-L.; Barluenga, S.; Hunt, K. W.; Kranich, R.; Vega, J. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 2233.
- 98 Nicolaou, K. C.; Montagnon, T.; Baran, P. S.; Zhong, Y.-L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 2245.
- 99 (a) Bose, D. S.; Srinivas, P.; *Synlett* **1998**, *9*, 977. (b) Hughes, C. C.; Trauner, D.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 4556.
- 100 Uk Jeong, J.; Guo, C.; Fuchs, P. L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 2071.
- 101 Nicolaou, K. C.; Li, Y.; Uesaka, N.; Koftis, T. V.; Vyskocil, S.; Ling, T.; Govindasamy, M.; Qian, W.; Bernal, F.; Chen, D. Y.-K.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 3643.
- 102 Wu, Y.; Shen, X.; Huang, J.-H.; Tang, C.-J.; Liu, H.-H.; Hu, Q.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 6443.


- 103 (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Zhong, Y.-L.; Baran, P. S.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 7596. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Montagnon, T.; Baran, P. S.; Zhong, Y.-L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 2245.
- 104 Nicolaou, K. C.; Baran, P. S.; Zhong, Y.-L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 3183.
- 105 Takai, S.; Sawada, N.; Isobe, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 3225.
- 106 (a) Martin, C.; Macintosh, N.; Lamb, N.; Fallis, A. G.; *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 1021. (b) Tietze, L. F.; Völkel, L.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 901.
- 107 (a) Crich, D.; Mo, X.-S.; *Synlett* **1999**, *1*, 67. (b) Maiti, A.; Yadav, J. S.; *Synth. Commun.* **2001**, *31*, 1499.
- 108 Bagley, M. C.; Hughes, D. D.; Sabo, H. M.; Taylor, P. H.; Xiong, X.; *Synlett* **2003**, 1443.
- 109 For an overview of different IBX oxidations, see: Wirth, T.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 2812.
- 110 Mazitschek, R.; Mülbaier, M.; Giannis, A.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 4059.

3.4. Other Hypervalent Iodine Compounds Used for Oxidation of Alcohols


The fluorine-containing hypervalent iodine compound **47**, first described by Dess and Martin,⁵ finds occasional use in the oxidation of alcohols and is described in some substrates as superior than Dess-Martin periodinane.¹¹¹



47




Compound **48** is described as a hypervalent iodine compound possessing the distinctive advantages of being air-stable, non-explosive and soluble in common organic solvents.¹¹²



It can be used for the oxidation of alcohols under experimental conditions similar to the ones employed with Dess-Martin periodinane.

Chiral oxidants **49** are Dess-Martin periodinane analogues able to oxidize alcohols, and possessing a limited ability for the enantioselective oxidation of non-symmetric sulfides.¹¹³



R= Me, CH₂CH(Me)₂, *i*-Pr, Bn

Iodosobenzene (PhIO) transforms alcohols into aldehydes and ketones in boiling dioxane in variable yields.¹¹⁴ This oxidation gives more consistent yields in the presence of an ytterbium catalyst—Yb(NO₃)₃—, being particularly efficient in hot 1,2-dichloroethane.¹¹⁵ The oxidation of alcohols with iodosobenzene can also be carried out in the presence of a ruthenium catalyst, such as RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃, resulting in the formation of ketones, aldehydes and carboxylic acids in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature.¹¹⁶ Finally, the use of iodosobenzene with KBr as activator in water solution must be mentioned, resulting in the oxidation of secondary alcohols to ketones and primary alcohols to acids.¹¹⁷

Iodosobenzene diacetate [IBD, PhI(OAc)₂] is able to oxidize benzylic alcohols to benzaldehydes when a solid mixture of iodosobenzene diacetate and the alcohol is irradiated with microwaves. Best results are obtained when iodosobenzene diacetate is supported on alumina.¹¹⁸ The use of polymer supported iodosobenzene diacetate (PSDIB) simplifies the work-up in the oxidation of benzylic alcohols to benzaldehydes.¹¹⁹ PSDIB can be employed in the presence of KBr and using water as solvent, resulting in the transformation of secondary alcohols into ketones and primary alcohols into carboxylic acids.¹¹⁷

Iodoxybenzene (PhIO₂) has been briefly explored in the oxidation of benzylic alcohols to benzaldehydes, giving best results with an acetic acid catalysis.¹²⁰ The guanidinium salt of *m*-iodoxybenzoic acid is soluble in CH₂Cl₂ and able to carry out oxidative breakages of 1,2-diols.¹²⁰


Section 3.4. References

- 111 (a) VanderRoest, J. M.; Grieco, P. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 5841. (b) Grieco, P. A.; Piñeiro-Núñez, M. M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 7606. (c) VanderRoest, J. M.; Grieco, P. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 5316. (d) Parlow, J. J.; Case, B. L.; South, M. S.; *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 6785.
- 112 Stickley, S. H.; Martin, J. C.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 9117.
- 113 Zhdankin, V. V.; Smart, J. T.; Zhao, P.; Kiprof, P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 5299.
- 114 Takaya, T.; Enyo, H.; Imoto, E.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1968**, *41*, 1032.
- 115 Yokoo, T.; Matsumoto, K.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K.; *Chem. Lett.* **1993**, *3*, 571.
- 116 Müller, P.; Godoy, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, *22*, 2361.
- 117 Tohma, H.; Takizawa, S.; Maegawa, T.; Kita, Y.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 1306.
- 118 Varma, R. S.; Dahiya, R.; Saini, R. K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 7029.
- 119 Ley, S. V.; Thomas, A. W.; Finch, H.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1999**, *6*, 669.
- 120 Barton, D. H. R.; Godfrey, C. R. A.; Morzycki, J. W.; Motherwell, W. B.; Stobie, A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, *23*, 957.

Ruthenium-based Oxidations

4.1. Introduction

Interest for ruthenium as an oxidant in Organic Chemistry originated from the supposition that, since ruthenium is below osmium in the Periodic Table, ruthenium tetroxide (RuO_4) would have a behaviour resembling osmium tetroxide (OsO_4), which is very useful in the dihydroxylation of alkenes. In fact, although RuO_4 is also able to produce dihydroxylation of alkenes under very controlled conditions, it is a much stronger oxidant than OsO_4 . In variance with OsO_4 , RuO_4 reacts very violently with common organic solvents, such as benzene, ether or pyridine. RuO_4 must be used in organic solvents refractory to ignition, such as carbon tetrachloride in which it is quite soluble.¹ RuO_4 , although not as expensive and toxic as OsO_4 , is quite costly and normally used in catalytic amounts with sodium metaperiodate as a secondary oxidant.² Because of its very strong oxidizing properties, RuO_4 is used in organic synthesis to perform oxidations for which very few alternative oxidants are available, such as transformation of ethers into esters,³ degradative oxidation of aromatic appendages into carboxylic acids or even introduction of oxygen atoms on unfunctionalized saturated hydrocarbons. Under controlled conditions, RuO_4 can be useful in some selective oxidations in multifunctional compounds, being occasionally used in some transformations, such as the oxidation of primary alcohols into carboxylic acids—the so-called Sharpless carboxylic acid oxidation—and the oxidative breakage of alkenes into ketones and carboxylic acids.⁴ Additionally, RuO_4 is occasionally used in the oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes or ketones,⁵ being particularly useful in the oxidation of highly hindered alcohols that are resistant to reaction using other oxidants.



Ref. 6


This very hindered secondary alcohol—located in a complex molecule—can be efficiently oxidized to the corresponding ketone in a biphasic system, using RuO_4 generated from RuCl_3 and excess of NaIO_4 . An additional oxidation of a cyclic ether to a lactone occurs under the reaction conditions.

4.1.1. Perruthenate and Ruthenate Ions


As expected, ruthenium compounds possessing a lower oxidation state than RuO_4 (8+), behave as milder oxidants. Thus, both the perruthenate— RuO_4^- (7+)—and the ruthenate— RuO_4^{2-} (6+)—ions are milder oxidants than RuO_4 , being able to oxidize alcohols and alkenes but reacting very slowly, if at all, with ethers and benzene rings. The perruthenate ion is unstable in aqueous solution because it produces the oxidation of water. The ruthenate ion suffers dismutation in water, resulting in the generation of perruthenate and ruthenium dioxide.⁷ This dismutation can be avoided under very basic conditions with a pH above 12. Although, both aqueous perruthenate and ruthenate can be used for the oxidation of alcohols, this reaction is very limited because of the instability of these ions in water or the need to operate under very basic conditions in the case of the ruthenate ion.

Polymer supported sodium ruthenate is able to catalyze the oxidation of alcohols with iodosobenzene or tetrabutylammonium periodate in CH_2Cl_2 .⁸ It is not clear whether the primary oxidant is ruthenate or perruthenate.

In fact, equilibria between ruthenium ions in different oxidation states in aqueous solution add complexity to the mechanistic analysis of these oxidations. Thus, Burke and Healy presented mechanistic evidences⁹ suggesting that putative oxidations of alcohols with ruthenate ion are in fact produced by perruthenate originated by dismutation of ruthenate.




The perruthenate ion can be made soluble in organic solvents by using the tetra-*n*-propylammonium contraanion, that is by employing tetra-*n*-propylammonium perruthenate (TPAP) (**50**).



50

Tetra-*n*-propylammonium perruthenate


Griffith, Ley *et al.*¹¹ discovered that, in variance with the instability and complex behaviour of perruthenate and ruthenate ions in aqueous solution, TPAP in organic media is quite stable and behaves as a very good oxidant for alcohols. Normally, it is employed in catalytic quantities in dry CH_2Cl_2 with addition of *N*-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide (NMO) as the secondary oxidant. Catalytic TPAP in the presence of NMO is able to oxidize alcohols to aldehydes and ketones under very mild conditions in substrates adorned by complex functionalities, and it has become one of the routine oxidants for alcohols in most Synthetic Organic Chemistry laboratories.



4.1.2. Ruthenium Compounds in Lower Oxidation State


Many compounds containing ruthenium in lower oxidation states can behave as oxidants for alcohols, usually in catalytic quantities in the presence of a secondary oxidant. This includes simple inorganic ruthenium compounds, such as RuCl_3 ,^{13,17,19g} RuO_2 ¹⁴ and $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$,^{13a,19g,19l,15} as well as ruthenium complexes containing organic ligands, such as $\text{RuCl}_3\text{-Co}(\text{OAc})_2$,¹⁶ $\text{Ru}_3\text{O}(\text{OAc})_7$,¹⁷ *cis*-(NH_3)₄ $\text{Ru}(\text{II})$ -2-acetylpyridine,¹⁸ $\text{RuCl}_2(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2$,^{19l} $\text{RuCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_3$,¹⁹ $[\text{RuCl}(\text{OAc})(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$ -hydroquinone- $[\text{Co}(\text{salophen})(\text{PPh}_3)]$,²⁰ $\text{RuClH}(\text{PPh}_3)_3$,¹⁷ $\text{RuH}_2(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_3$,¹⁹ⁿ RuH_2

$(\text{PPh}_3)_4$,^{21,19n} $\text{RuH}(\text{OAc})(\text{PPh}_3)_3$,^{17,19b} $\text{RuBr}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_3$,^{19b} $\text{Ru}(\text{OCOCF}_3)_2(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$,²² $[\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_6(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{N})_4] \cdot 3.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$,²³ $[\text{Ru}_3\text{O}(\text{O}_2\text{CR})_6\text{L}_3]^n$ (R= Me or Et; L = H_2O or PPh_3 ; n = 0, 1),²⁴ ruthenocene,¹⁹¹ (η^4 -tetracyclone) $\text{RuH}_2(\text{CO})_2$ ²⁵ and compound **51**.^{19o,p}



51

Although some of these oxidants are very efficient in the oxidation of alcohols, its employment is seriously limited because of the high price of ruthenium compounds. That is why, a great research effort is being dedicated to the development of oxidizing systems containing a low-valence ruthenium compound in catalytic amounts and a cheap and environmentally friendly secondary oxidant, such as oxygen, hydrogen peroxide, bleach, NMO, iodosobenzene, phenyliodosodiacetate or trimethyl peroxide. Although, at the time of this writing, none of the oxidizing methods involving low-valence catalytic ruthenium compounds has found a widespread use in Synthetic Organic Chemistry, this field is advancing very quickly and could lead in the near future to the discovery of an environmentally benign and very convenient method for the oxidation of alcohols both in the laboratory and on an industrial scale. It is not unconceivable that a certain stable low-valence ruthenium complex could catalyze with a high turnover the selective oxidation of complex alcohols in a solution in the open air. In this way, atmospheric oxygen could be the secondary oxidant in a very cheap and clean procedure, in which water would be delivered.



Section 4.1. References

- 1 Djerassi, C.; Engle, R. R.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, *75*, 3838.
- 2 (a) Oberender, F. G.; Dixon, J. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1959**, *24*, 1226. (b) Nakata, H.; *Tetrahedron* **1963**, *19*, 1959.
- 3 (a) Berkowitz, L. M.; Rylander, P. N.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, *80*, 6682. (b) Beynon, P. J.; Collins, P. M.; Overend, W. G.; *Proc. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, 342.
- 4 (a) i) Berkowitz, L. M.; Rylander, P. N.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, *80*, 6682. ii) Beynon, P. J.; Collins, P. M.; Overend, W. G.; *Proc. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, 342. (b) Caputo, J. A.; Fuchs, R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1967**, 4729.
- 5 (a) i) Berkowitz, L. M.; Rylander, P. N.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, *80*, 6682. ii) Beynon, P. J.; Collins, P. M.; Overend, W. G.; *Proc. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, 342. (b) Nakata, H.; *Tetrahedron* **1963**, *19*, 1959. (c) Beynon, P. J.; Collins, P. M.; Overend, W. G.; *Proc. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, 342. (d) Parikh, V. M.; Jones, J. K. N.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1965**, *43*, 3452. (e) Beynon, P. J.; Collins, P. M.; Doganges, P. T.; Overend, W. G.; *J. Chem. Soc. (C)* **1966**, 1131.
- 6 Wakamatsu, K.; Kigoshi, H.; Niiyama, K.; Niwa, H.; Yamada, K.; *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 5551.
- 7 Connick, R. E.; Hurley, C. R.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, *74*, 5012.
- 8 Friedrich, H. B.; Singh, N.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 3971.
- 9 Burke, L. D.; Healy, J. F.; *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1982**, 1091.
- 10 Lee, D. G.; Hall, D. T.; Cleland, J. H.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1972**, *50*, 3741.
- 11 Griffith, W. P.; Ley, S. V.; Whitcombe, G. P.; White, A. D.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1987**, 1625.
- 12 Burke, S. D.; Woon Jung, K.; Lambert, W. T.; Phillips, J. R.; Klovning, J. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 4070.
- 13 (a) Kanemoto, S.; Tomioka, H.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1986**, *59*, 105. (b) Genet, J. P.; Pons, D.; Jugé, S.; *Synth. Commun.* **1989**, *19*, 1721. (c) Tang, R.; Diamond, S. E.; Neary, N.; Mares, F.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1978**, 562. (d) Nath, N.; Singh, L. P.; Singh, R. P.; *J. Ind. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *58*, 1204. (e) Okamoto, T.; Sasaki, K.; Oka, S.; *Chem. Lett.* **1984**, 1247. (f) Yamamoto, Y.; Suzuki, H.; Moro-oka, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 2107.
- 14 (a) Matsumoto, M.; Watanabe, N.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1984**, *49*, 3435. (b) Matsumoto, M.; Watanabe, N.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1984**, *49*, 3435.
- 15 Shvo, Y.; Blum, Y.; Reshef, D.; Menzin, M.; *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1982**, *226*, C21.
- 16 Hirai, N.; Murahashi, S.-I.; Naota, T.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 7318.
- 17 Sasson, Y.; Rempel, G. L.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1974**, *52*, 3825.
- 18 Tovrog, B. S.; Diamond, S. E.; Mares, F.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 5067.
- 19 (a) Dijkman, A.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Sheldon, R. A.; *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 1591. (b) Ito, S.; Matsumoto, M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1981**, 907. (c) Tomioka, H.; Takai, K.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, *22*, 1605. (d) Pri-Bar, I.; Buchman, O.; Schumann, H.; Kroth, H. J.; Blum, J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, *45*, 4418. (e) Dijkman, A.; Marino-Gonzales, A.; Mairata i Payeras, A.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Sheldon, R. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 6826. (f) Kanemoto, S.; Oshima, K.; Matsubara, S.; Takai, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 2185. (g) Sharpless, K. B.; Akashi, K.; Oshima, K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**, 2503. (h) Regen, S. L.; Whitesides, G. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1972**, *37*, 1832. (i) Sasson, Y.; Blum, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1971**, 2167. (j) Speier, G.; Markó, L.; *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1981**, *210*, 253. (k) Sasson, Y.; Blum, J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, *40*, 1887. (l) Müller, P.; Godoy, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, *22*, 2361. (m) Sasson, Y.; Rempel, G. L.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1974**, *52*, 3825. (n) Imai, H.; Nishiguchi, T.; Fukuzumi, K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1976**, *41*, 665. (o) Almeida, M. L. S.; Beller, M.; Wang, G.-Z.; Bäckvall, J.-E.; *Chem. Eur. J.* **1996**, *2*, 1533. (p) Almeida, M. L. S.; Beller, M.; Kocovský, P.; Bäckvall, J.-E.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 6587.

- 20 Backvall, J.-E.; Chowdhury, R. L.; Karlsson, U.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1991**, 473.
- 21 (a) Murahashi, S.-I.; Naota, T.; Ito, K.; Maeda, Y.; Taki, H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, 52, 4319. (b) Murahashi, S.-I.; Ito, K.; Naota, T.; Maeda, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, 22, 5327.
- 22 (a) Dobson, A.; Robinson, S. D.; *Inorg. Chem.* **1977**, 16, 137. (b) Dobson, A.; Robinson, S. D.; *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1975**, 87, C52.
- 23 Dengel, A. C.; El-Hendawy, A. M.; Griffith, W. P.; O'Mahoney, C. A.; Williams, D. J.; *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1990**, 737.
- 24 Bilgrien, C.; Davies, S.; Drago, R. S.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, 109, 3786.
- 25 Blum, Y.; Shvo, Y.; *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1985**, 282, C7.
- 26 Matsumoto, M.; Ito, S.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1981**, 907.

4.2. Ruthenium Tetroxide




RuO_4 is a poisonous²⁷ and volatile solid (m.p. 25°C) with a high solubility in apolar organic solvents.¹ In a biphasic water-carbon tetrachloride system, RuO_4 partitions between both phases resulting in a 59 times higher concentration in the CCl_4 phase.²⁸ RuO_4 is a very strong oxidant that reacts very violently with flammable organic solvents, consequently it must be used in highly halogenated organic solvents such as CCl_4 , CHCl_3 or CH_2Cl_2 .

However, some flammable solvents such as cyclohexane may be suitable for some operations involving catalytic RuO_4 .²⁹

In 1958, Berkowitz and Rylander³ described that stoichiometric RuO_4 reacts very quickly with alcohols resulting in the oxidation of secondary alcohols—including very hindered ones—to ketones and primary alcohols to carboxylic acids. A solution of RuO_4 in CCl_4 can be easily prepared by reacting an aqueous solution of sodium metaperiodate (NaIO_4) with hydrated ruthenium dioxide (RuO_2) and extracting the aqueous phase with CCl_4 . The concentration of RuO_4 in CCl_4 is easily determined by adding isopropanol and weighing the resulting black precipitate of RuO_2 .^{2b} As RuO_4 is volatile and poisonous, this material is very conveniently manipulated as a solution in CCl_4 , which is stable for more than one year.¹

Stoichiometric RuO_4 dissolved in CCl_4 is a neutral and extremely efficient reagent for the oxidation of hindered secondary alcohols. The reaction takes place in a matter of minutes at room temperature and is easily monitored by the appearance of a black insoluble precipitate of RuO_2 . RuO_4 is seldom employed in stoichiometric amounts in organic synthesis due to its very high price. On the other hand, because of the efficiency of RuO_4 in CCl_4 to carry out the oxidation of hindered secondary alcohols under mild conditions, this reagent may be considered the reagent of choice for the oxidation of *valuable* hindered secondary alcohols.



In 1963, Nakata^{2b} described the catalytic oxidation of alcohols with RuO_4 , involving a biphasic water- CCl_4 system in the presence of excess of NaIO_4 and 1–10 mol% of RuO_4 . This procedure, although sometimes not as efficient as the use of stoichiometric RuO_4 ,^{5c} offers the advantage of economy and safety due to the catalytic employment of expensive and poisonous RuO_4 , and is the preferred method of oxidation of alcohols using RuO_4 .


In fact, the description by Nakata of the use of catalytic RuO_4 in the oxidation of alcohols is predated by an article by Pappo and Becker^{30b} in 1956, that is seldom cited because it was published in a journal of limited distribution.

Although NaIO_4 or KIO_4 are the secondary oxidants used in the vast majority of cases in which alcohols are oxidized with catalytic RuO_4 , the employment of sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl),³¹ sodium bromate (NaBrO_3)³² or Cl^+ , electrolytically generated by oxidation of chloride ion,³³ have also been reported.


In 1965, Parikh and Jones³⁴ published a modification of Nakata's procedure in which RuO_4 —rather than being independently prepared—is generated *in situ* by oxidation with excess of NaIO_4 of catalytic hydrated RuO_2 , which is commercially available and much safer than RuO_4 . Lawton *et al.*³⁵ in 1969 introduced some slight modifications on this procedure, whereby a CHCl_3 - H_2O biphasic system is used with KIO_4 as secondary oxidant and K_2CO_3 being added to adjust the pH.

In 1981, Sharpless *et al.*³⁶ mentioned the advantage of adding some acetonitrile to oxidations involving catalytic RuO_4 . Apparently, in oxidations in which some carboxylic acid is present from the outset or is generated in some amount, however small, the formation of ruthenium carboxylates inactivates the oxidation capability of catalytic ruthenium. Acetonitrile displaces the carboxylates as ruthenium ligands and, therefore, prevents the inactivation of the catalyst. Optimum results are obtained employing CCl_4 - MeCN - H_2O in a solvent ratio of (2:2:3). Sharpless reports the use of hydrated ruthenium trichloride as the precursor of RuO_4 , although hydrated RuO_2 is mentioned as equally effective.

Morris Jr. and Kiely³⁷ in 1987 noted a great acceleration in the oxidation of alcohols, with catalytic RuO_4 in a biphasic system, upon addition of 1% molar benzyltriethylammonium chloride (BTEAC) as a phase-transfer catalyst.



One molecule of RuO_4 is able to oxidize two molecules of a secondary alcohol to the corresponding ketone, while RuO_4 is transformed into RuO_2 . Mechanistic evidences show that the rate determining step involves a hydride transfer from the alcohol to the oxidant as in the following Equation.³⁹



4.2.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Secondary Alcohols with Stoichiometric RuO_4


A solution^a of 3.2 g of sodium metaperiodate (NaIO_4 , MW = 213.89) in 50 mL of water, kept over an ice-water bath, is added over a suspension of 0.4 g of hydrated ruthenium dioxide^b (RuO_2) in CCl_4 . The resulting mixture is vigorously stirred at 0°C till the black suspension of RuO_2 disappears and a bright yellow solution of RuO_4 in CCl_4 is formed. The CCl_4 solution is separated and shaken with a fresh sodium metaperiodate solution (1.0 g/50 mL) till the yellow color of the CCl_4 phase persists. The resulting solution of RuO_4 in CCl_4 —that will possess a ca. 0.037 M concentration—is separated and dried (MgSO_4), and can be stored for more than one year at low temperature in the presence of some crystals of sodium metaperiodate.

The concentration of RuO_4 in CCl_4 can be estimated from the amount of RuO_2 formed when 0.5 mL of propan-2-ol are added to 2.0 mL of a ruthenium tetroxide solution. The precipitate of black RuO_2 must be separated, washed with CCl_4 and water, and thoroughly dried by heating under vacuum.


A solution of ca. 0.5 to 0.7 equivalents of RuO_4 ^c in CCl_4 —prepared as above—is dropped over a ca. 0.2–1.5 M stirred solution of the alcohol in CCl_4 ^d kept at room temperature.^e When most of the alcohol is consumed,^f excess of propan-2-ol is added to destroy the remaining RuO_4 . The

black precipitate of RuO_2 is filtered and washed with an organic solvent^g, such as CCl_4 , CHCl_3 or acetone. The collected organic phases are concentrated, giving a residue of ketone that may need further purification.

- ^a Due to the toxicity and volatility of RuO_4 , all the operations must be carried out in a well-ventilated hood using rubber gloves to prevent skin contact.
- ^b Hydrated RuO_2 from different vendors contain diverse proportions of water. RuO_2 with a high water content possesses a maximum reactivity and is consumed in less than 1 h. The efficient generation of RuO_4 may fail if RuO_2 with a low water content is employed. Hydrated RuO_2 (54%) from Engelhard Corporation (www.engelhard.com) is reported to be very efficient in the generation of RuO_4 (see reference 40).
- ^c One mol of RuO_4 is able to oxidize 2 moles of secondary alcohol.
- ^d The reaction can also be carried out in CHCl_3 , CH_2Cl_2 or Freon 11 (CCl_3F). The solvent must be free from oxidizable material. For instance, ethanol-free CHCl_3 must be used.
- ^e Due to the toxicity and volatility of RuO_4 , it is not recommended to heat above room temperature. Sometimes, it is advisable to cool the solution of the alcohol at 0°C or at a lower temperature for milder reaction conditions.
- ^f It takes approximately from 2 min to 12 h. The beginning of the reaction is signalled by the appearance of a black precipitate of RuO_2 . The consumption of RuO_4 is indicated by the disappearance of a bright yellow color.
- ^g Some organic compounds may remain adsorbed on RuO_2 . Sometimes, it may be necessary to perform a continuous extraction of the RuO_2 with a hot organic solvent in order to recover most of the product.



After trying many oxidizing conditions, this labile polyketide lactone could be obtained by the employment of RuO_4 that was able to perform the oxidation of four alcohols, including the one on C-11 that was resistant to oxidation with chromic acid.



A RuO_4 oxidation affords the desired ketone, while $\text{CrO}_3 \cdot \text{Py}$ delivers an enone resulting from elimination of methanol from an intermediate ketone.

4.2.2. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols with Catalytic RuO₄

Between 0.02 and 0.25 equivalents^a of either hydrated RuO₂^b or hydrated RuCl₃ are added to a biphasic system consisting in a ca. 0.2–0.7 M solution of 1 equivalent of the secondary alcohol in CCl₄ or CHCl₃,^c and a ca. 0.4–1.7 M solution of 0.58 to 5 equivalents^d of either NaIO₄ or KIO₄ in water.^{e, f} Optionally, ca. 0.2–0.4 eq. of K₂CO₃ may be added to adjust the pH.^g Optionally, ca. 0.05 to 0.2 eq. of PhCH₂Et₃NCl (BTEAC) can be added as an accelerating phase-transfer catalyst.

The resulting mixture is vigorously stirred.^h When most of the starting alcohol is consumed,ⁱ very often the reaction is quenched by the addition of excess of propan-2-ol—or more rarely an aqueous solution of Na₂S₂O₃—and the reaction mixture is filtered through a pad of Celite[®]. The organic phase is separated—optionally washed with aqueous NaHCO₃ and brine—, dried (Na₂SO₄ or MgSO₄) and concentrated, giving a residue that may need purification.

^a In the oxidation of highly hindered secondary alcohols, sometimes it may be necessary to increase the quantity of RuO₂ or RuCl₃ to a value as high as 70 equivalents, in which case the reaction fails to be catalytic in ruthenium.

^b Normally, the RuO₂ is very quickly transformed into RuO₄ by the action of metaperiodate, as signalled by the disappearance of the black precipitate of RuO₂. RuO₂ containing a small proportion of hydrated water may react very slowly (see note b in the experimental description using stoichiometric RuO₄).

^c Other halogenated solvents resistant to oxidation, such as CH₂Cl₂ or Freon 11 (CCl₃F), can also be employed.

^d When a highly hindered secondary alcohol resistant to oxidation demands the use of an excess of RuO₂ or RuCl₃, the secondary oxidant—NaIO₄ or KIO₄—must be employed in a great excess, which may be as high as 170 equivalents.




^e When carboxylic acids are present in the reaction, either as starting compound or being generated during the reaction, even in very small amounts, the ruthenium catalyst may be deactivated due to the formation of ruthenium carboxylates. This can be avoided by the addition of acetonitrile that efficiently competes with carboxylates as a ligand for ruthenium. In such cases, best results are obtained using CCl₄-MeCN-H₂O in a (2:2:3) ratio.

^f In fact, no water is needed in this oxidation, being metaperiodate suspended in an organic solvent able to generate RuO₄ (see Ref. 42). When this scarcely employed experimental variant is used, it is possible to oxidize primary alcohols to aldehydes with no over-oxidation to carboxylic acids.

^g The pH can also be adjusted with a phosphate buffer.

^h Normally, the reaction is performed at room temperature, although occasionally it is done over an ice-water bath for milder conditions.

ⁱ It usually takes between 1 h and 2.5 days.



4.2.3. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Ruthenium Tetroxide



RuO_4 is a very reactive reagent that is employed not only for the oxidation of secondary alcohols to ketones and primary alcohols to carboxylic acids,³⁶ but also to perform the following transformations:

- Oxidation of alkenes and alkynes—sometimes with oxidative breakage of the carbon-carbon multiple bond—affording 1,2-diols,^{46,47} α -hydroxyketones,⁴⁶ diketones,⁴⁶ aldehydes,^{3a,46} ketones⁴⁶ or carboxylic acids^{31,44,46,29}

- Degradative oxidation of aromatic rings into carboxylic acids^{4b} and oxidation of aromatic compounds to quinones^{2a}
- Oxidation of ethers to esters^{3a,6}
- Introduction of hydroxy groups on unfunctionalized alkanes⁴⁸
- Oxidation of sulfides into sulfoxides¹ and sulfones¹
- Oxidation of aldehydes to acids^{3a}
- Transformation of oximes into ketones⁴⁹


Additionally, it must be mentioned that RuO₄ degrades amines^{3a} and can transform amides into imides.^{3a}

The high reactivity of RuO₄ against many functionalities, might lead to think that RuO₄ is an inefficient oxidant for secondary alcohols in multifunctional compounds. In fact, the oxidation of alcohols is particularly rapid, so that a selective oxidation of secondary alcohols with RuO₄ in the presence of unreactive esters and lactones,⁵⁰ carbonates,⁶ carbamates,⁴³ amides,^{52h,j,54} ketones,^{2b, 44} phenyl rings,^{5c,d,e, 50g,51} furan rings,⁴² acetals,⁵² carboxylic acids,^{34b} cyanides,⁴² cyclopropanes,^{52e} epoxides,⁶ glycosides,^{52h,j,53,54,45} trityl ethers,^{52e,37} benzylic ethers^{37,53} and TBS ethers,^{52h,j,54} is possible.



Although lactones normally resist the action of RuO₄, it is possible to perform an *in situ* hydrolysis of the lactone with one equivalent of base, followed by oxidation of the resulting hydroxyacid to a ketoacid.^{34b} This procedure works efficiently in the oxidation of hydroxyacids, including those

that are very difficult to isolate because of its propensity to cyclize to a stable lactone.




Section 4.2. References


- 27 Crisp, R. I.; Hussey, C. L.; Seddon, K. R.; *Polyhedron* **1995**, *14*, 2819.
- 28 Martin, F. S.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, 3055.
- 29 Griffith, W. P.; Kwong, E.; *Synth. Commun.* **2003**, *33*, 2945.
- 30 (a) Denmark, S. E.; Cramer, C. J.; Sternberg, J. A.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1986**, *69*, 1971.
(b) Pappo, R.; Becker, A.; *Bull. Res. Council Isra.* **1956**, *5A*, 300.
- 31 Wolfe, S.; Hasan, S. K.; Campbell, J. R.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1970**, 1420.
- 32 Giddings, S.; Mills, A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 1103.
- 33 (a) Bird, C. W.; Wee, A. G. H.; *Tetrahedron* **1985**, *41*, 2019. (b) Torii, S.; Inokuchi, T.; Sugiura, T.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 155. (c) Rajendran, S.; Chandra Trivedi, D.; *Synthesis* **1995**, 153.
- 34 (a) Parikh, V. M.; Jones, J. K. N.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1965**, *43*, 3452. (b) Moriarty, R. M.; Gopal, H.; Adams, T.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1970**, 4003.
- 35 Lawton, B. T.; Szarek, W. A.; Jones, J. K. N.; *Carbohydr. Res.* **1969**, *10*, 456.
- 36 Carlson, P. H. J.; Katsuki, T.; Martin, V. S.; Sharpless, K. B.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1981**, *46*, 3936.
- 37 Morris Jr., P. E.; Kiely, D. E.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 1149.
- 38 Michael, J. P.; Maqutu, T. L.; Howard, A. S.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1989**, 2389.
- 39 (a) Lee, D. G.; Van den Engh, M.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1972**, *50*, 2000. (b) Lee, D. G.; Spitzer, U. A.; Cleland, J.; Olson, M. E.; *ibid* **1976**, *54*, 2124.
- 40 (a) Parikh, V. M.; Jones, J. K. N.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1965**, *43*, 3452. (b) Lee, D. G.; Van den Engh, M.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1972**, *50*, 2000.
- 41 Tatsuta, K.; Kobayashi, Y.; Akimoto, K.; Kinoshita, M.; *Chem. Lett.* **1987**, *1*, 187.
- 42 Floyd, A. J.; Kinsman, R. G.; Roshan-Ali, Y.; Brown, D. W.; *Tetrahedron* **1983**, *39*, 3881.
- 43 Dormoy, J.-R.; Castro, B.; *Synthesis* **1986**, 81.
- 44 Almansa, C.; Carceller, E.; Moyano, A.; Serratosa, F.; *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 3637.
- 45 Mio, S.; Kumagawa, Y.; Sugai, S.; *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 2133.
- 46 Albarella, L.; Piccialli, V.; Smaldone, D.; Sica, D.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1996**, *9*, 400.
- 47 Plietker, B.; Niggemann, M.; *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 3353.
- 48 Scinski, R. R.; DeLuca, H. F.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1995**, *5*, 159.
- 49 (a) Denmark, S. E.; Cramer, C. J.; Sternberg, J. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1970**, 4003. (b) Denmark, S. E.; Cramer, C. J.; Sternberg, J. A.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1986**, *69*, 1971.
- 50 (a) Nakata, H.; *Tetrahedron* **1963**, *19*, 1959. (b) Parikh, V. M.; Jones, J. K. N.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1965**, *43*, 3452. (c) Beynon, P. J.; Collins, P. M.; Doganges, P. T.; Overend, W. G.; *J. Chem.*

- Soc. (C)* **1966**, 1131. (d) Ramey, K. C.; Lini, D. C.; Moriarty, R. M.; Gopal, H.; Welsh, H. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 2401. (e) Caputo, J. A.; Fuchs, R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1967**, 4729. (f) Moriarty, R. M.; Gopal, H.; Adams, T.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1970**, 4003. (g) Collins, P. M.; Gardiner, D.; Kumar, S.; Overend, W. G.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1972**, 2596. (h) Brimacombe, J. S.; Da'aboul, I.; Tucker, L. C. N.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1975**, 979. (i) Dormoy, J.-R.; Castro, B.; *Synthesis* **1986**, 81. (j) Zbiral, E.; Phadtare, S.; Schmid, W.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1987**, *1*, 39. (k) Tatsuta, K.; Kobayashi, Y.; Akimoto, K.; Kinoshita, M.; *Chem. Lett.* **1987**, *1*, 187. (l) Salunkhe, M.; Hartmann, M.; Schmid, W.; Zbiral, E.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1988**, *2*, 187. (m) Hartmann, M.; Christian, R.; Zbiral, E.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1990**, 83.
- 51 Meyers, A. I.; Higashiyama, K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 4592.
- 52 (a) Beynon, P. J.; Collins, P. M.; Overend, W. G.; *Proc. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, 342. (b) Parikh, V. M.; Jones, J. K. N.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1965**, *43*, 3452. (c) Beynon, P. J.; Collins, P. M.; Doganges, P. T.; Overend, W. G.; *J. Chem. Soc. (C)* **1966**, 1131. (d) Collins, P. M.; Gardiner, D.; Kumar, S.; Overend, W. G.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1972**, 2596. (e) Fraser-Reid, B.; Carthy, B. J.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1972**, *50*, 2928. (f) Brimacombe, J. S.; Da'aboul, I.; Tucker, L. C. N.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1975**, 979. (g) Morris Jr., P. E.; Kiely, D. E.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 1149. (h) Zbiral, E.; Phadtare, S.; Schmid, W.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1987**, *1*, 39. (i) Gill, G. B.; Pattenden, G.; Stapleton, A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 2875. (j) Hartmann, M.; Christian, R.; Zbiral, E.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1990**, 83. (k) Mio, S.; Kumagawa, Y.; Sugai, S.; *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 2133.
- 53 Gill, G. B.; Pattenden, G.; Stapleton, A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 2875.
- 54 Salunkhe, M.; Hartmann, M.; Schmid, W.; Zbiral, E.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1988**, *2*, 187.


4.3. Tetra-*n*-Propylammonium Perruthenate (TPAP) (Ley Oxidation)



As expected, inorganic perruthenates, like sodium perruthenate (NaRuO_4) or potassium perruthenate (KRuO_4), are soluble in water and insoluble in apolar organic solvents. On the other hand, the perruthenate ion (RuO_4^-) is unstable in aqueous solution because it produces the oxidation of water according to the following Equation.⁵⁵



The resulting ruthenate ion (RuO_4^{2-}) is stable under strongly aqueous basic conditions. Otherwise, it decomposes according to the next Equation below^{7,56} resulting in a dismutation to perruthenate ion (RuO_4^-) and hydrated RuO_2 that appears as a black insoluble precipitate.



It is possible to oxidize alcohols using the perruthenate⁵⁷ or the ruthenate^{10,58} ion in aqueous solution, but because of the instability of these ions in water, the identification of the genuine oxidant is open to discussion.⁵⁹

A milestone in the routine employment of perruthenate in the oxidation of alcohols was established with the publication by Griffith, Ley *et al.* in 1987 on the catalytic use of tetra-*n*-propylammonium perruthenate (TPAP).¹¹ The presence of the tetra-*n*-propylammonium cation renders this compound soluble in apolar media and allows the existence of a high concentration of perruthenate ion in organic solvents. The tetra-*n*-propylammonium perruthenate is easily prepared and can be employed catalytically in CH₂Cl₂ solution in the oxidation of alcohols to ketones and aldehydes, using *N*-methyl morpholine *N*-oxide (NMO) as the secondary oxidant.

Griffith, Ley *et al.* also described the tetra-*n*-butylammonium perruthenate (TBAP); since it is more difficult to prepare, its use is not as convenient as the employment of TPAP.

Oxidations are typically performed at room temperature in CH₂Cl₂, using only 5 mol% of TPAP as being quite expensive, in the presence of ca. 1.5 equivalents of NMO. The addition of molecular sieves is often very beneficial, since they remove both the water formed during the reaction and present in NMO, which normally is hydrated.

TPAP can react very violently with alcohols. For example mixing TPAP with methanol can produce flames.^{69c}

N-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide covalently linked to a polymer can be employed, so that it facilitates the recovery of the secondary oxidant.⁶⁰

The catalytic TPAP used in the reaction is able to perform a limited number of catalytic cycles, since it decomposes as the reaction proceeds. During the oxidation of hindered or valuable alcohols, it may be necessary or advisable to increase the quantity of catalyst, or even to employ it in stoichiometric amounts.⁶¹

Because of the high price of TPAP, research is being made in order to develop new protocols and modified reagents that allow the recovery of perruthenate—present as TPAP or in other compounds—after oxidation of alcohols. Proposed alternatives include employing TPAP in the presence of ionic salts,⁶² on an Amberlist anion exchange resin⁶³ or on a silicate.^{69b,c,d}

Some oxidations performed in CH₂Cl₂ fail to go to complexation. In such cases it may be advisable to add some acetonitrile,^{61b} that is known to complex with ruthenium and avoid inactivation of the metal by union with other ligands.³⁶ In fact, acetonitrile can be used as the sole solvent, although employing CH₂Cl₂ containing 10% of acetonitrile allows a more suitable work-up. Other solvents such as acetone⁶⁴ or THF⁶⁵ have also been used. Although oxidations with TPAP are normally done at room


temperature, sometimes it may be advisable to perform them at 0°C⁶⁶ for greater selectivity.

TPAP oxidation can be accelerated by ultrasounds.⁶⁷

Gaseous oxygen can be employed, instead of NMO, as secondary oxidant in TPAP oxidations. This environment-friendly secondary oxidant, although not used routinely in synthetic organic laboratories, is very attractive for the industrial point of view and is the subject of active research, both in combination with TPAP⁶⁸ and with several forms of supported perruthenate.⁶⁹

Sodium hypochlorite can be used as secondary oxidant in the presence of TPAP but in this case the primary oxidant is reported to be RuO₄, instead of the perruthenate ion.⁷⁰ This oxidizing system is much more energetic than the standard TPAP/NMO system and is able to transform ethers into esters.⁷¹

Lee and Congson^{59e} studied the oxidation of alcohols with *aqueous* perruthenate, proposing the following mechanism:



An initial addition of a ruthenium-oxygen double bond to a α -C—H bond leads to an intermediate containing a carbon-ruthenium bond. This bond suffers a homolytic scission leading to a carbon radical, which is oxidized to a carbocation that provides a carbonyl group by deprotonation.

It is open to speculation whether the same mechanism would apply to the more common oxidations with TPAP, in which the perruthenate ion operates in an apolar environment.

Lee *et al.*⁷² studied the kinetics of the oxidation of alcohols with TPAP in CH₂Cl₂. Although, they did not propose any mechanism, they made the interesting discovery that the reaction behaves in an autocatalytic fashion. Thus, after an initial induction period, there is a great acceleration of the oxidation speed, till a decrease in the concentration of the reactants leads to a slowing up of the oxidation. It is proposed that colloidal RuO₂, formed by the reduction of the perruthenate ion, accelerates the reaction by acting as a catalyst via a mechanism in which some ligands complex with RuO₂. This explains the retardant effect in TPAP oxidations caused by water, which can compete with other ligands for complexation with RuO₂.

An important corollary of these observations is that sudden exotherms can happen during TPAP oxidations, particularly on a multigram scale.

4.3.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols with TPAP

Between 0.02 and 0.15—typically 0.05—equivalents^a of TPAP (MW = 351.43) are slowly^b added to a ca. 0.02–0.3 M solution of the alcohol in CH₂Cl₂,^c containing ca. 0.2–0.7 g of 4 Å molecular sieves^d per mmol of alcohol and ca. 1.1 to 2.5—typically 1.5—equivalents of *N*-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide (NMO, MW = 117.15).^e The resultant mixture is stirred at room temperature^f till most of the alcohol is consumed.^g This is followed by a work-up that can be carried out according to two alternative protocols:

Work-up A:

The reaction mixture is filtered through a pad of Celite[®] or silica gel and the resulting solution is concentrated, providing a residue that may need further purification. When the oxidation is performed in the presence of acetonitrile as solvent, as it tends to wash residual TPAP through the Celite[®] or silica pad, it is advisable to evaporate the solvents and add some CH₂Cl₂ before the filtering.

Work-up B:

The reaction mixture is washed with a saturated Na₂SO₃ aqueous solution, a saturated CuSO₄ aqueous solution and, optionally, with brine. Sometimes, it is advisable to add some organic solvent like CH₂Cl₂ or EtOAc, in order to facilitate the washings. The organic phase is dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated, giving a residue that may need further purification.

^a Less equivalents of TPAP are needed in the oxidation of benzylic or allylic alcohols. Hindered secondary alcohols need a greater quantity of TPAP and, in extreme cases or when dealing with very valuable alcohols, it may be advisable to use a stoichiometric quantity of TPAP. In such cases no NMO needs to be added.

^b The oxidation is catalyzed by a dark material—presumably RuO₂—that is generated by the initial reduction of the perruthenate ion and shows an autocatalytic behaviour with an induction period followed by a very fast oxidation. This may result in a sudden and very vigorous oxidation that may be dangerous, particularly on a multigram scale. Therefore, no substantial quantities of TPAP must be left to accumulate before the formation of the dark material—that catalyzes the reaction—is conspicuous.




^c Sometimes the reaction is retarded by the complexation of certain ligands with the active ruthenium species. This is prevented by the addition of acetonitrile that competes efficiently as a ligand for ruthenium. Acetonitrile can be employed as the sole solvent, although the use of a 10% of acetonitrile in CH₂Cl₂ is equally effective and the corresponding oxidation is easier to elaborate.

^d It is advisable to add molecular sieves as desiccant because water retards the reaction, although it does not stop it. Best results are obtained with finely ground activated 4 Å molecular sieves.

^e NMO is sold in a hydrated form. As water retards the oxidation, it may be advisable to dry the NMO by treating a solution in CH₂Cl₂ with MgSO₄, or by heating the NMO under vacuum during ca. 4 hours at 90°C.

^f Sometimes the reaction is performed at 0°C for milder conditions.

^g It normally takes between 30 min and 12 h.




4.3.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oxidation with TPAP

Due to the neutral and very mild conditions used in TPAP oxidations, virtually all protecting groups remain unaffected, including the very oxidant-sensitive PMB ethers⁷⁷ and *p*-methoxybenzylidene acetals,⁷⁸ and the very acid-sensitive TMS ethers.⁷⁶


Functional groups able to withstand TPAP oxidations include esters, ethers, amides, epoxides, alkynes, urethanes and even alkenes.^{61b} It is quite remarkable that alkenes are resistant to TPAP because they are known to react with *aqueous* perruthenate ions.⁷⁹

There is one report in which 1,4-cyclohexadienes are transformed into cyclohexadienones under the action of TPAP.⁸⁰



It is possible to oxidize alcohols even in the presence of enol ethers,⁸¹ which are compounds possessing electron-rich alkenes with a great oxidation sensitivity.




During the oxidation of homoallylic and homopropargylic alcohols with TPAP, normally no migration of the alkene into conjugation with the carbonyl group occurs,⁸² unless the resulting unconjugated enone has a great tendency to isomerize to a α, β -unsaturated ketone.⁸³ Although, it was stated⁸⁴ that homoallylic alcohols are oxidized with TPAP in a slow and inefficient manner, many successful oxidations of such alcohols with TPAP have been performed.



TPAP oxidizes lactols to lactones.⁸⁵ Treatment of 1,4- and 1,5-diols with TPAP, in which one of the alcohols is a primary one, leads to an intermediate hydroxyaldehyde that normally is transformed into a lactone⁸⁶ via an intermediate lactol. No transformation into lactone occurs when the formation of the intermediate lactol is not permitted by geometric constraints.⁸⁷




Alcohols can be oxidized with TPAP in the presence of tertiary amines.⁸⁸ Secondary amines are transformed into imines under the action of TPAP.⁸⁹ At the time of writing, the scientific literature contains no data regarding the possibility of performing selective oxidation of alcohols in the presence of secondary or primary amines, with the exception of the following example in which a secondary amine is trapped by reaction with an aldehyde, resulting from the selective oxidation of a primary alcohol.⁹⁰




Hydroxylamines are efficiently oxidized to nitrones with TPAP.⁹¹ Although aromatic nitrocompounds resist the action of TPAP,⁹² aliphatic nitrocompounds can suffer oxidation.⁹³

TPAP oxidizes sulfides⁹⁴ to sulfones. There is one published example in which an alcohol is oxidized in the presence of an unreacting ketene dithioacetal.^{81b}




It is possible to oxidize alcohols with TPAP in the presence of free phenols.⁹⁵ Although, there is one instance in which it has been published that, unless a phenol is acetylated, an oxidation with TPAP fails.⁷³ Oxidation-prone heterocycles, such as pyrroles⁹⁶ and indoles,⁹⁷ are not affected by TPAP during the oxidation of alcohols.



Organometallic compounds possessing carbon-tin bonds can resist the action of TPAP during the oxidation of alcohols.⁹⁹


4.3.3. Reactions Performed *in situ* During Oxidation with TPAP

It is possible to perform the oxidation of an alcohol with TPAP and to bring together the resulting reaction mixture with a solution of phosphorane, in order to carry out a one-pot oxidation followed by Wittig reaction.¹⁰⁰ It is important to note that—in variance with similar protocols using other oxidants, like MnO_2 ,¹⁰¹ BaMnO_4 ,¹⁰² Swern,¹⁰³ Dess-Martin periodinane¹⁰⁴ or *o*-iodoxybenzoic acid—¹⁰⁵ this one-pot reaction including TPAP succeeds in the oxidation of non-benzylic alcohols and allows Wittig reactions using non-stabilized ylides employed in a moderate excess.




4.3.4. Side Reactions


Sometimes, TPAP produces the oxidative scission of carbon-carbon bonds in α -hydroxyketones.⁷³




When ultrasounds are applied in order to accelerate the oxidation of homoallylic alcohols with TPAP, over-oxidation to conjugated enediones can occur.^{73,67}




In rare cases, ketones obtained by the oxidation of alcohols with TPAP suffer an *in situ* over-oxidation, resulting in the introduction of an alkene conjugated with the ketone.¹⁰⁶ For example, this happens when thermodynamics are greatly favored by aromatization.



TPAP is able to produce the isomerization of allylic alcohols into saturated ketones and aldehydes.¹⁰⁷ This reaction is not performed under the standard conditions for the oxidation of alcohols, employing NMO as secondary oxidant, and is only efficient under very exacting experimental conditions.



Sometimes, aldehydes obtained by TPAP oxidations suffer *in situ* intramolecular transformations in substrates with a great predisposition to do so. Examples found in the literature include retro-Claisen rearrangements,¹⁰⁸ dipolar additions on enals,^{106a} and attack of malonates¹⁰⁹ and indole rings¹¹ on aldehydes.



Section 4.3 References

- 55 Carrington, A.; Symons, M. C. R.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, *1*, 284.
- 56 Eichner, P.; *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1967**, *6*, 2051.
- 57 (a) Burke, L. D.; Healy, J. F.; *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1982**, 1091. (b) Lee, D. G.; Congson, L. N.; Spitzer, U. A.; Olson, M. E.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1984**, *62*, 1835. (c) Bailey, A. J.; Griffith, W. P.; Mostafa, S. I.; Sherwood, P. A.; *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, *32*, 268.
- 58 Schröder, M.; Griffith, W. P.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1979**, 58.
- 59 (a) Lee, D. G.; Hall, D. T.; Cleland, J. H.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1972**, *50*, 3741. (b) Schröder, M.; Griffith, W. P.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1979**, 58. (c) Burke, L. D.; Healy, J. F.; *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1982**, 1091. (d) Lee, D. G.; Congson, L. N.; Spitzer, U. A.; Olson, M. E.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1984**, *62*, 1835. (e) Lee, D. G.; Congson, L. N.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1990**, *68*, 1774.
- 60 Brown, D. S.; Kerr, W. J.; Lindsay, D. M.; Pike, K. G.; Ratcliffe, P. D.; *Synlett* **2001**, *8*, 1257.
- 61 (a) Ley, S. V.; Madin, A.; Monck, N. J. T.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 7479. (b) Ley, S. V.; Norman, J.; Griffith, W. P.; Marsden, S. P.; *Synthesis* **1994**, 639.
- 62 (a) Ley, S. V.; Ramarao, C.; Smith, M. D.; *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 2278. (b) Farmer, V.; Welton, T.; *Green Chem.* **2002**, *4*, 97.
- 63 (a) Hinzen, B.; Ley, S. V.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1997**, 1907. (b) Hinzen, B.; Lenz, R.; Ley, S. V.; *Synthesis* **1998**, 977.
- 64 (a) Benningshof, J. C. J.; Blaauw, R. H.; van Ginkel, A. E.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T.; Fraanje, J.; Goubitz, K.; Schenk, H.; Hiemstra, H.; *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, *16*, 1465. (b) Brière, J.-F.; Blaauw, R. H.; Benningshof, J. C. J.; van Ginkel, A. E.; van Maarseveen, J. H.; Hiemstra, H.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *12*, 2371.
- 65 Barrero, A. F.; Oltra, J. E.; Barragán, A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 311.
- 66 Armstrong, A.; Ley, S. V.; Madin, A.; Mukherjee, S.; *Synlett* **1990**, *6*, 328.
- 67 Miranda Moreno, M. J. S.; Sá e Melo, M. L.; Campos Neves, A. S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 3201.
- 68 (a) Lenz, R.; Ley, S. V.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1997**, 3291. (b) Markó, I. E.; Giles, P. R.; Tsukazaki, M.; Chellé-Regnaut, I.; Urch, C. J.; Brown, S. M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**,


- 119, 12661. (c) Coleman, K. S.; Lorber, C. Y.; Osborn, J. A.; *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **1998**, *11*, 1673.
- 69 (a) Hinzen, B.; Lenz, R.; Ley, S. V.; *Synthesis* **1998**, 977. (b) Bleloch, A.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Ley, S. V.; Price, A. J.; Shephard, D. S.; Thomas, A. W.; *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 1907. (c) Pagliaro, M.; Ciriminna, R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 4511. (d) Ciriminna, R.; Pagliaro, M.; *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 5067.
- 70 Gonsalvi, L.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Sheldon, R. A.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 1659.
- 71 Gonsalvi, L.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Sheldon, R. A.; *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 3, 202.
- 72 Lee, D. G.; Wang, Z.; Chandler, W. D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 3276.
- 73 Acosta, C. K.; Rao, P. N.; Kim, H. K.; *Steroids* **1993**, *58*, 205.
- 74 Burke, S. D.; Woon Jung, K.; Lambert, W. T.; Phillips, J. R.; Klovning, J. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 4070.
- 75 Fürstner, A.; Krause, H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 8281.
- 76 (a) Yoshimitsu, T.; Yanagiya, M.; Nagaoka, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 7677. (b) Matsuo, G.; Hori, N.; Matsukura, H.; Nakata, T.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 5215.
- 77 See for example: (a) Aiguade, J.; Hao, J.; Forsyth, C. J.; *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 979. (b) Ermolenko, M. S.; Shekharam, T.; Lukacs, G.; Potier, P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 2461. (c) Hale, K. J.; Cai, J.; Manaviazar, S.; Peak, S. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 6965. (d) Paquette, L. A.; Barriault, L.; Pissarnitski, D.; Johnston, J. N.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 619.
- 78 Hoffmann, R. W.; Mas, G.; Brandl, T.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *20*, 3455.
- 79 Lee, D. G.; Chang, V. S.; Helliwell, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1976**, *41*, 3644.
- 80 Fujishima, H.; Takeshita, H.; Suzuki, S.; Toyota, M.; Ihara, M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1999**, *18*, 2609.
- 81 (a) Deagostino, A.; Prandi, C.; Venturello, P.; *Synthesis* **1998**, 8, 1149. (b) Sun, Y.; Moeller, K. D.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 7159.
- 82 See for example: (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Yang, Z.; Ouellette, M.; Shi, G.-Q.; Gärtner, P.; Gunzner, J. L.; Agrios, K. A.; Huber, R.; Chadha, R.; Huang, D. H.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 8105. (b) Collins, S.; Hong, Y.; Taylor, N. J.; *Organometallics* **1990**, *9*, 2695. (c) Paterson, I.; Davies, R. D. M.; Márquez, R.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 603. (d) Krafft, M. E.; Cheung, Y. Y.; Kerrigan, S. A.; Abboud, K. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 839.
- 83 See for example: Marshall, J. A.; Robinson, E. D.; Lebreton, J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 227.
- 84 Acosta, C. K.; Rao, P. N.; Kim, H. K.; *Steroids* **1993**, *58*, 205.
- 85 See for example: (a) Benhaddou, R.; Czernecki, S.; Farid, W.; Ville, G.; Xie, J.; Zegar, A.; *Carbohydr. Res.* **1994**, *260*, 243. (b) Lee, J.; Barchi Jr., J. J.; Márquez, V. E.; *Chem. Lett.* **1995**, *4*, 299. (c) Leroy, B.; Dumeunier, R.; Markó, I. E.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 10215. (d) Armstrong, A.; Critchley, T. J.; Gourdel-Martin, M.-E.; Kelsey, R. D.; Mortlock, A. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 6027.
- 86 (a) Bloch, R.; Brillet, C.; *Synlett* **1991**, *11*, 829. (b) Mehta, G.; Karra, S. R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 3215. (c) Suzuki, K.; Shoji, M.; Kobayashi, E.; Inomata, K.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2001**, *12*, 2789. (d) Dias, L. C.; Ferreira, A. A.; Díaz, G.; *Synlett* **2002**, *11*, 1845. (e) Le Guillou, R.; Fache, F.; Piva, O.; *Compt. Rend. Chim.* **2002**, *5*, 571.
- 87 (a) Hsung, R. P.; Cole, K. P.; Zehnder, L. R.; Wang, J.; Wei, L.-L.; Yang, X.-F.; Coverdale, H. A.; *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 311. (b) Cole, K. P.; Hsung, R. P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 8791. (c) Hitchcock, S. A.; Pattenden, G.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 4843. (d) Springer, D. M.; Sorenson, M. E.; Huang, S.; Connolly, T. P.; Bronson, J. J.; Matson, J. A.; Hanson, R. L.; Brzozowski, D. B.; LaPorte, T. L.; Patel, R. N.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2003**, *13*, 1751.
- 88 (a) Taylor, E. C.; Ahmed, Z.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 5443. (b) Brands, K. M. J.; Kende, A. S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 5887. (c) Ninan, A.; Sainsbury, M.; *Tetrahedron* **1992**, *48*, 6709.

- 89 (a) Goti, A.; Romani, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 6567. (b) Green, M. P.; Prodger, J. C.; Hayes, C. J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 2649. (c) Green, M. P.; Prodger, J. C.; Hayes, C. J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 6609. (d) Kamal, A.; Howard, P. W.; Narayan Reddy, B. S.; Praveen Reddy, B. S.; Thurston, D. E.; *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 3223.
- 90 Itoh, T.; Yamazaki, N.; Kibayashi, C.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2469.
- 91 Goti, A.; De Sarlo, F.; Romani, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 6571.
- 92 (a) Sagnou, M. J.; Howard, P. W.; Gregson, S. J.; Eno-Amooquaye, E.; Burke, P. J.; Thurston, D. E.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2000**, *10*, 2083. (b) Andrus, M. B.; Meredith, E. L.; Soma Sekhar, B. B. V.; *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 259.
- 93 (a) Kálai, T.; Balog, M.; Jekö, J.; Hideg, K.; *Synthesis* **1999**, *6*, 973. (b) Degnan, A. P.; Meyers, A. I.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 3503.
- 94 (a) Guertin, K. R.; Kende, A. S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 5369. (b) Falck, J. R.; Krishna Reddy, Y.; Haines, D. C.; Malla Reddy, K.; Murali Krishna, U.; Graham, S.; Murry, B.; Peterson, J. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 4131.
- 95 See for example: (a) Uchiyama, M.; Kimura, Y.; Ohta, A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 10013. (b) Labrecque, D.; Charron, S.; Rej, R.; Blais, C.; Lamothe, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 2645. (c) Itoh, T.; Yamazaki, N.; Kibayashi, C.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2469.
- 96 (a) Pandey, R. K.; Smith, K. M.; Dougherty, T. J.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1990**, *33*, 2032. (b) Li, G.; Chen, Y.; Missert, J. R.; Rungta, A.; Dougherty, T. J.; Grossman, Z. D.; Pandey, R. K.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1999**, *13*, 1785. (c) Yagai, S.; Miyatake, T.; Shimono, Y.; Tamiaki, H.; *Photochem. Photobiol.* **2001**, *73*, 153.
- 97 Fincham, C. I.; Higginbottom, M.; Hill, D. R.; Horwell, D. C.; O'Toole, J. C.; Ratcliffe, G. S.; Rees, D. C.; Roberts, E.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1992**, *35*, 1472.
- 98 Griffith, W. P.; Ley, S. V.; *Aldrichimica Acta* **1990**, *23*, 13.
- 99 (a) Lautens, M.; Delanghe, P. H. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 2474. (b) Cid, M. B.; Pattenden, G.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 7373.
- 100 MacCoss, R. N.; Balskus, E. P.; Ley, S. V.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 7779.
- 101 Blackburn, L.; Wei, X.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 1337.
- 102 Shuto, S.; Niizuma, S.; Matsuda, A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 4489.
- 103 See for example: (a) Ireland, R. E.; Norbeck, D. W.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 2198. (b) Ireland, R. E.; Wardle, R. B.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 1780. (c) Chandrasekhar, S.; Venkat Reddy, M.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 1111.
- 104 (a) Huang, C. C.; *J. Labeled Compd. Radiopharm.* **1987**, *24*, 675. (b) Barrett, A. G. M.; Hamprecht, D.; Ohkubo, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 9376. (c) Harris, J. M.; O'Doherty, G. A.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 5161. (d) Overman, L. E.; Rosen, M. D.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 4596. (e) Clough, S.; Ragga, H. M. E.; Simpson, T. J.; Willis, C. L.; Whiting, A.; Wrigley, S. K.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **2000**, *15*, 2475.
- 105 Maiti, A.; Yadav, J. S.; *Synth. Commun.* **2001**, *31*, 1499.
- 106 (a) Flessner, T.; Wong, C.-H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 7805. (b) Ooi, H. C.; Marcuccio, S. M.; Jackson, W. R.; O'Keefe, D. F.; *Aust. J. Chem.* **1999**, *52*, 1127.
- 107 Markó, I. E.; Gautier, A.; Tsukazaki, M.; Llobet, A.; Plantalech-Mir, E.; Urch, C. J.; Brown, S. M.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 1960.
- 108 Bockman Jr., R. K.; Shair, M. D.; Vargas, J. R.; Stolz, L. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 1295.
- 109 Humilière, D.; Thorimbert, S.; Malacria, M.; *Synlett* **1998**, *11*, 1255.


Oxidations Mediated by TEMPO and Related Stable Nitroxide Radicals (Anelli Oxidation)

5.1. Introduction


During the 70's, Cella *et al.* treated the hindered secondary amine **52** with *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid, with the intention of transforming it into the nitroxide **53**.¹ Unexpectedly, the oxidation of the amine functionality was accompanied by the transformation of the alcohol moiety into a ketone, resulting in the formation of compound **54**.



As peracids react very sluggishly with alcohols, it was apparent that the presence of a nitroxide was playing an important role in the oxidation of the alcohol into a ketone. This seminal serendipitous observation led to the development of the first description of the oxidation of alcohols mediated by catalytic 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl (TEMPO) (**55**), published almost simultaneously by Cella *et al.*² and Ganem.³ These authors presented two papers with remarkably similar contents, in which alcohols were oxidized by treatment with MCPBA in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature in the presence of a catalytic amount of TEMPO (**55**). In both papers, a plausible mechanism is presented, whereby *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid oxidizes TEMPO (**55**) to an oxoammonium salt **56**. This oxoammonium salt **56**, as detailed in Ganem's paper, can react with the alcohol producing an intermediate **57**, which can deliver a carbonyl compound by a Cope-like elimination.



The resulting hydroxylamine **58** can further react with the oxoammonium salt **56**, resulting in the formation of two equivalents of TEMPO that, therefore, is able to re-enter into a catalytic cycle.



This mechanism is consistent with the ability of stoichiometric oxoammonium salts to oxidize alcohols, a fact that was already published in 1965 by Golubev *et al.*,⁴ and was later confirmed by other researchers.⁵

As soon as, it was learnt that oxoammonium salts, which are unstable compounds, are very efficient in the oxidation of alcohols, and that they can be generated *in situ* by treating catalytic TEMPO, or related compounds, with MCPBA acting as a secondary oxidant, it became apparent that other secondary oxidants would be more practical than MCPBA in Synthetic Organic Chemistry. MCPBA is a very energetic oxidant that reacts with many functionalities including alkenes and ketones.

Nevertheless, Cella *et al.* have proved that employing MCPBA as secondary oxidant in TEMPO-mediated oxidations may have a number of advantages when a one-pot oxidation of an alcohol with a concurrent alkene epoxidation or a Baeyer-Villiger oxidation is desired.⁶ The use of MCPBA as a secondary oxidant in TEMPO-mediated alcohol oxidations was recently reviewed.⁷

Thus, Semmelhack *et al.*⁸ in 1983 published the oxidation of alcohols by an oxoammonium salt, generated by electrooxidation of catalytic TEMPO; and, in 1984, Semmelhack *et al.*⁹ published a similar oxidation of alcohols, in which catalytic TEMPO is oxidized by Cu (II), which itself can be used in catalytic quantities, being generated by the oxidation of catalytic Cu (I) by excess of gaseous oxygen.


Section 5.1. References

- 1 Cella, J. A.; Kelley, J. A.; Kenehan, E. F.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1974**, 943.
- 2 Cella, J. A.; Kelley, J. A.; Kenehan, E. F.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, *40*, 1860.

- 3 Ganem, B.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, *40*, 1998.
 4 Golubev, V. A.; Rozantsev, E. G.; Neiman, M. B.; *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* **1965**, *11*, 1927.
 5 (a) Golubev, V. A.; Borislavskii, V. N.; Aleksandrov, A. L.; *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* **1977**, *9*, 2025. (b) Miyazawa, T.; Endo, T.; Shiihashi, S.; Okawara, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 1332. (c) Miyazawa, T.; Endo, T.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 3930. (d) Ma, Z.; Bobbitt, J. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 6110. For an early review on the chemistry of oxoammonium salts see: (e) Bobbitt, J. M.; Flores, C. L.; *Heterocycles* **1988**, *27*, 509.
 6 Cella, J. A.; McGrath, J. P.; Kelley, J. A.; ElSoukkary, O.; Hilpert, L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 2077.
 7 Rychnovsky, S. D.; Vaidyanathan, R.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 310.
 8 Semmelhack, M. F.; Chou, C. S.; Cortés, D. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 4492.
 9 Semmelhack, M. F.; Schmid, C. R.; Cortés, D. A.; Chou, C. S.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 3374.

5.2. TEMPO-mediated Oxidations

In 1987, Anelli *et al.* published a landmark paper¹⁰ on TEMPO-mediated oxidations, which signalled the beginning of the routine employment of catalytic oxoammonium salts in the oxidation of alcohols. In this paper, a protocol was established, whereby alcohols can be oxidized to aldehydes and ketones in a biphasic CH₂Cl₂-water medium, containing ca. 1% mol of a TEMPO related stable nitroxide radical, excess of bleach (NaOCl), KBr and NaHCO₃. Usually, CH₂Cl₂ is used in the biphasic system. Other organic solvents more rarely employed include THF¹¹ and PhMe-EtOAc.¹² Under these conditions, primary alcohols are transformed in 3 min at 0°C into the corresponding aldehydes, while secondary alcohols are transformed into ketones in 7–10 min.



Anelli's protocol for the TEMPO-mediated oxidation of alcohols

NaHCO₃ must be added in order to achieve a pH of ca. 8.6–9.5 because commercial bleach possesses a very basic pH = 12.7 that greatly retards the reaction.

Sometimes, it is advisable to adjust the pH of the biphasic system at 6.5–7.5 by the addition of 0.1 N HCl, in order to avoid base-induced side reactions.¹³

Potassium bromide produces an accelerating effect that has been attributed to the generation of HOBr, which is a stronger oxidant than HOCl. Interestingly, the oxidation proceeds at a higher speed at 0°C than at room temperature, a fact that can be explained by the instability of the primary oxidant—that is an oxoammonium salt—above 0°C.

Oxoammonium salts react with water resulting in the generation of hydrogen peroxide.¹⁴ This side reaction is minimized at 0°C. A substantial amount of heat is evolved in oxidations following Anelli's protocol; therefore, on multigram scale reactions it may be very difficult to keep a temperature as low as 0°C. In such cases, an efficient oxidation can be achieved at 10–15°C, a temperature in which the decomposition of oxoammonium compounds does not compete substantially with the desired oxidation of alcohols.¹⁵


Under the standard protocol, the over-oxidation of aldehydes into carboxylic acids is very slow.

In fact, TEMPO inhibits the auto-oxidation of aldehydes by molecular oxygen and, therefore, there is no need for an inert atmosphere.²⁸ TEMPO (**55**) was found to be a stronger inhibitor of the over-oxidation to carboxylic acids than the 4-MeO-TEMPO analogue **59**.^{18a}

Anelli's TEMPO-mediated oxidation can be accelerated by the addition of a quaternary ammonium salt, like Aliquat 336, acting as a phase transfer catalyst. This can be advisable in the oxidation of hindered secondary alcohols but can encourage the over-oxidation of primary alcohols to carboxylic acids.¹⁶

Although TEMPO (**55**), which is very easy to prepare¹⁷ and quite cheap—specially considering that it is employed in very small quantities—, is the most commonly used stable nitroxide radical. Other TEMPO related nitroxide radicals, such as 4-MeO-TEMPO¹⁸ (**59**) and 4-AcHN-TEMPO^{5d},¹² (**60**) can also be employed.

Less commonly used TEMPO-related nitroxyl radicals include 4-PhCO₂-TEMPO¹⁹ (**61**), 4-NC-TEMPO²⁰ (**62**), **63**,²⁰ 4-(4-¹BuC₆H₄CO₂)-TEMPO^{19c} (**64**), **65**,^{19c} **66**^{19c} and **66a**.²¹



Additionally, the use of unsymmetrical TEMPO analogues, able to perform enantioselective alcohol oxidations²² and silica-supported TEMPO,²³ must be mentioned.

Apart from sodium hypochlorite, a number of alternative secondary oxidants for TEMPO-mediated alcohol oxidations can be employed. These include cerium (IV) ammonium nitrate (CAN),²⁴ trichloroisocyanuric acid (TCCA),²⁵ oxone[®],²⁶ MCPBA,^{2,3,7} PhI(OAc)₂,²⁷ *N*-chlorosuccinimide,²⁸ sodium bromite,²⁹ electrooxidation,^{8,21} H₅IO₆,²⁶ and a polymer-attached diacetoxybromide (I) complex.³⁰


The aerobic oxidation of alcohols mediated by TEMPO, used in combination with other catalysts, such as CuBr · Me₂S,³¹ RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃³² or the enzyme laccase,³³ must also be mentioned.

One important limitation of TEMPO-mediated oxidations, under Anelli's conditions, originates from competing reactions produced by HOCl, generated *in situ* from NaOCl. This problem can be solved by the use of [bis(acetoxy)iodo]benzene (BAIB) as a secondary oxidant following the protocol of Piancatelli and Margarita²⁷ which has proved to be particularly efficient in difficult substrates,³⁴ and it is a highly recommended alternative to Anelli's procedure when oxidations with oxoammonium salts are desired.

The use of [bis(acetoxy)iodo]benzene as secondary oxidant in TEMPO-mediated oxidations was first reported in 1997 by Piancatelli, Margarita *et al.*²⁷ In the foundational paper, it was stated that the reaction "...can be performed in an open flask without any particular precautions, e.g. inert atmosphere or dry solvents...". In fact, not following these particular precautions could be mandatory, as Mickel *et al.*³⁵ found that, in the oxidation of a difficult substrate on a big scale, results were not reproducible unless 0.1 equivalents of water are added to the reaction mixture. One advantage of the employment of [bis(acetoxy)iodo]benzene is that, iodobenzene, a rather inert side compound, is generated, which needs not be removed before performing many subsequent reactions.

Interestingly, using Anelli's protocol for the oxidation of alcohols allows quite selective oxidation of primary alcohols in the presence of secondary ones, which is effective in both transforming primary alcohols into aldehydes^{36, 37} and having a complete oxidation of primary alcohols into carboxylic acids.³⁸

Stoichiometric oxoammonium salts have proved to be able to selectively oxidize less hindered secondary alcohols in 1,2-diols containing two secondary alcohols.³⁹



Two interesting recent modifications of Anelli's protocol involve the employment of silica-supported TEMPO⁴⁰ and a kind of polymer-immobilized TEMPO (PIPO).⁴¹ PIPO is easily prepared from a cheap polymer called Chimassorb 944 that is used as an antioxidant and light stabilizer for plastics.

5.2.1. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols with TEMPO-NaOCl (Anelli's Protocol)

A two phase system consisting of: a) a ca. 0.2–2.9 M solution of 1 equivalent of the alcohol in CH₂Cl₂, containing ca. 0.2–5% mol—typically 1–2% mol—of TEMPO^a (MW = 156.25),^b and b) a ca. 0.02–2.6 M solution of ca. 0.02–0.5 equivalents—typically 0.1 equivalents—of KBr (MW = 119.01) or NaBr (MW = 102.9) in water, is vigorously stirred over a water-ice bath (0°C) or an ice-salt bath (–10°C).^c Over this two phase system, ca. 1.09–1.4 equivalents of NaOCl in a fresh solution, prepared by adjusting a ca. 5–13% aqueous solution of NaOCl to a pH of 8.6–9.5 by addition of an aqueous solution of NaHCO₃,^d are slowly added.^e When most of the starting compound is consumed,^f the organic phase is separated and the aqueous phase is washed with CH₂Cl₂. The collected organic phases are washed with a sodium thiosulfate aqueous solution and water or brine. Optionally, the collected organic phases may be washed with a solution of ca. 0.2–2.5 equivalents of KI (MW = 166.01) in 10–20% hydrochloric acid, before washing with the sodium thiosulfate solution. Finally, the organic solution is dried (Na₂SO₄ or MgSO₄) and concentrated, giving a residue that may need further purification.

^a Other TEMPO-related nitroxyl radicals, such as 4-MeO-TEMPO, 4-AcO-TEMPO or 4-AcHN-TEMPO, can also be used.



^b Ca. 0.05 equivalents of a phase transfer catalyst, such as Aliquat 336 (tricaprylmethylammonium chloride), can be added in order to accelerate the oxidation. This can promote over-oxidation of aldehydes into carboxylic acids.

^c It is convenient to keep the internal temperature as low as practical because the primary oxidant—consisting of an oxoammonium salt—is decomposed by reaction with water at a higher temperature.

^d Ca. 0.1–0.4 equivalents of NaHCO₃ (MW = 84.01) are needed.

^e The reaction is highly exothermic, therefore the NaOCl solution must be added at such a rate so as to avoid the internal reaction temperature to exceed 10–15°C, a temperature at which the decomposition of the primary oxidant—consisting of an oxoammonium salt—by reaction with water still does not compete substantially with the oxidation of the alcohol. While in oxidations on a very small scale, the NaOCl solution can be added at once, on a multigram scale, it may be necessary to perform the addition during a period in excess of 1 h.

^f The oxidation of primary alcohols to aldehydes is normally complete in ca. 3 min, while the oxidation of secondary alcohols to ketones normally takes 7–10 min. Therefore, a few minutes of stirring—after the addition of NaOCl is finished—normally suffices for a complete oxidation. Nevertheless, it is common to allow the reaction to proceed for as long as 1–1.5 h after the addition of NaOCl. An excessive reaction time can promote the over-oxidation of aldehydes into carboxylic acids.





5.2.2. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols with TEMPO-PhI(OAc)₂ (Protocol of Piancatelli and Margarita)

A ca. 0.04–1 M solution of the alcohol in CH₂Cl₂,^a containing 0.09–0.2 equivalents—typically 0.1 equivalents—of TEMPO (MW = 156.25) and 1.1–5 equivalents—typically 1.1 equivalents—of PhI(OAc)₂ (BAIB, MW = 322.1), is stirred at room temperature till most of the starting alcohol is consumed.^b Then, some CH₂Cl₂ may be optionally added in order to facilitate subsequent washings. The reaction mixture is washed with an aqueous sodium thiosulfate solution. Optionally, the organic phase can be washed with aqueous NaHCO₃ and brine. Finally, the organic solution is dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated, giving a residue that may need further purification.

^a There is no need to employ dry CH₂Cl₂ and the reaction may be run in the open air.

^b It normally takes about 2–12 h.




5.2.3. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oxidations Mediated by TEMPO

TEMPO-mediated oxidations can be performed under almost neutral conditions. Therefore, acid- and base-sensitive functionalities and protecting groups can remain unchanged during TEMPO-mediated oxidations.

Although TEMPO-mediated oxidations under Anelli's protocol are routinely performed at a slightly basic pH of 8.6–9.8,¹⁰ obtained by buffering the bleach solution with NaHCO₃, sometimes, in order to avoid base-induced side reactions, it is advisable to adjust the pH at 6.5–7.5 by adding an acid.¹³ A proper adjustment of the pH for example allows to obtain carbonyl compounds without α -epimerization in difficult substrates in which other common oxidants fail.⁴²

It is important to note that under the slightly basic conditions (pH 8.6–9.8) employed under the standard Anelli's protocol, many base-sensitive functional groups remain unaffected, including the ubiquitous ester groups.⁴⁴ On the other hand, it may be advisable to limit the reaction time in order to minimize the hydrolysis of acetates.¹⁶




Ref. 44e

The benzoate and the lactone are not hydrolyzed under standard Anelli's conditions, regardless of the presence of water under mild basic conditions.

The most serious limitation of TEMPO-mediated oxidations under Anelli's conditions is posed by the presence of HOCl—generated *in situ*—as a secondary oxidant, a quite reactive chemical that adds to olefins and produces electrophilic chlorination in many electron-rich substrates.


Anelli's protocol is not generally compatible with the presence of olefins,¹⁰ although the less reactive olefins conjugated with electron-withdrawing groups, like carbonyls, are not affected,⁴⁵ and occasional examples in which normal olefins remain unchanged during the oxidation of alcohols are found in the literature.¹³



Ref. 13

This is a rare case in which an olefin fails to react with HOCl during the oxidation of an alcohol under Anelli's protocol.


Side reactions caused by the presence of HOCl during Anelli's oxidations can be avoided by using a different secondary oxidant. For instance, the experimental conditions of Piancatelli and Margarita, employing $\text{PhI}(\text{OAc})_2$ as a secondary oxidant, are compatible with the presence of olefins.⁴⁶



Ref. 46a

In variance with Anelli's conditions, TEMPO-mediated-oxidations—under the protocol of Piancatelli and Margarita—are compatible with the presence of olefins.


A literature survey shows a limited number of examples, in which amines remain unchanged⁴⁷ during the oxidation of alcohols with TEMPO. These include one example in which an alcohol is oxidized even in the presence of a more oxidation-prone primary amine.^{44d}



Ref. 44d

This is a rare case in which an alcohol is selectively oxidized in the presence of a primary amine.


Sulfides are transformed very easily into sulfoxides during TEMPO-mediated oxidations. It is even possible to oxidize sulfides without affecting alcohols in the same molecule.⁴⁸



Ref. 48

A sulfide is selectively oxidized under Anelli's conditions with no reaction on the secondary alcohol.

Lactols are easily transformed into lactones in TEMPO-mediated oxidations.⁴⁹ When the oxidation of a diol leads to a hydroxyaldehyde that is able to equilibrate with a hemiacetal, the latter is further oxidized to a lactone.⁵⁰ Interestingly, as TEMPO-mediated oxidations can be very selective in favouring oxidations of less hindered alcohols, lactone formation from diols can be very regioselective.^{50c}




Ref. 50c


There is an initial regioselective oxidation of the primary alcohol into an aldehyde. The aldehyde equilibrates with a lactol that is oxidized to a lactone. Thus, the initial regioselective oxidation of the primary alcohol allows a very selective formation of a lactone in the presence of two unreacting secondary alcohols.

5.2.4. Side Reactions

During the oxidation of primary alcohols with oxoammonium salts, sometimes dimeric esters are formed.^{20a} This can be minimized by increasing the quantity of TEMPO.



1,2-Diols may suffer an oxidative C-C bond breakage under Anelli's oxidation, unless the quantity of NaOCl is carefully controlled.



The HOCl used as secondary oxidant under Anelli's conditions can add to olefins¹⁰ and react as an electrophilic chlorinating agent.

Section 5.2. References

- 10 Anelli, P. L.; Biffi, C.; Montanari, F.; Quici, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 2559.
- 11 (a) Hollinshead, S. P.; Nichols, J. B.; Wilson, J. W.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 6703. (b) Kavarana, M. J.; Trivedi, D.; Cai, M.; Ying, J.; Hammer, M.; Cabello, C.; Grieco, P.; Han, G.; Hrubby, V. J.; *J. Med. Chem.* **2002**, *45*, 2624.
- 12 Kotsovolou, S.; Verger, R.; Kokotos, G.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2625.
- 13 Hilpert, H.; Wirz, B.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 681.
- 14 Endo, T.; Miyazawa, T.; Shiihashi, S.; Okawara, M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 3877.
- 15 Anelli, P. L.; Montanari, F.; Quici, S.; *Org. Synth.* **1990**, *69*, 212.
- 16 Davis, N. J.; Flitsch, S. L.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 1181.


- 17 (a) Mori, H.; Ohara, M.; Kwan, T.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1980**, *28*, 3178. (b) Perrone, R.; Carbonara, G.; Tortorella, V.; *Arch. Pharm.* **1984**, *317*, 635. (c) Fields, J. D.; Kropp, P. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 5937.
- 18 (a) Siedlecka, R.; Skarzewski, J.; Mlochowski, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 2177. (b) Inokuchi, T.; Matsumoto, S.; Torii, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 2416.
- 19 (a) Inokuchi, T.; Matsumoto, S.; Fukushima, M.; Torii, S.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1991**, *64*, 796. (b) Inokuchi, T.; Matsumoto, S.; Nishiyama, T.; Torii, S.; *Synlett* **1990**, *57*. (c) Inokuchi, T.; Matsumoto, S.; Torii, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 2416.
- 20 (a) Inokuchi, T.; Matsumoto, S.; Nishiyama, T.; Torii, S.; *Synlett* **1990**, *57*. (b) Inokuchi, T.; Matsumoto, S.; Torii, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 2416.
- 21 Kashiwagi, Y.; Kurashima, F.; Anzai, J.; Osa, T.; *Heterocycles* **1999**, *51*, 1945.
- 22 (a) Ma, Z.; Huang, Q.; Bobbitt, J. M.; J. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 4837. (b) Kashiwagi, Y.; Yanagisawa, Y.; Kurashima, F.; Anzai, J.; Osa, T.; Bobbitt, J. M.; *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 2745. (c) Rychnovsky, S.D.; McLernon, T.L.; Rajapakse, H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 1194.
- 23 Fey, T.; Fischer, H.; Bachmann, S.; Albert, K.; Bolm, C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 8154.
- 24 Soo Kim, S.; Chul Jung, H.; *Synthesis* **2003**, 2135.
- 25 (a) De Luca, L.; Giacomelli, G.; Porcheddu, A.; *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 3041. (b) De Luca, L.; Giacomelli, G.; Masala, S.; Porcheddu, A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 4999.
- 26 Bolm, C.; Magnus, A. S.; Hildebrand, J. P.; *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 1173.
- 27 De Mico, A.; Margarita, R.; Parlanti, L.; Vescovi, A.; Piancatelli, G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 6974.
- 28 Einhorn, J.; Einhorn, C.; Ratajczak, F.; Pierre, J.-L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 7452.
- 29 Inokuchi, T.; Matsumoto, S.; Nishiyama, T.; Torii, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 462.
- 30 Sourkouni-Argirusi, G.; Kirschning, A.; *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 3781.
- 31 Betzemeier, B.; Cavazzini, M.; Quici, S.; Knochel, P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 4343.
- 32 Dijkman, A.; Marino-Gonzales, A.; Mairata i Payeras, A.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Sheldon, R. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 6826.
- 33 Fabbrini, M.; Galli, C.; Gentili, P.; Macchitella, D.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 7551.
- 34 (a) Paterson, I.; Florence, G. J.; Gerlach, K.; Scott, J. P.; Sereinig, N.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 9535. (b) Lin, S.; Dudley, G. B.; Tan, D. S.; Danishefsky, S. J.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 2188. (c) Chappell, M. D.; Harris, C. R.; Kuduk, S. D.; Balog, A.; Wu, Z.; Zhang, F.; Bom Lee, C.; Stachel, S. J.; Danishefsky, S. J.; Chou, T.-C.; Guan, Y.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 7730. (d) Dondoni, A.; Mariotti, G.; Marra, A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 4475. (e) Pozzi, G.; Quici, S.; Shepperson I; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 6141.
- 35 Mickel, S. J.; Sedelmeier, G. H.; Niederer, D.; Schuerch, F.; Seger, M.; Schreiner, K.; Daeffler, R.; Osmani, A.; Bixel, D.; Loiseleur, O.; Cercus, J.; Stettler, H.; Schaer, K.; Gamboni, R.; Bach, A.; Chen, G.-P.; Chen, W.; Geng, P.; Lee, G. T.; Loeser, E.; McKenna, J.; Kinder Jr., F. R.; Konigsberger, K.; Prasad, K.; Ramsey, T. M.; Reel, N.; Repič, O.; Rogers, L.; Shieh, W.-C.; Wang, R.-M.; Waykole, L.; Xue, S.; Florence, G.; Paterson, I.; *Org. Proc. Res. Develop.* **2004**, *8*, 113.
- 36 (a) Anelli, P. L.; Banfi, S.; Montanari, F.; Quici, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 2970. (b) Semmelhack, M. F.; Chou, C. S.; Cortés, D. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 4492. (c) Siedlecka, R.; Skarzewski, J.; Mlochowski, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 2177. For an example in a complex molecule employing NCS/TEMPO, see: (d) Benowitz, A. B.; Fidanze, S.; Small, P. L. C.; Kishi, Y.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 5128.
- 37 Kinney, W. A.; Zhang, X.; Williams, J. I.; Johnston, S.; Michalak, R. S.; Deshpande, M.; Dostal, L.; Rosazza, J. P. N.; *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 2921.
- 38 (a) Anelli, P. L.; Banfi, S.; Montanari, F.; Quici, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 2970. (b) Davis, N. J.; Flitsch, S. L.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 1181. (c) de Nooy, A. E. J.; Besemer, A. C.; van Bekkum, H.; *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* **1994**, *113*, 165.
- 39 (a) Barrett, A. G. M.; Braddock, D. C.; McKinnell, R. M.; Waller, F. J.; *Synlett* **1999**, *9*, 1489. (b) Banwell, M. G.; Edwards, A. J.; Harfoot, G. J.; Jolliffe, K. A.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **2002**, *22*, 2439.

- 40 (a) Bolm, C.; Fey, T.; *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 1795. (b) Dijkman, A.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Sheldon, R. A.; *Synlett* **2001**, *1*, 102.
- 41 (a) Dijkman, A.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Sheldon, R. A.; *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 271. (b) Dijkman, A.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Sheldon, R. A.; *Synlett* **2001**, *1*, 102.
- 42 Tyrrell, E.; Skinner, G. A.; Janes, J.; Milsom, G.; *Synlett* **2002**, *7*, 1073.
- 43 Liang, X.; Petersen, B. O.; Duus, J. Ø.; Bols, M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **2001**, *21*, 2764.
- 44 (a) Kavarana, M. J.; Trivedi, D.; Cai, M.; Ying, J.; Hammer, M.; Cabello, C.; Grieco, P.; Han, G.; Hruby, V. J.; *J. Med. Chem.* **2002**, *45*, 2624. (b) Henegar, K. E.; Ashford, S. W.; Banghman, T. A.; Sih, J. C.; Gu, R.-L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 6588. (c) Kotsovolou, S.; Verger, R.; Kokotos, G.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2625. (d) Hebeisen, P.; Hilpert, H.; Humm, R.; PCT Int.Appl. 2001090111, 29 Nov. **2001**; *Chem. Abstr.* 136:5852. (e) Greenwood, A. K.; McHattie, D.; Thompson, D. G.; Clissold, D. W.; PCT Int.Appl. 2002096898, 5 Dec. **2002**; *Chem. Abstr.* 138:24587.
- 45 Hulme, A. N.; Howells, G. E.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 8245.
- 46 (a) Jauch, J.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *3*, 473. (b) Paterson, I.; Florence, G. J.; Gerlach, K.; Scott, J. P.; Sereinig, N.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 9535. (c) Chappell, M. D.; Harris, C. R.; Kuduk, S. D.; Balog, A.; Wu, Z.; Zhang, F.; Bom Lee, C.; Stachel, S. J.; Danishefsky, S. J.; Chou, T.-C.; Guan, Y.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 7730. (d) Paterson, I.; Tudge, M.; *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 6833.
- 47 (a) Lopatinskaya, Kh. Ya.; Skorobogatova, Z. M.; Sheinkman, A. K.; Zaritovskaya, T. A.; *Khim. Geterot. Soed.* **1985**, *6*, 810. (b) Zanka, A.; Okamoto, T.; Hashimoto, N.; Goto, S.; PCT Int.Appl. 2003016278, 23 Feb. **2003**; *Chem. Abstr.* 138:204950.
- 48 Skarzewski, J.; Siedlecka, R.; Wojaczyńska, E.; Zielińska-Błajet, M.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2002**, *13*, 2105.
- 49 (a) Henegar, K. E.; Ashford, S. W.; Banghman, T. A.; Sih, J. C.; Gu, R.-L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 6588. (b) Spielvogel, D.; Kammerer, J.; Keller, M.; Prinzbach, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 7863.
- 50 (a) Banwell, M. G.; Bray, A. M.; Edwards, A. J.; Wong, D. J.; *New. J. Chem.* **2001**, *25*, 3. (b) Aladro, F. J.; Guerra, F. M.; Moreno-Dorado, F. J.; Bustamante, J. M.; Jorge, Z. D.; Massanet, G. M.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 2171. (c) Hanessian, S.; Mascitti, V.; Lu, P.-P.; Ishida, H.; *Synthesis* **2002**, *14*, 1959.


Oxidations by Hydride Transfer from Metallic Alkoxide

6.1. Introduction

At the beginning of the 20th century, Meerwein,¹ Ponndorf² and Verley³ showed that alcohols and carbonyl compounds can equilibrate as in Equation below under the action of Al^{3+} alkoxides.



Very soon, it was found that the equilibrium could be shifted to one side by employing aluminium isopropoxide and removing the volatile acetone on the right of the Equation below.



In this way, an aldehyde or ketone could be reduced to the corresponding alcohol after hydrolysis of the resulting aluminium alkoxide. This reaction is known as the Meerwein-Ponndorf-Verley reduction.


Section 6.1. References

- 1 Meerwein, H.; Schmidt, R.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1925**, *444*, 221; CA 19:3251.
- 2 Ponndorf, W.; *Angew. Chem.* **1926**, *39*, 138; CA 20:1611.
- 3 Verley, A.; *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1925**, *37*, 537; CA 19:2635.

6.2. Oppenauer Oxidation

6.2.1. Experimental Conditions

Shifting the equilibrium so as to oxidize a valuable alcohol, rather than to reduce a valuable carbonyl compound, is more demanding from the experimental point of view. In this case, the removal of the alcohol, resulting from the reduction of a cheap aldehyde or ketone used as oxidant, meets the problem of alcohol being less volatile than the corresponding carbonyl compound. Nevertheless, the practical realization of such oxidation was proved by Oppenauer in 1937.⁴



Oppenauer was able to shift the equilibrium towards the oxidation of a number of sterols, by employing an excess of cheap acetone as oxidant and taking advantage of some very favourable thermodynamics in oxidations, in which an alkene enters into conjugation with the resulting ketone.

In the vast majority of cases, the equilibrium in Oppenauer oxidations is shifted to the right by employing an excess of oxidant. When aldehydes or ketones with a certain volatility are formed during Oppenauer oxidations, it is possible to shift the equilibrium by removing the product by distillation under reduced pressure, while oxidants with a low volatility, such as benzaldehyde, cinnamaldehyde or piperonal, are used.⁵ This experimental procedure, although very suitable for multigram scale reactions, is seldom employed because of the inconvenience of running a reaction while a distillation under vacuum is performed.

The so-called Oppenauer oxidation proved to be extremely successful in the oxidation of sterols. On the other hand, its application—in the original formulation—to the obtention of ketones outside the field of steroids and to the preparation of aldehydes met a more limited success because of less favourable thermodynamics and side reactions, induced by the basic character of the aluminium alkoxides.

The position of the equilibrium in the first equation (under 6.1) is controlled by the oxidation potential of the carbonyl compounds. Ketones and aldehydes with a high oxidation potential oxidize alcohols favourably

Table 6.1^a

Carbonyl compound	E_0 (oxidation potential, mV)
Diphenoquinone	954
1,4-Benzoquinone	715
1,3-Dimethoxyacetone	350
Chloral	277
Formaldehyde	257
Acetaldehyde	226
ω - Piperidinoacetophenone	203
Benzaldehyde	197
Methoxyacetone	189
Cyclohexanone	162
Δ^5 - Cholesten-3-one	153
Acetone	129
Benzophenone	129
Cyclopentanone	123
Acetophenone	118
Fluorenone	117
Diethyl ketone	110
Diisobutyl ketone	102
Camphor	82
Δ^4 - Cholesten-3-one	63

^a Taken from ref. 6. The experimental estimations of oxidation potentials from this reference may not be completely accurate; therefore, this Table provides only a rough approximation of the oxidation equilibria in carbonyl compounds. Cf. ref. 7.

whose corresponding carbonyl compounds possess a lower oxidation potential. Table 6.1 shows a number of oxidation potentials.⁶

Obviously, a greater difference in oxidation potentials causes an equilibrium with a maximum displacement on one side. For example working with equimolar amounts at room temperature, a 10 mV difference in oxidation potential produces a mixture containing 40.5% of the carbonyl compound with the greater oxidation potential and 59.5% of the compound with the lesser oxidation potential. With a 100 mV oxidation potential difference, the corresponding figures are 98% and 2%. Equilibria can be further shifted in the desired direction by employing an excess of carbonyl compound operating as oxidant.

Inspection of Table 6.1 shows that the classical oxidation of sterols on the alcohol at the 3-position, using acetone as oxidant, works efficiently; thanks to the migration of the alkene. Thus, the oxidation of cholesterol with acetone ($E_0 = 129$ mV) must proceed via the thermodynamically disfavoured Δ^5 -cholesten-3-one ($E_0 = 153$ mV) that evolves to the very stable Δ^4 -cholesten-3-one ($E_0 = 63$ mV). In fact, acetone lacks oxidizing power for the obtention of many ketones as well as for the preparation of virtually all aldehydes.

It has been suggested that in Oppenauer oxidations using acetone, the genuine oxidant is one product, resulting from the autocondensation of acetone, possessing a higher oxidation potential.⁸

Not surprisingly, nowadays most Oppenauer oxidations are carried out employing cyclohexanone as oxidant because—for structural reasons—this ketone possesses an exceptionally high oxidation potential among ketones. Similarly, *N*-methyl-4-piperidone is used quite often because it possesses an oxidation potential close to cyclohexanone, while it is very easy to remove together with its reduction product from the reaction mixture by washing with aqueous acid.⁹

Although, a general trend exists with quinones for having a very high oxidation potential, ketones, possessing low oxidation potentials, and aldehydes positioned in the middle, quite similar compounds may in fact show very diverse oxidation potentials. For example, camphor—which is a substituted cyclohexanone—possesses a very low oxidation potential of 82 mV, differing greatly from the oxidation potential of 162 mV for cyclohexanone. Interestingly, contrary to intuition, conjugation with alkenes or aromatic rings has little effect on oxidation potentials of aldehydes and ketones. For example the oxidation potentials of acetone, acetophenone and benzophenone differ in less than 12 mV. The introduction of electron-withdrawing substituents close to the carbonyl group produces a substantial increase of the oxidation potential. This is conspicuous in the series acetone (129 mV), methoxyacetone (189 mV) and 1,3-dimethoxyacetone (350 mV). This explains why alcohols, whose oxidation results in the formation of aldehydes or ketones possessing the moiety $-(C=O)-C-X$ where X is a heteroatom, are refractory to oxidation under Oppenauer conditions.¹⁰


A naïve look at Table 6.1 would suggest that aldehydes, quinones and some ketones, like 1,3-dimethoxyacetone, would operate as very good oxidizing agents, allowing for example the preparation of aldehydes. In fact, these compounds possessing very high oxidation potentials are more reactive than simple ketones like cyclohexanone and tend to produce many side reactions, like aldol condensations.

p-Benzoquinone is occasionally employed as oxidant in Oppenauer oxidations.¹¹ It can operate at room temperature¹² and the oxidation can be carried out using a catalytic amount under an atmosphere of oxygen that recycles the generated hydroquinone back into *p*-benzoquinone.¹³ Both *p*-benzoquinone and hydroquinone are very reactive and tend to produce side compounds.^{6c} On the other hand, *p*-benzoquinone has a tendency to promote over-oxidations.¹⁴


Normally, Oppenauer oxidations are performed employing Al^{3+} cations as catalyst because aluminium alkoxides possess a good balance of a desired high hydride transfer capability versus a low propensity to promote undesired base-induced reactions, like aldol condensations and Tischtschenko reactions. In the reaction, as originally described by Oppenauer, aluminium *t*-butoxide is used as catalyst,⁴ because its high basicity allows a very favourable equilibrium towards the formation of the aluminium alkoxide of the alcohol whose oxidation is desired. However,

nowadays the employment of aluminium isopropoxide is preferred, because it is cheaper and much easier to prepare.¹⁵ The less favorable equilibrium for the generation of the alkoxide of the starting compound and the interference in the oxidation-reduction equilibria of isopropanol, do not seem to greatly detract from the final oxidation yields.

Freshly distilled aluminium isopropoxide exists as the so-called “melt” form, which is a thick liquid that solidifies over several weeks.¹⁶ The resulting crystals represent the “solid” form that can also be obtained by crystallization from a solution in an organic solvent. In the “melt” form, aluminium isopropoxide exists as a trimer, while in the “solid” form it exists as a tetramer. Interestingly, when the “melt” form is dissolved in benzene at room temperature, the transformation of trimer into tetramer is much slower than in the neat¹⁷ and it has been shown that trimers and tetramers may possess quite different chemical behaviour.¹⁸ The “melt” form possesses the practical advantage of showing greater solubility in organic solvents and can be easily generated from the “solid” form by heating. As long as the authors of this book are aware, in no case a different behaviour of the “melt” versus the “solid” was reported in Oppenauer oxidations, although such outcome could be expected. Occasionally, aluminium phenoxide is used in Oppenauer oxidations. Quite puzzlingly, although it leads to a disfavored equilibrium with a small percentage of reacting aluminium alkoxide, it is reported as allowing Oppenauer oxidations under milder conditions.¹⁹




Because of the subtle energetic factors, allowing the oxidation of a certain alcohol employing the Oppenauer conditions, it is possible to carry out regioselective oxidations based solely on thermodynamics.²¹



6.2.2. Mechanism

The available experimental data supports a mechanism for the Oppenauer oxidation, involving an initial complexation of a carbonyl group with the aluminium from an aluminium alkoxide, followed by a rate-determining hydride transfer via a six-membered transition state.²²



Oppenauer oxidations, employing aluminium alkoxides, must be carried out in organic solvents unable to compete with the carbonyl group for complexation with aluminium. Although, originally benzene was the most commonly used solvent, nowadays toluene is greatly preferred because it is less toxic and has a higher boiling point that allows quicker oxidations. Occasionally, the reaction is performed in boiling xylenes. These aromatic solvents have the advantage of allowing the removal of water—which inhibits the Oppenauer oxidation because of complexation with aluminium—by azeotropic distillation. Normally, the reaction is carried out at the reflux temperature of the solvent during many hours. In some sensitive substrates, it may be advisable to perform the oxidation at room temperature, although this can demand several weeks.²³


Oppenauer oxidation, using alkoxides other than aluminium, operates via a hydride transfer mechanism similar to the one depicted in the above Equation, although a complexation of the metal with the carbonyl group may not be present.^{22d} Evidence for a radical mechanism was put forward in the case of the interaction between lithium isopropoxide and benzophenone.²⁴

The Oppenauer oxidation presents two important limitations: on one side it is unable to oxidize certain alcohols because of unfavourable thermodynamics, and on the other side, base-induced reactions between the oxidant and the product may become dominant. That is why, it is seldom employed for the obtention of aldehydes because these compounds react readily under basic conditions. On the other hand, although aluminium alkoxides promote aldol condensations, many base-sensitive functional groups such as most esters—but not formates—²⁵ resist its action.

6.2.3. Oxidations Using Sodium or Potassium Alkoxides


Apart from aluminium, many other metals were tested in Meerwein-Ponndorf-Verley reductions and Oppenauer oxidations during the early years of research on hydride transfer from alkoxides.²⁶ A consensus was

reached, in which aluminium alkoxides were considered superior and were used in the vast majority of cases. Nonetheless, the occasional employment of sodium or potassium alkoxides must be mentioned. For example, Woodward *et al.* found that the important substrate quinine is resistant to oxidation under standard Oppenauer conditions, probably because of adverse thermodynamics, but it can be oxidized with potassium *t*-butoxide and benzophenone in boiling benzene.^{10b}




The Woodward modification of the Oppenauer oxidation is occasionally used on substrates that fail to be oxidized under the standard protocol,²⁷ although it possesses the serious limitation of the strongly basic medium generated by potassium *t*-butoxide.

An alcohol can be quantitatively transformed into a sodium or potassium alkoxide with NaH or KH. These alkoxides can sometimes transfer a hydride to a suitable hydride-acceptor²⁸ in a quite selective manner.²⁹



6.2.4. Recent Developments


Posner *et al.* found that commercial aluminium oxide is able to promote the oxidation of alcohols employing chloral as hydride acceptor.³⁰ The reaction operates at room temperature in inert solvents like CCl_4 and surprisingly no base-induced condensations are reported. Basically, the same experimental conditions were later applied for the oxidation of cyclobutanol,³¹ a compound with a great propensity to fragmentation under the action of other oxidants.



Chemically modified Al_2O_3 ,³² and an aluminium and magnesium carbonate³³ have been studied in Oppenauer oxidations employing oxidants other than chloral.

Rathke *et al.* showed³⁴ that electron-withdrawing groups linked to the aluminium atom in aluminium alkoxides increase the Lewis acidity of the aluminium and facilitate its complexation with carbonyl groups. This effect, first observed in 1958 by Gál and Kraznai in chloroaluminium isopropoxide,³⁵ results in an acceleration of the hydride transfer in Oppenauer oxidations. Thus, the addition of 1 equivalent of trifluoroacetic acid to aluminium isopropoxide results in the formation of $\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{Al}(\text{O}i\text{-Pr})_2$. This is a highly active catalyst that allows Oppenauer oxidations to be run at 0°C in benzene. Regrettably, the utility of this catalyst is very limited because it greatly promotes condensations, leading to a high proportion of side compounds. Nevertheless, Akamanchi and Chaudhari were able to oxidize a number of secondary alcohols³⁶ employing diisopropoxyaluminium trifluoroacetate and 4-nitrobenzaldehyde as hydride acceptor. Under these modified Oppenauer conditions, oxidations occur at room temperature in benzene, although primary alcohols are not affected.

Very recently, Maruoka's team developed two highly sophisticated and efficient aluminium compounds for the Oppenauer oxidation of alcohols. Thus, the complex aluminium phenoxide **67**, containing two aluminium atoms, is able to catalyze—in a quantity as low as 5 mol%—the oxidation of alcohols with pivalaldehyde at room temperature.³⁷



67

It must be mentioned that about 1 equivalent of aluminium isopropoxide is needed in Oppenauer oxidations using the classical protocol. Supposedly, compound **67** reacts with the alcohol, resulting in an aluminium alkoxide able to form a complex in which both free electron pairs of the oxygen atom in pivalaldehyde are coordinated with aluminium atoms, resulting in a very efficient activation of pivalaldehyde as hydride acceptor via a mechanism represented in Figure 6.1:






Figure 6.1

Regardless of the veracity of the proposed assembling depicted in Figure 6.1, the fact remains that the catalyst **67** is highly efficient in the promotion of Oppenauer oxidations under mild conditions and have been employed in a very elegant way in oxidation-reduction transformations, in which in the same molecule a secondary alcohol is oxidized while an aldehyde is reduced with no addition of external redox reagents.



Maruoka's group also developed the extremely active aluminium compound **68**,³⁸ which in a proportion as low as 1 mol% is able to promote the oxidation of alcohols with pivalaldehyde or acetone at room temperature. Oppenauer oxidations employing catalyst **68** succeed in a variety of secondary and primary alcohols, providing yields of aldehydes and ketones above 80% in a consistent way. Only lineal primary aliphatic alcohols fail to be cleanly oxidized to the corresponding aldehydes.



Kagan *et al.*³⁹ have shown that alkoxides of metals belonging to the lanthanides are able to promote Oppenauer oxidations in catalytic amounts. Thus, 10 mol% *t*-BuOSmI₂ is able to induce the oxidation of a number of alcohols in variable yields in the presence of a variety of aldehydes and ketones as oxidants.^{39a} Yb(O*i*-Pr)₃ in a 5 mol% quantity is able to catalyze the oxidation of 1-phenylethanol to acetophenone in 98% yield with butan-2-one as oxidant.^{39b} Other lanthanides provided a lower yield.

A number of zirconium compounds are able to catalyze Oppenauer oxidations. For example, zirconium dioxide, when properly conditioned, is able to promote the oxidation of alcohols in variable yields⁴⁰ and it is reportedly superior than Al₂O₃. Other zirconium compounds able to induce Oppenauer oxidations in catalytic amounts include Cp₂ZrH₂,⁴¹ Cp₂Zr(O*i*-Pr)₂,^{41b} Zr(O*t*-Bu)₄⁴² and Zr(O*n*-Pr)_x on SiO₂.⁴²

Yamamoto *et al.* have shown that the boron compound (C₆F₅)₂BOH, in a quantity as low as 1 mol%, is able to promote the oxidation of allylic and benzylic alcohols with pivalaldehyde at room temperature.⁴³ This result is not surprising considering the similitude of the electronic structure of boron and aluminium.

Sometimes, reactions in which an alcohol is oxidized by hydride transfer to a metallic cluster, resulting in the formation of a metallic hydride that subsequently transfers a hydride to a sacrificial aldehyde or ketone, are described as Oppenauer oxidations.⁴⁴ In the opinion of the authors, the name "Oppenauer oxidation" should be reserved for oxidation of alcohols in which a hydride is *directly* transferred from a metallic alkoxide to an aldehyde or ketone acting as oxidant.

6.2.5 General Procedure for Oppenauer Oxidation Under Standard Conditions

Between 0.5 and 4 equivalents—typically 1.0 equivalents—of aluminium isopropoxide^a are added to a ca. 0.015–0.9 M solution of the alcohol in toluene,^{b,c} to which between 3 and 200 equivalents—typically 10 to 40 equivalents—of cyclohexanone^d or *N*-methyl-4-piperidone have been previously added. The reaction mixture is refluxed^e till most of the starting compound is consumed.^f Water or diluted aqueous acid is added to the cold reaction mixture. The organic phase is separated and washed with a saturated aqueous solution of sodium bicarbonate, water and brine. These operations may be facilitated by the addition of an organic solvent like EtOAc or chloroform. The precipitation of aluminium salts may interfere in the separation of phases. This can be avoided by two alternative work-ups. The first one consists of adding slightly more than 3 equivalents of water per equivalent of aluminium alkoxide to the cold reaction mixture, thus causing the separation of solid aluminium hydroxide, which can be separated by centrifugation and washed with an organic solvent. The second work-up involves washing the cold reaction mixture with a saturated aqueous solution of sodium potassium tartrate, which is able to keep the aluminium ions in solution.

Finally, the organic phase that was previously washed with aqueous phases and dried (Na₂SO₄ or MgSO₄) is concentrated, giving a crude residue that may need further purification.

^a Aluminium *t*-butoxide can also be used. Although, it can be more effective than aluminium isopropoxide because it leads to a more favourable equilibrium towards the desired intermediate aluminium alkoxide, its employment is not very common because it is more difficult to prepare and more expensive than aluminium isopropoxide. Aluminium phenoxide and potassium *t*-butoxide are occasionally used. Potassium *t*-butoxide is a very energetic reagent that allows Oppenauer oxidations to proceed on alcohols refractory to oxidations in the presence of aluminium alkoxides. As it is a very basic reagent, its employment must be reserved to cases in which base-induced side reactions are not expected to become dominant.

^b The presence of water inhibits the Oppenauer oxidation because it competes with the carbonyl group of the oxidant for complexation with aluminium. Water may be absent *ab origine* from the reaction mixture by using dry solvents and reagents. Alternatively, water can be removed from the reaction mixture by azeotropic distillation, employing a Dean-Stark, or by separating a portion of the refluxing solvent at the beginning of the reaction. Normally, this azeotropic separation of water is made before the addition of the aluminium alkoxide, in order to avoid the formation of aluminium hydroxide. Occasionally, water is removed from the reaction mixture before the addition of the aluminium alkoxide, by stirring the mixture with ca. 200 mg of activated molecular sieves 4 Å per mmol of alcohol during about 2 h at room temperature.


^c Although, the reaction is normally carried out in boiling toluene, other solvents able to form an azeotrope with water, such as benzene or xylenes, can also be used.

^d Cyclohexanone is the most common oxidant because it is cheap, easy to remove and possesses a strong oxidizing power. *N*-methyl-4-piperidone is finding an increased

employment, although it is more expensive than cyclohexanone, because side compounds resulting from condensations of the product with *N*-methyl-4-piperidone are easily removed by washing with aqueous acid. Acetone is occasionally used, although it does not possess an oxidizing power as strong as cyclohexanone. Other oxidants possessing a higher oxidizing power include *p*-quinone, chloral and fluorenone. Its employment is more limited because they tend to promote many side reactions.


^c For milder conditions, the reaction can be performed at room temperature, although this can lead to reaction time in excess of weeks.

^f Normally, it takes between 30 min and 24 h. As expected, reactions in higher boiling solvents finish in a shorter time.




Ref. 45

A selective oxidation of the secondary alcohol presenting less steric hindrance for the transfer of a hydride to the bulky fluorenone is achieved by employing the Woodward's modification of the Oppenauer protocol.



Ref. 46

The alcohol in this sensitive indole could be oxidized to the desired ketone by heating with cyclohexanone and aluminium isopropoxide. A very similar substrate could not be oxidized efficiently after trying a wide variety of reagents.⁴⁷




Ref. 48

A very mild Oppenauer oxidation using acetone and aluminium isopropoxide allows the obtention of the desired ketone, while pyridine-chromic acid or manganese dioxide produce, aromatization of the ring on the left.


6.2.6. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oppenauer Oxidation

Oxidations under Oppenauer conditions are highly selective for alcohols, normally resulting other functionalities sensitive to oxidation unchanged. This happens because the Oppenauer oxidation operates via a mechanism involving a hydride transfer from a metallic alkoxide, which is very specific for alcohols. Over-oxidations have been described only for situations in which very reactive oxidants, such as *p*-quinone, are employed.¹⁴




The aluminium alkoxides present in the Oppenauer oxidation can cause some base-induced side reactions. Thus, quite typically during the oxidation of sterols possessing homoallylic alcohols, a migration of the alkene into conjugation with the resulting ketone is observed (see pages 256 and 259).⁴


Aluminium alkoxides very often promote aldol condensations between the aldehyde or ketone, resulting from the oxidation, and the carbonyl compound used as the oxidant. That is why, Oppenauer oxidations are seldom employed for the obtention of aldehydes, as these compounds have a greater tendency than ketones to be involved in aldol condensations. Likewise, although Oppenauer oxidation can be made in the presence of ketones,⁴⁹ it may be advisable to protect them, for example as semicarbazones.⁵⁰



Although aluminium alkoxides are able to promote base-induced reactions, the basic conditions involved are not extremely strong and many base-sensitive functional groups remain unaffected during Oppenauer oxidations, including alkyl halides,⁵¹ epoxides⁵² and most esters.⁵³ On the other hand, the very sensitive formate esters are hydrolyzed under Oppenauer conditions and the resulting alcohols are oxidized *in situ*.²⁵




Sometimes, diols are transformed into lactones under the action of the Oppenauer oxidation.⁵⁴



Most amines remain unchanged under the action of Oppenauer oxidations.⁵⁵ Some alcohols possessing amino groups in the same molecule resist oxidation under standard Oppenauer conditions employing aluminium alkoxides.^{10a,b} There was speculation that this was caused by inactivation of the aluminium alkoxides by complexation of the aluminium with the amines. Later, it was proved that this is not the case, sometimes being amines closely positioned to alcohols able to avoid alcohol oxidation via destabilizing the corresponding ketones by an inductive effect.^{10c,56} Interestingly, while such alcohols possessing a closely-positioned amine resist oxidation under standard Oppenauer conditions using aluminium alkoxides, they can be oxidized


by the Woodward modification of the Oppenauer oxidation employing potassium *t*-butoxide.^{10b,27}

There is one report,⁵⁷ in which a tertiary amine suffers a complex fragmentation, initiated by the oxidation of the amine into an immonium salt upon the action of Oppenauer conditions. There is also one example,⁵⁸ in which a secondary amine suffers elimination by the action of an aluminium alkoxide.



6.2.7. Reactions Performed *in situ* During an Oppenauer Oxidation


A common side reaction during Oppenauer oxidations consists of the base-catalyzed condensation of the carbonyl compound, resulting from the oxidation, with the carbonyl compound used as oxidant. Sometimes, advantage is taken from this side reaction for synthetic purposes. For example, oxidation of primary alcohols with an aluminium alkoxide and acetone results in the formation of an intermediate aldehyde that condenses with acetone, resulting in a synthetically useful formation of an enone.⁵⁹




Similarly, Nakano *et al.* have prepared a number of alkylidenecycloketones by the oxidation of primary alcohols with cycloketones in the presence of Cp_2ZrH_2 , which operates in a similar manner as aluminium alkoxides.⁶⁰

The Oppenauer oxidation is a common side reaction during the condensation of organometallic compounds with aldehydes and ketones, something that very often comes as a surprise for the unaware chemist. This has been observed in condensations of diverse organometallic species, for example chromium,⁶¹ Zr⁶² and Mg⁶³ organometallics. This side reaction


during the condensation of organometallics with aldehydes and ketones has been exploited for synthetic purposes for it allows the formal acylation of carbanionic synthons.^{62,63} Thus, Srebnik and Zheng performed the formal acylation of a number of organozirconium species by condensation with aldehydes under ZnBr_2 catalysis, resulting in the formation of a zirconium alkoxide that is oxidized *in situ* by the excess of the aldehyde.⁶²



Similarly, Byrne and Karras have proved that magnesium alkoxides, resulting from the condensation of Grignard reagents with aldehydes, can be oxidized *in situ* by adding an excess of a carbonyl compound as oxidant. The reaction gives best yields with benzaldehyde as oxidant in a solvent like Bu_2O having limited complexation ability for magnesium cations.⁶³




In a very elegant way, Eder performed the regioselective reduction of a dione by treatment with excess of Dibal-H, resulting in the formation of a bisaluminium alkoxide that was selectively oxidized under Oppenauer conditions providing a cyclohexenone, while a cyclopentanol remained unchanged.⁶⁴





6.2.8. Side Reactions


The most common side reactions during Oppenauer oxidation consist of base-induced condensations of the aldehyde or ketone, generated during the oxidation, with the carbonyl compound used as oxidant.⁶⁵ This side reaction is particularly prominent during the obtention of aldehydes because they are generally more reactive in aldol condensations than ketones. Furthermore, aldehydes very often suffer Tischenko condensations,⁶⁶ resulting in the formation of dimeric esters during Oppenauer oxidations. That is why, the Oppenauer oxidation is seldom useful for the preparation of aldehydes.



Other base-induced side reactions occurring during Oppenauer oxidations include retro-aldol condensations⁶⁷ and ring-expansions in α -hydroxyketones.⁶⁸



Sometimes, side reactions during Oppenauer oxidations can be explained by the Lewis acidity of the aluminium atom in aluminium alkoxides.⁶⁹



Section 6.2. References


- 4 Oppenauer, R. V.; *Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* **1937**, *56*, 137; *CA* 31:3061.
- 5 Lauchenauer, A.; Schinz, H.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1949**, *32*, 1265.
- 6 See: (a) Baker, R. H.; Adkins, H.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1940**, *62*, 3305. (b) Adkins, H.; Eloffson, R. M.; Rossow, A. G.; Robinson, C. C.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1949**, *71*, 3622. (c) Johnson, W. A.; Skrimshire, G. E. H.; *Chem. Ind.* **1951**, 380. (d) Cox, F. W.; Adkins, H.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1939**, *61*, 3364.
- 7 Pedley, J. B.; Naylor, R. D.; Kirby, S. P.; *Thermochemical data of organic compounds*; Chapman and Hall: London, **1986**.
- 8 Adkins, H.; Cox, F. W.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1938**, *60*, 1151.
- 9 Reich, R.; Keana, J. F. W.; *Synth. Commun.* **1972**, *2*, 323.
- 10 (a) Mckee, R. L.; Henze, H. R.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1944**, *66*, 2020. (b) Woodward, R. B.; Wendler, N. L.; Brutschy, F. J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1945**, *67*, 1425. (c) Lutz, R. E.; Jordan, R. H.; Truett, W. L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1950**, *72*, 4085.
- 11 (a) Ruzicka, L.; Rey, E.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1941**, *24*, 529. (b) Yamashita, M.; Matsumura, T.; *J. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1943**, *64*, 506; *CA* 41:3753g. (c) Ruzicka, L.; Rey, E.; Spillmann, M.; Baumgartner, H.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1943**, *26*, 1638. (d) Lund, H.; *Acta Chem. Scand.* **1951**, 1394.
- 12 (a) Adkins, H.; Franklin, R. C.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1941**, *63*, 2381. (b) Biedebach, F.; *Arch. Pharm.* **1943**, *281*, 49. (c) Heusler, K.; Kalvoda, J.; Wieland, P.; Wettstein, A.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1961**, *44*, 179.
- 13 Baker, R. H.; Stanonis, D.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1948**, *70*, 2594.
- 14 Wettstein, A.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1940**, *23*, 388.
- 15 Young, W. G.; Hartung, W. H.; Crossley, F. S.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1936**, *58*, 100.
- 16 Folting, K.; Streib, W. E.; Caulton, K. G.; Poncelet, O.; Hubert-Pfalzgraf, L. G.; *Polyhedron* **1991**, *10*, 1639.
- 17 Shiner, V. J.; Whittaker, D.; Fernández, V. P.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, *85*, 2318.
- 18 Shiner, V. J.; Whittaker, D. J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 394.
- 19 (a) Kuwada, S.; Joyama, T.; *J. Pharm. Soc. Jpn.* **1937**, *57*, 247. (b) Reich, H.; Reichstein, T.; *Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn.* **1941**, *65*, 415.

- 20 Blotny, G.; Pollack, R. M.; *Synth. Commun.* **1998**, *28*, 3865.
- 21 See for example: (a) i) Kuwada, S.; Joyama, T.; *J. Pharm. Soc. Jpn.* **1937**, *57*, 247. ii) Reich, H.; Reichstein, T.; *Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn.* **1941**, *65*, 415. (b) Euw, J. von; Lardon, A.; Reichstein, T.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1944**, *27*, 1287. (c) Heusler, K.; Kalvoda, J.; Wieland, P.; Wettstein, A.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1961**, *44*, 179.
- 22 (a) Jackman, L. M.; Mills, J. A.; *Nature* **1949**, *164*, 789. (b) Doering, W. von E.; Aschner, T. C.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1949**, *71*, 838. (c) McGowan, J. C.; *Chem. Ind.* **1951**, 601. (d) Warnhoff, E. W.; Reynolds-Warnhoff, P.; Wong, M. Y. H.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 5956.
- 23 Reichstein, T.; Euw, J. v.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1940**, *23*, 136.
- 24 Ashby, E. C.; *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1988**, *21*, 414.
- 25 (a) Ringold, H. J.; Löken, B.; Rosenkranz, G.; Sondheimer, F.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1956**, *78*, 816. (b) Ringold, H. J.; Rosenkranz, G.; Sondheimer, F.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1956**, *78*, 820.
- 26 (a) i) Meerwein, H.; Schmidt, R.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1925**, *444*, 221; *CA* 19:3251. ii) Ponnendorf, W.; *Angew. Chem.* **1926**, *39*, 138; *CA* 20:1611. iii) Verley, A.; *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1925**, *37*, 537; *CA* 19:2635. (b) Meerwein, H.; Bock, B. v.; Kirschnick, Br.; Lenz, W.; Migge, A.; *J. Prakt. Chem.* **1936**, *147*, 211; *CA* 31:656.
- 27 Woodward, R. B.; Kornfeld, E. C.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1948**, *70*, 2508.
- 28 Koenig, J.-J.; Rostolan, J. de; Bourbier, J.-C.; Jarreau, F.-X.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1978**, 2779.
- 29 (a) Warawa, E. J.; Mueller, N. J.; Jules, R.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1974**, *17*, 497. (b) Warawa, E. J.; Mueller, N. J.; Gylys, J. A.; *J. Med. Chem.* **1975**, *18*, 71.
- 30 Posner, G. H.; Perfetti, R. B.; Runquist, A. W.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**, 3499.
- 31 Posner, G. H.; Chapdelaine, M. J.; *Synthesis* **1977**, 555.
- 32 (a) Horner, L.; Kaps, U. B.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1980**, *2*, 192. (b) Schwartz, R.; Juhasz, A.; *Rev. Roum. Chim.* **1986**, *31*, 131; *CA* 107:40187a.
- 33 Raja, T.; Jyothi, T. M.; Sreekumar, K.; Talawar, M. B.; Santhanalakshmi, J.; Rao, B. S.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1999**, *72*, 2117.
- 34 Kow, R.; Nygren, R.; Rathke, M. W.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 826.
- 35 Gál, G.; Kraznai, I.; *Magy. Kem. Foly* **1956**, *62*, 155; *CA* 52:10872d.
- 36 Akamanchi, K. G.; Chaudhari, B. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 6925.
- 37 (a) Ooi, T.; Miura, T.; Maruoka, K.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37*, 2347. (b) Ooi, T.; Miura, T.; Itagaki, Y.; Ichikawa, H.; Maruoka, K.; *Synthesis* **2002**, *2*, 279.
- 38 Ooi, T.; Otsuka, H.; Miura, T.; Ichikawa, H.; Maruoka, K.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2669.
- 39 (a) Namy, J. L.; Soupe, J.; Collin, J.; Kagan, H. B.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1984**, *49*, 2045. (b) Lebrun, A.; Namy, J.-L.; Kagan, H. B.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 2355.
- 40 (a) Kuno, H.; Takahashi, K.; Shibagaki, M.; Shimazaki, K.; Matsushita, H.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1990**, *63*, 1943. (b) Kuno, H.; Shibagaki, M.; Takahashi, K.; Matsushita, H.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1991**, *64*, 312.
- 41 (a) Nakano, T.; Terada, T.; Ishii, Y.; Ogawa, M.; *Synthesis* **1986**, 774. (b) Nakano, T.; Ishii, Y.; Ogawa, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 4855.
- 42 Krohn, K.; Knauer, B.; Kúpke, J.; Seebach, D.; Beck, A. K.; Hayakawa, M.; *Synthesis* **1996**, 1341.
- 43 Ishihara, K.; Kurihara, H.; Yamamoto, H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 5664.
- 44 (a) Almeida, M. L. S.; Beller, M.; Kočovský, P.; Bäckvall, J.-E.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 6587. (b) Almeida, M. L. S.; Beller, M.; Wang, G.-Z.; Bäckvall, J.-E.; *Chem. Eur. J.* **1996**, *2*, 1533.
- 45 Nonaka, G.-I.; Morimoto, S.; Kinjo, J.-E.; Nohara, T.; Nishioka, I.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1987**, *35*, 149.
- 46 Coombes, G. E. A.; Harvey, D. J.; Reid, S. T.; *J. Chem. Soc. (C)* **1970**, 325.
- 47 Harley-Mason, J.; Pavri, E. H.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, 2504.
- 48 Koteswara, M. V. R.; Krishna Rao, G. S.; Dev, S.; *Tetrahedron* **1966**, *22*, 1977.

- 49 (a) Ringold, H. J.; Rosenkranz, G.; Sondheimer, F.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1956**, *78*, 820. (b) Euw, J. Von; Reichstein, T.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1946**, *29*, 1913.
- 50 Gleason, C. H.; Holden, G. W.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1950**, *72*, 1751.
- 51 (a) Fernholz, E.; Stavely, H. E.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1939**, *61*, 2956. (b) Reich, H.; Reichstein, T.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1939**, *22*, 1124. (c) Garside, D.; Kirk, D. N.; Waldron, N. M.; *Steroids* **1994**, *59*, 702.
- 52 Childers, W. E.; Furth, P. S.; Shih, M.-J.; Robinson, C. H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 5947.
- 53 (a) Oppenauer, R. V.; *Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* **1937**, *56*, 137; *CA* 31:3061. (b) Wettstein, A.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1940**, *23*, 388. (c) Euw, J. von; Lardon, A.; Reichstein, T.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1944**, *27*, 1287. (d) Euw, J. Von; Reichstein, T.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1946**, *29*, 1913. (e) Turner, R. B.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, *75*, 3489. (f) Ringold, H. J.; Löken, B.; Rosenkranz, G.; Sondheimer, F.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1956**, *78*, 816.
- 54 Eignerová, L.; Kasal, A.; *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* **1976**, *41*, 1056.
- 55 (a) Neef, G.; Ottow, E.; Ast, G.; Vierhufe, H.; *Synth. Commun.* **1993**, *23*, 903. (b) Njar, V. C. O.; Safi, E.; Silverton, J. V.; Robinson, C. H.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1993**, *10*, 1161. (c) Ram Yadav, M.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1993**, *32B*, 746.
- 56 Lutz, R. E.; Wayland Jr., R. L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1951**, *73*, 1639.
- 57 Šmula, V.; Manske, R. H. F.; Rodrigo, R.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1972**, *50*, 1544.
- 58 Mihailović, M. Lj.; Lorenc, L.; Rajković, M.; Juranić, I.; Milovanović, A.; *Heterocycles* **1989**, *28*, 869.
- 59 (a) Schinz, H.; Ruzicka, L.; Seidel, C. F.; Tavel, Ch.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1947**, *30*, 1810. (b) Milas, N. A.; Grossi, F. X.; Penner, S. E.; Kahn, S.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1948**, *70*, 1292. (c) Zobrist, F.; Schinz, H.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1949**, *32*, 1192.
- 60 Nakano, T.; Irifune, S.; Umano, S.; Inada, A.; Ishii, Y.; Ogawa, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 2239.
- 61 (a) Maguire, R. J.; Mulzer, J.; Bats, J. W.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 5487. (b) Schrekker, H. S.; de Bolster, M. W. G.; Orru, R. V. A.; Wessjohann, L. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 1975.
- 62 Zheng, B.; Srebniak, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 3278.
- 63 Byrne, B.; Karras, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 769.
- 64 Eder, U.; *Chem. Ber.* **1976**, *109*, 2954.
- 65 (a) Heilbron, I. M.; Batty, J. W.; Burawoy, A.; Harper, S. H.; Jones, W. E.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1938**, 175; *CA* 32:3346. (b) Adkins, H.; Cox, F. W.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1938**, *60*, 1151. (c) Miescher, K.; Wettstein, A.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1939**, *22*, 1262. (d) *J. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1942**, *63*, 1335. (e) Yamashita, M.; Honjo; Shimano; *J. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1942**, *63*, 1338; *CA* 41:3042. (f) Ishii, Y.; Nakano, T.; Inada, A.; Kishigami, Y.; Sakurai, K.; Ogawa, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 240. (g) Kuno, H.; Shibagaki, M.; Takahashi, K.; Matsushita, H.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1991**, *64*, 312.
- 66 (a) Baker, R. H.; Adkins, H.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1940**, *62*, 3305. (b) Ooi, T.; Otsuka, H.; Miura, T.; Ichikawa, H.; Maruoka, K.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2669.
- 67 Horak, V.; Moezie, F.; Klein, R. F. X.; Giordano, C.; *Synthesis* **1984**, 839.
- 68 Hegner, P.; Reichstein, T.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1941**, *24*, 828.
- 69 Lee, C. C.; Bhardwaj, I. S.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1963**, *41*, 1031.


6.3. Mukaiyama Oxidation

In 1968, Mukaiyama *et al.*⁷⁰ discovered that magnesium alkoxides—generated by reaction of Grignard reagents with aldehydes—when treated *in situ* with 1,1'-(azodicarbonyl)dipiperidine (ADD) (**69**), suffer oxidation to the corresponding ketones.



In this paper, the published yields were modest and the full versatility of the procedure was not checked. However, this paper established the conceptual principle that magnesium alkoxides could be efficiently oxidized in the presence of good hydride abstractors, such as 1,1'-(azodicarbonyl)dipiperidine (ADD), via a hydride transfer resembling the mechanism of the Oppenauer oxidation.

Nine years later, in 1977,⁷¹ Mukaiyama *et al.* published a full account on the oxidation of magnesium alkoxides with ADD. Thus, magnesium alkoxides were generated by the treatment of alcohols with either *n*-propylmagnesium bromide, or *t*-butoxymagnesium bromide, and reacted *in situ* with ADD at room temperature, resulting in good yields of the desired aldehydes or ketones.



Although the magnesium alkoxides can generally be formed by the action of Grignard reagents with alcohols, it may be preferable to employ *t*-BuOMgBr in molecules containing functionalities sensitive to attack by

Grignard reagents. *t*-BuOMgBr is easily generated *in situ* by reaction of *t*-butanol with a Grignard reagent.

Although the Mukaiyama oxidation is not in the top list of the most frequently used alcohol oxidants, the authors of this book have decided to pay full attention to this procedure because it succeeds in very sensitive organometallic compounds, where most other oxidants fail. The Mukaiyama oxidation operates via a somehow unique mechanism involving a hydride transfer from a metal alkoxide to a very good hydride acceptor, which resembles the Oppenauer oxidation. In variance with the Oppenauer oxidation, the Mukaiyama protocol involves much milder conditions and it does not promote as easily base-induced side reactions.

6.3.1. General Procedure for Mukaiyama Oxidation

Initially, the alcohol is transformed into an alkoxymagnesium halide, according to two alternative protocols:

Protocol A.


From 1.1 to 1.4 equivalents of a Grignard reagent^a in a ca. 0.4 M solution in THF are slowly added^b to a stirred ca. 0.04–0.2 M solution of the alcohol in dry THF.^c After at least 15 min., ADD is added.

Protocol B.

Ca. 1.2–3 equivalents of *t*-butanol, either neat or in a ca. 0.2–0.6 M solution in dry THF, are mixed with ca. 0.98–1.0 equivalents of a Grignard reagent^a per equivalent of *t*-butanol, the Grignard reagent being contained in a ca. 0.2–0.4 M solution in THF. After at least 3 min., the resulting solution of *t*-butoxymagnesium bromide is mixed with 1 equivalent of the alcohol contained in a ca. 0.1–1.7 M solution in THF.^d After at least 10 min., ADD is added.


From 1.1 to 3 equivalents of 1,1'-(azodicarbonyl)dipiperidine (ADD, MW = 252.31), either as a solid or as a ca. 0.1–0.7 M solution in dry THF, are mixed with the solution of the alkoxymagnesium halide, and the resulting mixture is stirred at room temperature^e till most of the alkoxide is consumed.^f Brine—or, alternatively, water or a NH₄Cl saturated aqueous solution—is added to the reaction. The resulting mixture is extracted with an organic solvent, such as Et₂O, EtOAc or CH₂Cl₂. The organic phase is washed with a saturated NaHCO₃ aqueous solution and/or brine. Drying with MgSO₄ or Na₂SO₄ is followed by removal of the solvent in vacuum, giving a residue that may need further purification.

- ^a The nature of the Grignard reagent is expected to have little influence in the oxidation. Normally, a commercially available or an easily prepared Grignard reagent, such as ethyl, *n*-propyl, allyl or *i*-propylmagnesium bromide, is employed.
- ^b Occasionally, an inverse addition, whereby the solution of the alcohol is added over the solution of the Grignard reagent, is performed.
- ^c Normally, the alkoxymagnesium halide is generated at room temperature, although it may be advisable, particularly on a multigram scale, to mix the alcohol and the Grignard reagent at low temperature.
- ^d Normally, all the operations during the generation of the alkoxymagnesium halide following protocol B are performed at room temperature, although occasionally they are done at 0 °C for milder conditions.
- ^e Occasionally, the reaction is performed at 0 °C for milder conditions.
- ^f Normally, it takes from 15 min. to 2.5 h.




Ref. 72

The oxidation of this very sensitive substrate can be carried out by the Mukaiyama procedure with a 81% yield in a scale greater than 100 g.



Ref. 73

The obtention of this very labile product, containing an allylstannane and an aldehyde in the same molecule, was tried unsuccessfully using many oxidizing conditions. Eventually, this product could be prepared following a Mukaiyama oxidation. The basic conditions were essential to avoid protiodestannylation. The product could not withstand chromatography or distillation.



6.3.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Mukaiyama Oxidation


The slightly basic conditions of the Mukaiyama oxidation are particularly well-fitted for oxidations in compounds containing organometallic moieties. These include allylstannanes,⁷⁵ π -allylmolybdenum compounds,⁷⁶ alkyne $\text{Co}(\text{CO})_6$ complexes⁷⁷ and diene $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3$ complexes.⁷⁸

Many base-sensitive functionalities, such as carbonates⁷⁹ or epoxides,^{75b} resist the mild basic conditions of the Mukaiyama oxidation.

6.3.3. Side Reactions

There is one example in which an ethoxyethyl (EE) protecting group is removed from a phenol during a Mukaiyama oxidation. According to the authors, this deprotection is promoted by a selective complexation of one oxygen with a magnesium atom.⁸⁰

When a carbonyl compound containing a good-leaving group at the β -position is obtained, a base-induced elimination can occur.⁸¹




Section 6.3. References

- 70 Mukaiyama, T.; Takahashi, K.; Kuwajima, I.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1968**, *41*, 1491.
- 71 Narasaka, K.; Morikawa, A.; Saigo, K.; Mukaiyama, T.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1977**, *50*, 2773.
- 72 Magnus, P.; Miknis, G. F.; Press, N. J.; Grandjean, D.; Taylor, G. M.; Harling, J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 6739.
- 73 Denmark, S. E.; Weber, E. J.; Wilson, T. M.; Willson, T. M.; *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 1053.
- 74 Paulsen, H.; Schüller, M.; Heitmann, A.; Nashed, M. A.; Redlich, H.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1986**, 675.
- 75 (a) Marshall, J. A.; DeHoff, B. S.; Crooks, S. L.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 527. (b) Marshall, J. A.; Markwalder, J. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 4811. (c) Marshall, J. A.; Gung, W. Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 1657. (d) Marshall, J. A.; Crooks, S. L.; DeHoff, B. S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 1616. (e) Marshall, J. A.; Gung, W. Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 309. (f) Denmark, S. E.; Weber, E. J.; Wilson, T. M.; Willson, T. M.; *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 1053.
- 76 Pearson, A. J.; Neagu, I. B.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 2890.
- 77 (a) Marshall, J. A.; Gung, W. Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 309. (b) Magnus, P.; *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 1397. (c) Magnus, P.; Miknis, G. F.; Press, N. J.; Grandjean, D.; Taylor, G. M.; Harling, J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 6739.
- 78 (a) Benvegnu, T.; Schio, L.; Le Floch, Y.; Grée, R.; *Synlett* **1994**, *7*, 505. (b) Bärmann, H.; Prahlad, V.; Tao, C.; Yun, Y. K.; Wang, Z.; Donaldson, W. A.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 2283.
- 79 Marshall, J. A.; Sehon, C. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 4313.
- 80 Tius, M. A.; Gu, X.-qin; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 1171.
- 81 Denmark, S. E.; Marcin, L. R.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 1675.

Fétizon's Reagent: Silver Carbonate on Celite[®]

7.1. Introduction



In 1955, Rapoport *et al.*¹ showed that silver carbonate—when prepared from aqueous silver nitrate and sodium bicarbonate—is able to oxidize some alcohols in refluxing benzene under neutral conditions. The preparation of the resulting active silver carbonate involved time-consuming filtering and washing steps. In 1961, King *et al.*² showed that less reactive commercial silver carbonate was equally effective under more stringent conditions, using refluxing toluene or xylene.

An important breakthrough in the oxidation of organic compounds with silver carbonate happened in 1968, when Fétizon *et al.*³ showed that when silver carbonate is generated from aqueous silver nitrate and sodium carbonate (or potassium bicarbonate) in the presence of Celite[®], a form of silver carbonate on Celite[®] is generated that is very easily filtered and washed, and possesses an enhanced reactivity. The resulting so-called Fétizon's reagent is normally employed in refluxing benzene for the heterogeneous oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes and ketones. Fétizon's reagent is a very mild oxidant, possessing very diverse oxidation capabilities for alcohols differing in minor structural features. It is therefore a very useful, although expensive oxidant for alcohols, whenever very mild conditions or selective oxidations of polyols are required.


Section 7.1. References

- 1 (a) Rapoport, H.; Reist, H. N.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1955**, *77*, 490. (b) Rapoport, H.; Baker, D. R.; Reist, H. N.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1957**, *22*, 1489.
- 2 King, W.; Penprase, W. G.; Kloetzel, M. C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1961**, *26*, 3558.
- 3 Fétizon, M.; Golfier, M.; *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris (C)* **1968**, *267*, 900.

7.2. Fétizon's Oxidation

The available experimental data⁴ are consistent with the following mechanism for the oxidation of alcohols with silver carbonate on Celite[®]:


- The alcohol is reversibly chemisorbed on the surface of silver carbonate.
- The plane of the H-C-O-H atoms adopts a perpendicular arrangement against the surface of an oxidant particle.
- The oxidation proceeds via a highly symmetric transition state whereby the oxygen from the alcohol complexes with a silver cation, while another silver cation interacts with the hydrogen at the α -position of the alcohol (see below).
- The resulting stoichiometry of the reaction is:




The initial chemisorption step can be prevented by many ligands including quite weak ones. Thus, Fétizon's oxidation must be performed in very apolar solvents because even solvents with very weak basicity, such as ethyl acetate or methyl ethyl ketone, severely inhibit the oxidation.^{4c} That is why, Fétizon's oxidation is routinely performed in boiling benzene, which is

a very apolar solvent with the added advantage of allowing the elimination of water produced during the oxidation by azeotropic distillation. The water generated during the oxidation can compete with the alcohol for chemisorption on the surface of the oxidant particles and greatly retard the consumption of the alcohol.

Interestingly, when solvents possessing a lower polarity than benzene—such as heptane—are employed, a substantial acceleration of the oxidation can be observed. Thus, endo-2-norbornanol (**70**) is oxidized 11 times faster in heptane than in benzene.⁵ In fact, even weak ligands such as alkenes can produce a substantial slowing of the oxidation. For example, endo-2-norbornenol (**71**) reacts 50 times slower than endo-2-norbornanol (**70**) with Fétizon's reagent.⁵



70




71

Unsurprisingly, examples from successful oxidations of alcohols possessing other polar functionalities with Fétizon's reagent are quite absent from the literature.


Optimum oxidation conditions involve a maximum of silver carbonate surface available for chemisorption. That is why, increasing the amount of Celite[®] on which silver carbonate is precipitated produces a higher rate of oxidation. Although, above a value of 900 g of Celite[®] per mol of silver carbonate, a slight decrease of oxidation speed is observed resulting from a dilution effect.^{4c}

The chemisorption of the alcohol on the silver carbonate surface, being a heterogeneous process, depends on efficient mechanical mixing; something that is influenced, for example, by stirring speed and vigorous boiling. This causes variable oxidation speeds on reactions with Fétizon's reagent performed under conditions as identical as possible.^{4b} Completely faithful replication of results must not be expected for the oxidation of alcohols with Fétizon's reagent.

Although a certain acceleration of oxidation speed is observed for unsaturated alcohols versus saturated ones³ and for secondary alcohols versus primary ones,⁶ the major factor affecting oxidation velocity is the accessibility of the alcohol α -hydrogen to the surface of the oxidant. Thus, the 5 α -androstane-2 β -ol (**72**), possessing a readily accessible α -hydrogen on an unhindered equatorial position, is oxidized 25 times faster than the 2 α epimer (**73**), having an axial α -hydrogen close to an axial methyl group.




72




73

Similarly, compound **74** is oxidized 6 times quicker than the epimer **75** that possesses a less accessible α -hydrogen.^{4c}




74



75

Because of the mildness of Fétizon's reagent and its sensitivity to minor structural features, this oxidant is particularly well-suited for the monooxidation of symmetric diols⁷ and for the oxidation of 1,2-diols in which one of the alcohols is tertiary.⁸



Ref. 6a

The use of Fétizon's reagent allows the monooxidation of a symmetrical diol with 83% yield.

7.2.1. Preparation of Fétizon's Reagent⁹

The Celite[®] support is purified by washing with MeOH, containing 10% of concentrated HCl, and with distilled water till neutrality. Finally, it is dried at 120°C.

30 g of Celite[®] are added to a stirred solution of 34 g (200 mmol) of silver carbonate (MW = 275.75) in 200 mL of distilled water. A solution of 30 g (105 mmol) of Na₂CO₃ (MW = 286.14), or, alternatively, 21 g (210 mmol) of KHCO₃ (MW = 100.12) in 300 mL of distilled water are slowly added to the stirred suspension. Stirring is continued for 10 min after the addition was complete, and the resulting yellow-green precipitate is filtered and dried at the rotary evaporator during several hours. The resulting silver carbonate on Celite[®] contains about 1 mmol of silver carbonate per 0.57 g.


7.2.2. General Procedure for Oxidation of Alcohols with Fétizon's Reagent

From 1 to 10 g (ca. 5–15 equivalents)—typically 3 g—of silver carbonate on Celite[®] per mmol of alcohol are added to a ca. 0.01–0.15 M solution of the alcohol in dry^a benzene.^b The resulting suspension is refluxed till most of the starting alcohol is consumed.^c The suspended solid is filtered, employing filter paper or a pad of Celite[®], and washed with benzene or other organic solvent. Concentration of the organic solution at the rotary evaporator yields the crude carbonyl compound that may need further purification.

^a Wet benzene can be used, in which case the water present must be eliminated by removal of a portion of benzene at the beginning of the distillation. As water is produced during the oxidation, it may be advisable to remove it continuously by performing an azeotropic distillation with an attached Dean-Stark apparatus.


^b A higher boiling aromatic hydrocarbon, such as toluene, xylenes or chlorobenzene, can be employed for a quicker reaction. Very apolar solvents, such as heptane, can be very effective.

^c It normally takes between 1 and 26—typically 3—hours. Hindered alcohols may not react at all.




Ref. 10

An alcohol is oxidized with Fétizon's reagent in the presence of a very oxidation-sensitive dialkoxy alkene that, for instance, suffers selective cleavage with no reaction on the alcohol moiety on contact with PCC.



Ref. 11

The oxidation of this alcohol can be carried out employing Fétizon's reagent under simple experimental conditions with quantitative yield. Alternatively, a Swern oxidation can be used resulting in 92% yield.




7.2.3. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Fétizon's Oxidation

As Fétizon's oxidation is carried out under neutral conditions, acid- and base-sensitive protecting groups resist its action. The oxidation-sensitive *p*-methoxybenzyl (PMB) protecting group resists the action of Fétizon's reagent.¹²

Phenols suffer oxidation to quinones and oxidative dimerizations under the action of silver carbonate on Celite[®].¹³


Tertiary propargylic alcohols suffer a very easy fragmentation under the action of Fétizon's reagent.¹⁴

Fétizon's reagent has a great tendency to oxidize lactols to lactones, relative to the oxidation of primary and secondary alcohols.^{4c} Therefore, this reagent is very often able to transform lactols into lactones in the presence of unreacting alcohols.¹⁵



A corollary of this selectivity is the very easy transformation of diols into lactones with silver carbonate on Celite[®].¹⁶ During the oxidation of a diol with Fétizon's reagent, as soon as an intermediate hydroxyaldehyde is able to equilibrate with a certain proportion of hemiacetal—even if present

in a very small amount—the hemiacetal can be selectively oxidized to a lactone. Thus, not only 1,4- and 1,5-diols are transformed into respectively γ - and δ -lactones, but also 1,6-diols can be converted into seven-membered lactones,^{16b} which are more difficult to obtain with other reagents.



α -Diols possessing the CHOH-CHOH moiety can either suffer an uneventful oxidation to an α -diketone or a C-C bond breakage with Fétizon's reagent, depending on minor structural differences.¹⁷

Halohydrins are transformed into epoxides or into transposed products on contact with silver carbonate on Celite[®].¹⁸

Although amines can react with Fétizon's reagent resulting in the formation of enamines¹⁹ or imminium cations that can be trapped *in situ*,²⁰ it is very often possible to oxidize alcohols without affecting tertiary amines in the same molecule.²¹

7.2.4. Side Reactions

1,3-Diols are sometimes transformed with Fétizon's reagent into an intermediate β -hydroxycarbonyl compound, which suffers water elimination resulting in the formation of an enone.^{6a}

Section 7.2. References

- 4 (a) Fétizon, M.; Golfier, M.; Mourgues, P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1972, 4445. (b) Kakis, F. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* 1973, 38, 2536. (c) Kakis, F. J.; Fétizon, M.; Douchkine, N.; Golfier, M.; Mourgues, P.; Prange, T.; *J. Org. Chem.* 1974, 39, 523.
- 5 Eckert-Maksić, M.; Tušek, L.; Sunko, D. E.; *Croat. Chim. Acta* **1971**, 43, 79.
- 6 (a) Fétizon, M.; Golfier, M.; Louis, J.-M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1969**, 1102. See however: (b) Kurth, M. J.; Yu, C.-M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, 50, 1840.
- 7 (a) Ref. 6a. (b) Hamon, D. P. G.; Krippner, G. Y.; Pehlivanades, S.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1992**, 355.


- 8 (a) Terashima, S.; Tanno, N.; Koga, K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980**, *21*, 2749. (b) Takeuchi, K.; Ikai, K.; Yoshida, M.; Tsugeno, A.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 5681. (c) Irvine, R. W.; Kinloch, S. A.; McCormick, A. S.; Russell, R. A.; Warrenner, R. N.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 4591. (d) Takeuchi, K.; Akiyama, F.; Ikai, K.; Shibata, T.; Kato, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 873.
- 9 (a) Fétizon, M.; Golfier, M.; *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris (C)* **1968**, *267*, 900. (b) Balogh, V.; Fétizon, M.; Golfier, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1971**, *36*, 1339. (c) González de la Parra, M.; Hutchinson, C. R.; *J. Antibiot.* **1987**, *40*, 1170.
- 10 Fétizon, M.; Goulaouic, P.; Hanna, I.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 6261.
- 11 Lee, T. V.; Channon, J. A.; Cregg, C.; Porter, J. R.; Roden, F. S.; Yeoh, H. T-L.; *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 5877.
- 12 Mitchell, I. S.; Pattenden, G.; Stonehouse, J. P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 493.
- 13 (a) Balogh, V.; Fétizon, M.; Golfier, M.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1969**, *8*, 444. (b) Balogh, V.; Fétizon, M.; Golfier, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1971**, *36*, 1339. (c) McKillop, A.; Young, D. W.; *Synthesis* **1979**, 401. (d) Hauser, F. M.; Hewawasam, P.; Baghdanov, V. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 223. (e) Ijaz, A. S.; Parrick, J.; Yahya, A.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1990**, *4*, 116. (f) Hauser, F. M.; Takeuchi, C.; Yin, H.; Corlett, S. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 258.
- 14 Lenz, G. R.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1972**, 468.
- 15 (a) Zelle, R. E.; DeNinno, M. P.; Selnick, H. G.; Danishefsky, S. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 5032. (b) Burke, S. D.; Shankaran, K.; Helber, M. J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 4655. (c) Ryu, Y.; Kim, G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 103.
- 16 (a) Fétizon, M.; Golfier, M.; Louis, J.-M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1969**, 1102. (b) Fétizon, M.; Golfier, M.; Louis, J.-M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1969**, 1118. (c) Fétizon, M.; Golfier, M.; Louis, J.-M.; *Tetrahedron* **1975**, *31*, 171. (d) Jones, P. S.; Ley, S. V.; Simpkins, N. S.; Whittle, A. J.; *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 6519.
- 17 (a) Thuan, S.-L.-T.; Maitte, P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, 2027. (b) Thuan, S.-L.-T.; Wiemann, J.; *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris (C)* **1971**, *272*, 233.
- 18 Fétizon, M.; Golfier, M.; Montauffer, M. T.; Rens, J.; *Tetrahedron* **1975**, *31*, 987.
- 19 Büchi, G.; Wüest, H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1971**, *36*, 609.
- 20 Khuong, -Huu, F.; Herlem, D.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1970**, 3649.
- 21 Gonikberg, E. M.; le Noble, W. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 7751.

Selective Oxidations of Allylic and Benzylic Alcohols in the Presence of Saturated Alcohols

8.1. Introduction



In the 40's, during studies on the preparation of retinene, Ball *et al.* needed to oxidized vitamin A (**76**) to the corresponding aldehyde **77**.



A small yield of the aldehyde was obtained using potassium permanganate. Therefore, they embarked on a detailed exploration on the experimental conditions for best yield. It became apparent that best results were obtained when a dark precipitate of MnO_2 was formed by decomposition of potassium permanganate in aqueous solution.¹ In fact, it was found that vitamin A (**76**) could be efficiently oxidized by shaking a solution in light petroleum in the presence of an excess of suspended manganese dioxide. Different types of manganese dioxide showed very diverse oxidizing efficiency. It was very fortunate that they prepared manganese dioxide in a finely divided very active form by mixing aqueous solutions of manganese sulfate (MnSO_4) and potassium permanganate (KMnO_4), because the commercial samples were much less efficient.

Active manganese dioxide was used by Canonica in 1947² for the oxidation of oximes into nitrocompounds before the seminal publication of Ball *et al.* on the oxidation of vitamin A (**76**). Canonica prepared active manganese dioxide by reacting MnCl_2 with KMnO_4 . In fact the oxidation power of precipitated manganese dioxide is known since the 1870's.³


Section 8.1. References

- 1 Ball, S.; Goodwin, T. W.; Morton, R. A.; *Biochem. J.* **1948**, *42*, 516.
- 2 Canonica, L.; *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1947**, *77*, 92.
- 3 Fatiadi, A. J.; *J. Chem. Soc. (B)* **1971**, 889.

8.2. Manganese Dioxide (MnO₂)


Manganese dioxide very soon became a widely used standard oxidant for the transformation of allylic and benzylic alcohols into aldehydes and ketones.⁴ It offers very mild conditions and is extremely selective for allylic and benzylic alcohols when it is not employed at a high temperature. On the other hand, the work-up of oxidations with MnO₂ is very simple, involving just filtration of suspended solid and elimination of solvent.

One important property of MnO₂ is its very high selectivity for the oxidation of allylic and benzylic alcohols versus saturated alcohols. Although, MnO₂ is able to oxidize saturated alcohols,⁵ this reaction involves prolonged heating, while the oxidation of allylic and benzylic alcohols is normally carried out during a few hours at room temperature. Not surprisingly, MnO₂ is the most common oxidant for the selective oxidation of allylic and benzylic alcohols in the presence of saturated alcohols. On the other hand, because of the efficiency of this reagent and the simple experimental protocols involved in its use, MnO₂ is a good choice for the standard oxidation of allylic and benzylic alcohols. Also, when no selectivity is needed because of the absence of other alcohols.



The selectivity of active MnO₂ for the oxidation of allylic and benzylic alcohols can be explained either by the formation of a π -complex between the olefin or the aromatic ring in the alcohol,^{24b} and some Lewis acid site on the surface of MnO₂ particles or by the favourable thermodynamics involved in the formation of a carbonyl conjugated with an unsaturated system.⁷ Interestingly, alcohols, whose oxidations result in carbonyls conjugated with cyclopropane rings,⁸ or alcohols possessing heteroatoms closely

positioned to the alcohol functionality and able to form complexes with Lewis acid sites,⁹ can be oxidized under very mild conditions with active MnO_2 .



The oxidizing power of MnO_2 depends widely on the exact preparation of the material.¹⁰ Thus, its reactivity can vary from MnO_2 in the form of the crystalline mineral pyrolusite, which is almost completely unable to oxidize alcohols at room temperature, to highly active forms that are dangerous because they may cause the spontaneous inflammation of organic solvents.¹¹

The activity of a certain sample of manganese dioxide can be measured either by the method of Weedon and Woods,¹² involving oxidation of cinnamic alcohol in petroleum ether at 20°C , or by the method of Fatiadi,^{4b} involving reaction with benzenhexol.

Obviously, for the sake of consistency and reproducibility, it is advisable to adhere to an accepted standard protocol for the preparation of samples of MnO_2 possessing a suitable oxidizing power. Attenburrow *et al.*¹³ described in 1952, a detailed procedure for the preparation of MnO_2 by mixing aqueous manganese sulfate and potassium permanganate in a basic medium. Some modifications of this procedure, involving changes in the pH of the reaction medium and in the isolation of dry MnO_2 , were later suggested by other authors.¹⁴ The employment of Attenburrow manganese dioxide, either prepared as in the original protocol or according to some of its modifications, is advisable because it facilitates the replication of synthetic results in diverse laboratories.

A number of vendors offer samples of active manganese dioxide prepared according to poorly disclosed procedures, which nevertheless are very efficient in the selective oxidation of allylic and benzylic alcohols. Although, good oxidation yields can be obtained using such samples of MnO_2 , it may be advisable to describe in scientific journals oxidations performed with MnO_2 prepared in the researcher's own laboratory using clearly disclosed procedures. Chemical journals are depositories of experimental data that can be very useful in many years to come. There is no guarantee that a certain chemical company will provide consistent samples of MnO_2 during a very prolonged time.

Very often, even in the best chemical journals, oxidations are described in which no information whatsoever is given regarding the nature of the active MnO₂ employed. Referees and editors must be aware in order to avoid this to happen.

Because of the time-consuming preparation of Attenburrow active manganese dioxide, the use of a number of more readily available types of active MnO₂ was proposed. These include employing:

- MnO₂ prepared by thermal decomposition of manganese carbonate or oxalate¹¹
- Crystalline MnO₂ activated with ultrasounds¹⁵ or by heating with nitric acid¹⁶
- MnO₂ deposited on charcoal¹⁷ or on alumina¹⁸
- MnO₂ deposited on silica¹⁹ or on bentonite,²⁰ used with no solvent and applying microwaves


The use of so-called chemical manganese dioxide (CMD), which is employed in the manufacture of batteries and available at a low price, is particularly interesting,²¹ although some lack of reproducibility in oxidations with CMD has been attributed to unequal oxidizing power of CMD samples of diverse commercial origin.²²

Studies on the mechanism of oxidation of alcohols with MnO₂ have met a number of difficulties including: i) the heterogeneous nature of the reaction, and ii) the very diverse oxidation power of MnO₂ samples of different origin. Additionally, there is no absolute certainty regarding the chemical nature of the real reagent in the oxidation of allylic alcohols with excess of MnO₂ at room temperature. A number of circumstantial evidences point to the involvement of a chemical species different from MnO₂. Thus, MnO₂ must be employed in an excess, raising the possibility that an impurity present in small amounts is the real oxidant. Furthermore, the best results are obtained using MnO₂ with a content of water 4–8%^{4a} and MnO₂ samples containing a greater amount of impurities tend to be the most chemically active.²³ Regardless of these facts, different researchers focused on the involvement of plain MnO₂ in order to offer a mechanistic view on the oxidation of alcohols with the active reagent.


There are less doubts regarding the involvement of plain manganese dioxide in reactions carried out at temperatures higher than room temperature. At high temperatures, there is no need to employ such a great excess of MnO₂ and the origin of the reagent seems not to be so important.

The experimental facts are consistent with a mechanism involving the complexation of the alcohol on the surface of MnO₂ particles, perhaps aided by the presence of foreign ions,²⁴ followed by oxidation and desorption of the carbonyl compound.¹ This explains that the oxidations of allylic and benzylic alcohols are best performed in apolar solvents that do not compete with the alcohols for adsorption on MnO₂ particles, and the fact that MnO₂ samples possessing particles with a greater surface tend to have the greatest activity.^{24b}


Pratt and van de Castle^{14c} suggested a radical mechanism because it is consistent with the limited influence of diverse electron-releasing and –withdrawing groups on the *para* position of benzylic alcohols during its oxidation with active manganese dioxide, something that excludes charged intermediates. A mechanism via radicals, as in Equation below, was not contradicted by subsequent experimental data,²⁵ including the observation of a very high isotopic effect during the oxidation of deuterated benzylic alcohols,²⁶ and was favoured by several research groups.



On the other hand, Hall and Story²⁷ in 1967 presented evidences of the involvement of an intermediate manganese ester. This prompted the proposal by Goldman²⁶ of a refined radical mechanism, as in the following Equation below, including such intermediate.



Alternatively, according to Kwart and George,²⁸ the available experimental data are coherent with a hydrogen transfer by way of a cyclic five-membered transition state. A mechanism as in Equation below would be consistent both with a manganese ester intermediate and with the five-membered transition state suggested by Kwart and George.



Interestingly, it has been proved that MnO_2 can catalyze the oxidation of certain alcohols with gaseous oxygen.²⁹

The selective oxidation of benzylic and allylic alcohols with active manganese dioxide in the presence of saturated alcohols is normally carried out by stirring or shaking a solution of the alcohol in an organic solvent in the presence of 5–20 equivalents of suspended active MnO_2 .

Due to the great excess of active MnO_2 employed, the bulk of MnO_2 is not consumed during the oxidation of alcohols. This allows the recycling of used active MnO_2 by simple heating at 110°C during 24 h.³⁰

The reaction is best done using a solvent as apolar as possible because polar solvents compete with the alcohol by interaction on the surface of the

MnO₂ particles. Saturated hydrocarbons, like petroleum ether, pentane, hexane or cyclohexane, are excellent choices because of its negligible interaction with MnO₂. Although, as these saturated hydrocarbons possess a limited solubilizing power for many organic compounds, oxidations with MnO₂ are most often carried out in dichloromethane, chloroform or diethyl ether. More polar solvents can be used nevertheless in MnO₂ oxidations, in spite of the resulting partial inactivation of active MnO₂. Thus, solvents like acetone, EtOAc, benzene, toluene, THF, dioxane, MeCN and even DMF or DMSO can be employed in oxidations with MnO₂ at room temperature. The use of alcohols, such as MeOH, EtOH or *i*-PrOH, is not advisable because they strongly compete with the substrate for adsorption on the surface of the MnO₂ particles.³¹ Partial deactivation of MnO₂ was observed with acetone, EtOAc and DMSO. MeCN suffers slow hydrolysis to acetamide on contact with active MnO₂.^{4b} THF is slowly oxidized with MnO₂, resulting in the formation of 1,4-butanediol.^{25b}

Interestingly, oxidation of alcohols with active MnO₂ can be performed with no solvent.³² Under these conditions, aliphatic secondary alcohols can be oxidized at room temperature and with reasonable yields.³³

It is not advisable to employ a temperature higher than room temperature during the selective oxidation of allylic and benzylic alcohols with MnO₂ in the presence of saturated alcohols, because partial oxidation of the saturated alcohols can occur. When no such regioselectivity is needed, mild heating can be applied in order to accelerate the oxidation of refractory unsaturated alcohols. Care must be taken in order to avoid overheating because at high temperatures active manganese dioxide behaves as a very strong oxidant able to react with many functionalities, including aromatic compounds^{38a} and olefins.³⁴

Some unsaturated alcohols resist reaction with MnO₂ due to steric reasons. Sometimes, epimeric unsaturated alcohols possess very different reactivities versus active MnO₂, which points to the possible involvement of little-investigated stereo-electronic effects.³⁵

During the oxidation of alcohols with active MnO₂, water is produced that can partially inactivate the active MnO₂ or generate a brown mud. This can be avoided by performing the oxidation in a boiling aromatic solvent^{14c} with azeotropic elimination of water, or—without any need to heat—by adding activated molecular sieves.^{21d,e} Interestingly, the azeotropic elimination of water does not remove water molecules strongly bound to the MnO₂, which are necessary for the oxidation activity of this oxidant.³⁶

An interesting experimental modification of the standard protocol for the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols with active manganese dioxide, first described by Wald in 1948,³⁷ involves the percolation of a solution of the alcohol through a column of active MnO₂.^{10c}

Preparation of Attenburrow Manganese Dioxide

A 3.3 M aqueous solution of manganese sulfate monohydrate^a ($\text{MnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$, MW = 169.02) and 1170 mL of a 40% NaOH aqueous solution are simultaneously added to a hot stirred 1.0 M aqueous potassium permanganate (KMnO_4 , MW = 158.04) solution. The beginning of the addition of both solutions is coincidental in time, while the $\text{MnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution is poured for 60 min and while the 40% NaOH solution is added for 45 min^b The temperature of the KMnO_4 solution is set at 80°C at the beginning of the addition of the $\text{MnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ and 40% NaOH solutions. Heat is evolved and the KMnO_4 solution must be kept at 80–90°C. Once the addition of the $\text{MnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution is finished, the reaction mixture is stirred at 80–90°C during additional 60 min.

The resulting suspension of MnO_2 is filtered while still hot^c and washed with a copious amount of hot water till the filtrate is almost neutral to litmus.^{d,e}

The MnO_2 is dried in an oven at 105–125°C during 2–3 days,^f with occasional grinding of the material.

It is advisable to store the MnO_2 at low temperature in a stoppered bottle in order to delay ageing.^{38b}

^a The tetrahydrate can also be used.

^b According to the original Attenburrow protocol, both solutions are poured along 60 min. Pratt *et al.*³⁸ reported that adding the 40% NaOH solution during the first 45 min results in the formation of MnO_2 particles, which are easier to filter and wash. This avoids the need to separate the MnO_2 by centrifugation.

^c Some authors let the MnO_2 suspension to stand overnight before the separation of MnO_2 .³⁹ This may result in ageing of the MnO_2 and some loss of activity.

^d Failure to make a thorough washing with water may result in MnO_2 producing unwanted side reactions in base-sensitive substrates.³⁹

^e It is advisable to perform the water washings within one day in order to obtain MnO_2 with the highest activity.³⁹

^f Both under- and over-drying result in MnO_2 of significant lesser activity.¹³ MnO_2 of the highest activity is found to contain 4–8% of water.^{4a} While some authors recommend to heat the MnO_2 at 125°C during 24 h^{38b} or during more than 2 days,^{14c,38a} others⁴⁰ recommend not to exceed 105°C. Quite expectedly, authors, subjecting the MnO_2 to heating at 125°C, recommend to let the MnO_2 to equilibrate with atmospheric moisture during several days,^{14c,38} undoubtedly in order to compensate for the excess of water removed during heating at 125°C.

It is not recommended to employ organic solvents to dry the MnO_2 because this may produce loss of activity.^{5b}

8.2.1. General Procedure for Selective Oxidation of Allylic, Benzylic and Propargylic Alcohols with MnO₂

A suspension of ca. 6–50 equivalents, typically 5–20 equivalents, of active MnO₂ in a ca. 0.02–0.2 M solution of the alcohol in a dry^a organic solvent^b is vigorously^c shaken at room temperature^d till most of the unsaturated alcohol is oxidized.^e

The reaction mixture is filtered either using filter paper or a Celite[®] pad. The MnO₂ is washed with plenty of hot organic solvent and the collected organic phases are concentrated.


^a For the highest activity, active MnO₂ must contain a precise amount of water. The addition of surplus water in the solvent may produce deactivation.

^b Apolar organic solvents give best results because they do not compete with the alcohol for adsorption on the MnO₂ particles. Ideally, the oxidation can be carried out in very apolar solvents, like petroleum ether, pentane, hexane or cyclohexane. Because these solvents have a limited solubilizing power for many organic compounds, normally the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols is performed in CH₂Cl₂ or chloroform because these solvents offer a good balance of solubilizing power versus apolarity. Other solvents less frequently used for oxidation with active MnO₂ include Et₂O, acetone, EtOAc and benzene. Oxidation with active MnO₂ can be performed in more polar solvents, such as THF, dioxane, MeCN, and even MeOH or water. THF and MeCN are known to react slowly with active MnO₂.

^c The reaction mixture must be vigorously shaken for maximum reaction speed.



^d Increasing the temperature above room temperature is not advisable, regardless of a convenient shortening of reaction time, because aliphatic alcohols can be oxidized with MnO₂ above room temperature at an appreciable rate.

^e Normally, it takes about 1–70 h. A substantial longer reaction time is necessary in the oxidation of hindered allylic and benzylic alcohols. Benzylic alcohols tend to demand longer oxidation times than allylic alcohols.



Ref. 14a

An allylic alcohol is regioselectively oxidized with active MnO₂ at room temperature in the presence of two saturated alcohols.




8.2.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oxidation with MnO_2

Not surprisingly, the oxidation power of active MnO_2 depends very strongly on the temperature. Thus, although active MnO_2 at a high temperature behaves as a very strong and unselective oxidant; when it is used at room temperature, it is highly selective for the oxidation of allylic and benzylic alcohols. It is very important to highlight this fact, because a literature search reveals that MnO_2 is able to oxidize many functionalities, including amines⁴³ and alkenes,³⁴ while at the same time it is possible to perform selective oxidations of allylic and benzylic alcohols with MnO_2 in


the presence of most other functional groups, provided that the reaction temperature is not high.

The reactivity of amines versus active MnO_2 increases in the order of tertiary < secondary < primary amine. Thus, normally tertiary amines do not interfere⁴⁴ with the selective oxidation of allylic and benzylic alcohols, unless the alcohols are very hindered.⁴⁵ Secondary amines tend not to interfere,⁴⁶ although cases are known in which secondary amines are selectively oxidized⁴⁷ with active MnO_2 in the presence of these alcohols.



Ref. 47

In this interesting case, a secondary amine, which is very oxidation-sensitive because of its tendency to be aromatized to an indole, is selectively oxidized with active MnO_2 in the presence of a benzylic alcohol.




Ref. 46a

Two benzylic alcohols are selectively oxidized with active MnO_2 in the presence of two secondary amines. In a similar compound, possessing two methoxy substituents in the place of the two fluorine atoms, the corresponding oxidation provides a modest 34% yield of the desired dialdehyde. This happens because the methoxy substituents render the amines more oxidation-sensitive.

The number of published selective oxidations of allylic or benzylic alcohols with active MnO_2 in the presence of primary amines is very limited.⁴⁸ The published cases involve aromatic primary amines possessing an

electron-poor aromatic ring; that is, successful cases of selective oxidations involve those primary amines that possess lesser sensitivity to oxidation.

Amines tend to react with carbonyl groups resulting from oxidation of alcohols when this leads to formation of stable cyclic imines.⁴⁹




Ref. 48b

A benzylic alcohol is selectively oxidized with active MnO_2 in the presence of a primary amine. This primary amine is relatively refractory to oxidation because it is a hindered electron-poor aniline.

1,2- and 1,4-diphenols, not surprisingly, are very easily oxidized by active MnO_2 to the corresponding quinones.^{21d} Other phenols require harsher conditions for the oxidation with MnO_2 , resulting in oxidative dimerizations^{21d} or formation of quinones.⁵⁰ There are several published examples in which allylic or benzylic alcohols are selectively oxidized in the presence of free phenols (see pages 290 and 300).⁵¹


Unsurprisingly, lactols possessing the hydroxy group at an allylic position are easily oxidized with active MnO_2 at room temperature in the presence of unreacting saturated alcohols.⁵²



Ref. 52a

An allylic lactol is oxidized to the corresponding lactone with active MnO_2 in the presence of two secondary saturated alcohols.


Interestingly, saturated lactols are quite easily oxidized to lactones with active MnO_2 ; thus, being possible to oxidize such lactols in the presence of unreacting saturated alcohols.⁵³




Ref. 53b

Active MnO_2 is able to oxidize a saturated lactol at room temperature in the presence of a saturated secondary alcohol.


Normally, it is possible to perform selective oxidation of allylic and benzylic alcohols with MnO₂ in the presence of free carboxylic acids.⁵⁴ α -Hydroxycarboxylic acids suffer an oxidative breakage on contact with active MnO₂.⁵⁵



Most sulfur compounds resist the action of active MnO₂ at low temperature. For instance, organic sulfides resist active MnO₂ during the oxidation of allylic and benzylic alcohols.⁵⁷ Thiols, being sulfur compounds with a greater oxidation sensitivity, are oxidized to disulfides.⁵⁶



Alkenes are normally inert to active MnO_2 under the mild reaction conditions used in the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols. Nevertheless, occasionally minor amounts of enones resulting from the oxidation of alkenes at the allylic position are obtained.^{34b}




Because of the almost neutral character of active MnO_2 , this reagent does not affect protecting groups with sensitivity to basic or acid conditions. Although, MnO_2 is slightly basic, specially when it is not thoroughly washed with water during its preparation,³⁹ base-sensitive substrates, such as acetate esters,⁵⁸ resist its action during the oxidation of allylic and benzylic alcohols.


Oxidation-sensitive protecting groups, such as *p*-methoxybenzyl ethers⁵⁹ and esters,⁶⁰ resist the action of active MnO_2 during the oxidation of allylic and benzylic alcohols.

8.2.3. Reactions Performed *in situ* During Oxidations with MnO_2

It is possible to subject aldehydes and ketones obtained by oxidation with MnO_2 to subsequent reactions in the same pot, thanks to the mildness of MnO_2 , which is compatible with many reagents. For example, aldehydes and ketones obtained using MnO_2 can be reacted *in situ* with stabilized phosphoranes.⁶¹ This protocol was first described by Taylor and Wei, who found that allylic, propargylic and benzylic primary alcohols could be directly transformed into unsaturated esters by oxidation with active MnO_2 in the presence of a stabilized phosphorane of the kind $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}=\text{CR}-\text{CO}_2\text{R}'$.




Interestingly, Taylor’s team later proved that the tandem MnO₂ oxidation-Wittig reaction also succeeds, not only with “semi-activated” alcohols—like cyclopropylmethanols—and alcohols possessing heteroatoms at the α-position—but also with saturated alcohols. Quite puzzlingly, the tandem reaction of saturated alcohols with active MnO₂, followed by *in situ* reaction with stabilized phosphoranes, succeeds under experimental conditions in which no efficient oxidation of aliphatic alcohols is observed in the absence of a Wittig reagent. The authors speculate that MnO₂ on contact with saturated alcohols leads to an equilibrium, containing a small proportion of aldehyde, that is shifted to the right by reaction of the aldehyde with a Wittig reagent.⁷




Similar results were observed by Davies and McKervery in the tandem MnO₂ oxidation-Wittig reaction of alcohols derived from β-aminoacids, which can somehow be considered to belong to a “semi-activated” kind.³⁰

It is important to note that this tandem MnO₂ oxidation-Wittig reaction is particularly useful when the intermediate aldehydes are difficult to isolate. For example, it allows successful Wittig reactions on α-ketoaldehydes,^{61e} which are compounds inconvenient to isolate because of their very high reactivity.


Very recently, Taylor’s team,^{61f} after considerable exploratory chemistry, found experimental conditions whereby tandem MnO₂ oxidation-Wittig reaction operations can be performed using non-stabilized Wittig reagents. Best results are obtained employing pre-dried active MnO₂ in the presence of Ti(O*i*-Pr)₄, and 1-methyl-1,5,7-triazabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-5-ene (MTBD) (**78**) acting as a strong base.




When an oxidation with MnO_2 leads to an enone containing a properly positioned amine, an intramolecular conjugated addition of the amine to the enone can occur, resulting in a useful one-pot oxidation followed by heterocycle formation.⁶³




During the formation of aldehydes or ketones with active MnO_2 , sometimes an amine condenses intramolecularly resulting in the formation of imines.⁴⁹



Taylor and Blackburn proved⁶⁴ that the *in situ* condensation of an aldehyde with an amine can be made to occur in an intermolecular fashion. Thus, treatment of primary allylic, propargylic and benzylic alcohols with active MnO₂ in the presence of diverse primary amines and molecular sieves in boiling CH₂Cl₂ leads to the selective oxidation of the alcohols in the presence of the primary amines and to the formation of the corresponding imines by reaction of the alcohols with the intermediate aldehydes.




It is possible to make a one-pot transformation of primary unsaturated alcohols into nitriles by adding a solution of NH₃ in isopropanol to a mixture of the alcohol, active MnO₂ and magnesium sulfate in THF. The unsaturated alcohol is initially oxidized to an aldehyde that condenses with ammonia—with the assistance of MgSO₄ operating as a dehydrating agent—delivering an imine that is further oxidized to a nitrile with MnO₂.⁶⁵




As a further exhibition of juggling chemistry, Taylor's team proved⁶⁶ that it is possible to perform an additional *in situ* reaction by reducing the imine with polymer-supported cyanoborohydride (PSCBH)⁶⁴ or, more conveniently, with plain NaBH₄.^{66b} Thus, for example treatment of a mixture of an unsaturated primary alcohol, a primary amine, molecular sieves and MeOH in CH₂Cl₂ with active MnO₂ leads to the oxidation of the alcohol to an aldehyde that condenses with the amine providing an imine, which in turn is reduced with NaBH₄, resulting in the formation of

a new amine. The last reaction, involving a reduction with NaBH_4 in CH_2Cl_2 , is greatly accelerated by the addition of MeOH at the end of the protocol.


It may seem shocking that an oxidant— MnO_2 —and a reducing agent— NaBH_4 —are acting simultaneously in the same medium. In fact, this is not exactly the case, because NaBH_4 is very insoluble in CH_2Cl_2 and it hardly reacts while the MnO_2 oxidation is occurring. Once the action of MnO_2 has finished, MeOH is added in order to solubilize the NaBH_4 , thus allowing the reduction of the imine.



The above reactions are successful because MnO_2 is a mild oxidant that is compatible with primary aliphatic amines, which are quite sensitive to oxidation. Interestingly, the mildness of MnO_2 can be challenged a step further by performing oxidations in the presence of hydroxylamines, which are compounds with a great sensitivity for oxidation. Thus, Taylor and Kanno proved⁶⁷ that it is possible to prepare *O*-methyloximes by oxidation of unsaturated alcohols with active MnO_2 in the presence of $\text{MeONH}_2 \cdot \text{HCl}$ and molecular sieves. This protocol seems to illustrate the tolerance limit of MnO_2 versus oxidizable nitrogen compounds, because the *O*-methylhydroxylamine must be protected as a hydrochloride and the reaction fails with other hydroxylamines.




Not surprisingly, active MnO₂ is able to oxidize unsaturated cyanohydrins, resulting in the generation of acyl cyanides. Interestingly, both the formation of the cyanohydrins by reaction of aldehydes with cyanide, and the hydrolysis of acyl cyanides with MeOH, resulting in the formation of methyl esters, can be carried out *in situ* with the MnO₂ oxidation. Thus, Corey *et al.* proved⁶⁸ that aldehydes can be directly transformed into methyl esters by treatment with NaCN and active MnO₂ in a mixture of acetic acid and methanol. This represents a useful protocol for the oxidation of unsaturated aldehydes to esters.




8.2.4. Side Reactions


Although active MnO₂ presents a very high selectivity for unsaturated alcohols versus saturated ones when it is employed under mild conditions, sometimes minor amounts of aldehydes or ketones resulting from the oxidation of saturated alcohols are obtained.⁶⁹




Unsurprisingly, greater amounts of oxidation at a saturated alcohol can be observed when the unsaturated alcohol is subject to steric hindrance.^{69b}



During selective oxidations of 1,4- and 1,5-diols with active MnO_2 , sometimes the unreacting alcohol forms a lactol by interaction with the carbonyl group resulting from the oxidation of an unsaturated alcohol. This lactol can be further oxidized to a lactone.⁷⁰




It is important to emphasize that no oxidation of lactol to lactone occurs whenever an easily detectable amount of lactol is present because lactols can react slower than unsaturated alcohols.⁷¹




The over-oxidation of primary unsaturated alcohols to carboxylic acids with active MnO₂ is surprisingly seldom described in the literature, in spite of the fact that benzaldehydes are known⁷² to be transformed into benzoic acids with MnO₂, although quite slowly. Presumably, the formation of minor amounts of carboxylic acids during MnO₂ oxidations is not normally detected because carboxylic acids may remain strongly adsorbed on the surface of the MnO₂ particles.

On rare occasions, the enone, resulting from an oxidation with active MnO₂, is further oxidized producing a dienone.^{14b}



Sometimes, an alkene *cis-trans* isomerization is observed during the oxidation of allylic alcohols with active MnO₂. This side reaction occurs during the oxidation of allylic alcohols with many different oxidants. In fact, active MnO₂ is quite refractory to induce such isomerizations,⁷³ when alkene isomerizations must be avoided being the oxidant of choice. The addition of Na₂CO₃ and the performance of the oxidation at 0°C help to prevent such isomerizations.⁷⁴

Sometimes, enones arising from the oxidation of allylic alcohols with active MnO₂ suffer intramolecular conjugated addition from amines (see page 303), or alcohols properly⁷⁵ positioned inside the same molecule.




8.2.5. Barium Manganate: More Reactive and Reproducible Alternative to Active MnO_2


Barium manganate (BaMnO_4) was a little known oxidant in organic synthesis till Firouzabadi *et al.* published in 1978–83 two foundational papers⁷⁶ showing that it behaves against alcohols in a similar way as active MnO_2 .

BaMnO_4 can be prepared by reacting KMnO_4 , BaCl_2 , NaOH and KI in an aqueous solution^{76a} or by fusing MnO_2 with KOH , resulting in the formation of potassium manganate (K_2MnO_4) that is reacted with $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ in an aqueous solution.^{76b}


Like active MnO_2 , BaMnO_4 is a solid that is used in excess as a suspension in an inert organic solvent like CH_2Cl_2 for the oxidation of alcohols, producing a quicker oxidation of unsaturated alcohols than saturated ones. On the other hand, BaMnO_4 is a commercially available material that reportedly does not need a special activation and no different chemical behaviour has been communicated from samples of diverse origin.



BaMnO₄ is particularly well-suited for the transformation of bis-benzylic alcohols into *o*-bisformyl aromatic compounds without the generation of substantial quantities of lactone.⁷⁸



BaMnO₄ is not only an interesting alternative for active MnO₂ in the oxidation of allylic,⁷⁹ benzylic⁸⁰ and propargylic⁸¹ alcohols—when no selectivity is needed—but it can also be used for the selective oxidation of unsaturated alcohols in the presence of saturated ones in the same molecule.⁸²



Normally, oxidations with BaMnO₄ are performed at room temperature or in refluxing CH₂Cl₂. Other solvents occasionally employed include benzene,⁸³ CHCl₃⁸⁴ and dioxane.⁸⁵ Interesting experimental variants during BaMnO₄ oxidations include: applying ultrasounds in order to accelerate the reaction,⁸⁶ employing the solid mixture BaMnO₄-Al₂O₃-CuSO₄ · 5H₂O,⁸⁷ making the oxidation in the absence of solvent⁸⁸ and applying microwaves on a mixture of alcohol and BaMnO₄ deposited on montmorillonite or SiO₂ in the absence of solvent.⁸⁹

Similar to MnO_2 , BaMnO_4 is able to oxidize functional groups other than alcohols, including primary amines,^{76b} anilines,^{76b,83a} imidazolines,⁹⁰ saturated hemiacetals⁹¹ and thiols.^{84a,88b}

It is possible to carry out an *in situ* Wittig reaction with a stabilized phosphorous ylide and an aldehyde obtained by a BaMnO_4 oxidation of a primary benzylic or allylic alcohol.⁷⁷

8.2.6. General Procedure for Selective Oxidation of Allylic, Benzylic and Propargylic Alcohols in Presence of Saturated Alcohols, using Barium Manganate (BaMnO_4)

A suspension of ca. 5–20 equivalents—typically 10 equivalents—of dry powdered barium manganate (BaMnO_4 , MW = 256.28) in a ca. 0.02–0.08 M solution of the alcohol in dry CH_2Cl_2 ,^a is stirred at room temperature^b under an inert atmosphere till most of the starting compound is consumed.^c

The reaction mixture is filtered through a pad of Celite[®] or, alternatively, employing filter paper or a pad of silica. The resulting solution is concentrated, giving a residue that may need further purification.

^a Other inert organic solvents, like benzene, chloroform or dioxane, can be employed.

^b The reaction can be accelerated by heating at reflux. This may be advisable when no regioselective oxidation of an unsaturated alcohol is needed. Otherwise, it is better to perform the oxidation at room temperature in order to increase the regioselectivity in the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols versus saturated ones. On a big scale, it may be advisable to add slowly the BaMnO_4 over the solution of the alcohol kept at 0°C in order to avoid exotherms.

^c It usually takes between 1 and 40 h, typically 10 h.

Section 8.2. References

- 4 (a) Evans, R. M.; *Quat. Rev.* **1959**, 61. (b) Fatiadi, A. J.; *Synthesis* **1976**, 65. (c) Ibid, 133.
- 5 (a) Birkofer; Erlenbach; *Chem. Ber.* **1958**, 91, 2383. (b) Gritter, R. J.; Wallace, T. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1959**, 24, 1051. (c) Harrison, I. T.; *Proc. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, 110. (d) Stavrescu, R.; Kimura, T.; Fujita, M.; Vinatoru, M.; Ando, T.; *Synth. Commun.* **1999**, 29, 1719. (e) Lou, J.-D.; Xu, Z.-N.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, 43, 6149.
- 6 Uchiyama, M., Kimura, Y.; Ohta, A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, 41, 10013.
- 7 Blackburn, L.; Wei, X.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 1337.
- 8 (a) Crombie, L.; Crossley, J.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, 4983. (b) Ohloff, G.; Pickenhagen, W.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1969**, 52, 880. (c) Ohloff, G.; Farnow, H.; Philipp, W.; Schade, G.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1959**, 625, 206.
- 9 (a) Papadopoulos, E. P.; Jarrar, A.; Issidorides, C. H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1966**, 31, 615. (b) Ohloff, G.; Giersch, W.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1973**, 12, 401.
- 10 (a) Ball, S.; Goodwin, T. W.; Morton, R. A.; *Biochem. J.* **1948**, 42, 516. (b) Gritter, R. J.; Wallace, T. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1959**, 24, 1051. (c) Henbest, H. B.; Jones, E. R. H.; Owen, T. C.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1957**, 4909.


- 11 Harfenist, M.; Bavley, A.; Lazier, W. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1954**, *19*, 1608.
- 12 Weedon, B. C. L.; Woods, R. J.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1951**, 2687.
- 13 Attenburrow, J.; Cameron, A. F. B.; Chapman, J. H.; Evans, R. M.; Hems, B. A.; Jansen, A. B. A.; Walker, T.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, 1094.
- 14 (a) Mancera, O.; Rosenkranz, G.; Sondheimer, F.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, 2189. (b) Sondheimer, F.; Amendolla, C.; Rosenkranz, G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, *75*, 5932. (c) Pratt, E. F.; Van de Castle, J. F.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1961**, *26*, 2973.
- 15 Kimura, T.; Fujita, M.; Ando, T.; *Chem. Lett.* **1988**, *8*, 1387.
- 16 Cohen, N.; Banner, B. L.; Blount, J. F.; Tsai, M.; Saucy, G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1973**, *38*, 3229.
- 17 (a) Carpino, L. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1970**, *35*, 3971. (b) Fristad, W. E.; Bailey, T. R.; Paquette, L. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, *45*, 3028.
- 18 Stavrescu, R.; Kimura, T.; Fujita, M.; Vinatoru, M.; Ando, T.; *Synth. Commun.* **1999**, *29*, 1719.
- 19 Varma, R. S.; Saini, R. K.; Dahiya, R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 7823.
- 20 Olivia G., L. A.; Delgado, F.; Álvarez, C.; Patiño, R.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 5293.
- 21 (a) Matsubara, J.; Nakao, K.; Hamada, Y.; Shioiri, T.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 4187. (b) Aoyama, T.; Sonoda, N.; Yamauchi, M.; Toriyama, K.; Anzai, M.; Ando, A.; Shioiri, T.; *Synlett* **1998**, 35. (c) Aoyama, T.; Sonoda, N.; Yamauchi, M.; Toriyama, K.; Anzai, A.; Ando, A.; Shioiri, T.; *Synlett* **1998**, 212. (d) Hirano, M.; Yakabe, S.; Chikamori, H.; Clark, J. H.; Morimoto, T.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1998**, 770. (e) *Ibid.*, 308.
- 22 Irako, N.; Hamada, Y.; Shioiri, T.; *Tetrahedron* **1992**, *48*, 7251.
- 23 Gritter, R. J.; Dupre, G. D.; Wallace, T. J.; *Nature* **1964**, *202*, 179.
- 24 (a) Gritter, R. J.; Dupre, G. D.; Wallace, T. J.; *Nature* **1964**, *202*, 179. (b) Dollimore, D.; Tonge, K. H.; *J. Chem. Soc. (B)* **1967**, 1380.
- 25 (a) Dollimore, D.; Tonge, K. H.; *J. Chem. Soc. (B)* **1967**, 1380. (b) Constantinides, I.; Macomber, R. S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 6063.
- 26 Goldman, I. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1969**, *34*, 3289.
- 27 Hall, T. K.; Story, P. R.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 6759.
- 28 Kwart, H.; George, T. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1979**, *44*, 162.
- 29 Kimura, T.; Fujita, M.; Sohmiya, H.; Ando, T.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1992**, *65*, 1149.
- 30 Davies, S. B.; McKervey, M. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 1229.
- 31 See for example: Endo, K.; Takahashi, H.; Aihara, M.; *Heterocycles* **1996**, *42*, 589.
- 32 Firouzabadi, H.; Karimi, B.; Abbassi, M.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1999**, 236.
- 33 Lou, J.-D.; Xu, Z.-N.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 6149.
- 34 (a) Barton, T. J.; Banasiak, D. S.; *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1978**, *157*, 255. (b) Malakov, P. Y.; De la Torre, M. C.; Rodríguez, B.; Papanov, G.; *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 10129.
- 35 (a) Fraser-Reid, B.; McLean, A.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1970**, *48*, 2877. (b) Holder, N. L.; Fraser-Reid, B.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1973**, *51*, 3357. (c) Fraser-Reid, B.; Walker, D. L.; Tam, S. Y.-K.; Holder, N. L.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1973**, *51*, 3950.
- 36 Goldman, I. M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1969**, *34*, 1979.
- 37 Wald, G.; *J. Gen. Physiol.* **1948**, *31*, 489; *CA* 43:157i.
- 38 (a) Pratt, E. F.; Suskind, S. P.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1963**, *28*, 638. (b) Pratt, E. F.; McGovern, T. P.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1964**, *29*, 1540.
- 39 Stork, G.; Tomasz, M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, *86*, 471.
- 40 Bruderer, H.; Arigoni, D.; Jeger, O.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1956**, *39*, 858.
- 41 Adler, E.; Becker, H.-D.; *Acta Chem. Scand.* **1961**, *15*, 849.
- 42 Chabala, J. C.; Rosegay, A.; Walsh, M. A. R.; *J. Agr. Food Chem.* **1981**, *29*, 881.
- 43 For a review on the oxidation of nitrogen compounds with MnO₂, see: Meth-Cohn, O.; Suschitzky, H.; *Chem. Ind.* **1969**, 443.
- 44 For example, see: (a) Ninan, A.; Sainsbury, M.; *Tetrahedron* **1992**, *48*, 6709. (b) Tavasli, M.; O'Hagan, D.; Batsanov, A. S.; Foxon, G. R.; Haliwell, R. F.; Howard, J. A. K.; *J. Chem.*

- Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1999**, *23*, 3455. (c) Noguchi, H.; Aoyama, T.; Shioiri, T.; *Heterocycles* **2002**, *58*, 471.
- 45 For a case of oxidation of a tertiary amine to an amide, in the presence of a hindered allylic alcohol, see: Takeda, K.; Kotera, K.; Mizukami, S.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, *80*, 2562.
- 46 (a) Black, D. St. C.; Brockway, D. J.; Moss, G. I.; *Aust. J. Chem.* **1986**, *39*, 1231. (b) Philip, A.; Pitner, J. B.; Joo, Y. J.; Triggle, D. J.; Carroll, F. I.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1990**, *14*, 984. (c) Feng, S.; Panetta, C. A.; Graves, D. E.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 612.
- 47 Steffan, R. J.; Ashwell, M. A.; Soluibile, W. R.; Matelan, E.; Largis, E.; Han, S.; Tillet, J.; Mulvey, R.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2002**, *12*, 2963.
- 48 See, for example: (a) Argiropoulos, G.; Deady, L. W.; Dorkos, M.; *Aust. J. Chem.* **1991**, *44*, 481. (b) Bu, X.; Deady, L. W.; *Synth. Commun.* **1999**, *29*, 4223.
- 49 Mohri, S.; Stefnovic, M.; Snieckus, V.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 7072.
- 50 Krishnakumari, L.; Pardhasaradhi, M.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1982**, *21B*, 1067.
- 51 (a) Lütjens, H.; Scammells, P. J.; *Synlett* **1999**, *7*, 1079. (b) She, X. G.; Gu, W. X.; Wu, T. X.; Pan, X. F.; *Synth. Commun.* **1999**, *29*, 2625. (c) Huang, W.; Gou, S.; Hu, D.; Meng, Q.; *Synth. Commun.* **2000**, *30*, 1555. (d) Lin, W.; Lawrence, D. S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 2723.
- 52 (a) Juliwaty, L. D.; Watanabe, Y.; Kitajima, M.; Achmad, S. A.; Takayama, H.; Aimi, N.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 8657. (b) Lautens, M.; Stammers, T. A.; *Synthesis* **2002**, *14*, 1993.
- 53 (a) Mori, Y.; Suzuki, M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1990**, *6*, 1809. (b) Chowdari, N. S.; Ramachary, D. B.; Córdova, A.; Barbas, C. F.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 9591.
- 54 (a) Smith, J. R. L.; Norman, R. O. C.; Stillings, M. R.; *Tetrahedron* **1978**, *34*, 1381. (b) du Preez, J. G. H.; Zeelie, B.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1986**, *10*, 743. (c) Weinges, K.; Reinel, U.; Maurer, W.; Gässler, N.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1987**, *10*, 833.
- 55 Kurihara, T.; Ohshita, Y.; Sakamoto, Y.; *Heterocycles* **1977**, *6*, 123.
- 56 Kasmai, H. S.; Mischke, S. G.; *Synthesis* **1989**, *10*, 763.
- 57 See for example: (a) Knapp, S.; Morriello, G. J.; Nandan, S. R.; Emge, T. J.; Doss, G. A.; Mosley, R. T.; Chen, L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 5822. (b) Hadizadeh, F.; Shafiee, A.; Kazemi, R.; Mohammadi, M.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **2002**, *41B*, 2679. (c) Shotwell, J. B.; Koh, B.; Won Choi, H.; Wood, J. L.; Crews, C. M.; *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2002**, *12*, 3463.
- 58 See for example: (a) Li, Y.; Li, W.; Li, Y.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1993**, *23*, 2953. (b) Uoto, K.; Takenoshita, H.; Ishiyama, T.; Terasawa, H.; Soga, T.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1997**, *45*, 2093. (c) Yamano, Y.; Sato, Y.; Watanabe, Y.; Namikawa, K.; Miki, W.; Ito, M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **2001**, *16*, 1862.
- 59 (a) Hassfeld, J.; Kalesse, M.; *Synlett* **2002**, *12*, 2007. (b) Mori, Y.; Nakamura, M.; Wakabayashi, T.; Mori, K.; Kobayashi, S.; *Synlett* **2002**, *4*, 601. (c) Hale, K. J.; Dimopoulos, P.; Cheung, M. L. F.; Frigerio, M.; Steed, J. W.; Levett, P. C.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 897.
- 60 Hitchcock, S. A.; Pattenden, G.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1992**, *11*, 1323.
- 61 (a) Wei, X.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 3815. (b) Davies, S. B.; McKervey, M. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 1229. (c) Blackburn, L.; Wei, X.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 1337. (d) Wei, X.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 616. (e) Runcie, K. A.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 974. (f) Blackburn, L.; Pei, C.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *Synlett* **2002**, 215.
- 62 Sauer, J. C.; *Org. Synth., Coll. IV* **1963**, 813.
- 63 See for example: (a) Tufariello, J. J.; Dyszlewski, A. D.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1987**, *15*, 1138. (b) Carroll, F. I.; Abraham, P.; Pitner, J. B.; Jablonski, S. D.; Singh, P.; Wha Kwon, Y.; Triggle, D. J.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1992**, *10*, 795.
- 64 Blackburn, L.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 1637.
- 65 McAllister, G. D.; Wilfred, C. D.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *Synlett* **2002**, 1291.

- 66 (a) Blackburn, L.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 1637. (b) Kanno, H.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 7337.
- 67 Kanno, H.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *Synlett* **2002**, 1287.
- 68 Corey, E. J.; Gilman, N. W.; Ganem, B. E.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1968**, *90*, 5616.
- 69 (a) Madhava Reddy, S.; Goedken, V. L.; Walborsky, H. M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 2691. (b) Shimizu, H.; Okamura, H.; Iwagawa, T.; Nakatani, M.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 1903. (c) Bruderer, H.; Arigoni, D.; Jeger, O.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1956**, *39*, 858.
- 70 (a) Friedrich, D.; Bohlmann, F.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 1369. (b) Walborsky, H. M.; Madhava Reddy, S.; Brewster, J. H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 4832.
- 71 Clive, D. L. J.; Keshava Murthy, K. S.; Wee, A. G. H.; Siva Prasad, J.; da Silva, G. V. J.; Majewski, M.; Anderson, P. C.; Evans, C. F.; Haugen, R. D.; Heerze, L. D.; Barrie, J. R.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 3018.
- 72 Barakat, M. Z.; Abdel-Wahab, M. F.; El-Sadr, M. M.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1956**, 4685.
- 73 For cases in which no alkene isomerization is observed during active MnO₂ oxidation on sensitive substrates, see: (a) Robeson, C. D.; Blum, W. P.; Dieterle, J. M.; Cawley, J. D.; Baxter, J. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1955**, *77*, 4120. (b) i) Aoyama, T.; Sonoda, N.; Yamauchi, M.; Toriyama, K.; Anzai, M.; Ando, A.; Shioiri, T.; *Synlett* **1998**, 35; ii) Aoyama, T.; Sonoda, N.; Yamauchi, M.; Toriyama, K.; Anzai, A.; Ando, A.; Shioiri, T.; *Synlett* **1998**, 212. (c) Wei, X.; Taylor, R. J. K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 616.
- 74 Xiao, X.-yi; Prestwich, G. D.; *Synth. Commun.* **1990**, *20*, 3125.
- 75 Shi, B.; Hawryluck, N. A.; Snider, B. B.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 1030.
- 76 (a) Firouzabadi, H.; Ghaderi, E.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1978**, 839. (b) Firouzabadi, H.; Mostafavipoor, Z.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1983**, *56*, 914.
- 77 Shuto, S.; Niizuma, S.; Matsuda, A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 4489.
- 78 See for example: (a) i) Firouzabadi, H.; Ghaderi, E.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1978**, 839; ii) Firouzabadi, H.; Mostafavipoor, Z.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1983**, *56*, 914. (b) Gibbard, H. C.; Moody, C. J.; Rees, C. W.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1985**, *4*, 731. (c) Christopfel, W. C.; Miller, L. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 4169. Compare with MnO₂: Constantinides, I.; Macomber, R. S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 6063.
- 79 See for example: (a) Hitchcock, S. A.; Pattenden, G.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 4843. (b) Smith, C. R.; Justice, D.; Malpass, J. R.; *Tetrahedron* **1993**, *49*, 11037. (c) Uenishi, J.; Ichi: Matsui, K.; Wada, A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 3093.
- 80 See for example: (a) Banerjee, A. K.; Azócar, J. A.; Vera, W.; *Synth. Commun.* **1999**, *29*, 2995. (b) Banerjee, A. K.; Castillo-Meléndez, J. A.; Vera, W.; Azócar, J. A.; Laya, M. S.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **2000**, *7*, 324. (c) Baldwin, D. S.; Bowden, B. F.; Duckworth, P. A.; Lindoy, L. F.; McCool, B. J.; Meehan, G. V.; Vasilescu, I. M.; Wild, S. B.; *Aust. J. Chem.* **2002**, *55*, 597.
- 81 See for example: (a) Hofmann, U.; Meese, C. O.; Hecker, M.; Ullrich, V.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 5655. (b) Atienza, C.; Mateo, C.; de Frutos, O.; Echavarren, A. M.; *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 153.
- 82 See for example: (a) Isoe, S.; Ge, Y.; Yamamoto, K.; Katsumura, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 4591. (b) Denmark, S. E.; Habermas, K. L.; Hite, G. A.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1988**, *71*, 168. (c) Veeraiiah, B.; Ratna Vani, T.; Balaji Rao, R.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1988**, *27B*, 556. (d) Hanaki, N.; Link, J. T.; MacMillan, D. W. C.; Overman, L. E.; Trankle, W. G.; Wurster, J. A.; *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 223.
- 83 (a) Gopal Srivastava, R.; Lakhan Pandey, R.; Venkataramani, P. S.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1981**, *20B*, 995. (b) Christopfel, W. C.; Miller, L. L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 4169. Compare with MnO₂: Constantinides, I.; Macomber, R. S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 6063. (c) Shea, K. J.; Gilman, J. W.; Haffner, C. D.; Dougherty, T. K.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 4953.
- 84 (a) Gopal Srivastava, R.; Venkataramani, P. S.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1981**, *20B*, 996. (b) MacKenzie, A. R.; Moody, C. J.; Rees, C. W.; *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 3259.
- 85 Campos, M. E.; Jiménez, R.; Martínez, F.; Salgado, H.; *Heterocycles* **1995**, *40*, 841.
- 86 Föhlisch, B.; Krimmer, D.; Gehrlach, E.; Käshammer, D.; *Chem. Ber.* **1988**, *121*, 1585.


- 87 (a) Soo Kim, K.; Chung, S.; Haeng Cho, I.; Sun Hahn, C.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, 30, 2559.
 (b) de la Puente, M. L.; Ley, S. V.; Simmonds, M. S. J.; Blaney, W. M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1996**, 13, 1523.
- 88 (a) Firouzabadi, H.; Karimi, B.; Abbassi, M.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1999**, 236. (b) Firouzabadi, H.; Abbassi, M.; Karimi, B.; *Synth. Commun.* **1999**, 29, 2527.
- 89 Mojtahedi, M. M.; Sharifi, A.; Kaamyabi, S.; Saidi, M. R.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **2002**, 6, 286.
- 90 (a) Campos, M. E.; Jiménez, R.; Martínez, F.; Salgado, H.; *Heterocycles* **1995**, 40, 841. (b) Hughey IV, J. L.; Knapp, S.; Schugar, H.; *Synthesis* **1980**, 489.
- 91 Jones, P. S.; Ley, S. V.; Simpkins, N. S.; Whittle, A. J.; *Tetrahedron* **1986**, 42, 6519.

8.3. 2,3-Dichloro-5,6-dicyano-*p*-quinone (DDQ)



In 1956, Braude *et al.*⁹² showed that treatment of allylic, benzylic and propargylic alcohols with *o*-chloranil (tetrachloro-1,2-benzoquinone) (**82**) provided the corresponding aldehydes and ketones. Under the mild conditions employed, involving room temperature or refluxing ether, saturated alcohols remained unaffected.

In 1960, Burn *et al.*⁹³ found that 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-*p*-quinone (DDQ) (**83**) was able to perform the regioselective oxidation of allylic sterols in the presence of saturated alcohols in dioxane or benzene at room temperature.




Subsequent authors confirmed the utility of DDQ in the oxidation of allylic⁹⁴ alcohols and extended the scope of this oxidation to benzylic⁹⁵ and propargylic⁹⁶ ones. Nowadays, among quinones, DDQ is the preferred one for the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols because it possesses a very high

oxidation potential that causes the oxidations to be very quick and high yielding.


p-Chloranil (tetrachloro-1,4-benzoquinone) (**84**) is a readily available and cheap alternative to DDQ that has not found the same widespread use. *p*-Chloranil possesses a lower oxidation potential— $E^0 = 0.70$ versus $E^0 = 1.0$ for DDQ—resulting in a lower yield during the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols, under comparable experimental conditions.⁹⁷ On the other hand, the milder reagent *p*-chloranil, when used under harsher conditions than DDQ, sometimes provides a better yield on the oxidation of allylic alcohols because of its mildness and greater selectivity.^{95c}


Interestingly, the simple *p*-quinone (**84a**) is also able to oxidize certain unsaturated alcohols under harsh conditions.⁹⁸ Because of its lower oxidation potential, *p*-quinone only oxidizes unsaturated alcohols devoid of steric hindrance and able to generate very stabilized carbocations. Thus, it is able to react with primary cinnamyl alcohols but not with secondary cinnamyl alcohols, simple allylic alcohols and benzylic alcohols.



While the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols with DDQ is normally done at room temperature or under gentle heating, saturated alcohols are quite resistant to reaction demanding prolonged heating at high temperature.⁹⁹ In fact, under the energetic reaction conditions necessary for the oxidation of saturated alcohols, ketones react with DDQ via the corresponding tautomeric enols, resulting in the introduction of unsaturations conjugated with the ketones. Consequently, the oxidation of saturated alcohols with DDQ produces over-oxidation to unsaturated ketones.¹⁰⁰

As early as in 1956, Braude *et al.*⁹² suggested that the selective oxidation of unsaturated alcohols with the quinone *o*-chloranil (**82**), can be explained by the intermediacy of a resonance-stabilized cation resulting from a hydride abstraction. Later, detailed mechanistic studies confirmed this hypothesis^{94c,95c} in oxidations performed with the more common quinone DDQ.








The speed of alcohol oxidation with DDQ correlates with the following factors:



- Stability of the intermediate cation
- Alignment of the C-H bond—from which a hydride will be abstracted—with the π -system of the neighbouring unsaturation
- Steric exposure

As expected, the oxidation of alcohols resulting in more stable intermediate carbocations is quicker. This effect is particularly noticeable in the case of substituted benzylic alcohols, existing a good correlation between σ values in *p*-substituted benzylic alcohols and oxidation velocity.^{95e} Thus, alcohol **85** possessing a phenol at the *ortho* position able to strongly stabilize a benzylic cation, reacts with DDQ almost instantaneously at room temperature, delivering an 89% yield of the corresponding benzaldehyde. Similarly, benzylic alcohol **86** possessing an amine at the *para* position is oxidized with DDQ in 5 min at room temperature.¹⁰¹ In variance, alcohol **87**, possessing a sulfonyl group at the *para* position that strongly destabilizes the intermediate cation, reacts very slowly with DDQ, resulting in a 14% yield of the corresponding aldehyde after 5 weeks at room temperature.^{95f}



**85****86****87**

Because of the very facile oxidation of benzylic alcohols possessing phenol at the *ortho* or *para* position, DDQ has been described as the preferred oxidant in those cases over other oxidants, such as MnO_2 .^{95f}

Electron-withdrawing groups close to the alcohol functionality may likewise destabilize intermediate carbocations and result in very slow oxidations. For instance, sterol **88** is oxidized with DDQ at the allylic alcohol two hundred times slower than the corresponding compound lacking the fluorine atom,^{94c} and the treatment of hydrobenzoin (**89**) with DDQ results in the oxidation of a single alcohol because a second oxidation would involve a carbocation highly destabilized by the presence of a carbonyl group.^{95f}



hydrobenzoin (**89**)

Normally, pseudo-equatorial alcohols in cyclohexenols are oxidized quicker than pseudo-axial ones.^{94c} For example, 3 β -hydroxycholest-4-ene (**90**) is oxidized 7.3 times quicker than the 3 α isomer (**91**). This can be explained by the lowering of the transition state energy during the hydride transfer due to the better overlap between the σ C-H bond and the alkene π -system. This energy lowering being greater in the pseudo-equatorial isomer due to a better orbital overlap.






Interestingly, the reverse trend is observed with other oxidizing agents, such as chromic acid. Thus, chromic acid is known to oxidize quicker axial alcohols, which is explained by the release of steric congestion exerted by 1,3-*trans*-diaxial interactions.¹⁰² Apparently, a proper orbital alignment plays a greater role in DDQ oxidations than the release of steric congestion.

However, in molecules where the axial alcohol is subject to very severe steric interactions, the release of steric tension may become the major factor affecting DDQ oxidation velocity. For example, the 3 β -acetoxy-6 β -hydroxy-5 α -cholest-7-ene (**92**) is oxidized faster than the corresponding 6 α isomer (**93**).




During the oxidation of benzylic benzocycloalkanols, the angle between the benzylic C-H bond and the aromatic plane is found to be well

correlated with DDQ oxidation speed, resulting in an increased oxidation speed as this angle approaches 90° .^{95c}

			
angle between the benzylic C-H bond and the aromatic plane	48°	72°	82°
relative oxidation rate	1	103.6	758

Quite unsurprisingly, apart from stereoelectronic factors, DDQ oxidation of unsaturated alcohols is also subject to steric factors. For instance, the highly hindered allylic alcohol **94** could not be oxidized with DDQ in benzene at room temperature, being necessary to employ Jones oxidation.¹⁰³

**94**

Normally, oxidations of unsaturated alcohols with DDQ are performed by stirring a solution of the alcohol in an organic solvent with DDQ at room temperature. The most common solvents for this reaction

Table 8.1

Solvent	Solubility of DDQ g/L	Solubility of 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanohydroquinone g/L
CH ₂ Cl ₂	21	0.4
Benzene	68	0.6
EtOAc	570	120
^t BuOH	12	38
THF	660	260
AcOH	65	3.5
Dioxane	180	1.8

Adapted from reference 104 by permission of the American Chemical Society.

are dioxane and benzene because these solvents offer the greatest difference in solubilizing power for DDQ versus the DDQ hydroquinone (Table 8.1).¹⁰⁴ Thus, as the reaction proceeds, the DDQ in solution is transformed into the corresponding hydroquinone that precipitates. This minimizes possible deleterious effects produced by the acidity of the hydroquinone and facilitates the work-up because most of the hydroquinone can be removed by a simple filtration. Nonetheless, DDQ oxidations of unsaturated alcohols can succeed in a variety of solvents including, with approximate order of decreasing use: CH₂Cl₂, THF, toluene, MeCN, CHCl₃, CCl₄, (CICH₂)₂, and even AcOH and H₂O.¹⁰⁵

The solvent may have an important influence in the oxidation speed of unsaturated alcohols with DDQ, although a correlation with solvent properties may be difficult to find. The following yields of ketone were obtained during the oxidation of 1-phenylpropan-1-ol in different solvents during a limited time and under the same reaction conditions: benzene (15%), dioxane (15%), THF (16%), EtOAc (20%), chlorobenzene (26%), CH₂Cl₂ (33%) and CHCl₃ (51%).^{95e}

Normally, dry solvents are employed in the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols with DDQ. This is done because DDQ is decomposed by water.^{94c} On the other hand, the use of wet solvents may not be deleterious, as a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ and water is routinely employed for the deprotection of *p*-methoxybenzyl (PMB)¹⁰⁶ and 3,4-dimethoxybenzyl (DMPM)¹⁰⁶ ethers with DDQ, and, when this deprotection leads to an unsaturated alcohol, a prolonged reaction allows a successful oxidation of the alcohol to a ketone.¹⁰⁷

Since the DDQ hydroquinone is quite weakly acidic and—if a proper solvent is chosen—only a very small proportion remains in solution, DDQ oxidations are performed under almost neutral conditions. Nevertheless, a slow equilibration of isomeric acetals has been described in a DDQ oxidation.¹⁰⁸

Thanks to the easy removal of the precipitated DDQ hydroquinone after a DDQ oxidation, it is very practical to recover the pricey DDQ by oxidizing the corresponding hydroquinone with nitric acid.¹⁰⁹

DDQ is a very interesting alternative for the employment of the more common active MnO₂ in the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols. DDQ seems to offer a greater selectivity in the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols versus saturated ones, as signalled by the absence of reports in the literature of unwanted oxidations of saturated alcohols with DDQ. Admittedly, the work-up of active MnO₂ oxidations can hardly be simpler, involving a plain filtration of MnO₂. On the other hand, the work-up of DDQ oxidations can be very convenient, involving just filtering of the DDQ hydroquinone and some washing with basic solutions.

DDQ is an oxidant with a very high tendency to abstract hydride ions whenever a stable cation is produced. This results in the easy oxidation *inter alia* of benzylic positions in electron-rich aromatics¹¹⁰ and enol ethers.¹¹¹

8.3.1. General Procedure for Selective Oxidation of Unsaturated Alcohols in Presence of Saturated Alcohols Using DDQ

Approximately 1–2.7 equivalents—typically 1.3 equivalents—of DDQ (MW = 227.01) are added over a ca. 0.02–0.5 M solution of the unsaturated alcohol in dry^a dioxane or benzene.^b The resulting mixture is stirred at room temperature^c till most of the starting alcohol is consumed.^d The precipitated DDQ hydroquinone is filtered^e and the resulting solution is washed^f with a saturated NaHCO₃ aqueous solution, a ca. 4% NaOH solution or a diluted sodium dithionite aqueous solution. Finally, the organic phase is dried with sodium sulfate or magnesium sulfate and concentrated, giving a residue^g that may need further purification.

^a It may not be deleterious to employ a wet solvent (see page 320).

^b Dioxane and benzene are very often used because they possess a good solubilizing power for DDQ, while the DDQ hydroquinone has a very low solubility in these solvents. Other organic solvents may be equally effective.


^c For best selectivity, it is better to perform the oxidation at room temperature, although it may be necessary to apply a gently heating during the oxidation of substrates of low reactivity.

^d It normally takes between 2 and 30 h—typically 12 h—.

^e Failure to filter the DDQ hydroquinone means that a more thorough washing of the reaction mixture must be done.


^f Failure to wash the solution in order to eliminate most of the DDQ hydroquinone and surplus DDQ means that the final residue will probably need a more careful chromatographic purification.

^g The crude product may contain residues of DDQ or the corresponding hydroquinone. DDQ shows a melting point of 213–215°C¹¹² and the following spectroscopic data: ¹³C-NMR (δ, benzene-d₆, ppm): 169.2, 141.0, 125.1 and 109.5. The DDQ hydroquinone presents the following spectroscopic data: ¹³C-NMR (δ, acetone-d₆, ppm): 151.5, 129.0, 113.5 and 102.8.¹¹³





Ref. 114

The treatment of this diol with DDQ leads to the quantitative selective oxidation of the allylic alcohol, while MnO₂ produces an aromatization to the corresponding catechol in 90% yield.



DDQ is not only a useful reagent for the selective oxidation of unsaturated alcohols in the presence of saturated ones but it can also provide useful oxidation yields in cases in which no regioselectivity is needed during the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols.



8.3.2. Functional Group and Protecting Group Sensitivity to Oxidation with DDQ

DDQ is a potent hydride abstractor with a great tendency to produce oxidations when an intermediate stable carbocation can be formed. That is why DDQ is able to remove *p*-methoxybenzyl (PMB),¹⁰⁶ 3,4-dimethoxybenzyl (DMPM)¹⁰⁶ and 2,4-dimethoxybenzyl (DMB)¹¹⁸ protecting groups under very mild conditions.


Interestingly, there are evidences showing that in some cases the deprotection of *p*-methoxybenzyl-protected allylic alcohols with DDQ in a wet solvent operates via a stable allylic cation rather than a benzylic one.¹¹⁹


DDQ is able to transform directly TMS allyl ethers into the corresponding carbonyl compounds.¹²⁰ The reaction is accelerated by the addition of AcOH that may produce the hydrolysis of the TMS ether prior to the oxidation.

Furthermore, DDQ oxidizes under mild conditions other substrates able to easily release hydrides, such as enol ethers,¹¹¹ and certain hydrocarbons, such as tropilidene.¹²¹

DDQ oxidations are made under almost neutral conditions. Therefore, both base- and acid-sensitive protecting groups and functionalities need not be altered in the presence of DDQ. During DDQ oxidations, the corresponding hydroquinone is generated, which possesses a slight acidity that normally does not cause any interference. However, some acid-catalyzed isomerization of an acetal was observed on a prolonged oxidation with DDQ.¹⁰⁸

DDQ is able to aromatize many cyclic compounds.¹²² Although, aromatizations sometimes compete with the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols,¹²³ they normally require harsh conditions and selective oxidations of unsaturated alcohols are possible.¹²⁴






DDQ is employed for the introduction of unsaturations conjugated with aldehydes and ketones.¹²⁵ This reaction proceeds via the enol tautomers⁹⁷ and demands very harsh conditions.


Although phenols are oxidized with DDQ,¹²⁶ benzylic alcohols activated by phenol groups react so quickly with DDQ—delivering high yields of aldehydes or ketones—that this reagent is proposed as the best one for the oxidation of this kind of benzylic alcohols.¹²⁷

Orthoesters are hydrolyzed on contact with DDQ via a mechanism in which apparently the acidity of the DDQ hydroquinone plays no role. Interestingly, it is possible either to hydrolyze an orthoester in the presence of an unsaturated alcohol, using wet acetone as solvent, or oxidize an unsaturated alcohol in the presence of an orthoester, employing anhydrous benzene as solvent.¹²⁸




Tertiary amines normally resist the action of DDQ during the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols.¹²⁹ There is not enough published data on the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols in the presence of secondary or primary amines to infer sufficient information regarding resistance of these amines.

Because of the mechanism of action of DDQ, sulfides and selenides are expected not to react with DDQ during the mild conditions used in the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols. There is one published example in which an alcohol is oxidized with DDQ in the presence of a selenide.¹³⁰




8.3.3. Side Reactions


If heat is applied during the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols with DDQ, an over-oxidation resulting in the introduction of an unsaturation conjugated with the carbonyl group can happen.¹³¹



DDQ is a good acceptor in Diels-Alder reactions and it sometimes behaves as such in substrates possessing dienes, instead of producing an oxidation of an unsaturated alcohol.¹³²



Sometimes, probably due to the acidity of the DDQ hydroquinone generated during DDQ oxidations, unsaturated alcohols can generate allylic cations that can be trapped with nucleophiles.¹³³



Section 8.3. References


- 92 Braude, E. A.; Linstead, R. P.; Wooldridge, K. R.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1956**, 3070.
- 93 Burn, D.; Petrow, V.; Weston, G. O.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1960**, 14.
- 94 (a) Kirk, D. N.; Petrow, V.; Williamson, M. H.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, 3872. (b) Bowers, A.; Holton, P. G.; Necochea, E.; Kincl, F. A.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1961**, 4057. (c) Burstein, S. H.; Ringold, H. J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, *86*, 4952.
- 95 (a) Becker, H.-D.; Adler, E.; *Acta Chem. Scand.* **1961**, *15*, 218. (b) Becker, H.-D.; Bremholt, T.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1973**, 197. (c) Brown, D. R.; Turner, A. B.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. II* **1975**, 1307. (d) Baudat, R.; Petrzilka, M.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1979**, *62*, 1406. (e) Ohki, A.; Nishiguchi, T.; Fukuzumi, K.; *Tetrahedron* **1979**, *35*, 1737. (f) Becker, H.-D.; Björk, A.; Adler, E.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, *45*, 1596.
- 96 Pilling, G. M.; Sondheimer, F.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1971**, *93*, 1977.
- 97 Turner, A. B.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1966**, 23, 845.
- 98 Kulkarni, M. G.; T, S. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 4497.

- 99 (a) Caspi, E.; Cullen, E.; Grover, P. K.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, 212. (b) Bushman, D. R.; Grossman, S. J.; Jerina, D. M.; Lehr, R. E.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 3533.
- 100 (a) Muller, G.; Martel, J.; Huynh, C.; *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1961**, 2000. (b) Kongkathip, B.; Kongkathip, N.; Khunnavutimanotum, P.; Sakee, U.; *Chem. Lett.* **1998**, *12*, 1207. (c) Di Filippo, M.; Izzo, I.; Raimondi, S.; De Riccardis, F.; Sodano, G.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 1575.
- 101 Mori, K.; Koseki, K.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 6013.
- 102 Schreiber, J.; Eschenmoser, A.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1955**, *38*, 1529.
- 103 Johnson, H. E.; Crosby, D. G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1962**, *27*, 2205.
- 104 Walker, D.; Hiebert, J. D.; *Chem. Rev.* **1967**, 153.
- 105 Yang, C.; Harvey, R. G.; *Tetrahedron* **1992**, *48*, 3735.
- 106 Oikawa, Y.; Yoshioka, T.; Yonemitsu, O.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, *23*, 885.
- 107 (a) Kanda, Y.; Fukuyama, T.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 8451. (b) Organ, M. G.; Bratovanov, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 6945. (c) Organ, M. G.; Bilokin, Y. V.; Bratovanov, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 5176. (d) Yoda, H.; Inoue, K.-ichi; Ujihara, Y.; Mase, N.; Takabe, K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 9057.
- 108 Oikawa, Y.; Nishi, T.; Yonemitsu, O.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 4037.
- 109 Walker, D.; Waugh, T. D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1965**, *30*, 3240.
- 110 (a) Ghosal, S.; Banerjee, S.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1979**, 165. (b) Steenkamp, J. A.; Ferreira, D.; Roux, D. G.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 3045. (c) Farnetti, E.; Pesce, M.; Kašpar, J.; Spogliarich, R.; Graziani, M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1986**, *10*, 746. (d) Harwood, L. M.; Robertson, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 5175. (e) Steenkamp, J. A.; Mouton, C. H. L.; Ferreira, D.; *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 6705. (f) Ramdayal, F. D.; Kiemle, D. J.; LaLonde, R. T.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 4607.
- 111 Pradhan, S. K.; Ringold, H. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1964**, *29*, 601.
- 112 Mitchell, P. W. D.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1963**, *41*, 550.
- 113 Neidlein, R.; Kramer, W.; Leidholdt, R.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1983**, *66*, 652.
- 114 McKittrick, B. A.; Ganem, B.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 5897.
- 115 Pis, J.; Girault, J.-P.; Larchevêque, M.; Dauphin-Villemant, C.; Lafont, R.; *Steroids* **1995**, *60*, 188.
- 116 Nakatsuka, S.; Teranishi, K.; Goto, T.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 6361.
- 117 Sargent, A. L.; Hawkins, I. C.; Allen, W. E.; Liu, H.; Sessler, J. L.; Fowler, C. J.; *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 3065.
- 118 (a) Mori, S.; Iwakura, H.; Takechi, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 5391. (b) Chern, C.-Y.; Huang, Y.-P.; Kan, W. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 1039.
- 119 Caputo, R.; Guaragna, A.; Palumbo, G.; Pedatella, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 9369.
- 120 Narasimhan, N. S.; Bapat, C. P.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1984**, 1435.
- 121 Müller, P.; Roček, J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 2716.
- 122 (a) Barnard, J. R.; Jackman, L. M.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, 3110. (b) Braude, E. A.; Jackman, L. M.; Linstead, R. P.; Shannon, J. S.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, 3116. (c) Braude, E. A.; Jackman, L. M.; Linstead, R. P.; Lowe, G.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, 3123; *ibid.*, 3133. (d) Creighton, A. M.; Jackman, L. M.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, 3138. (e) Brown, R. F.; Jackman, L. M.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, 3144.
- 123 Weeratunga, G.; Austrup, M.; Rodrigo, R.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1988**, *12*, 3169.
- 124 Yang, S.-M.; Fang, J.-M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 1589.
- 125 (a) Ringold, H. J.; Gut, M.; Hayano, M.; Turner, A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1962**, 835. (b) Burn, D.; Ducker, J. W.; Ellis, B.; Hiscock, A. K.; Leftwick, A. P.; Peach, C. M.; Petrow, V.; Williamson, D. M.; *J. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, 4242. (c) Brown, W.; Turner, A. B.; *J. Chem. Soc. (C)* **1971**, 2566. (d) Liu, L.; Xiong, Z.; Nan, F.; Li, T.; Li, Y.; *Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg.* **1995**, *104*, 73.
- 126 (a) Becker, H.-D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1965**, *30*, 982. (b) Findlay, J. W. A.; Turner, A. B.; *J. Chem. Soc. (C)* **1971**, 23.

- 127 (a) Becker, H.-D.; Bremholt, T.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1973**, 197. (b) Becker, H.-D.; Björk, A.; Adler, E.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, *45*, 1596. (c) Suri, O. P.; Bindra, R. S.; Satti, N. K.; Khajuria, R. K.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1987**, *26B*, 587.
- 128 Vasudevan, S.; Watt, D. S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 361.
- 129 (a) Mori, K.; Koseki, K.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 6013. (b) Vedejs, E.; Piotrowski, D. W.; Tucci, F. C.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 5498.
- 130 Clive, D. L. J.; Bergstra, R. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 1786.
- 131 (a) Jyotsna, D.; Subba Rao, A. V.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1987**, *26B*, 877. (b) Vedejs, E.; Dax, S. L.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 2627.
- 132 Ronan, B.; Bacqué, E.; Barrière, J.-C.; Sablé, S.; *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 2929.
- 133 Colombo, M. I.; Zinczuk, J.; Bohn, M. L.; Rúveda, E. A.; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2003**, *14*, 717.

8.4. Other Oxidants

Chromium-based oxidants tend to react quicker with unsaturated alcohols, although the difference of oxidation speed with saturated alcohols is normally not sufficient for synthetic purposes. Nevertheless, the chromium-based reagent pyridinium dichromate (PDC) possesses a mildness and, therefore, a relative greater selectivity that allows its occasional employment for selective oxidations of allylic and benzylic alcohols.¹³⁴



The oxidative potency of dichromates and chlorochromates decreases under less acidic conditions. This is so, for example, when a less acidic ammonium salt is included as counter-ion of a dichromate or chlorochromate anion. Thus, a number of ammonium dichromates and chlorochromates possessing a milder oxidative potency has been described with the specific purpose of allowing very selective oxidations of unsaturated alcohols in the presence of saturated ones. These selective dichromates and chlorochromates include: bis(benzyltriethylammonium)dichromate,¹³⁵ tetramethylethylenediammonium dichromate (TMEDADC),¹³⁶ imidazolium dichromate (IDC),¹³⁷ *N,N*-dimethylaminopyridinium chlorochromate (DMAPCC),¹³⁸ 1-(benzoylamino)-3-methylimidazolium chlorochromate (BAMICC)¹³⁹ and butyltriphenylphosphonium chlorochromate (BTPPCC).¹⁴⁰

The same principle of moderating the acidity in order to achieve a greater selectivity for the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols is applied in the use of: PCC in a CH_2Cl_2 solution containing 2% of 3,5-dimethylpyrazole (DMP),¹⁴¹ complexes of *n*-butylammonium chlorochromate (BACC) with 18-crown-6,¹⁴² and the solid support-bound 1-aminoimidazolium chlorochromate.¹⁴³

Some alcohol oxidants, such as Dess-Martin periodinane,¹⁴⁴ that find common employment as oxidants for all kinds of alcohols, may find a certain preference for the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols.

A number of other oxidants has been described for the selective oxidation of unsaturated alcohols. These include:

- $\text{KMnO}_4/\text{ZrOCl}_2 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ¹⁴⁵
- Catalytic potassium ruthenate (K_2RuO_4) in the presence of potassium peroxodisulfate ($\text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$) and Adogen 464 under phase-transfer conditions¹⁴⁶
- bis(Trinitrocerium)chromate¹⁴⁷
- An IBX analogue containing a water-solubilizing carboxy group that allows oxidations in water¹⁴⁸
- Copper (II) acetate¹⁴⁹
- Potassium ferrate (K_2FeO_4), either under phase-transfer conditions¹⁵⁰ or in the $\text{K}_2\text{FeO}_4\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solid mixture¹⁵¹
- Molecular oxygen in the presence of monodispersed palladium nanoclusters generated by treatment of $\text{Pd}_4 \text{phen}_2(\text{CO})_2(\text{OAc})_4$ with a metal nitrate¹⁵²
- Poly(2-vinylpyridine) or poly(4-vinylpyridine) supported chromium peroxide¹⁵³

Additionally, the employment of the $\text{K}_2\text{MnO}_4\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ mixture for the selective oxidation of benzylic alcohols¹⁵⁴ and the use of selenium dioxide on silica—in the presence of *t*-butyl hydroperoxide—for the selective oxidation of primary allylic alcohols¹⁵⁵ must be mentioned. Furthermore, some giant palladium cluster complexes are able to catalyze specifically the oxidation of primary allylic alcohols with molecular oxygen, while they possess a very low catalytic activity for the oxidation of secondary allylic and benzylic alcohols.¹⁵⁶

Zinc and copper nitrates on silica gel are able to oxidize benzylic and saturated secondary alcohols but not aliphatic primary alcohols.¹⁵⁷ On the other hand, $\text{ZrO}(\text{OAc})_2$ is able to catalyze, under the action of *t*-BuOOH, the oxidation of benzylic alcohols—both primary and secondary—and primary saturated alcohols to aldehydes and ketones, while secondary saturated alcohols are very unreactive.¹⁵⁸

Regrettably, although some of the above oxidants show a remarkable selectivity, as reported in the corresponding foundational papers, its use is not at all widespread in Synthetic Organic Chemistry, probably, because

its efficiency has not been compared in several independent research laboratories.


Section 8.4. References

- 134 See, for example: (a) Chênevert, R.; Thiboutot, S.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1986**, *64*, 1599. (b) Czernecki, S.; Vijayakumaran, K.; Ville, G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 5472. (c) Anderson, D. W.; Black, R. M.; Leigh, D. A.; Fraser Stoddart, J.; Williams, N. E.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 2661. (d) Bhatnagar, S. C.; Caruso, A. J.; Polonsky, J.; Soto Rodríguez, B.; *Tetrahedron* **1987**, *43*, 3471. (e) Ok, H.; Caldwell, C.; Schroeder, D. R.; Singh, A. K.; Nakanishi, K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 2275. (f) Mitome, H.; Miyaoka, H.; Nakano, M.; Yamada, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 8231. (g) Marder, R.; Dubois, J.; Guénard, D.; Guéritte-Voegekin, F.; Potier, P.; *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 1985. (h) Shimizu, H.; Okamura, H.; Iwagawa, T.; Nakatani, M.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 1903.
- 135 Huang, X.; Chan, C.-C.; *Synthesis* **1982**, 1091.
- 136 Chandrasekhar, S.; Takhi, M.; Mohapatra, S.; *Synth. Commun.* **1996**, *26*, 3947.
- 137 Kim, S.; Chul Lhim, D.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1986**, *59*, 3297.
- 138 Guziec Jr., F. S.; Luzzio, F. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, *47*, 1787.
- 139 Martínez, Y.; de las Heras, M. A.; Vaquero, J. J.; García-Navio, J. L.; Álvarez-Builla, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 8513.
- 140 Hajjipour, A. R.; Mallakpour, S. E.; Malakoutikhah, M.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **2003**, *42B*, 195.
- 141 Parish, E. J.; Scott, A. D.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 4766.
- 142 Kasmai, H. S.; Mischke, S. G.; Blake, T. J.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 2267.
- 143 Linares, M. L.; Sánchez, N.; Alajarín, R.; Vaquero, J. J.; Álvarez-Builla, J.; *Synthesis* **2001**, 382.
- 144 Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 7277. For a synthetic example in which Dess-Martin periodinane possesses a unique capability for the selective oxidation of an allylic alcohol in a complex substrate, see: Tatsuta, K.; Takano, S.; Sato, T.; Nakano, S.; *Chem. Lett.* **2001**, *2*, 172.
- 145 Firouzabadi, H.; Fakoopour, M.; Hazarkhani, H.; *Synth. Commun.* **2001**, *31*, 3859.
- 146 (a) Soo Kim, K.; Jung Kim, S.; Heon Song, Y.; Sun Hahn, C.; *Synthesis* **1987**, 1017. See, however: (b) Kirk Acosta, C.; Rao, P. N.; Kim, H. K.; *Steroids* **1993**, *58*, 205.
- 147 (a) Firouzabadi, H.; Iranpoor, N.; Parham, H.; Tootan, J.; *Synth. Commun.* **1984**, *14*, 631. (b) Weeratunga, G.; Rajapaksa, D.; Rodrigo, R.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 5902.
- 148 Thottumkara, A. P.; Vinod, T. K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 569.
- 149 (a) Appendino, G.; Fenoglio, I.; Cravotto, G.; Varese, M.; Gariboldi, P.; Gabetta, B.; *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1994**, *124*, 253. (b) Appendino, G.; Jakupovic, J.; Cravotto, G.; Enriù, R.; Varese, M.; Bombardelli, E.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 3233.
- 150 Soo Kim, K.; Kil Chang, Y.; Kun Bae, S.; Sun Hahn, C.; *Synthesis* **1984**, 866.
- 151 Soo Kim, K.; Heon Song, Y.; Lee, N. H.; Sun Hahn, C.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 2875.
- 152 Choi, K.-M.; Akita, T.; Mizugaki, T.; Ebitani, K.; Kaneda, K.; *New. J. Chem.* **2003**, *27*, 324.
- 153 Lakouraj, M. M.; Keyvan, A.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1999**, *3*, 206.
- 154 Soo Kim, K.; Chung, S.; Haeng Cho, I.; Sun Hahn, C.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 2559.
- 155 Kalsi, P. S.; Chhabra, B. R.; Singh, J.; Vig, R.; *Synlett* **1992**, 425.
- 156 Kaneda, K.; Fujie, Y.; Ebitani, K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 9023.
- 157 Nishiguchi, T.; Asano, F.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 6265.
- 158 Kaneda, K.; Kawanishi, Y.; Teranishi, S.; *Chem. Lett.* **1984**, 1481.


Selective Oxidations of Primary Alcohols in Presence of Secondary Alcohols

9.1. Introduction

Primary alcohols possess a substantially less crowded environment than secondary ones. Thus, in the absence of dominant electronic factors, many oxidants tend to react quicker with primary alcohols. These include many common oxidants, like TPAP,¹ PCC,² Parikh-Moffatt,³ Dess-Martin,⁴ IBX⁵ and Swern,⁶ that are sometimes able to perform selective oxidations of primary alcohols in useful yields, regardless of the fact that they were not devised for this purpose.



It must be mentioned that IBX is particularly useful in selective oxidations of primary alcohols, leading to hydroxyaldehydes present as lactols.⁵



Section 9.1. References

- (a) Ley, S. V.; Norman, J.; Griffith, W. P.; Marsden, S. P.; *Synthesis* **1994**, 639. See also, for example: (b) Hitchcock, S. A.; Pattenden, G.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 4843. (c) Cole, K. P.; Hsung, R. P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 8791. (d) Miyamoto, S.; Doi, T.; Takahashi, T.; *Synlett* **2002**, *1*, 97. (e) Hinzen, B.; Lenz, R.; Ley, S. V.; *Synthesis* **1998**, *7*, 977. (f) Hsung, R. P.; Cole, K. P.; Zehnder, L. R.; Wang, J.; Wei, L.-L.; Yang, X.-F.; Coverdale, H. A.; *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 311.
- See, for example: Kluge, A. F.; Maddox, M. L.; Partridge, L. G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 2359.
- See, for example: Bärmann, H.; Prahlad, V.; Tao, C.; Yun, Y. K.; Wang, Z.; Donaldson, W. A.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 2283.
- See, for example: Evans, D. A.; Gage, J. R.; Leighton, J. L.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 9434.
- See, for example: (a) Corey, E. J.; Palani, A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 3485. (b) Amano, S.; Fujiwara, K.; Murai, A.; *Chem. Lett.* **1998**, *5*, 409.
- See, for example: (a) Mehta, G.; Krishnamurthy, N.; Rao Karra, S.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 5765. (b) Corey, E. J.; Li, W.-D. Z.; Nagamitsu, T.; Fentany, G.; *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 3305. (c) Lautens, M.; Stammers, T. A.; *Synthesis* **2002**, *14*, 1993.

9.2. TEMPO-mediated Oxidations

For a detailed account of TEMPO-mediated oxidations see chapter 5.


Among common alcohol oxidants, TEMPO-mediated oxidations have been the subject of a close scrutiny, aimed at finding optimum conditions for the selective oxidation of primary alcohols. In fact, TEMPO-mediated oxidations, that is oxidations in which an oxoammonium salt acts as a primary oxidant, have a great tendency to operate quicker with primary alcohols, regardless of the secondary oxidant employed and the exact experimental conditions.

When a TEMPO-mediated oxidation of an 1,4- or 1,5-diol leads to an hydroxyaldehyde able to equilibrate with a lactol, the lactol is normally further oxidized to a lactone.⁷

A scant look at the facts might suggest that the selective oxidation of primary alcohols in TEMPO-mediated oxidations can be explained solely on steric grounds. Things are not so simple, as it was found⁸ that the primary oxidants, that is oxoammonium salts, when used stoichiometrically, react quicker with primary alcohols when present as oxoammonium chlorides, while the reverse selectivity, that is selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, is observed when oxoammonium bromides are employed.


The very common TEMPO-mediated Anelli's protocol for the oxidation of alcohols, involving a biphasic CH_2Cl_2 -water mixture containing catalytic TEMPO, or an analogue thereof, and sodium hypochlorite as a secondary oxidant, shows a great selectivity for the oxidation of primary alcohols in the presence of secondary ones⁹ and has found some use in Synthetic Organic Chemistry.¹⁰

Selective oxidations of primary alcohols can also be achieved employing less common variants of the Anelli's protocol, such as those involving silica-supported TEMPO¹¹ and polymer-immobilized TEMPO.¹²



In 1997, Piancatelli *et al.*¹³ showed that TEMPO in combination with [bis(acetoxy)iodo]benzene (BAIB) as a secondary oxidant presents an exceptional selectivity for the oxidation of primary alcohols in the presence of secondary ones. These results were confirmed by other researchers during the preparation of complex organic compounds.¹⁴

For some important experimental details during TEMPO-BAIB oxidations, see pages 245 and 247.



Other TEMPO-mediated oxidations reported to possess selectivity for the oxidation of primary alcohols versus secondary ones, include oxidations involving CuCl_2/O_2 ,¹⁵ NaBrO_2 ,¹⁶ NCS ¹⁷ and trichloroisocyanuric acid¹⁸ as secondary oxidants.


Systems involving oxoammonium salts, electrologically generated from TEMPO¹⁹ or employed in stoichiometric amounts,⁸ can also show useful selectivities for the oxidation of primary alcohols. The use of stoichiometric oxoammonium salts is sometimes more satisfactory in the selective oxidation of primary alcohols than the employment of catalytic TEMPO systems.²⁰

Section 9.2. References

- 7 De Nooy, A. E. J.; Besemer, A. C.; van Bekkum, H.; *Synthesis* **1996**, *10*, 1153.
- 8 Miyazawa, T.; Endo, T.; Shiihashi, S.; Okawara, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 1332.
- 9 (a) Anelli, P. L.; Biffi, C.; Montanari, F.; Quici, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 2559. (b) Anelli, P. L.; Banfi, S.; Montanari, F.; Quici, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 2970. (c) Siedlecka, R.; Skarzewski, J.; Młochowski, J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 2177.
- 10 For examples in the preparation of complex organic compounds, see: (a) Ireland, R. E.; Gleason, J. L.; Gegnas, L. D.; Highsmith, T. K.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 6856. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Ray, M.; Finaly, V.; Ninkovic, S.; Sarabia, F.; *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 7127. (c) Dhokte, U. P.; Khan, V. V.; Hutchinson, D. R.; Martinelli, M. J.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 8771. (d) Kinney, W. A.; Zhang, X.; Williams, J. I.; Johnston, S.; Michalak, R. S.; Deshpande, M.; Dostal, L.; Rosazza, J. P. N.; *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 2921.
- 11 Bolm, C.; Fey, T.; *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 1795.
- 12 Dijkman, A.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Sheldon, R. A.; *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 271.
- 13 De Mico, A.; Margarita, R.; Parlanti, L.; Vescovi, A.; Piancatelli, G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 6974.
- 14 (a) Chappell, M. D.; Harris, C. R.; Kuduk, S. D.; Balog, A.; Wu, Z.; Zhang, F.; Lee, C. B.; Stachel, S. J.; Danishefsky, S. J.; Chou, T.-C.; Guan, Y.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 7730. (b) Paterson, I.; Delgado, O.; Florence, G. J.; Lyothier, I.; Scott, J. P.; Sereinig, N.; *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 35. (c) Mickel, S. J.; Sedelmeier, G. H.; Niederer, D.; Schuerch, F.; Seger, M.; Schreiner, K.; Daeffler, R.; Osmani, A.; Bixel, D.; Loiseleur, O.; Cercus, J.; Stettler, H.; Schaer, K.; Gamboni, R.; Bach, A.; Chen, G.-P.; Chen, W.; Geng, P.; Lee, G. T.; Loeser, E.; McKenna, J.; Kinder Jr., F. R.; Konigsberger, K.; Prasad, K.; Ramsey, T. M.; Reel, N.; Repič, O.; Rogers, L.; Shieh, W.-C.; Wang, R.-M.; Waykole, L.; Xue, S.; Florence, G.; Paterson, I.; *Org. Proc. Res. Develop.* **2004**, *8*, 113. (d) Paterson, I.; Florence, G. J.; Gerlach, K.; Scott, J. P.; Sereinig, N.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 9535.
- 15 Semmelhack, M. F.; Schmid, C. R.; Cortés, D. A.; Chou, C. S.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 3374.
- 16 Inokuchi, T.; Matsumoto, S.; Nishiyama, T.; Torii, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 462.
- 17 (a) Einhorn, J.; Einhorn, C.; Ratajczak, F.; Pierre, J.-L.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 7452. (b) Benowitz, A. B.; Fidanze, S.; Small, P. L. C.; Kishi, Y.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 5128.
- 18 De Luca, L.; Giacomelli, G.; Porcheddu, A.; *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 3041.
- 19 (a) Semmelhack, M. F.; Chou, C. S.; Cortés, D. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 4492. (b) Inokuchi, T.; Matsumoto, S.; Torii, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 2416. (c) Kashiwagi, Y.; Kurashima, F.; Chiba, S.; Anzai, J.-ichi; Osa, T.; Bobbitt, M.; *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, *1*, 114.
- 20 See, for example: Wovkulich, P. M.; Shankaran, K.; Kiegiel, J.; Uskoković, M. R.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 832.

9.3. RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃

In 1981, Oshima *et al.*²¹ reported that stoichiometric RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃ in benzene shows a remarkable selectivity for the oxidation of primary alcohols in the presence of secondary ones. This was confirmed by other researchers in the preparation of complex organic compounds.²²



Selective oxidations with stoichiometric RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃ are normally carried out simply by stirring a solution of the alcohol in benzene at room temperature in the presence of the oxidant. The addition of 2 equivalents of K₂CO₃ may improve the reaction.^{22g}

Due to the high price of RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃, a number of protocols employing this reagent in catalytic amounts in the presence of a secondary oxidant have been tried. Successful selective oxidations of primary alcohols can be achieved using the following secondary oxidants: TMSOOTMS,²³ *N*-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide²⁴, molecular oxygen plus catalytic hydroquinone²⁵ or catalytic TEMPO.²⁶

Although useful selectivities can be achieved with catalytic RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃, best results are sometimes obtained using this oxidant in stoichiometric amounts.^{23a}

9.3.1. General Procedure for Selective Oxidation of Primary Alcohols in Presence of Secondary Alcohol Employing RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃

A ca. 0.01–0.05 M solution of the alcohol in benzene,^a containing^b ca. 1.5–2.6 equivalents of RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃, is stirred at room temperature till most of the starting alcohol is consumed.^c The reaction mixture is

concentrated and the residue purified by silica gel chromatography. Alternatively, the ruthenium residues can be removed prior to the chromatographic purification by either subjecting the reaction mixture to washing with cold water and drying (Na_2SO_4), or passing the reaction mixture through a pad of silica.

^a Toluene can also be used.

^b It may be convenient to add 2 equivalents of K_2CO_3 .^{22g}

^c It normally takes between 1.5 h and 3 d.

Section 9.3. References

- 21 Tomioka, H.; Takai, K.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, *22*, 1605.
- 22 See, for example: (a) Ley, S. V.; Meek, G.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1995**, *17*, 1751. (b) Liu, H.-J.; Shia, K.-S.; *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 13449. (c) Nicolaou, K. C.; Murphy, F.; Barluenga, S.; Ohshima, T.; Wei, H.; Xu, J.; Gray, D. L. F.; Bandoin, O.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 3830. (d) Abdur Rahman, S. M.; Ohno, H.; Yoshino, H.; Satoh, N.; Tsukaguchi, M.; Murakami, K.; Iwata, C.; Maezaki, N.; Tanaka, T.; *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 127. (e) Panek, J. S.; Jain, N. F.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 2747. (f) Arefolov, A.; Panek, J. S.; *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2397. (g) Ley, S. V.; Cleator, E.; Harter, J.; Hollowood, C. J.; *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2003**, *1*, 3263.
- 23 (a) Kanemoto, S.; Oshima, K.; Matsubara, S.; Takai, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 2185. (b) Kanemoto, S.; Matsubara, S.; Takai, K.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1988**, *61*, 3607.
- 24 Baker, R.; Brimble, M. A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 3311.
- 25 Hanyu, A.; Takezawa, E.; Sakaguchi, S.; Ishii, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 5557.
- 26 Dijkman, A.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Sheldon, R. A.; *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 1591.

9.4. Other oxidants

A number of diverse oxidizing systems, which do not yet find ample use in organic synthesis, are reported to possess a certain selectivity for the oxidation of primary alcohols. These include:

- $\text{NaNO}_2/\text{Ac}_2\text{O}$ ²⁷
- $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrH}_2/\text{cyclohexanone}$ or benzophenone²⁸
- Molecular oxygen/[$\text{CuBr}_2(2,2'$ -bipyridine)]/TEMPO/ K^tOBu ²⁹
- $\text{ZrO}(\text{OAc})_2/{}^t\text{BuOOH}$ ³⁰
- Molecular oxygen/[$\text{N}(n\text{-Bu})_4$][$\text{Os}(\text{N})(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$]³¹
- Quinolinium chlorochromate³²
- CrO_3 intercalated in graphite³³

Interestingly, when a Corey-Kim oxidation ($\text{Me}_2\text{S}/\text{NCS}$) is performed with diisopropyl sulfide, instead of dimethyl sulfide, primary alcohols are selectively oxidized at 0°C , while lowering the temperature to -78°C causes the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols.³⁴

Section 9.4. References

- 27 (a) Bandgar, B. P.; Sadavarte, V. S.; Uppalla, L. S.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **2000**, 3559. (b) Ibid; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **2001**, 1151.
- 28 Nakano, T.; Terada, T.; Ishii, Y.; Ogawa, M.; *Synthesis* **1986**, 774.
- 29 Gamez, P.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Reedijk, J.; Sheldon, R. A.; *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 19, 2414.
- 30 Kaneda, K.; Kawanishi, Y.; Teranishi, S.; *Chem. Lett.* **1984**, 1481.
- 31 Shapley, P. A.; Zhang, N.; Allen, J. L.; Pool, D. H.; Liang, H.-C.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, 122, 1079.
- 32 Singh, J.; Kad, G. L.; Vig, S.; Sharma, M.; Chhabra, B. R.; *Ind. J. Chem.* **1997**, 36B, 272.
- 33 Lalancette, J.-M.; Rollin, G.; Dumas, P.; *Can. J. Chem.* **1972**, 50, 3058.
- 34 Soo Kim, K.; Haeng Cho, I.; Ki Yoo, B.; Heon Song, Y.; Sun Hahn, C.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1984**, 762.

9.5. Selective Oxidation of Primary Alcohols via Silyl Ethers

A number of oxidants are able to selectively transform silyl ethers derived from primary alcohols into aldehydes in the presence of silyl ethers derived from secondary alcohols. This allows to perform selective oxidations, whereby persilylation of polyols is followed by the selective oxidation of primary silyl ethers, resulting in the formation of aldehydes possessing secondary alcohols protected as silyl ethers. As expected, the mild transformation of primary silyl ethers into aldehydes is only possible with silyl ethers that are not exceedingly robust, such as TMS, TES and TBS ethers.

Oxidants able to directly transform primary silyl ethers into aldehydes include:

- Collins reagent (TMS ethers), see page 24
- Quinolinium fluorochromate (TBS ethers)³⁵
- Swern (TMS and TES ethers), see page 153

Section 9.5. References


- 35 Chandrasekhar, S.; Mohanty, P. K.; Takhi, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, 62, 2628.

Selective Oxidations of Secondary Alcohols in Presence of Primary Alcohols

10.1. Introduction

Primary alcohols possess a considerably less congested environment than secondary ones. Therefore, it may seem contradictory that a certain oxidant could be able to perform the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols. On the other hand, the oxidation potential of aldehydes is generally higher than the one of ketones (see page 257). This means that thermodynamics usually favor the oxidation of secondary alcohols over primary ones and mild oxidants have a tendency to react quicker with secondary alcohols. Other factors that promote the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols include the intermediacy of alkyl hypohalides, which are less stable when derived from secondary alcohols, and the operation of a mechanism involving a hydride transfer, leaving a carbocation located at the α position of an alcohol that possesses a higher stability in secondary alcohols.


Some standard alcohol oxidants that may not have been originally devised for selective oxidations are able, in favourable substrates, to oxidize secondary alcohols in the presence of primary ones.¹ Thus, cases are known in which Corey-Kim oxidation,² TFAA-activated DMSO,^{1b} Collins reagent² or PDC^{1b} show a certain preference for the oxidation of secondary alcohols.



Ref. 1b

This diol possesses a high tendency to suffer a selective oxidation of the secondary alcohol, which can be performed with 84% using DMSO activated with TFAA or with a lower yield with PDC. According to the authors, who intended to make a selective oxidation of the primary alcohol, "however, all attempts to selectively oxidize the primary hydroxy function in the presence of the secondary hydroxy group failed."

Among common alcohol oxidants, Fétizon's reagent—due to its mildness—is particularly well-suited for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols (see page 283).



On the other hand, Fétizon's reagent is very sensitive to steric hindrance and no selective oxidation of secondary alcohols is possible in many complex substrates.⁴


Section 10.1. References

- 1 See, for example: (a) Tomioka, H.; Takai, K.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, 22, 1605. (b) Tietze, L. F.; Henke, S.; Bärtels, C.; *Tetrahedron* **1988**, 44, 7145.
- 2 Tomioka, H.; Takai, K.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, 22, 1605.
- 3 Fétizon, M.; Golfier, M.; Louis, J.-M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1969**, 1102.
- 4 See, for example: Kurth, M. J.; Yu, C.-M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, 50, 1840.


10.2. Reaction with Electrophilic Halogen Sources

In 1943, Reich and Reichstein⁵ described the oxidation of secondary steroidal alcohols with *N*-bromoacetamide (NBA) in aqueous *tert*-butyl alcohol or acetone. Subsequently, *N*-bromoacetamide found ample use in the oxidation of secondary alcohols in the steroid field.⁶

In 1952, Kritchevsky *et al.*⁷ reported the selective oxidation of a secondary alcohol in the presence of a primary one with *N*-bromoacetamide. In 1954, Jones and Kocher highlighted⁸ the importance of being able to carry out selective oxidations of secondary alcohols with *N*-bromoacetamide, which was employed later by other authors for this purpose.⁹



In 1980, Stevens *et al.*¹⁰ reported that a plain solution of sodium hypochlorite, which is easily available as “swimming pool chlorine”, is able to efficiently oxidize secondary alcohols in a solution in acetic acid, while primary alcohols react very slowly. Two years later, this research team published¹¹ a more detailed account on the ability of NaOCl/AcOH to perform the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols in the presence of primary ones. Stevens’ oxidant became one of the standard reagents for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols.¹²



Other reagents, providing a source of electrophilic halogen, able to selectively oxidize secondary alcohols include molecular chlorine,¹³ molecular bromine,^{13c} 3-iodopyridine dichloride,^{13a} trichloroisocyanuric acid (TCIA),¹⁴ the complex $\text{HOF}\cdot\text{MeCN}$ ¹⁵ and tetraethylammonium trichloride.¹⁶


10.2.1. General Procedure for Selective Oxidation of Secondary Alcohols in Presence of Primary Alcohol, Using Stevens’ Protocol (Sodium Hypochlorite in Acetic Acid)

Approximately 1.05–3^a equivalents of sodium hypochlorite (MW = 74.44) in an aqueous ca. 1.8 M^b solution are slowly added over 15–30 min.^c to a ca. 0.6–1.4 M stirred solution of the diol in acetic acid. When most of the starting alcohol is consumed,^d a saturated NaHCO_3 aqueous solution is added and the resulting mixture is extracted with an organic solvent such as ether or CH_2Cl_2 . The organic phase is washed with water, dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated, providing a hydroxyketone that may need further purification.

^a Limiting the quantity of oxidant to 1.05–1.1 equivalents allows the use of the iodide-starch test to signal the end of the oxidation.

^b Sodium hypochlorite aqueous solutions, possessing a ca. 1.8–2.2 M concentration, are sold in hardware stores as “swimming-pool chlorine”. The concentration of NaOCl decreases by about 20% per month when the solutions are kept at room temperature.¹¹ Keeping the NaOCl solutions at low temperature helps retarding the degradation.

The concentration can be measured against a potassium iodide solution (Pontius method)¹⁷ according to the equation:



The end-point of the titration is measured by the persistence of intermediate I₂ in the solution, signalled by the blue color of a starch-iodide complex. 10 mL of 0.2% starch and at least 3 g of NaHCO₃ are added to 50 mL of the sodium hypochlorite aqueous solution. A titration is performed by dropping a standard 0.02 M potassium iodide solution. The end of the titration is signalled by the persistence of the blue color of the starch-iodide complex.

^c Heat is evolved during the addition of sodium hypochlorite, therefore, it is advisable to occasionally employ an ice-water bath in order to keep the reaction temperature at ca. 20–25°C. Alternatively, the ice-water bath can be continuously used in order to keep the reaction temperature below 5°C for a milder oxidation.


^d It normally takes between 0.5 and 3 h. The end of the oxidation can be determined employing the iodide-starch test, provided that a limited excess of 1.05–1.1 equivalents of sodium hypochlorite has been used. Alternatively, the reaction can be followed by TLC. When a liberal excess of sodium hypochlorite is employed, it is advisable to quench the reaction by the addition of isopropanol or a sodium thiosulfate solution.

Section 10.2. References

- 5 Reich, H.; Reichstein, T.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1943**, *26*, 562.
- 6 See, for example: (a) Sarett, L. H.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1949**, *71*, 1165. (b) Fieser, L. F.; Rajagopalan, S.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1950**, *72*, 5530. (c) Chemerda, J. M.; Chamberlain, E. M.; Wilson, E. H.; Tishler, M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1951**, *73*, 4052. (d) Hanze, A. R.; Fonken, G. S.; McIntosh Jr., A. V.; Searcy, A. M.; Levin, R. H.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1954**, *76*, 3179.
- 7 Kritchevsky, T. H.; Garmaise, D. L.; Gallagher, T. F.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, *74*, 483.
- 8 Jones, R. E.; Kocher, F. W.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1954**, *76*, 3682.
- 9 See, for example: (a) Johnson, W. S.; Collins Jr., J. C.; Pappo, R.; Rubin, M. B.; Kropp, P. J.; Johns, W. F.; Pike, J. E.; Bartmann, W.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, *85*, 1409. (b) Murahashi, S.-Ichii; Saito, T.; Hanaoka, H.; Murakami, Y.; Naota, T.; Kumobayashi, H.; Akutagawa, S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 2929.
- 10 Stevens, R. V.; Chapman, K. T.; Weller, H. N.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, *45*, 2030.
- 11 Stevens, R. V.; Chapman, K. T.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, *23*, 4647.
- 12 See, for example: (a) Lehmann, J.; Scheuring, M.; *Lieb. Ann. Chem.* **1990**, *3*, 271. (b) Skarzewski, J.; Siedlecka, R.; *Org. Prep. Proc. Int.* **1992**, *24*, 623. (c) Corey, E. J.; Lazerwith, S. E.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 12777.
- 13 (a) Wicha, J.; Zarecki, A.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1974**, 3059. (b) Tassignon, P. S. G.; de Wit, D.; de Rijk, T. C.; De Buyck, L. F.; *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 11863. (c) Al Neirabeyer, M.; Ziegler, J.-C.; Gross, B.; Caubère, P.; *Synthesis* **1976**, 811.
- 14 (a) Hiegel, G. A.; Nalbandy, M.; *Synth. Commun.* **1992**, *22*, 1589. (b) Tassignon, P. S. G.; de Wit, D.; de Rijk, T. C.; De Buyck, L. F.; *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 11863.
- 15 Rozen, S.; Bareket, Y.; Kol, M.; *Tetrahedron* **1993**, *49*, 8169.
- 16 Schlama, T.; Gabriel, K.; Gouverneur, V.; Mioskowski, C.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1997**, *36*, 2342.
- 17 Kolthoff, I. M.; Belcher, R.; *Volumetric Analysis III*; Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, **1957**, p. 262.


10.3. Oxidation of Intermediate Alkyltin Alkoxides

In 1974, David¹⁸ reported that cyclic stannylenes (**97**), formed by reaction of 1,2-diols (**96**) with dibutyltin oxide—*n*-Bu₂SnO—in refluxing benzene with azeotropic elimination of water, reacted with Br₂ in solution at room temperature at titrating speed, leading to α-hydroxyketones (**98**).



Subsequent researchers confirmed these results and extended the reaction to the oxidation of acyclic stannane derivatives, prepared by using Et₃SnOMe¹⁹ or, most often, (Bu₃Sn)₂O.²⁰ Additionally, it was discovered that the oxidation of the tin alkoxides can also be brought about with *N*-bromosuccinimide (NBS).²¹ An important improvement on the oxidation step occurred when it was noticed that the HBr generated during the oxidation can produce the hydrolysis of the intermediate tin alkoxide, leading to lower yields.²² This can be avoided by the addition of HBr quenchers, such as Et₃SnOMe,²³ molecular sieves²⁴ or pinacol dibutylstannylene.^{22a} Molecular sieves are often used both to promote the formation of tin alkoxides and to quench the HBr generated during the oxidation step.

In 1976, Ueno and Okawara highlighted the fact that no oxidation of primary saturated alcohols to aldehydes via tin alkoxides had been reported in the literature and published a procedure for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols.²⁵ Interestingly, rather than performing the oxidation on pre-formed tin alkoxides, these researchers subjected a mixture of the diol and (Bu₃Sn)₂O in CH₂Cl₂ to the action of Br₂. Regardless of the fact that no complete formation of tin alkoxides is secured and no HBr quencher is added, this method may provide useful yields of hydroxyketones during the selective oxidation of diols.²⁶




Ref. 26

The selective oxidation of the secondary alcohol is performed by dropping a bromine solution on a mixture of (Bu₃Sn)₂O and the diol in CH₂Cl₂. Although, no complete formation of bis-tin alkoxide is secured and the generated HBr—that may cause the hydrolysis of tin alkoxides—is not quenched, a useful yield of hydroxyketone is obtained.

Subsequent researchers introduced substantial improvements on the Ueno and Okawara's protocol of selective oxidations via tin alkoxides and broadened considerably the scope of its application.^{22a, 24b,c} Thus, it was established that good yields in the selective oxidation of diols—and even triols and tetrols—can be achieved in two steps: i) pre-formation of a tin alkoxide, by reaction with either $(\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{O}$ or Bu_2SnO with elimination of water by molecular sieves or azeotropic distillation of water; ii) treatment of the tin alkoxide with Br_2 or NBS in the presence of a HBr quencher.

While the reaction with $(\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{O}$ leads to acyclic stannyl derivatives, that is ROSnBu_3 , reaction with Bu_2SnO leads to cyclic stannylene derivatives. It could be expected that cyclic stannylene derivatives would lead to oxidations with a higher regioselectivity, particularly considering that these compounds exist as dimers in which different oxygens possess a very diverse coordinating environment.^{22a} Likewise, Bu_3SnO would seem to be particularly well-suited for the selective oxidation of 1,2- and 1,3-diols that form stable 5- and 6-membered stannylene derivatives. Nonetheless, the fact is that best results are very often obtained by employing $(\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{O}$, rather than Bu_2SnO .^{22a} Although, in the case of polyols, Bu_2SnO may provide extremely good regioselectivities, thanks to the selective formation of stable cyclic stannylenes by regioselective reactions with a certain 1,2- or 1,3-diol moiety in a molecule.



10.3.1. General Procedure for Selective Oxidation of Secondary Alcohols in Presence of Primary Alcohols by Treatment of Intermediate Tin Alkoxides with Bromine or *N*-Bromosuccinimide

A tin alkoxide is generated^a by removal of water from a ca. 0.01–0.3 M—typically 0.15 M—solution of the alcohol in an organic solvent,^b in the presence of ca. 1.05–2 equivalents—typically 1.1 equivalents—of either $(\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{O}$ (MW = 596.1) or Bu_2SnO (MW = 248.94),^c by azeotropic

distillation^d with a Dean-Stark apparatus or by refluxing^c in the presence of ca. 1 g of activated molecular sieves per mmol of alcohol. The solvent is removed at the rotary evaporator^f and the crude tin alkoxide is dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ or CHCl₃ so as to get a ca. 0.2–0.4 M solution. Approximately, 1–1.5 equivalents of a HBr quencher, such as Et₃SnOMe or pinacol dibutylstannylene, are added.^g From 1 to 2.6 equivalents—typically 1.2 equivalents—of Br₂ (MW = 159.82, d = 3.102) or NBS (MW = 177.99) in a ca. 0.5–1 M solution in CH₂Cl₂ or CHCl₃ are slowly added to the stirred solution.^h Stirring is continued till most of the starting compound is consumed.ⁱ

When Br₂ is used as oxidant, the excess can be destroyed by the addition of cyclohexene. The reaction mixture is concentrated at the rotary evaporator and the crude residue purified by silica gel chromatography. Alternatively, a crude material, which may need further purification, can be isolated by filtering the reaction mixture through a pad of silica or Celite[®] and removing the solvent in vacuo.

^a It is possible to carry out a selective oxidation by adding Br₂ or NBS to a mixture of the alcohol and the stannylating agent in an organic solvent without securing the complete generation of a tin alkoxide. Nevertheless, this may lead to a decreased yield.

^b Benzene or toluene can be employed when water is eliminated by azeotropic distillation. CH₂Cl₂ or CHCl₃ are suitable solvents when the removal of water is made with molecular sieves.

^c Bu₂Sn=O produces the formation of cyclic stannylene derivatives and it is used in 1,2- or 1,3-diols because they lead to stable 5- and 6-membered cycles.

^d The complete formation of the tin alkoxide is signalled by the end of the removal of water and it normally takes about 12 h.

^e Polyols are very often insoluble in CH₂Cl₂ or CHCl₃. Therefore, the formation of the tin alkoxide can often be monitored by the dissolution of the starting polyol. Normally, the formation of the tin alkoxide takes between 2 and 3 h.

^f When CH₂Cl₂ or CHCl₃ are used as solvent, they do not need to be removed.

^g Failure to add a HBr quencher may lead to the partial hydrolysis of the tin alkoxide and a lower yield in the selective oxidation. Excess of molecular sieves or stannylating agent employed in the formation of the tin alkoxide may operate as HBr quenchers during the tin alkoxide oxidation.

^h The reaction may be kept at room temperature. Alternatively, for milder reaction conditions, it may be cooled on an ice-water bath.

ⁱ It normally takes a few min when Br₂ is employed as oxidant, and ca. 0.5–1 h when NBS is used.

Section 10.3. References

18 David, S.; *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris (C)* **1974**, 278, 1051.

19 (a) Saigo, K.; Morikawa, A.; Mukaiyama, T.; *Chem. Lett.* **1975**, 145. (b) Saigo, K.; Morikawa, A.; Mukaiyama, T.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1976**, 49, 1656.


- 20 (a) Ref. 19b. (b) Ogawa, T.; Matsui, M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 1629.
 21 (a) Ref. 20b. (b) Kong, X.; Grindley, T. B.; *J. Carbohydr. Chem.* **1993**, *12*, 557.
 22 (a) David, S.; Thieffry, A.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1979**, 1568. (b) Ref. 19b.
 23 (a) Ref. 22a. (b) Ref. 19b. (c) Furneaux, R. H.; Gainsford, G. J.; Lynch, G. P.; Yorke, S. C.; *Tetrahedron* **1993**, *49*, 9605.
 24 (a) Ref. 22a. (b) Tsuda, Y.; Matsuhira, N.; Kanemitsu, K.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1985**, *33*, 4095. (c) Tsuda, Y.; Hanajima, M.; Matsuhira, N.; Okuno, Y.; Kanemitsu, K.; *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1989**, *37*, 2344.
 25 Ueno, Y.; Okawara, M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**, 4597.
 26 See, for example: White, J. D.; Jensen, M. S.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 6224.
 27 D'Andrea, F.; Catelani, G.; Mariani, M.; Vecchi, B.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 1139.

10.4. Other Oxidants

Certain molybdenum complexes, such as $\text{MoO}(\text{O}_2)(\text{PhCONPhO})_2$ ² and the peroxy-molybdenum compound derived from tris(cetylpyridinium) 12-molybdophosphate and hydrogen peroxide (PCMP),²⁸ are able to selectively oxidize secondary alcohols. PCMP is able to perform selective oxidations in catalytic amounts in the presence of hydrogen peroxide as secondary oxidant.²⁹

Other molybdenum complexes able to catalyze the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols are: ammonium molybdate in the presence of H_2O_2 ,³⁰ benzyltrimethylammonium tetrabromooxomolybdate in the presence of *t*-BuOOH³¹ and molybdenum hexacarbonyl in the presence of catalytic cetylpyridinium chloride and stoichiometric *t*-BuOOH.³²

Several compounds of tungsten, which is a transition metal closely related to molybdenum, are able to catalyze the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols with hydrogen peroxide as secondary oxidant. These include: tris(cetylpyridinium) 12-tungstophosphate,³³ peroxotungstophosphate (PCWP)³⁴ and Na_2WO_4 in the presence of a phase transfer catalyst.³⁵ Tungstophosphoric acid is able to catalyze the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols in the presence of ferric nitrate as secondary oxidant.³⁶



Cerium (IV) ammonium nitrate (CAN)³⁷ and a cerium (IV) impregnated resin³⁸ are able to catalyze the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols with sodium bromate (NaBrO_3). Stoichiometric cerium bromate— $\text{Ce}(\text{BrO}_3)_3$, prepared *in situ* from barium bromate and cerium (III) sulfate, is also able to perform selective oxidations of secondary alcohols.³⁹

Other transition metal compounds able to catalyze the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols include: $\text{VO}(\text{acac})_2$ with $t\text{-BuOOH}$ as secondary oxidant,⁴⁰ a polystyrene-supported (catecholato)oxorhenium complex in the presence of DMSO,⁴¹ and a mixture of ferric nitrate and ferric bromate that catalyzes the oxidation of secondary alcohols with air.⁴²

Other oxidizing systems based on metals that can carry out regioselective oxidations of secondary alcohols on a catalytic quantity are: a titanium-doped zeolite in the presence of H_2O_2 ⁴³ and the hydrotalcite Ru-Co-Al- CO_3 HT in the air.⁴⁴

The following systems based on metals can oxidize in a non-catalytic quantity the secondary alcohols in the presence of primary ones: copper and zinc nitrate on Celite[®],⁴⁵ and the solid mixtures $\text{K}_3\text{FeO}_4\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ⁴⁶ and $\text{BaMnO}_4\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$.⁴⁷

Chloral or benzaldehyde in the presence of dehydrated alumina⁴⁸ and $\text{Al}(\text{O}^t\text{Bu})_3$ in the presence of $t\text{-BuOOH}$,⁴⁹ are oxidizing systems reminiscent of Oppenauer oxidations that can perform regioselective oxidations of secondary alcohols.

A classical Corey-Kim oxidation sometimes shows a certain preference for the oxidation of secondary alcohols.² Additionally, a Corey-Kim oxidation, in which diisopropyl sulfide is employed in the place of dimethyl sulfide, presents a preference for the oxidation of primary alcohols at 0°C and secondary alcohols at -78°C .⁵⁰

Both, sodium bromite (NaBrO_2)⁵¹ and sodium bromate (NaBrO_3)⁵² are able to carry out selective oxidations of secondary alcohols in the absence of an added catalyst under properly devised experimental conditions.

Section 10.4. References


- 28 Ishii, Y.; Yamawaki, K.; Yoshida, T.; Ura, T.; Ogawa, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 1868.
- 29 Yamawaki, K.; Nishihara, H.; Yoshida, T.; Ura, T.; Yamada, H.; Ishii, Y.; Ogawa, M.; *Synth. Commun.* **1988**, *18*, 869.
- 30 Trost, B. M.; Masuyama, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 173.
- 31 Masuyama, Y.; Takahashi, M.; Kurusu, Y.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 4417.
- 32 Yamawaki, K.; Yoshida, T.; Suda, T.; Ishii, Y.; Ogawa, M.; *Synthesis* **1986**, 59.
- 33 Ishii, Y.; Yamawaki, K.; Ura, T.; Yamada, H.; Yoshida, T.; Ogawa, M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 3587.
- 34 (a) Sakata, Y.; Ishii, Y.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 6233. (b) Dakin, L. A.; Langille, N. F.; Panek, J. S.; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 6812.
- 35 (a) Sato, K.; Aoki, M.; Takagi, J.; Noyori, R.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 12386. (b) Bogdał, D.; Łukasiewicz, M.; *Synlett* **2000**, *1*, 143.
- 36 Firouzabadi, H.; Iranpoor, N.; Amani, K.; *Synthesis* **2003**, *3*, 408.
- 37 (a) Tomioka, H.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, *23*, 539. (b) Kanemoto, S.; Tomioka, H.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1986**, *59*, 105. (c) Banerjee, A. K.; Peña-Matheud, C. A.; de Carrasco, M. C.; *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1988**, *8*, 2485.

- 38 (a) Kanemoto, S.; Saimoto, H.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 3317. (b) Kanemoto, S.; Saimoto, H.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1989**, *62*, 519.
- 39 Shaabani, A.; Lee, D. G.; *Synth. Commun.* **2003**, *33*, 1845.
- 40 Kaneda, K.; Kawanishi, Y.; Jitsukawa, K.; Teranishi, S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 5009.
- 41 Arterburn, J. B.; Liu, M.; Perry, M. C.; *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2002**, *85*, 3225.
- 42 Martín, S. E.; Suárez, D. F.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 4475.
- 43 Bovicelli, P.; Lupattelli, P.; Sanetti, A.; Mincione, E.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 8477.
- 44 Matsushita, T.; Ebitani, K.; Kaneda, K.; *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, *3*, 265.
- 45 Nishiguchi, T.; Asano, F.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 6265.
- 46 Soo Kim, K.; Heon Song, Y.; Lee, N. H.; Hahn, C. S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 2875.
- 47 Soo Kim, K.; Chung, S.; Haeng Cho, I.; Hahn, C. S.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 2559.
- 48 Posner, G. H.; Perfetti, R. B.; Runquist, A. W.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**, 3499.
- 49 (a) Tomioka, H.; Takai, K.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, *22*, 1605. (b) Takai, K.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980**, *21*, 1657.
- 50 Soo Kim, K.; Haeng Cho, I.; Ki Yoo, B.; Heon Song, Y.; Sun Hahn, C.; *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1984**, 762.
- 51 (a) Kageyama, T.; Kawahara, S.; Kitamura, K.; Ueno, Y.; Okawara, M.; *Chem. Lett.* **1983**, 1097. (b) Morimoto, T.; Hirano, M.; Iwasaki, K.; Ishikawa, T.; *Chem. Lett.* **1994**, *1*, 53.
- 52 (a) Shaabani, A.; Ameri, M.; *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1998**, *2*, 100. (b) Shaabani, A.; Lee, D. G.; *Synth. Commun.* **2003**, *33*, 1255.

10.5. Selective Oxidations of Secondary Alcohols via Protection of Primary Alcohols

It is possible to perform the regioselective protection of primary alcohols in the presence of secondary ones with almost any protecting group, thanks to the substantially less crowded environment of primary alcohols. This allows to operate a three step synthetic strategy, whereby the regioselective protection of a primary alcohol is followed by the oxidation of a secondary alcohol and deprotection of the primary one. Although, this strategy is time-consuming and perhaps not very elegant, it may be very efficient in certain cases. Examples of this strategy include the use of silyl⁵³ and trityl^{53a} ethers.

The employment of trityl trifluoroborate is particularly interesting. This reagent is able to introduce trityl groups on both primary and secondary alcohols⁵⁴ and to selectively oxidize secondary trityl ethers to ketones in the presence of primary trityl ethers.⁵⁵ Thus, treatment of diols with trityl trifluoroborate leads to tritylation of both alcohols followed by oxidation of the secondary trityl ether, resulting in the formation of a ketone possessing a trityl-protected primary alcohol. A work-up by mild acidic hydrolysis provides the deprotection of the primary trityl ether and formation of a hydroxyketone.⁵⁴



Section 10.5 References

- 53 (a) Nonaka, T.; Kanemoto, S.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H.; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1984**, *57*, 2019. (b) See, for example: Kurth, M. J.; Yu, C.-M.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 1840.
- 54 Jung, M. E.; Brown, R. W.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1978**, 2771.
- 55 Jung, M. E.; Speltz, L. M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 7882.

General Index

A

Acetals

- resist Albright-Onodera oxidation, 120
- resist Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, 135
- resist Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
- resist Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidation, 110
- resist ruthenium tetroxide, 226
- sensitivity to Jones oxidation, 9
- sensitivity to PCC oxidation, 64

Acetic acid

- accelerant for PCC oxidations, 48
- accelerant for PDC oxidations, 29
- additive for the one pot hydrolysis and oxidation of TMS ethers, 48
- promotes oxidation of acetals with PCC, 64

Acetic anhydride

- accelerant for PCC oxidations, 48
- accelerant for PDC oxidations, 29
- DMSO activator, 98
- in Collins oxidation, 21

Acetic anhydride-mediated Moffatt oxidation. (See Albright-Goldman oxidation)

Acetone, oxidant in Oppenauer reaction, 257

[bis(Acetoxy)iodo]benzene, secondary oxidant in TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 245, 333

Acetyl bromide, DMSO activator in Moffatt oxidation, 178

Acetyl chloride, DMSO activator in Moffatt oxidation, 178

4-AcHN-TEMPO, alternative to TEMPO, 244

Adogen 464, phase-transfer catalyst for oxidations with $K_2Cr_2O_7$, 86

Air, secondary oxidant in chromium catalyzed oxidations, 89, 90

Albright-Goldman oxidation, 113–117

- description, 99
- experimental procedure, 115
- functional and protecting group sensitivity to, 117
- optimization studies, 114
- side reactions, 117

Albright-Onodera oxidation, 118–20

- description, 100
- experimental procedure using the Taber modification, 119
- functional and protecting group sensitivity to, 120

Alcohol acetylation, during

Albright-Goldman oxidation, 117

Alcohol sulfonylation, during

Parikh-Doering oxidation, 126

Aldehydes

- oxidation by Jones reagent, 9, 10
- sensitivity to ruthenium tetroxide, 226

Aldol condensation

- during PCC oxidations, 75
- in situ* during Oppenauer oxidation, 269
- in situ* during Swern oxidation, 160
- side reaction during Oppenauer oxidations, 267, 271

- Aliquat 336, phase-transfer catalyst in TEMPO-mediated oxidations using Anelli's protocol, 244
- Alkanes, sensitivity to ruthenium tetroxide, 226
- Alkenes
- in situ* epoxidation-alcohol oxidation in TEMPO-mediated oxidations, using MCPBA as secondary oxidant, 242
 - isomerization during Corey-Kim oxidation, 176
 - isomerization during Dess-Martin oxidation, 197
 - isomerization during Swern oxidation, 153
 - isomerization with manganese dioxide, 301, 308
 - migration during Dess-Martin oxidation, 197
 - migration during Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidations, 139
 - migration during Oppenauer oxidations, 267
 - migration during PDC oxidations, 36
 - migration during Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidations, 110
 - migration during Swern oxidation, 146, 165
 - normally react with TEMPO under Anelli's protocol, 249, 251
 - normally resist TPAP, 233
 - sensitivity to Collins reagent, 25
 - sensitivity to PCC, 47, 53–54, 58
 - sensitivity to ruthenium tetroxide, 225
 - transformation into enones by Collins reagent, 23
 - transformation into enones by PDC, 33
- Alkyl chlorides, formation from alcohols during
- Corey-Kim oxidation, 173
 - Swern oxidation, 162–63, 173
- Alkyl ethers, sensitivity to ruthenium tetroxide, 226
- Alkyl silanes, resist Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
- Alkyl stannanes, resist Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
- Alkyltin alkoxides, intermediates in the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 343–45
- Alkynes
- resist TPAP, 233
 - sensitivity to Collins reagent, 25
 - sensitivity to ruthenium tetroxide, 225
- Allylic alcohols
- occasional epoxidation by Collins reagent, 25
 - occasional epoxidation by Jones reagent, 15
 - reaction with TPAP, 237
- Alumina
- additive for PCC oxidations to facilitate the work-up, 49
 - catalyst in Oppenauer oxidation, 262
- Aluminium and magnesium carbonate, catalyst in Oppenauer oxidation, 262
- Aluminium *t*-butoxide
- catalyst in Oppenauer oxidation, 258
 - reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 347
- Aluminium isopropoxide
- catalyst in Oppenauer oxidation, 259
 - solid forms, 259
- Aluminium phenoxide, catalyst in Oppenauer oxidation, 259
- Amides
- normally resist Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidation, 106
 - reaction with pyridine-sulfur trioxide complex, 121
 - resist Collins oxidation, 25
 - resist Jones oxidation, 9
 - resist TPAP, 233
 - sensitivity to Dess-Martin periodinane, 193–94
 - sensitivity to IBX, 208

Amides (*Cont'd*)

- sensitivity to Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
- sensitivity to ruthenium tetroxide, 226
- sensitivity to Swern oxidation, 155

Amine reaction with carbonyl compounds, *in situ* during manganese dioxide oxidation, 303–04

Amines

- do not resist Collins oxidation, 25
- normally resist Oppenauer oxidation, 268

react with ruthenium tetroxide, 226
reaction with pyridine-sulfur trioxide complex, 121

- resist Jones oxidation, 10
- resist TEMPO, 250
- sensitivity to Albright-Goldman oxidation, 117

sensitivity to barium manganate oxidation, 311

- sensitivity to DDQ oxidation, 325
- sensitivity to Dess-Martin periodinane, 192–93

sensitivity to Fétizon's oxidation, 287

sensitivity to IBX, 207–08

sensitivity to manganese dioxide oxidation, 297–99

sensitivity to Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, 135–36

sensitivity to Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125

sensitivity to PCC oxidation, 67–68

sensitivity to PDC oxidation, 34

sensitivity to Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidation, 106

sensitivity to Swern oxidation, 154–155

sensitivity to TPAP oxidation, 234

1-Aminoimidazolium chlorochromate on a solid support, selective oxidant for unsaturated alcohols, 329

Ammonium acetate, accelerant for PCC oxidations, 48

Ammonium dichromate, alcohol oxidant, 87

Ammonium molybdate, reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 346

Aromatic rings, sensitivity to ruthenium tetroxide, 226

Asahina and Ishidate oxidation, 84

1,1'-(Azodicarbonyl)dipiperidine, oxidant in Mukaiyama reaction, 274

B

Barium carbonate

- buffer in PCC oxidations, 47
- promoter of β -elimination during PCC oxidations, 71

Barium manganate, 309–11

- experimental procedure for the selective oxidation of unsaturated alcohols with, 311
- preparation, 309

Barium manganate oxidation

- other reactions performed *in situ*, 311
- solvent, 310

Benzaldehyde, reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 347

Benzenesulfonyl chloride, DMSO

- activator in Moffatt oxidation, 178

Benzimidazolium dichromate, alcohol oxidant, 87

Benzoic anhydride, DMSO activator in Moffatt oxidation, 177

p-Benzoquinone, oxidant in Oppenauer reaction, 258

1-(Benzoylamino)-3-methylimidazolium chlorochromate, selective oxidant for unsaturated alcohols, 328

Benzoyl chloride, DMSO activator in Moffatt oxidation, 178

1-Benzyl-4-aza-1-

- azoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane dichromate, alcohol oxidant, 87

- Benzylic position oxidation, by IBX, 209
- 2- and 4-benzylpyridinium dichromate, alcohol oxidants, 87
- Benzyltriethylammonium chloride
- phase-transfer catalyst in chromic acid oxidations, 85
 - phase-transfer catalyst for ruthenium tetroxide oxidations, 221
- bis(Benzyltriethylammonium) dichromate
- selective oxidant for unsaturated alcohols, 328
 - alcohol oxidant, 87
- Benzyltrimethylammonium
- chlorochromate, alcohol oxidant, 88
- Benzyltrimethylammonium tetrabromooxomolybdate, reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 346
- $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$, used to block an amine electron-pair, which prevented by hydrogen bonding an alcohol oxidation with PCC, 67
- 2,2'-Bipyridinium chlorochromate, alcohol oxidant, 88
- 2,2'-Bipyridylchromium peroxide, alcohol oxidant, 91
- Boc-protected amines
- resist Jones oxidation, 9
 - resist Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, 135
 - resist Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
 - resist PCC, 53
 - resist Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidation, 110
- Bromine
- reagent for the oxidation of alkyltin alkoxides, 343
 - reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 341
- N*-Bromoacetamide, reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 340
- Bromochromate salts, alcohol oxidants, 88
- N*-Bromosuccinimide, reagent for the oxidation of alkyltin alkoxides, 343
- Brown's oxidation, 85
- t*-BuOSmI₂, catalyst in Oppenauer oxidation, 264
- Butan-2-one, oxidant in Oppenauer reaction, 264
- t*-Butoxymagnesium bromide, reagent in Mukaiyama oxidation, 275
- n*-Butylammonium chlorochromate with 18-crown-6, selective oxidant for unsaturated alcohols, 329
- t*-Butyl ethers
- resist Jones oxidation, 9
 - resist Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, 135
 - resist Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
 - resist PCC, 52
- t*-Butyl hydroperoxide
- additive in the oxidation at allylic positions with PDC, 33
 - secondary oxidant for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols with $\text{Al}(\text{O}^i\text{Bu})_3$, 347
 - secondary oxidant for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols with benzyltrimethylammonium tetrabromooxomolybdate, 346
 - secondary oxidant for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols with molybdenum hexacarbonyl, 346
 - secondary oxidant for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols with $\text{VO}(\text{acac})_2$, 347
 - secondary oxidant in chromium catalyzed oxidations, 89, 90
- Butyltriphenylphosphonium chlorochromate, alcohol oxidant, 88
- selective oxidant for unsaturated alcohols, 328
- n*-Butyltriphenylphosphonium dichromate, alcohol oxidant, 87

C

- Calcium carbonate
avoids migration of alkenes during PCC oxidations, 59
buffer in PCC oxidations, 47
- Camphorsulfonic acid, accelerant for PCC oxidations, 48
- Carbodiimide-mediated Moffatt oxidation. (*See* Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidation)
- Carbon-carbon bond breakage during Dess-Martin oxidations, 196
Fétizon's oxidation, 287
Jones oxidation, 12
PCC oxidations, 68–70
PDC oxidations, 38–42
TEMPO-mediated oxidations under Anelli's protocol, 251
TPAP oxidations, 236
- Carboxylic acids
formation during manganese dioxide oxidation, 308
formation during TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 244
normally do not resist Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidation, 107
obtention by PDC oxidation, 33
obtention by ruthenium tetroxide oxidation, 225
resist Swern reagent, 154
- Celite[®], additive to facilitate the work-up during PCC oxidations, 48
Collins oxidations, 21
- Cerium (IV) ammonium nitrate
reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 347
secondary oxidant in TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 245
- Cerium bromate, reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 347
- tris(Cetylpyridinium) 12-tungstophosphate, reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 346
- (C₆F₅)₂BOH, catalyst in Oppenauer oxidation, 264
- Chloral
oxidant in Oppenauer reaction, 262
reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 347
- o*-Chloranil, alcohol oxidant, 315
p-Chloranil, alternative to DDQ in the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols, 316
- Chlorination, side reaction during Swern oxidation, 161
TEMPO-mediated oxidations under Anelli's protocol, 249, 251
- Chlorine
reaction with dimethyl sulfide in Corey-Kim oxidation, 100
reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 341
reagent in Corey-Kim oxidations, 172
- Chloroaluminium isopropoxide, catalyst in Oppenauer oxidation, 262
- Chlorochromate salts, alcohol oxidants, 87–88
- 2-Chloro-1,3-dimethylimidazolium chloride, DMSO activator in Moffatt oxidation, 178
- N*-Chlorosuccinimide
reagent in Corey-Kim oxidations, 100, 172
secondary oxidant in TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 245, 334
- Chloro(tetraphenylporphyrinate) chromium(III), catalyst in alcohol oxidation, 89, 90
- Chromic acid, 83–86
in acetic acid, 84
in acetic acid and water, 84
on silica, 85
solvents used in chromic acid oxidations, 85
- Chromic and nicotinic acid mixed anhydride, alcohol oxidant, 87

- Chromium compounds, catalytic
- Cr(acac)₃, 89, 90
 - Cr(CO)₆, 89, 90
 - Cr(III) hydroxide on montmorillonite, 89, 90
 - Cr(III) on a perfluorinated sulfonic resin, 89, 90
 - Cr(III) stearate, 89, 90
 - CrO₃, 89, 90
 - chloro(tetraphenylporphyrinate) chromium(III), 89, 90
 - chromium substituted
 - aluminophosphate, 89, 90
 - in alcohol oxidations, 89–91
 - (OCMe₂CH₂CMe₂O)CrO₂, 89, 90
 - PCC, 89, 90
 - PDC, 89, 90
 - (salen)oxochromium(III) complex, 89, 90
- Chromium substituted
- aluminophosphate, catalyst in alcohol oxidations, 89, 90
- Chromium trioxide
- catalytic, 89, 90
 - chromic acid preparation, 83
 - explosive, 1
 - in a solvent-free system, 91
 - in water, 1
 - intercalated in graphite, selective oxidant for primary alcohols, 91, 336
 - on alumina, 91
 - reaction with dimethyldichlorosilane, 91
 - reaction with diphenyldichlorosilane, 91
 - reaction with trimethylsilyl chloride, 91
 - solubility, 1
- Chromium-based reagents, 1–91
- election of oxidant, 4
- Chromyl chloride on silica-alumina, alcohol oxidant, 91
- Collins oxidation, 17–22
- experimental procedure, 21–22
- Collins oxidation (*Cont'd*)
- Ratcliffe variant, 3, 21, 86
 - side reactions, 21–22
- Collins reagent, 2–3, 86
- explosive, 3, 20
 - preparation, 20
- Copper (II) acetate, selective oxidant for unsaturated alcohols, 329
- Copper nitrate on silica, selective oxidant for unsaturated alcohols, 329
- Corey-Kim oxidation, 172–76
- description, 100
 - experimental procedure, 174
 - functional and protecting group sensitivity to, 176
 - mechanism, 172–73
 - selective oxidation of primary alcohols by, 336
 - selective oxidation of secondary alcohols by, 347
 - side reactions, 176
- Corey-Suggs reagent. (*See* pyridinium chlorochromate)
- Cornforth reagent, 86
- Cp₂ZrH₂
- catalyst for the selective oxidation of primary alcohols under Oppenauer conditions, 336
 - catalyst in Oppenauer oxidation, 264, 269–70
- Cp₂Zr(Oi-Pr)₂, catalyst in Oppenauer oxidation, 264
- Cr(acac)₃, catalyst in alcohol oxidations, 89, 90
- Cr(CO)₆, catalyst in alcohol oxidations, 89, 90
- Cr(III) hydroxide on montmorillonite, catalyst in alcohol oxidations, 89, 90
- Cr(III) on a perfluorinated sulfonic resin, catalyst in alcohol oxidations, 89, 90
- CrO₃. (*See* Chromium trioxide)

- CrO₃·2Py in CH₂Cl₂. (See Collins reagent)
- CrO₃·2Py in pyridine. (See Sarett reagent)
- Cr(III) stearate, catalyst in alcohol oxidations, 89, 90
- CuBr·Me₂S in TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 245
- CuCl₂ and oxygen, secondary oxidant in TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 334
- Cumyl hydroperoxide, secondary oxidant in chromium catalyzed oxidations, 89, 90
- Cyanohydrin formation, *in situ* during manganese dioxide oxidation, 306
- Cyanuric chloride, DMSO activator in Moffatt oxidation, 178
- Cyclohexanone, oxidant in Oppenauer reaction, 258
- 1-Cyclohexyl-3-(2-morpholinoethyl)carbodiimide metho-*p*-toluenesulfonate, DMSO activator in Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidations, 102
- D**
- DDQ, 315–326
- experimental procedure for the selective oxidation of unsaturated alcohols with, 321
 - functional and protecting group sensitivity to, 323–25
 - in Diels-Alder reaction, 325–26
 - over-oxidation of aldehydes and ketones to enals and enones, 324, 325
- DDQ oxidation
- in situ* deprotection-oxidation of TMS allyl ethers, 323
 - mechanism, 316–18
 - side reactions, 325–26
 - solvent, 319–20
- Deprotection and oxidation of alcohols, *in situ* by Jones reagent, 11
- Dess-Martin oxidation
- accelerants, 186–87
 - buffering, 186
 - experimental procedure, 187–89
 - functional and protecting group sensitivity to, 190–94
 - mechanism, 184–85
 - other reactions performed *in situ*, 194–95
 - reproducibility, 185–86
 - side reactions, 196–98
 - solvent, 186
- Dess-Martin periodinane, 182–98
- explosive, 183–84
 - on silica, 194
 - preparation, 183
 - similar oxidants, 212–14
- Diacetoxybromide (I) complex, polymer attached, secondary oxidant in TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 245
- Dibutyltin oxide, reagent for the preparation of alkyltin alkoxides, 343
- 2,6-Dicarboxypyridinium chlorochromate, deprotection-oxidation of THP- and TMS-protected alcohols with, 88
- Dichloroacetic acid
- accelerant for PCC oxidations, 48
 - catalyst in Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidations, 102
- 2,3-Dichloro-5,6-dicyano-*p*-quinone. (See DDQ)
- Dichromate, polymer supported, 87
- Dichromate salts, as alcohol oxidants, 86–87
- Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, DMSO activator in Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidations, 97, 102
- Diels-Alder reaction, *in situ* during Dess-Martin oxidation, 195
- IBX oxidation, 209, 210

- Diels-Alder reaction, *in situ* during
(*Cont'd*)
PDC oxidation, 43
- Diethylcarbodiimide, DMSO activator in
Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidations, 102
- Diethyl chlorophosphate, DMSO
activator in Moffatt oxidation,
179
- 5,6-Dihydroxyalkenes, transformation
into tetrahydrofurans by PCC,
59–61
- Diisopropoxyaluminium
trifluoroacetate, catalyst in
Oppenauer oxidation, 262
- Diisopropylcarbodiimide, DMSO
activator in
Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidations, 102
- Diisopropyl sulfide, alternative to
dimethyl sulfide in
Corey-Kim oxidation, 173
- Dimethoxybenzyl ethers
resist Dess-Martin periodinane, 194
resist Parikh-Doering oxidation,
125
resist Swern oxidation, 153
sensitivity to DDQ oxidation, 323
- p*-Dimethylaminopyridinium
chlorochromate, alcohol oxidant,
87
- N,N*-Dimethylaminopyridinium
chlorochromate, selective oxidant
for unsaturated alcohols, 328
- Dimethyldichlorosilane, reaction with
chromium trioxide, 91
- 3,5-Dimethylpyrazole, additive for the
selective oxidation of unsaturated
alcohols with PCC, 329
- 3,5-Dimethylpyrazolinium
fluorochromate, alcohol oxidant,
88
- Dimethyl sulfide
reagent in Corey-Kim oxidations, 172
in activated DMSO oxidation,
destruction with sodium
hypochlorite, 97
- 1,4-, 1,5- and 1,6-diols, reaction with
Dess-Martin periodinane, 196–97
Fétizon's reagent, 286–87
IBX, 332
manganese dioxide, 307
Oppenauer reagent, 268
PCC, 65–66
PDC, 36–38
TEMPO, 250, 332
TPAP, 233–34
- Diphenyl chlorophosphate, DMSO
activator in Moffatt oxidation,
178
- Diphenyldichlorosilane, reaction with
chromium trioxide, 91
- Diphosgene, trichloromethyl
chloroformate, DMSO activator
in Moffatt oxidation, 177
- Disodium hydrogen phosphate, buffer in
PCC oxidations, 47
- Dithioacetals
resist Albright-Goldman oxidation,
117
resist Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
resist PDC, 35
sensitivity to TPAP, 202
- DMSO, activated, 97–179
generated from chlorine and dimethyl
sulfide, 100
generated from *N*-chlorosuccinimide
and dimethyl sulfide, 100
proposal for nomenclature of
oxidations with, 99–100
- DMSO activators
acetic anhydride, 98
acetyl bromide, 178
acetyl chloride, 178
benzenesulfonyl chloride, 178
benzoic anhydride, 177
benzoyl chloride, 178
2-chloro-1,3-dimethylimidazolinium
chloride, 178
cyanuric chloride, 178
1-cyclohexyl-3-(2-morpholinoethyl)
carbodiimide

- DMSO activators (*Cont'd*)
metho-*p*-toluenesulfonate, 102
dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, 97, 102
diethyl chlorophosphate, 179
diethylcarbodiimide, 102
diisopropylcarbodiimide, 102
diphenyl chlorophosphate, 178
diphosgene, trichloromethyl
chloroformate, 177
EDC, 102
ethoxyacetylene, 179
methanesulfonic anhydride, 177
methanesulfonyl chloride, 178
methyl chloroglyoxylate, 177
oxalyl chloride, 98
phenyl dichlorophosphate, 178
phosphorous oxychloride, 178
phosphorous pentoxide, 98
phosphorous trichloride, 178
polyphosphoric acid, 178
SO₃·Py complex, 98
thionyl chloride, 177
p-toluenesulfonic anhydride, 177
p-toluenesulfonyl chloride, 178
trichloroacetoneitrile, 178
trifluoroacetic anhydride, 98
trifluoromethane sulfonic anhydride,
177
triphenylphosphine dibromide, 178
triphenylphosphine dichloride, 178
triphosgene, 178
- Dodecyl methyl sulfoxide, modified
Swern oxidation with, 149
- E**
- EDC,
DMSO activator in Pfitzner-Moffatt
oxidations, 102
- β -Elimination, during
Albright-Goldman oxidation, 117
Collins oxidation, 21
Dess-Martin oxidation, 197
Fétizon's oxidation, 287
Mukaiyama oxidation, 278
Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation,
139
- β -Elimination, during (*Cont'd*)
Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
PCC oxidation, 70–72
Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidation, 111
Swern oxidation, 146, 153, 165–66
- Enol ethers
react with DDQ, 320
react with PCC, 53–54
resist TPAP, 233
sensitivity to Jones oxidation, 8, 9
- Enzyme laccase in TEMPO-mediated
oxidations, 245
- α -Epimerization
minimizing during Parikh-Doering
oxidation by lowering reaction
temperature or by using Hünig's
base, 121–22
side reaction during Corey-Kim
oxidation, 176
side reaction during Dess-Martin
oxidation, 196
side reaction during Swern oxidation,
146, 153, 165
side reaction during TEMPO-
mediated oxidations, 247
- Epoxides
resist Mukaiyama oxidation, 278
resist Oppenauer reagent, 268
resist ruthenium tetroxide, 226
resist TPAP, 233
sensitivity to Jones reagent, 10
sensitivity to PCC, 62–63
sensitivity to Swern oxidation,
152–53
- Erne and Erlenmeyer oxidation,
84
- Esters
normally resist Oppenauer reagent,
260
normally resist TEMPO, 248–49
resist Jones oxidation, 9, 10
resist manganese dioxide, 301
resist Omura-Sharma-Swern
oxidation, 135
resist ruthenium tetroxide,
226

Esters (*Cont'd*)

resist TPAP, 233

Ethoxyacetylene, DMSO activator in
Moffatt oxidation, 179**F**

Ferric dichromate, alcohol oxidant, 87

Ferric nitrate, secondary oxidant in the
selective oxidation of secondary
alcohols with tungstophosphoric
acid, 346

Fétizon's oxidation, 282–87

experimental procedure, 285

functional and protecting group
sensitivity to, 286–87

mechanism, 282–83

optimization studies, 283

side reactions, 287

solvent, 283

Fétizon's reagent, preparation, 281, 284

Fieser reagent, 84

Florasil[®], additive for PCC oxidations to
facilitate the work-up, 48Fluorochromate salts, alcohol oxidants,
88Formates, *in situ* hydrolysis-oxidation
during Oppenauer oxidation,
268Friedel-Crafts reaction, during PDC
oxidation, 43

Funtional group sensitivity

to Albright-Goldman oxidation, 117

to Albright-Onodera oxidation, 120

to Collins oxidation, 25

to Corey-Kim oxidation, 176

to DDQ oxidation, 323–25

to Dess-Martin oxidation, 190–94

to Fétizon's oxidation, 286–87

to IBX oxidation, 207–09

to Jones oxidation, 9–11

to manganese dioxide oxidation,
297–301

to Mukaiyama oxidation, 278

to Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation,
135–36Funtional group sensitivity (*Cont'd*)

to Oppenauer oxidation, 267–69

to Parikh-Doering oxidation,
125

to PCC oxidation, 53–68

to PDC oxidation, 33–38

to Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidation,
106–09to ruthenium tetroxide oxidation,
225–27

to Swern oxidation, 152–57

to TEMPO-mediated oxidations,
248–50

to TPAP oxidation, 233–35

Furans

formation by Dess-Martin oxidation,
197

reaction with PCC, 55

G

Gastamide reagent, 84

Glycosides

resist Albright-Goldman oxidation,
117resist Omura-Sharma-Swern
oxidation, 135resist Parikh-Doering oxidation,
125resist Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidation,
110

resist ruthenium tetroxide, 226

Grignard addition to carbonyl

compounds, *in situ* duringOmura-Sharma-Swern oxidation,
133

Oppenauer oxidation, 270

Swern oxidation, 159–60

HH₂CrO₄. (*See* Chromic acid)Halochromate salts, alcohol oxidants,
87–88Hexabutylidistannoxane, reagent for the
preparation of alkyltin alkoxides,
343

- HOF-MeCN, reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 341
- Homoallylic alcohols
- no alkene migration during TPAP oxidation, 233
 - oxidation with Oppenauer reagent, 267
 - oxidation with PCC, 47, 58–59
 - oxidation with PDC, 36
 - oxidation with Pfitzner-Moffatt reagent, 110
 - oxidation with TPAP, 236
- Hünig's base
- in Parikh-Doering oxidation to minimize α -epimerization, 121
 - in Swern oxidation to avoid α -epimerization and alkene migration, 147
 - recommended in Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidations, 131
- Hydrofluoric acid, *in situ* deprotection-oxidation of TBS ethers by Jones reagent aided by, 11
- Hydrogen chloride, adventitious, causing side reactions in Swern oxidation, 166
- Hydrogen peroxide
- secondary oxidant for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols with ammonium molybdate, 346
 - secondary oxidant in chromium catalyzed oxidations, 89, 90
- p*-Hydroquinones, resist Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, 135
- 5-Hydroxyalkenes, transformation into tetrahydrofurans by PCC, 61–62
- Hydroxylamine, condensation with carbonyl compound, *in situ* during manganese dioxide oxidation, 305
- Hypervalent iodine compounds as oxidants, 181–214
- I**
- IBX, 202–11
- crystalline forms, 183
 - explosive, 203
 - over-oxidation to carboxylic acid, 211
 - preparation, 203
 - water soluble analogue, 205
- IBX oxidation
- experimental procedure, 205–06
 - functional and protecting group sensitivity to, 207–09
 - mechanism, 204–05
 - other reactions performed *in situ*, 209–10
 - side reactions, 211
 - solvent, 204
- Imidazolium and 1-methylimidazolium chlorochromates, alcohol oxidants, 88
- Imidazolium dichromate, selective oxidant for unsaturated alcohols, 328
- 3-Iodopyridine dichloride, reactive for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 341
- Iodosobenzene
- alcohol oxidant, 213
 - secondary oxidant in chromium catalyzed oxidations, 89, 90
 - secondary oxidant in polymer supported sodium ruthenate oxidations, 216
- Iodosobenzene diacetate
- alcohol oxidant, 213
 - polymer supported, 213
 - secondary oxidant in chromium catalyzed oxidations, 89, 90
- Iodoxybenzene, alcohol oxidant, 214
- o*-Iodoxybenzoic acid. (*See* IBX)
- m*-Iodoxybenzoic acid, alcohol oxidant, 214
- Isoquinolinium chlorochromate, alcohol oxidant, 88

Isoquinolinium dichromate, alcohol oxidant, 87

Isoquinolinium fluorochromate, alcohol oxidant, 88

J

Jones oxidation, 5–17
 experimental procedure, 6
 functional group sensitivity to, 9–11
 mechanism, 1–2
 obtention of aldehydes, 2, 12
 obtention of carboxylic acids, 2
 oxidative rearrangement of tertiary allylic alcohols, 16
 protecting group sensitivity to, 8–9
 side reactions, 12–17
 using potassium dichromate, 5
 using sodium dichromate, 5

Jones reagent, 1–2

K

Ketones, oxidation to enones by
 DDQ, 324, 325
 IBX, 208
 Jones reagent, 15
 Swern oxidation, 161–62
 TPAP, 237

Kiliani reagent, 84

L

Lactols
 oxidation with Jones reagent, 10
 oxidation with PDC, 33–34
 react with Fétizon's reagent, 286
 react with manganese dioxide, 299, 307–08
 react with TEMPO, 250
 reaction with PCC, 64
 reaction with TPAP, 233
 resistant to reaction with IBX, 332
 sensitivity to Collins oxidation, 25

Lactols (*Cont'd*)

 sensitivity to Dess-Martin periodinane, 192, 196

Lactones, *in situ* hydrolysis-oxidation with ruthenium tetroxide, 226–27

Ley oxidation. (*See* TPAP oxidation)

M

Magnesium chlorochromate, alcohol oxidant, 88

Magnesium sulfate, additive for PCC oxidations to facilitate the work-up, 48

Manganese dioxide, 290–309

 active, preparation, 291–92

 diverse oxidizing power, 291

 experimental procedure for the selective oxidation of unsaturated alcohols with, 296

 preparation of Attenburrow MnO_2 , 295

 reaction with saturated alcohols, 306–07

Manganese dioxide oxidation

 functional and protecting group sensitivity to, 297–301

 mechanism, 292–93

 other reactions performed *in situ*, 301–06

 side reactions, 306–09

 solvent, 293–94

 temperature, 294

MCPBA, secondary oxidant in

 TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 242, 245

Menthyl substituents on amines,

 removal by PCC,

 67–68

4-MeO-TEMPO, alternative to

 TEMPO, 244

Methanesulfonic anhydride, DMSO

 activator in Moffatt oxidation,

 177

- Methanesulfonyl chloride, DMSO
activator in Moffatt oxidation,
178
- Methyl chloroglyoxylate, DMSO
activator in Moffatt oxidation,
177
- N*-Methylmorpholine, use in Swern
oxidation to avoid
 β -elimination, 147–48
- N*-Methylmorpholine *N*-oxide
polymer linked, 229
secondary oxidant in $\text{RuCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_3$
oxidations, 335
secondary oxidant in TPAP
oxidations, 217, 229
- Methyl phenyl sulfide, alternative to
dimethyl sulfide in Corey-Kim
oxidation, 173
- N*-Methyl-4-piperidone, oxidant in
Oppenauer reaction, 258
- p*-Methylpyridinium chlorochromate,
alcohol oxidant, 88
- 6-(Methylsulfinyl)hexanoic acid,
modified Swern oxidation with,
149
- Methylthiomethyl ethers, formation
during
Albright-Goldman oxidations, 114,
117
Corey-Kim oxidation, 173, 176
Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidations,
129, 136
oxidations with activated DMSO, 97
Parikh-Doering oxidations, 122, 126
Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidations, 109
Swern oxidation, 164, 173
- Microwaves
accelerant for barium manganate
oxidations, 310
accelerant for PCC oxidations, 48
- Moffatt oxidation, description,
99
- Molecular sieves
accelerant for PCC oxidations,
48
- Molecular sieves (*Cont'd*)
accelerant for PDC oxidations, 29
hydrobromic acid quencher in the
oxidation of alkyltin alkoxides,
343
- Molybdenum hexacarbonyl, reagent for
the selective oxidation of
secondary alcohols, 346
- Montmorillonite K10, additive for PCC
oxidations to facilitate the work-
up, 48
- $\text{MoO}(\text{O}_2)(\text{PhCONPhO})_2$, reagent for the
selective oxidation of secondary
alcohols, 346
- Mukaiyama oxidation, 274–78
experimental procedure, 276–77
functional and protecting group
sensitivity to, 278
mechanism, 275, 276
side reactions, 278
- N**
- NaBrO_2 , secondary oxidant in
TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 334
- Naphtyridinium chlorochromate,
alcohol oxidant, 88
- Naphtyridinium dichromate, alcohol
oxidant, 87
- cis*- $(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Ru}(\text{II})$ -2-acetylpyridine,
alcohol oxidant, 217
- 4-Nitrobenzaldehyde, oxidant in
Oppenauer reaction, 262
- Nitrocompounds
normally resist Dess-Martin
periodinane, 194
normally resist Swern oxidation,
155
sensitivity to Jones reagent, 10
sensitivity to PDC, 35
sensitivity to TPAP oxidation,
234
- O**
- $(\text{OCMe}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_2\text{O})\text{CrO}_2$, catalytic,
alcohol oxidant, 89, 90

- Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, 128–39
alkene migration, 139
description, 99
 β -elimination, 139
experimental procedure, 133–34
functional and protecting group sensitivity to, 135–36
in situ addition of Grignard reagent, 133
mechanism, 129–30
methylthiomethyl ether formation, 129
optimization studies, 129–32
reaction with indoles, 135
side reactions, 136–39
trifluoroacetate formation, 129
use of Hünig's base recommended, 131
- Oppenauer oxidation, 255–78
catalysts, 258–59
experimental conditions, 256–59
experimental procedure, 265–66
functional and protecting group sensitivity to, 267–69
in situ hydrolysis-oxidation of formates, 268
mechanism, 260
optimization studies, 256–59
other reactions performed *in situ*, 269–70
oxidants, 258
recent developments, 262–64
side reactions, 271–72
solvent, 260
using aluminium alkoxides, 258–59
using sodium or potassium alkoxides, 260–61
Woodward variant, 261
- Orthophosphoric acid, catalyst in Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidations, 102
- Oxalyl chloride, DMSO activator, 98
- Oxalyl chloride-mediated Moffatt oxidation. (See Swern oxidation)
- Oxidation potential of carbonyl compounds, 257
- Oximes
react with IBX, 208
reaction with Dess-Martin periodinane, 194
sensitivity to ruthenium tetroxide, 226
- Oxone[®], secondary oxidant in TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 245
- Oxygen, secondary oxidant in chromium catalyzed oxidations, 89, 90
- Oppenauer oxidation, 258
RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃ oxidations, 335
TPAP oxidation, 230
- P**
- Parikh-Doering oxidation, 120–26
description, 99
experimental procedure, 122–23
functional and protecting group sensitivity to, 125
optimization studies, 120–22
side reactions, 125–26
- PCC. (See Pyridinium chlorochromate)
- PDC. (See Pyridinium dichromate)
- Peracetic acid, secondary oxidant in chromium catalyzed oxidations, 89, 90
- Periodic acid, secondary oxidant in TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 245
- Peroxoctungstophosphate, reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 346
- Perruthenate ion, alcohol oxidant, 216–17
- Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidation, 100–11
acidic catalysts, 103
description, 99
election of acid, 101–02
experimental procedure, 103–05
functional and protecting group sensitivity to, 106–10

- Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidation (*Cont'd*)
mechanism, 97–98
optimization studies, 101–02
side reactions, 110–11
solvents, 103
- Phenols
react with Fétizon's reagent, 286
reaction with pyridine-sulfur trioxide complex, 121
resist Dess-Martin periodinane, 192
resist Swern oxidation, 155–56
sensitivity to DDQ oxidation, 324
sensitivity to IBX, 207–08
sensitivity to manganese dioxide oxidation, 299
sensitivity to Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
sensitivity to TPAP oxidation, 235
- Phenyl dichlorophosphate, DMSO activator in Moffatt oxidation, 178
- Phosphorous oxychloride, DMSO activator in Moffatt oxidation, 178
- Phosphorous pentoxide, DMSO activator, 98
- Phosphorous pentoxide-mediated Moffatt oxidation. (*See* Albright-Onodera oxidation)
- Phosphorous trichloride, DMSO activator in Moffatt oxidation, 178
- Pinacol dibutylstannylene, hydrobromic acid quencher in the oxidation of alkyltin alkoxides, 343
- Pivalaldehyde, oxidant in Oppenauer reaction, 262
- PMB ethers
resist Dess-Martin periodinane, 194
resist Fétizon's reagent, 286
resist IBX, 209
resist Jones oxidation, 9
resist manganese dioxide, 301
resist Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, 135
- PMB ethers (*Cont'd*)
resist Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
resist PCC, 53
resist Swern oxidation, 153
resist TPAP, 232
sensitivity to DDQ oxidation, 323
- Polyphosphoric acid, DMSO activator in Moffatt oxidation, 178
- Poly[vinyl(pyridinium dichromate)], alcohol oxidant, 87
- Poly[vinyl(pyridinium fluorochromate)], alcohol oxidant, 88
- Potassium acetate, buffer in PCC oxidations, 47
- Potassium bromide, activator for iodosobenzene oxidations, 213
- Potassium *t*-butoxide, catalyst in Oppenauer oxidation, 261
- Potassium carbonate, buffer in RuO₄ oxidations, 221
- Potassium chlorochromate, alcohol oxidant, 88
- Potassium dichromate
alcohol oxidant in benzene-water in the presence of a phase-transfer catalyst, 86
alcohol oxidant in DMF or DMSO, 86
chromic acid preparation, 83
use in Jones oxidation, 5
- Potassium ferrate, selective oxidant for unsaturated alcohols, 329
- Potassium fluoride, *in situ* deprotection-oxidation of TBS ethers by Jones reagent aided by, 11
- Propargylic alcohols, sensitivity to Fétizon's oxidation, 286
- n*-Propylmagnesium bromide, reagent in Mukaiyama oxidation, 275
- Protecting group sensitivity
to Albright-Goldman oxidation, 117
to Albright-Onodera oxidation, 120
to Collins oxidation, 24

- Protecting group sensitivity (*Cont'd*)
to Corey-Kim oxidation, 176
to DDQ oxidation, 323
to Dess-Martin oxidation, 194
to Fétizon's oxidation, 286
to IBX oxidation, 209
to Jones oxidation, 8–9
to manganese dioxide oxidation, 301
to Mukaiyama oxidation, 278
to Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, 135
to Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
to PCC oxidation, 52–53
to PDC oxidation, 33
to Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidation, 110
to ruthenium tetroxide oxidation, 226
to Swern oxidation, 152, 153–54
to TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 248
to TPAP oxidation, 232
- Pyrazinium chlorochromate, alcohol oxidant, 88
- Pyrazinium *N*-oxide chlorochromate, alcohol oxidant, 88
- Pyridine
buffer in PCC oxidations, 47
promoter of β -elimination during PCC oxidations, 71
- Pyridinechromium peroxide, alcohol oxidant, 91
- Pyridinium bromochromate, alcohol oxidant, 88
- Pyridinium chloride, occasionally used as catalyst in Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidations, 103
- Pyridinium chlorochromate, 4, 46–77
catalytic, 89, 90
in the presence of
3,5-dimethylpyrazole, selective oxidant for unsaturated alcohols, 329
poly[vinyl(pyridinium chlorochromate)], polymeric derivative of, 49
preparation, 4, 46
- Pyridinium chlorochromate (*Cont'd*)
reaction with acetals, 64
reaction with amines, 67–68
reaction with 5,6-dihydroxyalkenes yielding tetrahydrofurans, 59–61
reaction with 1,4-diols, 65–66
reaction with 1,5-diols, 66
reaction with epoxides, 62–63
reaction with furan rings, 55
reaction with homoallylic alcohols, 58–59
reaction with 5-hydroxyalkenes yielding tetrahydrofurans, 61–62
reaction with lactols, 64
reaction with secondary allylic alcohols, 57–58
reaction with sulfides, 68
reaction with tertiary allylic alcohols, 55–57
- Pyridinium chlorochromate oxidation
accelerants, 48
acceleration with Ac_2O , 48
acceleration with alumina, 49
acceleration with microwaves, 48
acceleration with molecular sieves, 48
acceleration with organic acids, 48
acceleration with ultrasounds, 48
addition of CaCO_3 to avoid alkene migrations, 59
addition of solid material to facilitate the work-up, 48
alcohol oxidation failure, by formation of a hydrogen bond with an amine, 67
alkene migration, 47
better results with freshly prepared reagent, 46
buffering, 47
experimental procedure, 50–51
functional group sensitivity to, 53–68
in removal of menthyl substituents on amines, 67–68
in situ aldol addition, 75
mechanism, 47

- Pyridinium chlorochromate oxidation
(*Cont'd*)
obtention of carboxylic acids in DMF, 47
one pot hydrolysis and oxidation of TMS ethers aided by acetic acid, 48
oxidative breakage of a carbon-carbon double bond, 68–70
protecting group sensitivity to, 52–53
side reactions, 68–77
side reactions induced by the acidity of PCC, 72–74
side reactions involving a chromate as a leaving-group, 72–74
solvents, 47
Tischtschenko side reaction, 74–75
- Pyridinium dichromate, 3–4, 28–43
catalytic, 89, 90
explosive, 28
freshly prepared, 29
polymeric analogue, 87
preparation, 3, 28
selective oxidation of unsaturated alcohols with, 328
- Pyridinium dichromate oxidation
accelerants, 29
buffering, 28
experimental procedure, 30–31
functional group sensitivity to, 33–38
obtention of carboxylic acids in DMF, 28
protecting group sensitivity to, 33
side reactions, 38–43
solvents, 28
tips for best yields, 29
transformation of alkenes into enones, 33
- Pyridinium fluorochromate
alcohol oxidant, 88
polymeric analogue, 88
- Pyridinium phosphate, occasionally used as catalyst in Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidations, 103
- Pyridinium tosylate
accelerant for PDC oxidations, 29
occasionally used as catalyst in Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidations, 103
- Pyridinium trifluoroacetate
accelerant for PCC oxidations, 48
accelerant for PDC oxidations, 29
catalyst in Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidations, 102
- Q**
- Quinolinium bromochromate, alcohol oxidant, 88
- Quinolinium chlorochromate
alcohol oxidant, 87
selective oxidation of primary alcohols with, 336
- Quinolinium dichromate, alcohol oxidant, 87
- Quinolinium fluorochromate
in situ deprotection-oxidation of primary silyl ethers with, 337
in situ deprotection-oxidation of primary TBS ethers in the presence of secondary ones with, 88
- Quinolinium fluorochromate on alumina, alcohol oxidant, 88
- p*-Quinone, alternative to DDQ in the oxidation of unsaturated alcohols, 316
- R**
- Reactions performed *in situ* during barium manganate oxidation, 311
- Dess-Martin oxidation, 194–95
IBX oxidation, 209–10
manganese dioxide oxidation, 301–06
Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, 135
Oppenauer oxidation, 269–70
Swern oxidation, 157–60
TPAP oxidation, 235–36

- Retro-aldol reaction, during Oppenauer oxidation, 271
- $\text{RuBr}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_3$, alcohol oxidant, 218
- $\text{RuCl}_3\text{-Co}(\text{OAc})_2$, alcohol oxidant, 217
- $\text{RuCl}_2(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_3$, alcohol oxidant, 217
- $\text{RuClH}(\text{PPh}_3)_3$, alcohol oxidant, 217
- $\text{RuCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_3$, 335–36
alcohol oxidant, 217
catalyst for the oxidation of alcohols with iodosobenzene, 213
experimental procedure for the selective oxidation of primary alcohols with, 335–36
in TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 245
- $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$, alcohol oxidant, 217
- $\text{RuH}_2(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_3$, alcohol oxidant, 217
- $\text{RuH}(\text{OAc})(\text{PPh}_3)_3$, alcohol oxidant, 218
- $\text{RuH}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_4$, alcohol oxidant, 217–18
- $[\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_6(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{N})_4] \cdot 3.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, alcohol oxidant, 218
- $\text{Ru}(\text{OCOCF}_3)_2(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$, alcohol oxidant, 218
- $\text{Ru}_3\text{O}(\text{OAc})_7$, alcohol oxidant, 217
- $[\text{Ru}_3\text{O}(\text{O}_2\text{CR})_6\text{L}_3]^n$ (R = Me or Et; L = H_2O or PPh_3 ; n = 0, 1), alcohol oxidant, 218
- Ruthenate ion, alcohol oxidant, 216–17
- Ruthenium dioxide, alcohol oxidant, 217
- Ruthenium tetroxide, 220–27
preparation, 220
properties, 220
- Ruthenium tetroxide oxidation
experimental procedure using catalytic RuO_4 , 224
experimental procedure using stoichiometric RuO_4 , 222–23
functional and protecting group sensitivity to, 225–27
in situ lactone hydrolysis and oxidation, 226–27
mechanism, 222
- Ruthenium trichloride, alcohol oxidant, 217
- Ruthenium-based oxidations, 215–238
- Ruthenocene, alcohol oxidant, 218
- ## S
- (Salen)oxochromium(III) complex, catalyst in alcohol oxidations, 89, 90
- Sarett oxidation, 2–3
- Sarett reagent, 2–3, 86
explosive, 3
preparation, 20
- Saturated alcohols, reaction with manganese dioxide, 306–07
- Secondary allylic alcohols, occasional rearrangement by
Jones reagent, 17
PCC, 57–58
PDC, 35–36
- Secondary oxidants
[bis(acetoxy)iodo]benzene, 245
air, 89, 90
t-butyl hydroperoxide, 89, 90, 346, 347
cerium (IV) ammonium nitrate, 245
N-chlorosuccinimide, 245
cumyl hydroperoxide, 89, 90
diacetoxybromide (I) complex, polymer attached, 245
ferric nitrate, 346
hydrogen peroxide, 89, 90, 346
iodosobenzene, 89, 90, 216
iodosobenzene diacetate, 89, 90
MCPBA, 242, 245
N-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide, 217, 335
oxone[®], 245
oxygen, 89, 90, 230, 335
peracetic acid, 89, 90
periodic acid, 245
sodium bromate, 347
sodium bromite, 245
sodium hypochlorite, 230, 243
sodium perborate, 89, 90
tetrabutylammonium periodate, 216
trichloroisocyanuric acid, 245
bis(trimethylsilyl)peroxide, 89, 90, 335

- Selective oxidation of primary alcohols, 331–37
 via silyl ethers, 337
 via TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 332–34
 with chromium trioxide intercalated in graphite, 336
 with Corey-Kim reagent, 336
 with Cp_2ZrH_2 and cyclohexanone or benzophenone, 336
 with $\text{NaNO}_2/\text{Ac}_2\text{O}$, 336
 with quinolinium chlorochromate, 336
 with $\text{RuCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_3$, 335–36
 with $\text{Zr}(\text{OAc})_2/{}^t\text{BuOOH}$, 336
- Selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 339–49
 by reaction with electrophilic halogen sources, 340–42
 by Stevens' protocol (sodium hypochlorite in acetic acid), 341–42
 via alkyltin alkoxides, 343–45
 via alkyltin alkoxides, optimization studies, 344
 via tin alkoxides, experimental procedure, 344–45
 with aluminium *t*-butoxide, 347
 with ammonium molybdate, 346
 with benzaldehyde, 347
 with benzyltrimethylammonium tetrabromooxomolybdate, 346
 with bromine, 341
 with *N*-bromoacetamide, 340
 with cerium (IV) ammonium nitrate, 347
 with cerium bromate, 347
 with tris(cetylpyridinium) 12-tungstophosphate, 346
 with chloral, 347
 with chlorine, 341
 with Corey-Kim reagent, 347
 with $\text{HOF}\cdot\text{MeCN}$, 341
 with hypochlorite in acetic acid, 341
 with 3-iodopyridine dichloride, 341
 with molybdenum hexacarbonyl, 346
- Selective oxidation of secondary alcohols (*Cont'd*)
 with $\text{MoO}(\text{O}_2)(\text{PhCONPhO})_2$, 346
 with peroxotungstophosphate, 346
 with sodium tungstenate, 346
 with tetraethylammonium trichloride, 341
 with trichloroisocyanuric acid, 341
 with trityl tetrafluoroborate, 348–49
 with tungstophosphoric acid, 346
 with $\text{VO}(\text{acac})_2$, 347
- Selective oxidation of unsaturated alcohols, 289–330
 with 1-aminoimidazolium chlorochromate on a solid support, 329
 with barium manganate, 309–11
 with 1-(benzoylamino)-3-methylimidazolium chlorochromate, 328
 with bis(benzyltriethylammonium) dichromate, 328
 with *n*-butylammonium chlorochromate and 18-crown-6, 329
 with butyltriphenylphosphonium chlorochromate, 328
 with copper (II) acetate, 329
 with DDQ, 315–26
 with *N,N*-dimethylaminopyridinium chlorochromate, 328
 with 3,5-dimethylpyrazole, 329
 with imidazolium dichromate, 328
 with manganese dioxide, 290–309
 with PCC, 329
 with PDC, 328
 with potassium ferrate, 329
 with tetramethylethylenediammonium dichromate, 328
 with bis(trinitrocium)chromate, 329
- Selenides
 do not resist Collins reagent, 25
 resist DDQ reagent, 325

Selenides (*Cont'd*)

- resist Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, 135
- resist Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
- resist Swern oxidation, 153

Selenium dioxide on silica, selective oxidant for primary allylic alcohols, 329

Side reactions

- in Albright-Goldman oxidation, 117
- in Collins oxidation, 25–26
- in Corey-Kim oxidation, 176
- in DDQ oxidation, 325–26
- in Dess-Martin oxidation, 196–98
- in Fétizon's oxidation, 287
- in *o*-iodoxybenzoic acid oxidation, 211
- in Jones oxidation, 12–17
- in manganese dioxide oxidation, 306–09
- in Mukaiyama oxidation, 278
- in Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, 136–39
- in Oppenauer oxidation, 271–72
- in Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125–26
- in Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidation, 110–11
- in pyridinium chlorochromate oxidation, 68–77
- in pyridinium dichromate oxidation, 38–43
- in Swern oxidation, 161–67
- in TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 251
- in TPAP oxidation, 236–38

Silica gel, additive for PCC oxidations to facilitate the work-up, 48

Silver carbonate on celite[®]. (*See* Fétizon's reagent)

Silyl ethers

- in situ* deprotection-oxidation by Jones reagent, 11
- in situ* deprotection-oxidation by Jones reagent, aided by HF or KF, 11
- in situ* deprotection-oxidation by trimethylsilyl chlorochromate, 91

Silyl ethers (*Cont'd*)

- in situ* deprotection-oxidation of primary TBS ethers in the presence of secondary ones by quinolinium fluorochromate, 88
- in situ* deprotection-oxidation with 2,6-dicarboxypyridinium chlorochromate, 88
- sensitivity to Collins reagent, 24
- sensitivity to Jones oxidation, 8, 9

Sodium acetate

- buffer in PCC oxidations, 46
- buffer in PDC oxidations, 28
- promoter of β -elimination during PCC oxidations, 71

Sodium bicarbonate, buffer in PCC oxidations, 47

Sodium bromate

- reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 347
- secondary oxidant in the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols with CAN, 347

Sodium bromite

- reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 347
- secondary oxidant in TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 245

Sodium carbonate, buffer in PCC oxidations, 47

Sodium dichromate

- alcohol oxidant, 86
- chromic acid preparation, 83
- Kiliani reagent, 84
- use in Jones oxidation, 5

Sodium hypochlorite

- in acetic acid, reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 341
- secondary oxidant in TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 243
- secondary oxidant in TPAP oxidations, 230

- Sodium hypochlorite in acetic acid. (*See* Stevens' oxidant)
- Sodium nitrite/acetic anhydride, selective oxidant system for primary alcohols, 336
- Sodium perborate, secondary oxidant in chromium catalyzed oxidations, 89, 90
- Sodium ruthenate, polymer supported, alcohol oxidant, 216
- Sodium tungstenate, reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 346
- SO₃·Py complex
DMSO activator, 98
in Parikh-Doering oxidation, 121
- Sulfides
oxidation by Jones reagent, 10
react with TEMPO, 250
react with TPAP, 235
resist Albright-Goldman oxidation, 117
resist Collins reagent, 25
resist DDQ, 324
resist IBX, 208
resist manganese dioxide, 300
resist Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, 135
resist Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
resist Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidation, 107
resist Swern oxidation, 153
sensitivity to Dess-Martin periodinane, 190–91
sensitivity to PCC oxidation, 68
sensitivity to PDC, 35
sensitivity to ruthenium tetroxide, 226
- Sulfoxides containing perfluorated alkyl chains, modified Swern oxidation with, 149
- Sulfoxides, polymer bound, modified Swern oxidation with, 149
- Sulfur trioxide-mediated Moffatt oxidation. (*See* Parikh-Doering oxidation)
- Sulfuration, side reaction during Swern oxidation, 162
- Swern oxidation, 141–67
alcohol activation, 143–44
alkene isomerization, 153
alkene migration, 146
alternative sulfoxides, 149
description, 99
election of base, 147–48
 β -elimination, 146, 153
 α -epimerization, 146, 153
experimental procedure, 149–51
functional and protecting group sensitivity to, 152–57
mechanism, 141–42
non-aqueous work-up, 149
other reactions performed *in situ*, 157–60
preventing acid-induced side reactions, 145
preventing base-induced side reactions, 145–48
reaction temperature, 142–43
reaction with 1,4- and 1,5-diols, 167
side reactions, 161–67
solvent, 149
- T**
- TBS ethers
in situ deprotection-oxidation by Jones reagent, aided by HF or KF, 11
in situ deprotection-oxidation by trimethylsilyl chlorochromate, 91
in situ deprotection-oxidation of primary TBS ethers in the presence of secondary ones by quinolinium fluorochromate, 88
normally resist PCC, 53
resist Albright-Onodera oxidation, 120
resist Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, 135
resist Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
resist ruthenium tetroxide, 226

- TBS ethers (*Cont'd*)
 resist Swern oxidation, 153
 sensitivity to Jones oxidation, 8, 9
- TEMPO
 on silica, 246
 over-oxidation to carboxylic acids, 244
 polymer-immobilized, 246
- TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 241–51
 acceleration by quaternary ammonium salts in Anelli's protocol, 244
 alternative secondary oxidants, 245
 Anelli's protocol, 243
 experimental procedure using Anelli's protocol, 246
 experimental procedure using the protocol of Piancatelli and Margarita, 247
 functional and protecting group sensitivity to, 248–50
 in situ alkene epoxidation-alcohol oxidation using MCPBA as secondary oxidant, 242
 in the presence of Cu (I) and oxygen, 242
 mechanism, 241–42
 nitroxide radicals alternative to TEMPO, 244
 pH adjustment in Anelli's protocol, 243, 248
 selective oxidation of primary alcohols via, 245, 332–34
 side reactions, 251
 solvent, 243
 temperature in Anelli's protocol, 244
 using stoichiometric TEMPO, 242
 with MCPBA as secondary oxidant, 242
 with sodium hypochlorite as secondary oxidant, 243
- Tertiary allylic alcohols, oxidative rearrangement by
 Collins reagent, 24
- Tertiary allylic alcohols, oxidative rearrangement by (*Cont'd*)
 Jones reagent, 16
 PCC, 55–57
 PDC, 35
- TES ethers, *in situ* selective deprotection-oxidation of primary ones by Swern oxidation, 153
- Tetrabutylammonium bisulfate, phase-transfer catalyst in chromic acid oxidations, 85
- Tetrabutylammonium chlorochromate, alcohol oxidant, 88
- Tetrabutylammonium periodate, secondary oxidant in polymer supported sodium ruthenate oxidations, 216
- Tetra-*n*-butylammonium perruthenate, TPAP analogue, 229
- (η^4 -Tetracyclone)RuH₂(CO)₂, alcohol oxidant, 218
- Tetraethylammonium trichloride, reactive for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 341
- Tetrahydrofurans
 formation by Collins reagent, 26
 preparation from
 5,6-dihydroxyalkenes by PCC, 59–61
 preparation from 5-hydroxyalkenes by PCC, 61–62
 tandem formation by PCC, 62
- Tetramethylethylenediammonium dichromate, selective oxidant for unsaturated alcohols, 328
- Tetra-*n*-propylammonium perruthenate, 228–38, (*See* TPAP (Ley oxidation))
- Thioacetals
 resist Collins oxidation, 25
 react with IBX, 208
 resist Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, 135

- Thioacetals (*Cont'd*)
 resist PCC, 53
 resist Swern oxidation, 153
 sensitivity to Dess-Martin periodinane, 191
 sensitivity to TPAP, 235
- Thiols, resist Pfitzner-Moffatt oxidation, 106
- Thionyl chloride, DMSO activator in Moffatt oxidation, 177
- THP ethers
 in situ deprotection-oxidation by Jones reagent, 11
 in situ deprotection-oxidation with 2,6-dicarboxypyridinium chlorochromate, 88
 normally resist PCC, 52
 resist Dess-Martin periodinane, 194
 resist IBX, 209
 resist Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation, 135
 resist Swern oxidation, 152
 sensitivity to Jones oxidation, 8,9
- Tin organic compound
 resists Mukaiyama oxidation, 278
 resists TPAP oxidation, 235
- Tischtschenko reaction during
 Oppenauer oxidations, 271
 PCC oxidation, 74–75
 PDC oxidation, 42–43
 TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 251
- TMS ethers
 in situ deprotection-oxidation by Dess-Martin periodinane on silica, 194
 in situ deprotection-oxidation by Jones reagent, 11
 in situ deprotection-oxidation with 2,6-dicarboxypyridinium chlorochromate, 88
 in situ selective deprotection-oxidation of primary ones by Swern oxidation, 153
 normally resist PCC, 52
 resist Dess-Martin periodinane, 194
 resist IBX, 209
- TMS ethers (*Cont'd*)
 resist Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
 resist TPAP, 232
 selective oxidation by Collins reagent, 24
 sensitivity to DDQ oxidation, 323
 sensitivity to Jones oxidation, 8, 9
- p*-Toluenesulfonic acid
 accelerant for PCC oxidations, 48
 promoter of oxidative transposition of secondary alcohols with PCC, 57
- p*-Toluenesulfonic anhydride, DMSO activator in Moffatt oxidation, 177
- p*-Toluenesulfonyl chloride, DMSO activator in Moffatt oxidation, 178
- TPAP oxidation
 acceleration by ultrasounds, 230
 experimental procedure, 231
 functional and protecting group sensitivity to, 232–35
 in the presence of ionic salts, 229
 mechanism, 230
 on a silicate, 229
 on an anion exchange resin, 229
 other reactions performed *in situ*, 235–36
 side reactions, 236–38
 solvent, 229
- Trichloroacetic acid, accelerant for PCC oxidations, 48
- Trichloroacetonitrile, DMSO activator in Moffatt oxidation, 178
- Trichloroisocyanuric acid
 reagent for the selective oxidation of secondary alcohols, 341
 secondary oxidant in TEMPO-mediated oxidations, 245, 334
- Triethylammonium chlorochromate, alcohol oxidant, 88
- Triethyltin methoxide
 hydrobromic acid quencher in the oxidation of alkyltin alkoxides, 343

- Triethyltin methoxide (*Cont'd*)
 reagent for the preparation of alkyltin
 alkoxides, 343
- Trifluoroacetates, formation during
 Omura-Sharma-Swern oxidation,
 129, 136
- Trifluoroacetic acid, accelerant in
 Oppenauer oxidation, 262
- Trifluoroacetic anhydride, DMSO
 activator, 98
- Trifluoroacetic anhydride-mediated
 Moffatt oxidation. (*See* Omura-
 Sharma-Swern oxidation)
- Trifluoromethane sulfonic anhydride,
 DMSO activator in Moffatt
 oxidation, 177
- Trimethylammonium chlorochromate,
 alcohol oxidant, 88
- bis(Trimethylsilyl)acetamide, reagent for
 the *in situ* solubilization of
 carboxylic acids during Swern
 oxidation, 154
- Trimethylsilyl chloride
 additive in the *in situ*
 deprotection-oxidation of silyl
 ethers, 33
 reaction with chromium trioxide, 91
- Trimethylsilyl chlorochromate
 alcohol oxidant, 91
 in situ deprotection-oxidation of
 t-butyldimethylsilyl ethers with, 91
- bis(Trimethylsilyl)peroxide
 secondary oxidant in $\text{RuCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_3$
 oxidations, 335
 secondary oxidant in chromium
 catalyzed oxidations, 89, 90
- bis(Trinitrocercium)chromate, selective
 oxidant for unsaturated alcohols,
 329
- Triphenylphosphine dibromide, DMSO
 activator in Moffatt oxidation,
 178
- Triphenylphosphine dichloride, DMSO
 activator in Moffatt oxidation,
 178
- Triphosgene, DMSO activator in
 Moffatt oxidation, 178
- Tripyridinium hydrochloride
 chlorochromate, alcohol oxidant,
 88
- Trityl ethers
 resist Dess-Martin periodinane, 194
 resist Omura-Sharma-Swern
 oxidation, 135
 resist Parikh-Doering oxidation, 125
 resist PCC, 53
 resist ruthenium tetroxide, 226
 resist Swern oxidation, 152
 sensitivity to Jones oxidation, 9
- Trityl tetrafluoroborate, reagent for the
 selective oxidation of secondary
 alcohols, 348–49
- Tungstophosphoric acid, reagent for the
 selective oxidation of secondary
 alcohols, 346
- U**
- Ultrasounds, accelerant for
 barium manganate oxidations, 310
 PCC oxidations, 48
 TPAP oxidations, 230
- V**
- Vinyl stannanes, resist Parikh-Doering
 oxidation, 125
- $\text{VO}(\text{acac})_2$, reagent for the selective
 oxidation of secondary alcohols,
 347
- W**
- Wittig reaction, *in situ* during
 Dess-Martin oxidation, 194–95
 IBX oxidation, 209, 210
 manganese dioxide oxidation, 301–03
 Swern oxidation, 157–59
 TPAP oxidation, 235–36
- Y**
- $\text{Yb}(\text{O}i\text{-Pr})_3$, catalyst in Oppenauer
 oxidation, 264

Ytterbium nitrate, catalyst in the
oxidation of alcohols with
iodosobenzene, 213

Z

Zinc dichromate, alcohol oxidant, 87
Zinc nitrate on silica, selective oxidant
for unsaturated alcohols,
329

Zirconium dioxide, catalyst in
Oppenauer oxidation, 264
 $Zr(Ot-Bu)_4$, catalyst in Oppenauer
oxidation, 264
 $ZrO(OAc)_2/t-BuOOH$, reagent system
for the selective oxidation of
primary alcohols, 336
 $Zr(On-Pr)_x$ on SiO_2 , catalyst in
Oppenauer oxidation, 264